# Social Security Beneficiaries Enrolled in the Direct Deposit Program, December 1996* 

On April 26, 1996, Public Law 104-134 was enacted, requiring all Federal payments except tax refunds be issued electronically by January 2,1999 , thus beginning a new era in electronic funds transfer (EFT). This legislation, the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996-part of the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 -mandated that effective July 27, 1996, all new recipients of Federal payments use EFT. Only persons certifying in writing that they do not have an account at a financial institution are exempt.

The Social Security Administration (SSA) implemented the first phase of the new legislation effective with claims filed for Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) or Supplemental Security Income (SSI) beginning August 1, 1996. Since benefit payments issued by SSA account for a significant portion of the U.S. Department of the Treasury disbursements, SSA's role in the EFT 99, as the initiative is called, is of major significance. Of the 857 million payments the Treasury Department disbursed in fiscal year 1997, 71.4 percent were made to OASDI and SSI beneficiaries (chart 1).

This note presents data on the beneficiaries using direct deposit in December 1996, including demographic characteristics, benefit amounts, and State of residence. The data were derived from a 10 -percent sample of the Master Beneficiary Record-the major administrative database for SSA. ${ }^{1}$ The 1996 data will be useful in evaluating the effects of the new legislation.

The initial impact of the new legislation was evident in 1997. In December 1996, 63 percent of all OASDI and 30 percent of SSI benefit payments were made by direct deposit. By December 1997, the rates had risen to 69 percent and 38 percent, respectively.

[^0]Chart 1.-Payment volume, by type of disbursement, fiscal year 1997

${ }^{1}$ Includes payments from the Veteran's Administration, Office of Personnel Management, and the Railroad Retirement Board.

Because of the many complex issues involved in converting the entire Federal payment structure to EFT, the Treasury Department issued a proposed rule, in September 1997, on how Federal agencies were to implement the program (Notice of Proposed Rulemaking 31 CFR Part 208). This proposal required that all recipients of Federal payments who have an account at a financial institution receive benefits via EFT. For those individuals who do not have an account, an Electronic Transfer Account (ETA) at a financial institution will be provided. Until this option becomes available or by January 2, 2000, whichever is earlier, these individuals can continue to receive paper checks. Recipients with ETAs will have access to the funds through automated teller machines (ATMs) or point-of-sale (POS) terminals with an access card.

Under the proposed rule, certain recipients may be eligible for a waiver. Recipients entitled prior to July 26, 1996, who certify that accessing the funds would be difficult because of a physical disability or because of limited access to financial institutions, ATMs, or POS terminals may be eligible for a waiver. Recipients who certify that they do not have an account and that EFT payments would be a hardship due to
physical disability, limited access to financial institutions, or financial hardship would be eligible for a waiver regardless of when they began to receive benefits.

The proposed rule provided for a 90-day period for the general public to comment on the proposal. In an effort to obtain feedback from the public, the Treasury Department held public hearings in Baltimore, Dallas, Los Angeles, and New York and will weigh all responses before it issues a final ruling. ${ }^{2}$

## Background

In 1975, the Treasury Department and SSA introduced the direct deposit program for the payment of benefits. A rudimentary form of direct deposit existed prior to 1975 but was initially available only to beneficiaries with extenuating circumstances who filed a "power-of-attorney" with the financial institution receiving the deposit. This option for benefit payment was extended in November 1970 to all beneficiaries regardless of reason for wanting it. On August 7, 1972, the enactment of Public Law 92-366 eliminated the need for a power-of-attorney by allowing Federal agencies to draw checks directly to financial institutions. This legislation also authorized the issuance of composite checks by Federal agencies to financial institutions. In other words, institutions receiving monthly checks for multiple beneficiaries could now receive a single check, thus streamlining the benefit disbursement process and clearing the way for the present direct deposit program.

Under the current direct deposit program, an electronic funds transfer, originated by the Treasury Department on SSA's behalf, is sent to an account at a financial institution designated by the beneficiary. The EFT conforms to an agreed upon electronic format established hy the financial community. The electronic credit is transmitted through the national automated clearinghouse system ( ACH ), which is a secure computer network operated mainly by the Federal Reserve System.

Direct deposit offers many advantages to Social Security beneficiaries. It gives beneficiaries quicker access to the funds and should a problem arise, it can be corrected much faster. The problems of lost, stolen, or misplaced checks are virtually eliminated. Beneficiaries no longer have to visit a financial institution to deposit their checks.

Electronic funds transfer also offers cost savings to Federal agencies. The Treasury Department estimates that EFT will save the Federal Government approximately $\$ 100$ million annually in processing costs. This is in addition to $\$ 65$ million lost by individuals, businesses, and the government as a result of forgery, theft, and counterfeiting of checks. A significant portion of the savings can be attributed to the fact that the cost of issuing an electronic payment is only $\$ .02$ compared with $\$ .43$ for a check. Since SSA currently issues 17.8 million paper checks per month to OASDI and SSI beneficiaries, the savings could be quite substantial. An additional saving to SSA is a reduction in the workload for handling payment problems. Advantages to financial institutions as a result of EFT include
lower processing costs over mailed paper checks and increased use of the institution's services.

## Demographic Characteristics

In December 1996, 27.6 million Social Security beneficiaries were using direct deposit (table 1). This number, representing 63.2 percent of the total beneficiary population, has grown from 4.7 million ( 14 percent) in 1976 (table 2). During this period, the monthly amount of direct deposits rose from $\$ 1.1$ billion ( $\$ 3$ billion in 1996 dollars) to nearly $\$ 20$ billion.

Generally, direct deposit participation rates were highest for the beneficiary categories with older beneficiaries. For example, only 38 percent of beneficiaries under age 30 , and 45 percent of those aged 30-39 were enrolled in the program at the end of 1996. In contrast, 67 percent of beneficiaries aged 6079 and nearly 69 percent of those aged 80 or older were enrolled (table 3). About two-thirds of retired workers and nondisabled widows and widowers were using direct deposit. Wives and husbands of retired workers with a participation rate of 61 percent was the next most likely group to be enrolled. Disabled workers had a participation rate of 51 percent. The enrollment rate of all other beneficiary groups was below 50 percent.

Regardless of age, women used direct deposit somewhat more frequently than did men. Overall, 67 percent of women and 64 percent of men were enrolled. Only 38 percent of children used direct deposit, which is indicative of the lower participation rate of their parents who actually received the benefit and chose the payment method.

Black beneficiaries and those of other races selected direct deposit less frequently than did white beneficiaries. Sixty-six percent of white beneficiaries were enrolled in direct deposit, compared with 43 percent of black beneficiaries and 50 percent of persons of other races.

