# OASDI Beneficiaries and SSI Recipients With Representative Payees* 

Most persons under the Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) program or the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program receive the checks in their own name and make their own decisions as to the use of the funds. However, there has always been a portion of the beneficiary population who, for a variety of reasons, are not able to manage their benefits alone.

To protect the interests of these persons, the Social Security Act provides for the appointment of a representative payee. Section 205(j)(1) and section 1631 (a)(2)(A) of the Act provide broad authority for the Social Sccurity Administration (SSA) to determine whether an individual beneficiary should have a representative payee, and, if so, who the payee should be. In addition, SSA has been given the responsibility to monitor the use of payments by these payees.

This note gives an overview of the representative payee program, including a program description and brief history, a "snapshot" of some characteristics of the population receiving Social Security benefits and SSI payments through a representative payee, recent trends in the number of persons with payees receiving such benefits or payments, and legislative and policy responses to these trends.

The data in this note, with the exception of table 6 , come from December 1994 sample extracts of the Master Beneficiary Record (MBR) and the Supplemental Security Record (SSR), the main administrative files for the OASDI and SSI programs, respectively. Stan-

[^0]dard errors for estimated counts and percentages are shown in the Technical Note on page 123.

## Background

Since monthly payments under the Social Security program first began, the law has recognized that there would be some beneficiaries unable to manage their Social Security benefits in their own best interests. Section $205(\mathrm{j})(1)$ of the Act provides for the appointment of a representative payee if it is determined "that the interest of the individual under this title would be served thereby... regardless of the legal competency or incompetency of the individual." ${ }^{1}$

As noted previously, SSA is responsible for determining the need for a payee. SSA almost always decides to appoint a payee for certain definable groups, such as children under the age of 18 , those persons a court has judged incapable of handling their own affairs, and those whose mental or physical incapacity prevents them from acting on their own behalf.

In addition, since the SSI program began in 1974, a payee has been required for SSI recipients whose disability is based on a diagnosis of drug addiction and/or alcoholism (DA\&A). Beginning March 1, 1995, those OASDI beneficiaries for whom DA\&A is material to the finding of disability must receive their payments through a representative payee (P.L. 103-296).

Once the need for a payee has been determined, SSA identifies persons who are both able and willing to serve in this capacity. Whenever possible, the preferred payee is a family member or friend who has shown interest in the well-being of the beneficiary. Alterna-
tives to preferred payees include medical or other custodial institutions, and financial, social, or religious organizations.

An individual who serves as representative payee undertakes certain obligations, both to the beneficiary and to SSA. The payee must manage OASDI benefits and/or SSI payments for the "use and benefit" of the person for whom the benefits are intended and conserve any funds that are not needed for ongoing expenses. In addition, the payee must account to SSA annually for the amount of benefits received and report to SSA any change of circumstances that could affect eligibility for, or amount of, benefits.

## Characteristics of the Population

In December 1994, approximately 46.7 million persons received a payment from the OASDI program, the SSI program, or from both programs. Of this total, about 6.1 million beneficiaries (13.2 percent) had a representative payee (table 1).

Among all program beneficiaries, 87 percent were receiving only an OASDI benefit and 5 percent had benefits from both programs. Persons receiving only SSI payments ${ }^{2}$ made up just 8 percent of all recipients. Among beneficiaries with a payee, 65 percent received only an OASDI payment, 8 percent received both OASDI and SSI, and 27 percent received SSI only (chart 1). Clearly, representative payees are very important in the SSI program.

Overall, 13 percent of the persons who received benefit checks in December 1994 were assigned a representative payee, but this situation varied widely by the type of benefit received. Just under

10 percent of those who received only an OASDI check were assigned payees; among those with benefits from both programs the proportion was 20 percent; and for those receiving only an SSI payment the proportion was 43 percent.

## Age and Sex

The rate of representative payees also varied among beneficiary age groups (table 1 and chart 2). Understandably, almost all-over 99 percent--of the beneficiaries under age 18 had payees.

More interesting is the high rate of payees among young adults who were aged 18-29 close to half ( 48 percent) had payees. By program, the proportions ranged from 26 percent of those with only OASDI benefits to almost 60 percent of those with only SSI.

The latter proportion reflects the high incidence of persons with mental retardation, other mental impairments, and DA\&A in the SSI program. A number of those in this group (that is, SSI
recipients with one or more of the above three disabilities) also receive OASDI payments as disabled adult children.

Also of interest is the low rate of payees for those persons aged 75 or older. Only 3 percent of this group had payees.