## Monthly Benefit

Generally, Social Security beneficiaries using direct deposit had higher monthly benefits than those not enrolled. This generalization holds true regardless of the type of benefit received. For example, at the end of 1996, retired workers enrolled in direct deposit had an average monthly benefit of $\$ 770.90$, while those not enrolled had an average benefit of $\$ 687.90$. Similarly, disabled workers using direct deposit had an average benefit of $\$ 750.70$, compared with $\$ 657.10$ for nondirect depositors.

The relationship between the benefit amount and the use of direct deposit is more clearly shown in table 4 . As the benefit level increased from less than $\$ 200$ to $\$ 1,200$ or more, the overall participation rate increased from 37 to 74 percent. This pattern holds true regardless of sex or race of the beneficiary. White beneficiaries, however, had a higher participation rate than black beneficiaries and those of other races at all benefit levels. Similarly, except for those receiving benefits of less
than $\$ 200$, women beneficiaries used direct deposit in greater proportions than did men at all benefit levels.

## State of Residence

At the end of 1996, the direct deposit participation rate was 75 percent or higher in four States-Oregon, Washington, Florida, and Arizona (table 5). Louisiana, West Virginia, and Mississippi were the only States with participation rates below 50 percent. Eight States had more than 1 million direct depositors, which accounted for nearly one-half of the national total.

The Seattle and San Francisco regions had the highest participation rates of the 10 Social Security Administration regions- 78 percent and 74 percent, respectively. The Philadelphia and Dallas regions had the lowest participation rates- 59 percent (chart 2). As discussed earlier, there appears to be a strong relationship between the amount of the benefit and the use of direct deposit. Consequently, the low average benefit in the Dallas region (\$630) corresponds with the equally low participation rate. The Philadelphia region, however, would have been expected to have a higher participation rate because of the higher average benefit (\$684.70) but this was not the case. Similarly, participation rates in the New

Chart 2.-Direct deposit participation rates, by State, December 1996


York region (although Puerto Rico is included in this region, it is combined with "other" areas in the table) were below the national average, even though New Jersey and New York-the two States included in the region-ranked first and third in average benefit in the Nation. This disparity between the benefit amount and the participation rate may be a reflection on the marketing efforts for EFT or perhaps the characteristics of the beneficiary population. Tables 6 and 7 show participation rates for States, by type of benefit and age. They indicate (as discussed earlier with respect to national data) that within
each State, older beneficiaries use direct deposit more frequently than younger beneficiaries.

## Notes

${ }^{1}$ For a discussion on sampling variability for this file, see the Annual Statistical Supplement, 1997 to the Social Security Bulletin, pp. 352-353.
${ }^{2}$ For a more complete discussion of EFT and recent developments, refer to the Treasury Department's home page on the Internet: www.fms.treas.gov/eft.

Table 1.-Number and percent of beneficiaries and average monthly benefit, by type of beneficiary and direct deposit status, December 1996

| Type of beneficiary | All beneficiaries |  | Direct deposit status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Using |  |  | Not using |  |  |
|  | Number | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit |
| Total. | 43,737,470 | \$672.80 | 27,628,000 | 63.2 | \$719.40 | 16,109,470 | 36.8 | \$592.80 |
| Retired workers and dependents... | 30,312,830 | 703.50 | 20,458,280 | 67.5 | 735.00 | 9,854,550 | 32.5 | 638.20 |
| Retired workers.. | 26,899,170 | 744.90 | 18,465,120 | 68.6 | 770.90 | 8,434,050 | 31.4 | 687.90 |
| Wives and husbands. | 2,971,650 | 383.80 | 1,823,570 | 61.4 | 404.90 | 1,148,080 | 38.6 | 350.20 |
| Children. | 442,010 | 336.60 | 169,590 | 38.4 | 373.00 | 272,420 | 61.6 | 314.00 |
| Disabled workers and dependents.. | 6,076,830 | 561.80 | 2,819,520 | 46.4 | 641.70 | 3,257,310 | 53.6 | 492.70 |
| Disabled workers. | 4,386,040 | 704.80 | 2,239,510 | 51.1 | 750.70 | 2,146,530 | 48.9 | 657.10 |
| Wives and husbands. | 223,300 | 171.80 | 86,830 | 38.9 | 198.30 | 136,470 | 61.1 | 155.00 |
| Children. | 1,467,490 | 193.70 | 493,180 | 33.6 | 224.80 | 974,310 | 66.4 | 177.90 |
| Survivors....................................... | 7,347,120 | 637.70 | 4,349,900 | 59.2 | 696.60 | 2,997,220 | 40.8 | 552.30 |
| Nondisabled widows and widowers ${ }^{1}$. | 5;021,510 | 707.10 | 3,349,180 | 66.7 | 744.00 | 1,672,330 | 33.3 | 633.30 |
| Disabled widows and widowers.. | 182,020 | 472.10 | 89,800 | 49.3 | 494.40 | 92,220 | 50.7 | 450.30 |
| Widowed mothers and fathers............... | 241,490 | 513.80 | 120,390 | 49.9 | 564.40 | 121,100 | 50.1 | 463.40 |
| Children. | 1,902,100 | 486.30 | 790,530 | 41.6 | 539.20 | 1,111,570 | 58.4 | 448.60 |
| Special age-72...................................... | 690 | 199.00 | 300 | 43.5 | 199.00 | 390 | 56.5 | 199.00 |

[^1]Table 2.-Number and percent of beneficiaries using direct deposit, by type of beneficiary, December 1976-96

| Type of beneficiary | December 1976 |  | December 1980 |  | December 1984 |  | December $1987{ }^{\prime}$ |  | December $1992{ }^{2}$ |  | December $1996{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Per- <br> cent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total. | 4,716,111 | 14.3 | 10,393,684 | 29.2 | 14,851,758 | 40.7 | 17,442,128 | 45.7 | 22,347,350 | 53.9 | 27,628,000 | 63.2 |
| Retired workers and dependents $\qquad$ | 3,374,514 | 16.3 | 7,429,390 | 32.0 | 11,126,146 | 43.7 | 13,229,190 | 49.1 | 16,965,000 | 57.9 | 20,458,280 | 67.5 |
| Retired workers......... | 2,908,752 | 16.9 | 6,498,125 | 33.2 | 9,860,541 | 45.0 | 11,798,212 | 50.3 | 15,231,370 | 59.2 | 18,465,120 | 68.6 |
| Wives and husbands | 424,847 | 14.7 | 847,968 | 28.1 | 1,180,875 | 38.7 | 1,342,687 | 43.5 | 1,615,530 | 51.9 | 1,823,570 | 61.4 |
| Children. | 40,915 | 6.3 | 83,297 | 13.0 | 84,730 | 17.8 | 88,291 | 20.1 | 118,100 | 27.3 | 169,590 | 38.4 |
| Disabled workers and dependents. | 449,465 | 9.7 | 990,721 | 21.2 | 1,055,081 | 27.6 | 1,164,963 | 28.8 | 1,714,970 | 35.0 | 2,819,520 | 46.4 |
| Disabled workers... | 325,395 | 12.2 | 735,609 | 25.7 | 834,894 | 32.2 | 933,798 | 33.5 | 1,375,480 | 39.6 | 2,239,510 | 51.1 |
| Wives and husbands | 37,669 | 7.9 | 80,040 | 17.3 | 67,814 | 22.3 | 67,379 | 23.2 | 78,900 | 29.1 | 86,830 | 38.9 |
| Children................... | 86,401 | 5.8 | 175,072 | 12.9 | 152,373 | 16.5 | 163,786 | 16.9 | 260,590 | 22.5 | 493,180 | 33.6 |
| Survivors. | 875,478 | 11.7 | 1,952,234 | 25.7 | 2,655,552 | 37.0 | 3,039,498 | 42.5 | 3,665,530 | 50.2 | 4,349,900 | 59.2 |
| Widows and widowers ${ }^{3}$ | 605,937 | 15.1 | 1,394,436 | 31.5 | 2,082,735 | 43.5 | 2,479,191 | 49.7 | 2,988,890 | 57.6 | 3,438,980 | 66.1 |
| Widowed mothers and fathers. $\qquad$ | 54,153 | 9.4 | 115,192 | 20.5 | 113,227 | 29.6 | 105,694 | 32.1 | 109,520 | 37.3 | 120,390 | 49.9 |
| Children................... | 215,388 | 7.4 | 442,606 | 17.0 | 459,590 | 22.9 | 454,613 | 24.8 | 567,120 | 31.3 | 790,530 | 41.6 |
| Special age-72 ............ | 16,654 | 8.9 | 21,339 | 23.0 | 14,979 | 37.1 | 8,477 | 44.6 | 1,850 | 52.4 | 300 | 43.5 |

[^2]Table 3.-Number and percent of beneficiaries and average monthly benefit, by age, sex, race, and direct deposit status, December 1996

| Characteristic | All beneficiaries |  | Direct deposit status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Using |  |  | Not using |  |  |
|  | Number | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit |
| Total..................... | 43,737,470 | \$672.80 | 27,628,000 | 63.2 | \$719.40 | 16,109,470 | 36.8 | \$592.80 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adults....... | 39,925,870 | 703.00 | 26,174,700 | 65.6 | 736.40 | 13,751,170 | 34.4 | 639.40 |
| Under 30.................. | 188,590 | 425.80 | 71,520 | 37.9 | 454.20 | 117,070 | 62.1 | 408.40 |
| 30-39....................... | 802,150 | 534.30 | 357,650 | 44.6 | 577.70 | 444,500 | 55.4 | 499.40 |
| 40-49...................... | 1,286,780 | 654.00 | 632,730 | 49.2 | 699.30 | 654,050 | 50.8 | 610.20 |
| 50-59....................... | 1,667,050 | 715.70 | 864,030 | 51.8 | 762.30 | 803,020 | 48.2 | 665.60 |
| 60-69.. | 12,992,610 | 671.20 | 8,701,020 | 67.0 | 699.70 | 4,291,590 | 33.0 | 613.30 |
| 70-79....................... | 14,898,050 | 715.00 | 9,987,450 | 67.0 | 744.20 | 4,910,600 | 33.0 | 655.70 |
| 80 or older................ | 8,090,640 | 760.40 | 5,560,300 | 68.7 | 793.90 | 2,530,340 | 31.3 | 686.70 |
| Children ${ }^{1} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 3,811,600 | 356.30 | 1,453,300 | 38.1 | 413.10 | 2,358,300 | 61.9 | 321.20 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men.......................... | 16,749,700 | 827.80 | 10,695,970 | 63.9 | 866.40 | 6,053,730 | 36.1 | 759.50 |
| Under 30.................. | 97,080 | 467.10 | 34,210 | 35.2 | 486.20 | 62,870 | 64.8 | 456.70 |
| 30-39....................... | 398,210 | 605.00 | 166,240 | 41.7 | 645.90 | 231,970 | 58.3 | 575.80 |
| 40-49....................... | 677,250 | 751.20 | 320,330 | 47.3 | 795.40 | 356,920 | 52.7 | 711.50 |
| 50-59... | 887,650 | 860.90 | 451,070 | 50.8 | 916.60 | 436,580 | 49.2 | 803.30 |
| 60-69....................... | 5,804,180 | 820.60 | 3,832,530 | 66.0 | 856.20 | 1,971,650 | 34.0 | 751.30 |
| 70-79....................... | 6,277,780 | 834.30 | 4,144,940 | 66.0 | 864.10 | 2,132,840 | 34.0 | 776.60 |
| 80 or older................ | 2,607,550 | 884.10 | 1,746,650 | 67.0 | 923.00 | 860,900 | 33.0 | 805.20 |
| Women...................... | 23,176,170 | 612.80 | 15,478,730 | 66.8 | 646.60 | 7,697,440 | 33.2 | 544.90 |
| Under 30.................. | 91,510 | 381.90 | 37,310 | 40.8 | 424.90 | 54,200 | 59.2 | 352.30 |
| 30-39.......... | 403,940 | 464.60 | 191,410 | 47.4 | 518.50 | 212,530 | 52.6 | 416.10 |
| 40-49.. | 609,530 | 546.00 | 312,400 | 51.3 | 600.60 | 297,130 | 48.7 | 488.50 |
| 50-59. | 779,400 | 550.40 | 412,960 | 53.0 | 593.80 | 366,440 | 47.0 | 501.50 |
| 60-69.... | 7,188,430 | 550.50 | 4,868,490 | 67.7 | 576.60 | 2,319,940 | 32.3 | 495.90 |
| 70-79... | 8,620,270 | 628.10 | 5,842,510 | 67.8 | 659.20 | 2,777,760 | 32.2 | 562.80 |
| 80 or older..... | 5,483,090 | 701.60 | 3,813,650 | 69.6 | 734.80 | 1,669,440 | 30.4 | 625.50 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White......................... | 37,822,600 | 692.90 | 24,998,680 | 66.1 | 730.50 | 12,823,920 | 33.9 | 619.70 |
| Black........................ | 4,501,500 | 544.80 | 1,919,080 | 42.6 | 612.00 | 2,582,420 | 57.4 | 494.80 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$....................... | 1,413,370 | 541.50 | 710,240 | 50.3 | 620.00 | 703,130 | 49.7 | 462.20 |