Although women outnumber men in each program category, the reverse is true among those with representative payees. For all program categories, 10 percent of women and 17 percent of men had payees; for persons with OASDI only, the rates were 8 percent and 13 percent, respectively. For those with benefits under both OASDI and SSI, 14 percent of the women and 33 percent of the men had payees. Among the persons receiving benefits from SSI only, more than 33 percent of the women and 56 percent of the men had payees.

At present, no data are available that permit precise identification of the reasons for this difference. However, some contributing factors may be the
high number of males among SSI disabled children ( 63 percent), the high proportion of men among SSI program DA\&A recipients ( 70 percent), and the higher incidence of mental disorders and mental retardation among men who receive both OASDI and SSI. Both young persons and those with mental impairments are prime candidates for representative payees, and payees are required for DA\&A cases.

## Geographic Distribution

The seven States with both the largest total beneficiary population and the largest number of representative payees were California, New York, Florida, Texas, Pennsylvania, Illinois, and Michigan (table 2).

The proportion of beneficiaries with representative payees was highest in Mississippi-21 percent (table 3). In fact, payee rates were above the national average in jurisdictions from the District of Columbia south and west to New

Table 1.-Number of persons receiving OASDI benefits, SSI payments, or both, and number with representative payees, by age and sex, December 1994

| Age and sex | Total |  | OASDI only |  | OASDI and SSI |  | SSI only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With payce | Total | With payce | Total | With payee | 'Total | With payee |
|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 46,675,940 | 6,146,270 | 40.429,920 | 4,009,910 | 2,432,330 | 496,680 | 3,813,690 | 1,639,680 |
| Under 18. | 3,661,930 | 3,651,860 | 2,822,970 | 2,813,930 | 66.290 | 66,080 | 772,670 | 771,850 |
| 18-29. | 965,310 | 462,860 | 287.100 | 74,140 | 157.890 | 82,860 | 520,320 | 305,860 |
| 30-49. | 3,336,960 | 936,500 | 1,849,070 | 373,450 | 492,430 | 205,190 | 995,460 | 357,860 |
| 50-64. | 6,821,230 | 444,950 | 5,686,580 | 238,560 | 379,080 | 75,940 | 755,570 | 130,450 |
| 65-74. | 17,598,840 | 222,280 | 16,471,910 | 149,670 | 712,870 | 38.500 | 414,060 | 34,110 |
| 75 or older. | 14,291,670 | 427,820 | 13,312,290 | 360,160 | 623,770 | 28,110 | 355,610 | 39,550 |
| Men. | 20,231,530 | 3,524,290 | 17,656,560 | 2,294,380 | 868,100 | 282,410 | 1,706,870 | 947,500 |
| Women. | 26,444,410 | 2,621,980 | 22,773,360 | 1,715,530 | 1,564,230 | 214,270 | 2,106,820 | 692,180 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 100.0 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 20.4 | 100.0 | 43.0 |
| Under 18. | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.9 |
| 18-29. | 100.0 | 47.9 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 58.8 |
| 30-49.. | 100.0 | 28.1 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 41.7 | 100.0 | 35.9 |
| 50-64.. | 100.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 17.3 |
| 65-74.. | 100.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | . 9 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 8.2 |
| 75 or older. | 100.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 11.1 |
| Men...... | 100.0 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 100.0 | 55.5 |
| Women................ | 100.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 32.9 |

Source: "OASDI only" data from MBR 10-percent sample file; other data from SSI 10-percent sample file.

Chart 1.- Percent of beneficiaries with representative payees, by program, 1994
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Mexico, except for Florida and Oklahoma. Rates in other areas of the nation tended to be lower than the national average. The reasons for this pattern are not entirely clear, although the high rate States do have large numbers of SSI disabled children.

In all States, the payee rates were lowest for those receiving only OASDI benefits and highest for those receiving only SSI payments. In the SSI-only category, 18 States had more than half of their beneficiaries under representative payment.

Chart 2.-Number and age of beneficiaries with and without representative payees, 1994


Source: "OASDI only" data from MBR 10-percent sample file; other data from SSI 10-percent sample file.

## Diagnosis of Disability

Table 4 presents a diagnostic distribution for disabled OASDI beneficiaries and SSI recipients aged 18 or older in 1994. The data indicate the significant impact of mental impairments on the appointment of a representative payee. Over 1 million of the 1.2 million persons with payees had a mental impairment.

In addition, more than 33 percent of those with psychiatric disorders had a payee. ${ }^{3}$ The same was true for more than 63 percent of those participants with mental retardation as a diagnosis. The patterns by program were similar.