[^3]Table 4.-Number and percent of beneficiaries using direct deposit, by monthly benefit, sex, and race, December 1996

| Monthly benefit amount | Total |  | White |  | Black |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of all beneficiaries | Number | Percent of all beneficiaries | Number | Percent of all beneficiaries | Number | Percent of all beneficiaries |
| Total ${ }^{1}$. | 27,628,000 | 63.2 | 24,998,680 | 66.1 | 1,919,080 | 42.6 | 587,890 | 49.1 |
| Less than \$200.00........ | 859,350 | 36.7 | 670,990 | 40.8 | 129,070 | 26.2 | 50,920 | 28.4 |
| \$200.00-\$399.90....... | 3,128,400 | 49.9 | 2,669,550 | 53.7 | 331,720 | 33.7 | 107,500 | 40.3 |
| \$400.00-\$599.90...... | 6,400,280 | 59.9 | 5,689,580 | 62.9 | 523,200 | 41.1 | 156,340 | 50.0 |
| \$600.00-\$799.90.... | 6,022,400 | 65.8 | 5,447,680 | 67.9 | 437,120 | 49.5 | 112,980 | 56.5 |
| \$800.00-\$999.90.... | 6,583,930 | 72.9 | 6,156,760 | 74.1 | 318,370 | 56.3 | 88,910 | 65.3 |
| \$1,000.00-\$1,199.90....... | 3,188,150 | 74.1 | 2,995,580 | 75.1 | 135,340 | 59.2 | 46,930 | 68.5 |
| \$1,200.00 or more. | 1,445,490 | 73.8 | 1,368,540 | 74.4 | 44,260 | 60.8 | 24,310 | 70.1 |
| Men................. | 10,695,970 | 63.9 | 9.792,740 | 66.5 | 629,590 | 41.8 | 233,880 | 51.8 |
| Less than \$200.00.. | 132,300 | 49.1 | 112,830 | 52.7 | 13,240 | 34.1 | 5,750 | 37.1 |
| \$200.00-\$399.90.... | 537,750 | 48.3 | 450,830 | 52.1 | 57,800 | 32.5 | 25,660 | 40.9 |
| \$400.00-\$599.90........ | 1,103,380 | 48.3 | 920,920 | 51.9 | 125,420 | 33.0 | 49,160 | 43.5 |
| \$600.00-\$799.90... | 2,057,420 | 59.5 | 1,843,090 | 62.2 | 156,970 | 41.1 | 48,980 | 50.2 |
| \$800.00-\$999.90... | 3,745,250 | 70.7 | 3,519,430 | 72.3 | 163,710 | 50.6 | 53,120 | 61.8 |
| \$1,000.00-\$1,199.90....... | 2,140,040 | 72.1 | 2,015,540 | 73.3 | 85,680 | 54.9 | 33,180 | 65.5 |
| \$1,200.00 or more........... | 979,830 | 71.8 | 930,100 | 72.5 | 26,770 | 56.2 | 18,030 | 68.2 |
| Women. | 15,478,730 | 66.8 | 14,125,440 | 69.0 | 1,016,750 | 48.1 | 276,940 | 54.9 |
| Less than \$200.00... | 384,530 | 45.0 | 322,170 | 48.4 | 41,570 | 31.7 | 18,350 | 36.2 |
| \$200.00-\$399.90... | 2,195,080 | 54.1 | 1,938,290 | 57.3 | 187,020 | 35.8 | 59,210 | 44.2 |
| \$400.00-\$599.90... | 4,906,040 | 65.3 | 4,470,570 | 67.6 | 326,300 | 46.2 | 91,160 | 56.4 |
| \$600.00-\$799.90.............. | 3,753,580 | 71.1 | 3,432,910 | 72.5 | 251,930 | 57.7 | 56,270 | 64.2 |
| \$800.00-\$999.90.. | 2,737,050 | 76.8 | 2,552,790 | 77.7 | 143,550 | 65.1 | 32,370 | 72.4 |
| \$1,000.00-\$1,199.90......... | 1,037,240 | 78.8 | 970,680 | 79.4 | 48,920 | 68.7 | 13,300 | 77.1 |
| \$1,200.00 or more............. | 465,210 | 78.4 | 438,030 | 78.9 | 17,460 | 69.4 | 6,280 | 76.0 |
| Children ${ }^{2}$. | 1,453,300 | 38.1 | 1,080,500 | 41.0 | 272,740 | 31.0 | 77,070 | 31.9 |
| Less than \$200.00.... | 342,520 | 28.1 | 235,990 | 30.9 | 74,260 | 23.0 | 26,820 | 23.7 |
| \$200.00-\$399.90....... | 395,570 | 36.2 | 280,430 | 38.7 | 86,900 | 30.6 | 22,630 | 32.4 |
| \$400.00-\$599.90.............. | 390,860 | 44.0 | 298,090 | 45.7 | 71,480 | 38.1 | 16,020 | 42.1 |
| \$600.00-\$799.90............ | 211,400 | 51.2 | 171,680 | 52.5 | 28,220 | 44.1 | 7,730 | 52.2 |
| \$800.00-\$999.90... | 101,630 | 55.9 | 84,540 | 56.0 | 11,110 | 52.5 | 3,420 | 61.7 |
| \$1,000.00-\$1,199.90......... | 10,870 | 62.3 | 9,360 | 62.7 | 740 | 55.2 | 450 | 69.2 |
| \$1,200.00 or more............ | 450 | 56.3 | 410 | 56.9 | 30 | 60.0 | .... | $\ldots$ |

[^4]Table 5.-Number and percent of beneficiaries and average monthly benefit, by region and State and direct deposit status, December 1996

| Region and State | All beneficiaries |  | Using direct deposit |  |  | Not using direct deposit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit | Number | Percent | Average monthly benefit |
| Total..................................... | 43,737,470 | \$672.80 | 27,628,000 | 63.2 | \$719.40 | 16,109,470 | 36.8 | \$592.80 |
| Region I (Boston) ......................... | 2,341,170 | 697.40 | 1,448,890 | 61.9 | 736.60 | 892,280 | 38.1 | 633.90 |
| Connecticut................................... | 568,900 | 754.70 | 341,600 | 60.0 | 792.00 | 227,300 | 40.0 | 698.80 |
| Maine.. | 242,180 | 621.10 | 145,480 | 60.1 | 669.40 | 96,700 | 39.9 | 548.30 |
| Massachusetts... | 1,052,260 | 689.80 | 659,860 | 62.7 | 729.60 | 392,400 | 37.3 | 622.80 |
| New Hampshire.. | 188,350 | 693.50 | 127,330 | 67.6 | 723.40 | 61,020 | 32.4 | 631.00 |
| Rhode Island. | 189,450 | 690.40 | 111,620 | 58.9 | 734.00 | 77,830 | 41.1 | 627.90 |
| Vermont....................................... | 100,030 | 658.10 | 63,000 | 63.0 | 695.70 | 37,030 | 37.0 | 594.00 |
| Region II (New York)... | 4,282,500 | 733.70 | 2,615,140 | 61.1 | 774.40 | 1,667,360 | 38.9 | 669.80 |
| New Jersey.................................... | 1,313,620 | 756.10 | 763,670 | 58.1 | 794.70 | 549,950 | 41.9 | 702.60 |
| New York | 2,968,880 | 723.80 | 1,851,470 | 62.4 | 766.10 | 1,117,410 | 37.6 | 653.70 |
| Region III (Philadelphia).............. | 4,568,930 | 684.70 | 2,679,060 | 58.6 | 726.30 | 1,889,870 | 41.4 | 625.60 |
| Delaware........................................ | 124,360 | 711.90 | 85,610 | 68.8 | 752.60 | 38,750 | 31.2 | 622.10 |
| District of Columbia........................ | 77,440 | 584.90 | 42,450 | 54.8 | 627.50 | 34,990 | 45.2 | 533.30 |
| Maryland... | 685,230 | 686.90 | 414,130 | 60.4 | 722.50 | 271,100 | 39.6 | 632.40 |
| Pennsylvania.. | 2,331,780 | 707.20 | 1,406,610 | 60.3 | 742.70 | 925,170 | 39.7 | 653.10 |
| Virginia......................................... | 964,520 | 647.70 | 544,880 | 56.5 | 697.90 | 419,640 | 43.5 | 582.60 |
| West Virginia.................................. | 385,600 | 648.40 | 185,380 | 48.1 | 704.30 | 200,220 | 51.9 | 596.50 |
| Region IV (Atlanta)...................... | 8,899,470 | 643.90 | 5,545,550 | 62.3 | 701.50 | 3,353,920 | 37.7 | 548.70 |
| Alabama........................................ | 786,510 | 614.40 | 424,560 | 54.0 | 678.50 | 361,950 | 46.0 | 539.40 |
| Florida.......................................... | 3,034,010 | 685.50 | 2,364,190 | 77.9 | 717.70 | 669,820 | 22.1 | 571.90 |
| Georgia.. | 1,027,380 | 628.90 | 575,580 | 56.0 | 691.80 | 451,800 | 44.0 | 548.70 |
| Kentucky. | 719,760 | 608.20 | 366,700 | 50.9 | 674.50 | 353,060 | 49.1 | 539.20 |
| Mississippi... | 498,230 | 574.30 | 248,120 | 49.8 | 656.20 | 250,110 | 50.2 | 493.00 |
| North Carolina................................ | 1,255,190 | 639.30 | 701,920 | 55.9 | 704.90 | 553,270 | 44.1 | 556.10 |
| South Carolina. | 638,460 | 632.50 | 355,870 | 55.7 | 697.30 | 282,590 | 44.3 | 551.00 |
| Tennessee. | 939,930 | 628.60 | 508,610 | 54.1 | 695.60 | 431,320 | 45.9 | 549.60 |
| Region V ( Chicago). | 7,900,970 | 703.80 | 4,998,440 | 63.3 | 741.50 | 2,902,530 | 36.7 | 638.90 |
| Illinois.......................................... | 1,826,820 | 717.70 | 1,131,350 | 61.9 | 756.30 | 695,470 | 38.1 | 655.00 |
| Indiana.. | 966,990 | 706.20 | 600,190 | 62.1 | 744.90 | 366,800 | 37.9 | 643.00 |
| Michigan.... | 1,598,350 | 721.60 | 1,077,940 | 67.4 | 756.30 | 520,410 | 32.6 | 649.70 |