The result of excluding persons under age 18 is that we do not see the diagnoses for SSI disabled children. In December 1994, 43 percent of these children had a diagnosis of mental retardation, and 23 percent had a psychiatric disorder. These diagnoses mean a high probability that, as adults, these persons will continue to need a representative payee.

## Types of Representative Payees

For almost 2 out of 3 beneficiaries with a payee, the payee is a natural or an adoptive parent, or a step-parent (table 5). For OASDI-only beneficiaries, the above proportion regarding type of payee is higher- 70 percent; for SSIonly, 58 percent; and for persons who

Table 2.-Number of persons receiving OASDI benefits, SSI payments, or both; number with representative payees, by State, December 1994

| State | Total |  | OASDI only |  | OASDI and SSI |  | SSI only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee |
| Total. | 46,675,940 | 6,146,270 | 40,429,920 | 4,009,910 | 2,432,330 | 496,680 | 3,813,690 | 1,639,680 |
| Alabama.. | 849,550 | 150,810 | 687,950 | 89,300 | 75,970 | 15,710 | 85,630 | 45,800 |
| Alaska | 45,920 | 8,450 | 39,630 | 6,270 | 1,690 | 500 | 4,600 | 1,680 |
| Arizona. | 725,890 | 79,380 | 657,620 | 56,840 | 25,280 | 4,810 | 42,990 | 17,730 |
| Arkansas. | 543,910 | 83,660 | 452,180 | 51,170 | 44,090 | 7,540 | 47,640 | 24,950 |
| California. | 4,541,730 | 581,490 | 3,536,570 | 323,150 | 406,070 | 68,090 | 599,090 | 190,250 |
| Colorado. | 519,080 | 65,820 | 463,630 | 46,790 | 21,370 | 4,230 | 34,080 | 14,800 |
| Connecticut. | 589,130 | 60,320 | 546,520 | 45,790 | 14,020 | 3,600 | 28,590 | 10,930 |
| Delaware. | 124,440 | 14,030 | 113,920 | 9,730 | 4,100 | 990 | 6,420 | 3,310 |
| District of Columbia | 91,550 | 14,340 | 71,510 | 7,910 | 6,760 | 990 | 13,280 | 5,440 |
| Florida. | 3,132,240 | 306,090 | 2,813,690 | 207,520 | 118,170 | 21,420 | 200,380 | 77,150 |
| Georgia. | 1,088,890 | 185,630 | 896,150 | 119,650 | 90,480 | 17,160 | 102,260 | 48,820 |
| Hawaii. | 174,120 | 17,780 | 156,020 | 12,930 | 5,860 | 1,670 | 12,240 | 3,180 |
| Idaho... | 182,790 | 21,820 | 168,160 | 15,260 | 5,510 | 1,410 | 9,120 | 5,150 |
| Illinois. | 2,023,850 | 289,240 | 1,764,630 | 174,280 | 60,420 | 16,130 | 198,800 | 98,830 |
| Indiana .. | 1,013,360 | 134,580 | 929,020 | 96,020 | 27,440 | 7,420 | 56,900 | 31,140 |
| Iowa.. | 560,860 | 61,440 | 520,150 | 43,250 | 17,340 | 5,340 | 23,370 | 12,850 |
| Kansas. | 452,520 | 51,980 | 417,170 | 36,760 | 12,860 | 3,410 | 22,490 | 11,810 |
| Kentucky. | 793,520 | 130,200 | 639,480 | 78,690 | 61,220 | 13,100 | 92,820 | 38,410 |
| Louisiana.. | 805,960 | 157,950 | 628,500 | 89,310 | 67,840 | 12,750 | 109,620 | 55,890 |
| Maine. | 245,480 | 27,390 | 216,040 | 18,380 | 16,370 | 3,940 | 13,070 | 5,070 |
| Maryland | 719,760 | 90,440 | 641,050 | 61,470 | 24,470 | 5,470 | 54,240 | 23,500 |
| Massachusetts. | 1,125,150 | 121,140 | 970,140 | 78,040 | 67,870 | 12,150 | 87,140 | 30,950 |
| Michigan.. | 1,721,630 | 243,640 | 1,520,620 | 151,310 | 64,290 | 21,720 | 136,720 | 70,610 |