| Minnesota....................................... | 715,190 | 672.60 | 476,330 | 66.6 | 710.50 | 238,860 | 33.4 | 596.90 |
| Ohio............................................. | 1,909,520 | 688.40 | 1,128,470 | 59.1 | 727.00 | 781,050 | 40.9 | 632.60 |
| Wisconsin. | 884,100 | 698.90 | 584,160 | 66.1 | 735.20 | 299,940 | 33.9 | 628.20 |
| Region VI (Dallas)...................... | 4,547,130 | 630.00 | 2,664,430 | 58.6 | 689.30 | 1,882,700 | 41.4 | 546.00 |
| Arkansas... | 506,570 | 604.10 | 292,090 | 57.7 | 660.20 | 214,480 | 42.3 | 527.80 |
| Louisiana. | 701,280 | 601.40 | 322,200 | 45.9 | 681.30 | 379,080 | 54.1 | 533.40 |
| New Mexico.. | 261,780 | 610.00 | 169,650 | 64.8 | 671.30 | 92,130 | 35.2 | 497.20 |
| Oklahoma....... | 579,680 | 642.70 | 369,070 | 63.7 | 685.20 | 210,610 | 36.3 | 568.20 |
| Texas... | 2,497,820 | 642.40 | 1,511,420 | 60.5 | 699.60 | 986,400 | 39.5 | 554.70 |
| Region VII (Kansas City).............. | 2,233,050 | 673.60 | 1,519,060 | 68.0 | 706.80 | 713,990 | 32.0 | 602.90 |
| Iowa..... | 538,930 | 680.50 | 382,250 | 70.9 | 708.70 | 156,680 | 29.1 | 611.90 |
| Kansas... | 435,550 | 694.30 | 306,350 | 70.3 | 723.60 | 129,200 | 29.7 | 625.00 |
| Missouri......................................... | 976,790 | 661.60 | 631,510 | 64.7 | 699.70 | 345,280 | 35.3 | 591.90 |
| Nebraska.. | 281,780 | 670.10 | 198,950 | 70.6 | 700.30 | 82,830 | 29.4 | 597.50 |
| Region VIII (Denver).................... | 1,209,380 | 652.50 | 838,760 | 69.4 | 686.40 | 370,620 | 30.6 | 575.70 |
| Colorado......... | 504,870 | 656.20 | 351,740 | 69.7 | 688.90 | 153,130 | 30.3 | 581.00 |
| Montana..... | 152,990 | 654.30 | 107,190 | 70.1 | 687.50 | 45,800 | 29.9 | 576.80 |
| North Dakota. | 115,660 | 629.00 | 73,450 | 63.5 | 666.80 | 42,210 | 36.5 | 563.30 |
| South Dakota...... | 135,350 | 617.40 | 88,920 | 65.7 | 655.60 | 46,430 | 34.3 | 544.40 |
| Utah........ | 228,270 | 668.10 | 166,080 | 72.8 | 698.90 | 62,190 | 27.2 | 585.70 |
| Wyoming....................................... | 72,240 | 677.20 | 51,380 | 71.1 | 708.70 | 20,860 | 28.9 | 599.90 |
| Region IX (San Francisco) ............ | 5,153,310 | 686.80 | 3,794,040 | 73.6 | 716.80 | 1,359,270 | 26.4 | 603.00 |
| Arizona......... | 720,250 | 686.60 | 551,920 | 76.6 | 722.10 | 168,330 | 23.4 | 570.10 |
| California... | 4,022,740 | 686.80 | 2,958,640 | 73.5 | 715.80 | 1,064,100 | 26.5 | 606.20 |
| Hawaii. | 169,300 | 673.30 | 107,830 | 63.7 | 712.40 | 61,470 | 36.3 | 604.80 |
| Nevada.......................................... | 241,020 | 697.00 | 175,650 | 72.9 | 720.60 | 65,370 | 27.1 | 633.50 |
| Region X (Seattle)......................... | 1,576,550 | 694.90 | 1,227,400 | 77.9 | 720.10 | 349,150 | 22.1 | 606.20 |
| Alaska. | 45,960 | 640.70 | 28,070 | 61.1 | 683.50 | 17,890 | 38.9 | 573.50 |
| Idaho.. | 181,160 | 657.10 | 134,520 | 74.3 | 689.70 | 46,640 | 25.7 | 562.90 |
| Oregon.. | 547,820 | 694.00 | 436,060 | 79.6 | 715.70 | 111,760 | 20.4 | 609.10 |
| Washington.................................... | 801,610 | 707.20 | 628,750 | 78.4 | 731.40 | 172,860 | 21.6 | 619.30 |
| Outlying areas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico.................................... | 625,450 | 419.70 | 145,520 | 23.3 | 550.40 | 479,930 | 76.7 | 380.10 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {. }}$ /....................................... | 399.560 | 442.30 | 151.710 | 38.0 | 475.20 | 247,850 | 62.0 | 422.20 |

[^5]Table 6.-Number and percent of beneficiaries using direct deposit, by State and type of benefit, December 1996

| State | Total | Retirement |  |  | Survivor |  | Disability |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Retired workers | Spouses | Children | Widow(er)s ${ }^{2}$ | Children | Disabled workers | Spouses | Children |
| Total ................................... | 43,737,470 | 26,899,860 | 2,971,650 | 442,010 | 5,445,020 | 1,902,100 | 4,386,040 | 223,300 | 1,467,490 |
| Direct depositors: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number. | 27,628,000 | 18,465,420 | 1,823,570 | 169,590 | 3,559,370 | 790,530 | 2,239,510 | 86,830 | 493,180 |
| Percent. | 63. | 686 | 61.4 | 38.4 | 65.4 | 41.6 | 51.1 | 38.9 | 33.6 |
| Alabama. | 54.0 | 61.0 | 51.5 | 34.4 | 56.2 | 34.9 | 42.8 | 36.9 | 30.5 |
| Alaska.... | 61.1 | 68.1 | 60.1 | 42.1 | 64.5 | 41.5 | 51.4 | 55.6 | 43.3 |
| Arizona... | 76.6 | 82.9 | 75.9 | 41.8 | 77.9 | 45.5 | 63.0 | 48.4 | 39.3 |
| Arkansas... | 57.7 | 64.4 | 54.4 | 33.4 | 59.0 | 36.2 | 48.8 | 37.5 | 33.4 |
| Cauifomia. | 73.5 | 78.1 | 72.8 | 51.5 | 76.9 | 51.2 | 63.0 | 49.6 | 43.1 |
| Colorado... | 69.7 | 75.6 | 70.1 | 42.5 | 73.9 | 48.0 | 54.8 | 42.3 | 39.2 |
| Connecticut... | 60.0 | 63.9 | 61.9 | 28.3 | 63.6 | 33.4 | 46.7 | 36.7 | 30.9 |
| Delaware. | 68.8 | 73.5 | 72.0 | 33.3 | 72.8 | 46.1 | 55.7 | 46.7 | 31.7 |
| District of Columbia. | 54.8 | 59.0 | 52.2 | 21.0 | 56.9 | 30.2 | 49.4 | 56.3 | 38.0 |
| Florida............ | 77.9 | 82.8 | 79.5 | 48.0 | 79.6 | 46.8 | 62.3 | 56.1 | 42.9 |
| Georgia. | 56.0 | 62.7 | 58.4 | 36.1 | 58.0 | 39.2 | 44.0 | 32.6 | 30.3 |
| Hawaii. | 63.7 | 67.4 | 57.2 | 37.8 | 64.8 | 48.2 | 54.4 | 42.6 | 37.0 |
| Idaho... | 74.3 | 80.2 | 74.5 | 41.3 | 78.1 | 45.7 | 58.3 | 57.0 | 38.8 |
| [llinois.. | 61.9 | 66.5 | 60.7 | 32.0 | 65.8 | 39.7 | 49.1 | 36.8 | 32.8 |
| Indiana. | 62.1 | 66.9 | 59.0 | 39.8 | 65.5 | 41.5 | 51.0 | 40.6 | 32.0 |
| Iowa.. | 70.9 | 74.8 | 65.5 | 39.5 | 76.3 | 47.5 | 60.3 | 46.0 | 39.8 |
| Kansas. | 70.3 | 74.4 | 67.0 | 41.7 | 76.7 | 51.7 | 57.3 | 48.1 | 36.3 |
| Kentucky... | 50.9 | 58.9 | 46.2 | 32.4 | 54.9 | 35.1 | 41.0 | 274 | 24.5 |
| Louisiana. | 45.9 | 52.6 | 46.7 | 22.1 | 49.7 | 29.7 | 36.9 | 27.9 | 22.1 |
| Maine.. | 60.1 | 66.1 | 60.3 | 29.6 | 64.0 | 34.1 | 49.0 | 36.6 | 25.5 |
| Marvland. | 60.4 | 64.2 | 58.9 | 38.7 | 63.1 | 43.8 | 49.9 | 38.9 | 37.1 |
| Massachusetts. | 62.7 | 66.8 | 63.5 | 40.7 | 67.2 | 42.7 | 51.9 | 39.9 | 30.1 |
| Michizan. | 67.4 | 73.0 | 65.7 | 39.5 | 70.8 | 42.8 | 56.7 | 46.7 | 34.3 |
| Minnesota. | 66.6 | 70.6 | 62.2 | 38.7 | 71.9 | 45.6 | 52.6 | 43.3 | 35.2 |
| Mississidui | 49.8 | 57.8 | 51.6 | 29.1 | 52.7 | 32.1 | 40.1 | 29.3 | 24.6 |
| Missouri... | 64.7 | 68.9 | 61.9 | 46.7 | 69.1 | 48.3 | 55.0 | 43.4 | 38.5 |
| Montana... | 70.1 | 74.9 | 68.1 | 42.7 | 76.2 | 46.0 | 60.1 | 52.1 | 39.6 |
| Nebraska. | 70.6 | 73.5 | 64.1 | 55.8 | 77.9 | 53.8 | 61.1 | 52.9 | 42.0 |
| Nevada. | 72.9 | 77.5 | 73.6 | 46.8 | 75.1 | 49.7 | 59.3 | 54.8 | 46.4 |
| New Hampshire. | 67.6 | 72.5 | 70.2 | 40.8 | 69.9 | 43.2 | 54.6 | 40.3 | 35.0 |
| New Jersey... | 58.1 | 61.7 | 54.9 | 38.0 | 61.3 | 38.7 | 45.4 | 39.8 | 34.4 |
| New Mexico.... | 64.8 | 73.7 | 62.8 | 38.7 | 65.9 | 39.4 | 51.9 | 36.7 | 32.4 |
| New York..... | 62.4 | 66.9 | 59.3 | 39.4 | 65.3 | 40.6 | 51.7 | 43.3 | 37.4 |
| North Carolina | 55.9 | 61.7 | 55.7 | 37.6 | 56.2 | 37.3 | 44.7 | 34.0 | 31.4 |
| North Dakota...... | 63.5 | 65.8 | 58.8 | 35.2 | 68.7 | 44.3 | 60.2 | 45.8 | 45.4 |
| Ohio... | 59.1 | 63.9 | 57.4 | 32.9 | 62.5 | 35.5 | 48.5 | 37.0 | 30.0 |
| Oklahoma.. | 63.7 | 68.9 | 60.9 | 39.7 | 66.4 | 45.7 | 51.1 | 40.7 | 36.6 |
| Oregon..... | 79.6 | 84.0 | 78.7 | 55.9 | 82.5 | 56.1 | 66.0 | 60.3 | 49.1 |
| Pernsvlvania. | 60.3 | 63.0 | 55.5 | 40.0 | 633 | 457 | 54.3 | 41.3 | 32.4 |
| Rhode Island. | 58.9 | 63.3 | 58.8 | 22.8 | 62.5 | 33.7 | 47.5 | 34.3 | 28.0 |
| South Carolina | 55.7 | 62.4 | 59.0 | 36.1 | 54.9 | 36.4 | 44.6 | 32.4 | 31.4 |
| South Dakota. | 65.7 | 69.4 | 60.7 | 56.5 | 73.1 | 44.4 | 55.8 | 50.0 | 34.2 |
| Tennessee. | 54.1 | 59.7 | 51.3 | 37.5 | 56.6 | 41.6 | 44.1 | 32.7 | 30.4 |
| Texas. | 60.5 | 66.4 | 58.7 | 34.6 | 64.0 | 40.9 | 47.7 | 34.3 | 32.4 |
| Utah............................................. | 72.8 | 78.5 | 72.6 | 51.6 | 76.6 | 52.6 | 57.4 | 57.8 | 46.4 |
| Vermont........ | 63.0 | 68.2 | 60.2 | 41.7 | 65.6 | 52.9 | 50.4 | 41.8 | 33.2 |
| Virginia...... | 56.5 | 62.1 | 54.7 | 37.7 | 59.0 | 42.3 | 42.1 | 28.5 | 28.7 |
| Washington... | 78.4 | 83.5 | 79.1 | 52.7 | 81.6 | 53.2 | 62.6 | 59.7 | 44.5 |
| West Virginia. | 48.1 | 55.0 | 41.4 | 26.8 | 54.2 | 30.2 | 36.8 | 26.6 | 23.4 |
| Wisconsin. | 66.1 | 70.4 | 61.5 | 39.6 | 70.1 | 43.5 | 54.6 | 42.6 | 35.2 |
| Wyoming... | 71.1 | 77.1 | 70.3 | 47.3 | 73.8 | 47.1 | 58.9 | 42.9 | 34.6 |
| Outlymg areas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico... | 23.3 | 27.7 | 17.3 | 10.7 | 19.9 | 15.7 | 27.2 | 18.1 | 15.3 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$....................................... | 38.0 | 41.9 | 38.2 | 25.4 | 32.8 | 23.0 | 38.5 | 32.8 | 28.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes special aye- 72 beneficiaries.
${ }^{2}$ Includes nondsubled widow(er)s, disabled widow(er)s, widowed mouners and fathers, and parents.
${ }^{3}$ Includes Anerncan Sanna, Guan, Virgn Islands, and forcign conaries.