| Minnesota.. | 744,730 | 83,080 | 685,720 | 58,310 | 21,160 | 5,510 | 37,850 | 19,260 |
| Mississippi ........................ | 556,410 | 115,700 | 418,940 | 66,750 | 65,140 | 11,430 | 72,330 | 37,520 |
| Missouri. | 1,025,410 | 136,710 | 916,190 | 93,290 | 44,500 | 11,490 | 64,720 | 31,930 |
| Montana. | 157,640 | 18,700 | 144,420 | 13,650 | 5,340 | 1,360 | 7,880 | 3,690 |
| Nebraska | 292,570 | 31,490 | 271,700 | 22,290 | 8,810 | 2,490 | 12,060 | 6,710 |
| Nevada. | 229,340 | 23,320 | 211,390 | 17,700 | 6,790 | 1,210 | 11,160 | 4,410 |
| New Hampshire.... | 185,820 | 19,460 | 176,100 | 15,520 | 3,710 | 1,010 | 6,010 | 2,930 |
| New Jersey. | 1,390,730 | 149,480 | 1,251,230 | 102,980 | 47,490 | 9,990 | 92,010 | 36,510 |
| New Mexico. | 276,490 | 43,290 | 233,370 | 30,030 | 17,990 | 2,750 | 25,130 | 10,510 |
| New York. | 3,322,750 | 403,590 | 2,760,780 | 241,770 | 190,790 | 37,120 | 371,180 | 124,700 |
| North Carolina. | 1,293,560 | 184,760 | 1,112,120 | 119,100 | 89,540 | 18,340 | 91,900 | 47,320 |
| North Dakota. | 119,270 | 12,040 | 110,390 | 8,410 | 4,320 | 1,260 | 4.560 | 2,370 |
| Ohio. | 2,066,230 | 276,000 | 1,834,600 | 175,630 | 64,780 | 17,980 | 166,850 | 82,390 |
| Oklahoma | 608,270 | 76,130 | 536,400 | 52,140 | 31,450 | 5,000 | 40,420 | 18,990 |
| Oregon............................. | 566,510 | 57,660 | 521,030 | 41,080 | 16,980 | 4,040 | 28,500 | 12,540 |
| Pennsylvania. | 2,483,790 | 257,390 | 2,235,010 | 163,740 | 88,530 | 21,200 | 160,250 | 72,450 |
| Rhode Island. | 199,680 | 20,050 | 177,850 | 13,110 | 9,620 | 2,120 | 12,210 | 4,820 |
| South Carolina ................... | 668,280 | 109,710 | 559,950 | 69,730 | 50,650 | 10,010 | 57,680 | 29,970 |
| South Dakota | 141,370 | 17,220 | 128,950 | 11,850 | 5,440 | 1,480 | 6,980 | 3,890 |
| Tennessee. | 1,003,690 | 157,510 | 830,070 | 97,090 | 76,650 | 14.950 | 96,970 | 45,470 |
| Texas.. | 2,641,080 | 382,800 | 2,252,380 | 266,120 | 177,000 | 24,170 | 211,700 | 92,510 |
| Utah................................. | 232,040 | 35,040 | 212,470 | 25,850 | 6,280 | 1,930 | 13,290 | 7,260 |
| Vermont. | 102,940 | 11,930 | 90,130 | 7,690 | 6,780 | 1,680 | 6,030 | 2,560 |
| Virginia. | 996,780 | 133,790 | 872,790 | 88,220 | 51,450 | 11,120 | 72,540 | 34,450 |
| Washington.. | 835,440 | 91,220 | 749,390 | 61,790 | 28,280 | 6,490 | 57,770 | 22,940 |
| West Virginia.. | 422,990 | 60,610 | 359,020 | 38,420 | 23,400 | 5,830 | 40,570 | 16,360 |
| Wisconsin......................... | 935,820 | 119,700 | 828,460 | 70,000 | 47,660 | 14,560 | 59,700 | 35,140 |
| Wyoming. | 73,100 | 8,810 | 67,310 | 6,530 | 2,290 | 600 | 3,500 | 1,680 |
| Northern Mariana Islands..... | 1,120 | 490 | 670 | 330 | 20 | 10 | 430 | 150 |
| Other ................................ | 994,220 | 190,640 | 994,220 | 190,640 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown.......................... | 36,540 | 20,330 | 36,520 | 20,330 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |

[^1]Table 3.--Percent of persons receiving OASDI benefits, SSI payments, or both; with representative payees, by State, December 1994

| State | Total |  | OASDI only |  | OASDI and SSI |  | SSI only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee |
| Total. | 100.0 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 20.4 | 100.0 | 43.0 |
| Alabama. | 100.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 20.7 | 100.0 | 53.5 |
| Alaska.. | 100.0 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 36.5 |
| Arizona............................. | 100.0 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 | 41.2 |
| Arkansas........................... | 100.0 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 52.4 |
| California.......................... | 100.0 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 31.8 |
| Colorado... | 100.0 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 43.4 |
| Connecticut........................ | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 25.7 | 100.0 | 38.2 |
| Delaware........................... | 100.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 51.6 |
| District of Columbia ........... | 100.0 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 41.0 |
| Florida.............................. | 100.0 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 38.5 |
| Georgia. | 100.0 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 | 47.7 |
| Hawaii.............................. | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 100.0 | 26.0 |
| Idaho................................ | 100.0 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 25.6 | 100.0 | 56.5 |
| Illinois............................. | 100.0 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 100.0 | 49.7 |
| Indiana ............................. | 100.0 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 27.0 | 100.0 | 54.7 |
| lowa.. | 100.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 100.0 | 55.0 |
| Kansas.............................. | 100.0 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 26.5 | 100.0 | 52.5 |
| Kentucky........................... | 100.0 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 21.4 | 100.0 | 41.4 |
| Louisiana.......................... | 100.0 | 19.6 | 100.0 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 51.0 |
| Maine............................... | 100.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 38.8 |
| Maryland | 100.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 22.4 | 100.0 | 43.3 |
| Massachusetts. | 100.0 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 35.5 |
| Michigan. | 100.0 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 51.6 |
| Minnesota. | 100.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 26.0 | 100.0 | 50.9 |
| Mississippi ........................ | 100.0 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 51.9 |
| Missouri. | 100.0 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 49.3 |
| Montana. | 100.0 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 100.0 | 25.5 | 100.0 | 46.8 |
| Nebraska | 100.0 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 100.0 | 55.6 |
| Nevada... | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 39.5 |
| New Hampshire.................. | 100.0 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 27.2 | 100.0 | 48.8 |
| New Jersey......................... | 100.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 39.7 |
| New Mexico........................ | 100.0 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 41.8 |
| New York.......................... | 100.0 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 33.6 |
| North Carolina.................... | 100.0 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 51.5 |
| North Dakota..................... | 100.0 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 100.0 | 52.0 |
| Ohio... | 100.0 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 27.8 | 100.0 | 49.4 |
| Oklahoma .......................... | 100.0 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 47.0 |
| Oregon............................. | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 44.0 |
| Pennsylvania...................... | 100.0 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 100.0 | 45.2 |
| Rhode Island...................... | 100.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 39.5 |
| South Carolina | 100.0 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 52.0 |
| South Dakota ..................... | 100.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 27.2 | 100.0 | 55.7 |
| Tennessee........................... | 100.0 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 46.9 |
| Texas................................ | 100.0 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 43.7 |
| Utah................................. | 100.0 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 100.0 | 54.6 |
| Vermont........................... | 100.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 24.8 | 100.0 | 42.5 |
| Virginia............................ | 100.0 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 47.5 |
| Washington...................... | 100.0 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 39.7 |
| West Virginia..................... | 100.0 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 24.9 | 100.0 | 40.3 |
| Wisconsin......................... | 100.0 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 30.5 | 100.0 | 58.9 |
| Wyoming.......................... | 100.0 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 48.0 |
| Northern Mariana Islands.... | 100.0 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 49.3 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 34.9 |
| Other ................................ | 100.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Unknown.......................... | 100.0 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 55.7 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |

[^2]receive payments from both programs, 43 percent.

These data, of course, reflect the general need for payees for beneficiaries under age 18. As previously noted in table 1, the figure for persons with benefits from both OASDI and SSI is lower, presumably because that group has fewer persons under age 18 than do the other groups.
"Other relatives" are payees for an additional 20 percent of persons who receive benefits. Therefore, less than 15 percent of those who receive benefits and also require a payee are served by someone to whom they are not related (chart 3). However, that 15 percent represents more than 900,000 beneficiaries.

As expected, when beneficiaries under age 18 are removed from the analysis, the likelihood of having a payee
who is a relative declines. Although relatives still compose the majority ( 67 percent) of representative payees, institutions, agencies, and other persons account for almost one-third of payees for adults.

## Recent Trends

From the beginning of the OASDI program, beneficiaries who needed a representative payee were primarily minor children, the infirm elderly, and persons with severe mental impairments. Those who served as payees were the parents, spouses, or children, or a custodial institution that had a close or ongoing relationship with the individual.

However, a series of events, beginning in the mid-1970's, affected the representative payee program. Among these events were the following:

- The creation of the SSI program in 1974, with its own disability component, increased the number of persons whom SSA found to need representative payees.
- Beginning in the 1980 's, disability caseload increases and changes in the age and diagnostic patterns of the persons awarded benefits resulted in increasing numbers of persons who were diagnosed with mental illness other than mental retardation (including DA\&A). It is likely that these young awardees with chronic diseases will continue to receive benefits for a long time. ${ }^{4}$
Since 1981, the number of OASDI beneficiaries increased by 22 percent and the number of retired workers by 30

Table 4.-Number and percent of persons aged 18 or older with representative payees, by diagnostic group, December 1994

| Diagnostic group | Total |  | OASDI only |  | OASDI and SSI |  | SSI only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee | Total | With payee |
|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total beneficiaries aged 18 or older . | 43,014,010 | 2,494,410 | 37,606,950 | 1,195,980 | 2,366,040 | 430,600 | 3,041,020 | 867,830 |
| Total disabled with diagnosis ${ }^{\text {'.......... }}$ | 6,465,250 | 1,217,830 | 4,220,300 | 532,100 | 676,610 | 165,700 | 1,568,340 | 520,030 |
| Infectious and parasitic... | 131,320 | 6,520 | 76,700 | 2,500 | 16,470 | 1,000 | 38,150 | 3,020 |
| Neoplasms.. | 162,450 | 4,500 | 127,300 | 2,800 | 11,600 | 330 | 23,550 | 1,370 |
| Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic. | 367,770 | 14,540 | 221,100 | 7,400 | 44,420 | 1,350 | 102,250 | 5,790 |
| Psychotic and neurotic disorders............ | 1,635,830 | 558,480 | 870,600 | 241,300 | 208,620 | 74,220 | 556,610 | 242,960 |
| Mental retardation............................... | 718,250 | 475,670 | 258,700 | 180,100 | 119,140 | 75,060 | 340,410 | 220,510 |
| Diseases of the- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central nervous system..................... | 340,190 | 38,810 | 251,700 | 20,700 | 23,750 | 3,450 | 64,740 | 14,660 |
| Eye and ear..................................... | 249,170 | 14,320 | 165,800 | 5,900 | 25,680 | 1,910 | 57,690 | 6,510 |
| Circulatory system........................... | 895,900 | 41,370 | 718,100 | 31,200 | 66,330 | 2,390 | 111,470 | 7,780 |
| Respiratory system. | 265,610 | 4,020 | 195,900 | 1,900 | 25,280 | 460 | 44,430 | 1,660 |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue | 1,177,630 | 12,500 | 954,300 | 7,100 | 90,600 | 1,490 | 132,730 | 3,910 |
| Other............................................. | 521,130 | 47,100 | 380,100 | 31,200 | 44,720 | 4,040 | 96,310 | 11,860 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total beneficiaries aged 18 or older .. | 100.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 100.0 | 28.5 |
| Total disabled with diagnosis ${ }^{1}$.......... | 100.0 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 33.2 |
| Infectious and parasitic................... | 100.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 7.9 |
| Neoplasms................... | 100.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 5.8 |
| Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic... | 100.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 5.7 |
| Psychotic and neurotic disorders............ | 100.0 | 34.1 | 100.0 | 27.7 | 100.0 | 35.6 | 100.0 | 43.6 |
| Mental retardation............................... | 100.0 | 66.2 | 100.0 | 69.6 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 100.0 | 64.8 |
| Diseases of the- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central nervous system..................... | 100.0 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 22.6 |
| Eye and ear..................................... | 100.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 11.3 |
| Circulatory system........................... | 100.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 7.0 |
| Respiratory system........................... | 100.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 3.7 |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue | 100.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 2.9 |
| Other............................................. | 100.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 12.3 |

[^3]Table 5.-Number and percent of persons receiving OASDI benefits, SSI payments, or both, with representative payees, by type of payee, December 1994