Table 7.-Number and percent of beneficiaries using direct deposit, by State and age, December 1996

| State | Number | Direct depositors | Percentusing | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 17 or under | 18-64 | 65-69 | 70-74 | 75 or older |
| Total., | 43,737,470 |  | ... | 3,015,710 | 9,057,280 | 8,640,860 | 8,354,940 | 14,668,680 |
| Direct depositors., |  | 27,628,000 | 63.2 | 1,178,940 | 5,085,690 | 5,801,800 | 5,546,000 | 10,015,570 |
| Percent... |  |  |  | 39.1 | 56.2 | 67.1 | 66.4 | 68.3 |
| Alabama. | 786,510 | 424,560 | 54.0 | 34.4 | 48.0 | 59.8 | 58.1 | 58.9 |
| Alaska. | 45,960 | 28,070 | 61.1 | 43.1 | 59.4 | 68.2 | 63.6 | 66.083.5 |
| Arizona... | 720,250 | 551,920 | 76.657.7 | 44.236.8 | 68.151.8 | 80.262.8 | 81.3 |  |
| Arkansas. | 506,570 | 292,090$2,958,640$ |  |  |  |  | 61.4 | 83.5 62.9 |
| California.... | 4,022,740 |  | 73.5 | 47.1 | 68.0 | 76.8 | 76.3 | 78.4 |
| Colorado... | 504,870 | 351,740 | 69.7 | 46.5 | 61.8 | 74.5 | 73.8 | 78.4 75.0 |
| Connecricut.. | 568,900 | 341,600 | 60.0 | 35.041.1 | 52.8 | 62.8 | 62.8 | $63.8$ |
| Delaware...... | 124,360 | 85,610 | 68.8 |  | 62.3 | 72.5 | 72.7 | $73.7$ |
| District of Columbia. | 77,440 | 42,450 | 54.8 | 41.1 32.0 | 47.8 | 55.1 | 57.1 | 61.3 |
| Florida............... | 3,034,010 | 2,364,190 | 77.9 | 46.9 | 67.9 | 80.2 | 82.2 | 84.1 |
| Georgia... | 1,027,380 | 575,580 | 56.0 | 36.8 | 48.9 | 61.6 | 61.6 | 61.4 |
| Hawaii. | 169,300 | 107,830 | 63.7 | 43.7 | 61.4 | 68.9 | 64.7 | 64.6 |
| Idaho.... | 181,160 | 134,520 | 74.3 | 45.439.2 | 65.254.3 | 78.4 | 78.9 | 80.266.4 |
| Illinois... | 1,826,820 | $1,131,350$600,190 | 61.9 |  |  | 66.0 | 64.5 |  |
| Indiana.. | 966,990 |  | 62.1 | 39.2 38.4 | 54.3 56.0 | 65.7 | $\begin{array}{ll}64.5 \\ 64.6 & 66.4\end{array}$ |  |
| Iowa... | $\begin{aligned} & 538,930 \\ & 435,550 \\ & 719,760 \\ & 701,280 \\ & 242,180 \end{aligned}$ | 382,250 | 70.9 | 46.8 | 66.6 | 75.8 | 70.7 |  |
| Kansas.... |  | 306,350 | 70.3 | 44.8 | 65.1 | 74.9 | 70.4 | 74.5 |
| Kentucky. |  | 366,700 | 50.9 | 30.1 | 44.039.3 | 56.8 | 55.4 | 57.9 |
| Louisiana.. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 322,200 \\ & 145,480 \end{aligned}$ | 45.9 | 27.8 |  | 52.2 | 51.2 | 51.065.4 |
| Maine |  |  | 60.1 | 303 | 39.3 53.6 | 64.3 | 64.7 |  |
| Maryland... | 685,230 | 414,130 | 60.4 | 42.4 | 55.1 | 62.9 | 62.4 | 64.5 |
| Massachusetts.. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,052,260 \\ & 1,598,350 \end{aligned}$ | 659,860 | 62.7 | 35.6 | 56.5 | 67.0 | 65.2 | 66.7 |
| Michigan... |  | 1,077,940 | 67.4 | 39.2 | 61.7 | 71.5 | 70.4 | 72.8 |
| Minnesota... | $\begin{array}{r} 1,598,350 \\ 715,190 \end{array}$ | 476,330 | 66.6 | 42.4 | 60.6 | 69.5 | 67.8 | 70.7 |
| Mississippi.. | 498,230 | 248,120 | 49.8 | 29.5 | 42.6 | 56.4 | 55.7 | 57.3 |
| Missouri.. | 976,790 | 631,510 | 64.7 | 43.9 | 60.4 | 68.8 | 66.0 | 68.6 |
| Montana.. | 152,990 | 107,190 | 70.1 | 45.0 | 64.4 | 72.2 | 74.0 | 75.5 |
| Nebraska. | 281,780 | 198,950 | 70.6 | 49.2 | 67.8 | 75.2 | 68.3 | 73.6 |
| Nevada.. | 241,020 | 175,650 | 72.9 | 49.6 | 65.4 | 76.4 | 77.1 | 78.1 |
| New Hampshire. | 188,350 | 127,330 | 67.6 | 39.9 | 60.5 | 72.2 | 71.3 | 72.2 |
| New Jersey.... | 1,313,620 | 763,670 | 58.1 | 35.3 | 52.5 | 60.6 | 59.9 | 62.0 |
| New Mexico... | 261,780 | 169,650 | 64.8 | 38.0 | 57.5 | 70.9 | 70.4 | 72.2 |
| New York... | 2,968,880 | 1,851,470 | 62.4 | 39.0 | 56.2 | 65.0 | 64.7 | 67.4 |
| North Carolina. | 1,255,190 | 701,920 | 55.9 | 36.6 | 50.3 | 62.3 | 60.0 | 58.5 |
| North Dakota... | 115,660 | 73,450 | 63.5 | 44.3 | 61.0 | 62.5 | 63.6 | 67.4 |
| Ohio. | 1,909,520 | 1,128,470 | 59.1 | 35.2 | 52.5 | 62.9 | 61.1 | 63.9 |
| Oklahoma..... | 579,680 | 369,070 | 63.7 | 44.1 | 57.3 | 67.2 | 66.1 | 68.3 |
| Oregon....... | 547,820 | 436,060 | 79.6 | 55.0 | 72.6 | 82.5 | 82.4 | 84.1 |
| Pennsylvania............ | 2,331,780 | 1,406,610 | 60.3 | 40.4 | 57.9 | 63.2 | 60.8 | 62.2 |
| Rhode Island.............. | 189,450 | 111,620 | 58.9 | 33.9 | 49.9 | 63.1 | 61.8 | 63.4 |
| South Carolina... | 638,460 | 355,870 | 55.7 | 35.5 | 49.9 | 62.8 | 59.9 | 59.7 |
| South Dakota... | 135,350 | 88,920 | 65.7 | 38.0 | 60.9 | 67.8 | 65.1 | 71.7 |
| Tennessee.... | 939,930 | 508,610 | 54.1 | 37.5 | 49.0 | 59.4 | 57.2 | 57.6 |
| Texas... | 2,497,820 | 1,511,420 | 60.5 | 38.7 | 52.9 | 63.3 | 64.0 | 67.7 |
| Utah.. | 228,270 | 166,080 | 72.8 | 52.4 | 65.2 | 77.4 | 75.8 | 78.6 |
| Vermont.. | 100,030 | 63,000 | 63.0 | 43.7 | 55.6 | 65.9 | 67.5 | 67.6 |
| Virginia...... | 964,520 | 544,880 | 56.5 | 37.6 | 48.7 | 60.7 | 59.6 | 61.7 |
| Washington............. | 801,610 | 628,750 | 78.4 | 51.6 | 70.7 | 82.3 | 81.6 | 83.3 |
| West Virginia........... | 385,600 | 185,380 | 48.1 | 28.4 | 40.1 | 52.2 | 52.1 | 54.8 |
| Wisconsin...... | 884,100 | 584,160 | 66.1 | 41.1 | 59.8 | 70.2 | 68.2 | 69.7 |
| Wvoming................ | 72,240 | 51.380 | 71.1 | 40.6 | 66.7 | 77.0 | 74.4 | 75.7 |
| Outlying areas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico. | 625,450 | 145,520 | 23.3 | 16.1 | 24.5 | 28.6 | 25.8 | 20.6 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 399.560 | 151.710 | 38.0 | 24.7 | 37.3 | 41.5 | 41.2 | 37.0 |

[^6]
[^0]:    *Joseph Bondar, Division of Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance Statistics and Analysis, Office of Research, Evaluation and Statistics, Social Security Administration.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes parents.

[^2]:    ${ }_{2}^{1} 1988$ data not available.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on 10 -percent sample.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes nondisabled and disabled widow(er)s and parents.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes disabled adult children aged 18 or older, children under 18, and students 18-19.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 215,650 persons of unknown race.

[^4]:    ' Total includes persons of unknown race.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes disabled adult children.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes American Samoa, Guam, Virgin Islands, and foreign countries.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes American Samoa, Guam, Virgin Islands, and foreign countries.