| Payee type | Total |  | OASDI only |  | OASDI and SSI |  | SSI only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total with payee......... | 6,146,270 | 100.0 | 4,009,910 | 100.0 | 496,680 | 100.0 | 1,639,680 | 100.0 |
| Spouse | 237,940 | 3.9 | 160,730 | 4.0 | 19,300 | 3.9 | 57,910 | 3.5 |
| Parent. | 3,971,760 | 64.6 | 2,813,060 | 70.2 | 211,810 | 42.6 | 946,890 | 57.7 |
| Child. | 217,870 | 3.5 | 146,940 | 3.7 | 26,420 | 5.3 | 44,510 | 2.7 |
| Other relative. | 814,170 | 13.2 | 428,850 | 10.7 | 109,320 | 22.0 | 276,000 | 16.8 |
| Institution................ | 456,010 | 7.4 | 289,700 | 7.2 | 45,140 | 9.1 | 121,170 | 7.4 |
| Financial organization.... | 10,720 | . 2 | 10,230 | . 3 | 280 | . 1 | 210 | . 0 |
| Agency/official.............. | 203,990 | 3.3 | 73,580 | 1.8 | 44,500 | 9.0 | 85,910 | 5.2 |
| Other............................ | 233,810 | 3.8 | 86,820 | 2.2 | 39,910 | 8.0 | 107,080 | 6.5 |
| Total with payee. | Aged 18 or older |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,494,410 | 100.0 | 1,195,980 | 100.0 | 430,600 | 100.0 | 867,830 | 100.0 |
| Spouse. | 237,560 | 9.5 | 160,570 | 13.4 | 19,290 | 4.5 | 57,700 | 6.6 |
| Parent........................... | 704,350 | 28.2 | 241,340 | 20.2 | 160,580 | 37.3 | 302,430 | 34.8 |
| Child............................ | 215,820 | 8.7 | 146,560 | 12.3 | 25,610 | 5.9 | 43,650 | 5.0 |
| Other relative................. | 524,620 | 21.0 | 227,750 | 19.0 | 99,850 | 23.2 | 197,020 | 22.7 |
| Institution...................... | 441,000 | 17.7 | 286,470 | 24.0 | 44,380 | 10.3 | 110,150 | 12.7 |
| Financial organization...... | 10,300 | . 4 | 9,830 | . 8 | 270 | . 1 | 200 | . 0 |
| Agency/official. | 154,470 | 6.2 | 53,420 | 4.5 | 41,720 | 9.7 | 59,330 | 6.8 |
| Other. | 206,290 | 8.3 | 70,040 | 5.9 | 38,900 | 9.0 | 97,350 | 11.2 |

Source: "OASDI only" from MBR 10-percent sample file; other data from SSI 10-percent sample file.
percent, while the number of auxiliary beneficiaries (that is, spouses, minor children, and nondisabled widows) remained constant (table 6 and chart 4).

In the SSI program, the total number of recipients grew by 55 percent, but the number of persons receiving payments
based on their age actually declined by 14 percent.

Clearly, great growth occurred in the size of the disability caseload. The number of disabled OASDI beneficiaries ${ }^{5}$ increased by 1.5 million ( 44 percent) from 1981 to 1994, while the

Chart 3.-Type of representative payee, 1994

number of disabled SSI recipients grew by 2.4 million, more than doubling.

The population under representative payment also grew during the same period. Although the total number of persons receiving benefits with representative payees increased by only 9 percent, the number of retired workers with payees grew by 73 percent, while the number of auxiliary beneficiaries declined by 10 percent.

Under SSI, the number of the aged with payees decreased by more than 30 percent, but the number of the blind and disabled with a payee almost tripled.

Beneficiaries with a payee actually decreased slightly between 1981 and 1994, when seen as proportions of the total OASDI caseload. This was also true for OASDI auxiliary beneficiaries, while the rate for retired workers remained almost constant. However, among OASDI disabled beneficiaries, the proportion with a payee grew from 18 percent in 1981 to 22 percent in 1994. Under SSI, the proportion of aged recipients with payees declined slightly, but that for the disabled increased from 32 percent in 1981 to 44 percent in 1994.

These changes in representative payment largely reflect the growth of those disability populations most in need of this assistance. For the OASDI program, this increase reflects an increase in the proportion of beneficiaries with mental disorders (from 24 percent to 31 percent between 1986 and 1993). For SSI, the increase from 32 percent to 44 percent largely reflects two trends-the increase in the number of recipients with mental disorders (from 50 percent in 1986 to 59 percent in 1994), and the increase in the number of children receiving SSI payments (from 6 percent of the caseload in 1986 to 14 percent in 1994).

As a result of all the above changes, SSA is faced with appointing and monitoring representative payees for many more beneficiaries than ever before. In addition, substantial numbers from this new caseload do not have the family and other traditional support structures from which payees have most often come.

## Future Issues

In the past decade, the population of OASDI and SSI beneficiaries requiring representative payee assistance to handle their monthly benefits has grown significantly. In addition, the programs showing the most sizable increase are the same as those contributing to the overall growth in the disability programs administered by SSA, so the potential for continued growth exists.

In April 1995, prompted by the aforementioned potential for continued growth in SSA's disability programs and other issues concerning the appointment and monitoring of representative payees, an Advisory Committee on Representative Payment was convened. The committee's charge is to advise the Commissioncr of Social Sccurity in the following areas:

- Beneficiary incapability--On what bases should SSA decide that an individual needs a payee?

Do the current processes used to make that determination need to be changed? In which cases should the agency make such a determination, and in which should it depend on other authorities, such as local courts, to determine incapability?

- Payee recruitment and reten-tion.-How should SSA find appropriate payees, especially for populations such as the homeless, the chronically mentally ill, and substance abusers? What is the appropriate level of service and of payment?
- Standards for and monitoring of payee performance.-How often, and in what manner, should payees report? What legal responsibility do they, or should they, have?
The members of the Advisory Committee-professionals in areas of

Tablc 6.-Number of persons receiving benefits under OASDI and SSI and number and percent with representative payees, by type of payment, selected years, 1981-94


Chart 4.—Percentage increases in representative payees under the OASDI and SSI programs, selected years, 1981-94

social services, disability, law, and State and local government-expect to present their report by July 1996.

## Technical Note

Data presented in this report come largely from two 10 -percent sample files. These files are, in turn, derived from the Supplemental Security Record (SSR) and the Master Beneficiary Record (MBR), the main computer files used to administer the SSI and OASDI programs, respectively. Estimates based on sample data may differ from the figures that would have been obtained had all, rather than a sample, of the records been used.

Table I.-Approximations of standard errors of estimated numbers of persons from a 10 -percent file

| Size of estimate | Standard error |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100. | 30 |
| 500... | 70 |
| 1,000....................... | 100 |
| 5,000.......................... | 225 |
| 10,000... | 300 |
| 50,000........................ | 700 |
| 100,000................... | 1,000 |
| 500,000.................... | 2,200 |
| 1,000,000..................... | 3,200 |
| 5,000,000.................... | 6,500 |
| 10,000,000.................. | 8,500 |
| 20,000,000.................. | 9,300 |

These differences are termed sampling variability. The standard error is a measure of sampling variability; that is, the variation that occurs by chance because a sample is used. The standard error is used to describe confidence intervals. The confidence interval represents the extent to which the sample results can be relied on to describe the results that would occur if the entire population (universe) had been used for data compilation rather than the sample.

In about 68 percent of all possible probability samples with the same selection criteria, the universe value would be included in the interval from 1.0 standard error below to 1.0 standard error above the sample estimate. Similarly, about 95 percent of all possible
samples will give estimates within 2.0 standard errors, and about 99 percent will give estimates within 2.5 standard errors.

Tables I and II provide approximations of standard errors of estimates shown in this report. Table I presents approximate standard errors for the estimated number of recipients from the 10 -percent sample files. Similar information about percentages is shown in table II. Linear interpolation may be used to obtain values not specifically shown.

## Notes

${ }^{1} \Lambda$ similar provision for the SSI program is at section $1631(\mathrm{a})(2)(\mathrm{A})$ of the Act.
${ }^{2}$ The divisions by program type are made by combining data from two sample files. Differences in coding between the files, result in a slight overcount in the number of persons who receive "OASDI only," and thus in the total number of recipients. We estimate that the difference between the actual number of beneficiaries and that shown in table 1 is less than 15,000 .
${ }^{3}$ The requirement for payees for Disability Insurance (DI) beneficiaries where substance abuse was a material consideration became effective in March 1995. The new requirement more than likely increases this proportion.
${ }^{4}$ Kalman Rupp and Charles G. Scott. "Determinants of Duration on the Disability Rolls and Program Trends." Paper presented at an SSA/HHS conference, July 20, 1995.
${ }^{5}$ These include those who receive benefits based on their own disability determinationdisabled workers, disabled widows, and disabled adult children.

Table II.-Approximations of standard errors of estimated percentages of persons from a 10 -percent file

| Size of base (inflated) | Estimated percentage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { or } \\ 98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text { or } \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \text { or } \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \text { or } \\ 75 \end{array}$ | 50 |
| 500. | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 6.8 |
| 1,000... | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.8 |
| 2,500... | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3 |
| 10,000.. | . 4 | . 6 | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 50,000.. | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 6 | . 7 |
| 100,000... | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 |
| 1,000,000.............. | (1) | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 |
| 10,000,000............. | (1) | (1) | (1) | . 1 | . 1 |


[^0]:    *Lenna D. Kennedy, Office of Program Benefits Policy, Division of Program Management, Research, and Demonstrations, Social Security Administration.

[^1]:    Source: "OASDI only" data from MBR 10-percent sample file; other data from SSI 10 -percent sample file.

[^2]:    Source: "OASDI only" from MBR 10 -percent sample file; other data from SSI 10-percent sample file.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For about 3 percent of disabled OASDI and 20 percent of disabled SSI beneficiaries, diagnosis is not available on the record.
    Source: "OASDI only" from MBR 1-percent sample file; other data from SSI 10-percent sample file.

