

Preliminary Data on Drug Use and Related Matters Among Adult Arrestees and Juvenile Detainees, 2002

Overview

The Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring program (ADAM) measures the extent of drug use in the high-risk population of people who have been arrested and booked or detained. The data are collected in participating counties through probability-based sampling of male arrestees in adult booking facilities and purposive sampling of female arrestees and juvenile detainees. Information comes from interviews and urinalyses obtained voluntarily and recorded confidentially in booking facilities, usually on the day of arrest and always within 48 hours of arrest.

As part of this process, research teams in the participating counties interview arrestees in each facility during one- to three-week periods in each quarter of the year. Interviewers collect demographic data and ask arrestees about what drugs they use, how often they use them, and how and where they acquire illicit drugs. Other questions ask about housing situation during the past year, method of support, and health insurance. After the interview, each respondent is asked to provide a urine sample, which is analyzed to detect drug use.

This tabular booklet presents findings from 36 ADAM sites that collected data in 2002. Each table is organized by site location and indicates the percentage of arrestees who qualified for the variable. The same series of 14 tables is presented on men in adult booking facilities in all sites, and on women in adult booking facilities in all sites in which at least 25 interviews were completed with female arrestees. Three additional tables are presented on juveniles at 5 ADAM sites where data were collected in juvenile detention facilities. All these tables were prepared by NORC at the University of Chicago—the ADAM national contractor. An overview of the tables on adult arrestees is presented below:

- Table 1 arrays the ADAM sites by their geographic location, number of booking facilities, and number of interviews completed in each quarter.
- Table 2 provides demographic data about ADAM interviewees.
- In Table 3, we display the percentage of arrestees who tested positive for the most commonly reported drugs.
- Tables 4 through 8 indicate the frequency that arrestees reported using selected drugs over the prior year.
- In Tables 9 and 10, we show rates of arrestee heavy drinking and drug use and their risk for dependence, as determined by a clinically based dependency screen.
- Tables 11 through 13 detail arrestees' drug market participation, including failed attempts to purchase drugs.
- Finally, Table 14 displays the proportion of arrestees who reported receiving drug, alcohol, and mental health treatment in the prior year.

Male Arrestee Tables

Description of Sample

Table 1. ADAM Booking Facilities, Completed Interviews, and Weighted Total Numbers—Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Drug Test Results

Table 3. Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Drug Use and Acquisition Self-Reports

Table 4. Use and Acquisition of MARIJUANA among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 5. Use and Acquisition of CRACK COCAINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 6. Use and Acquisition of POWDER COCAINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 7. Use and Acquisition of HEROIN among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 8. Use and Acquisition of METHAMPHETAMINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Table 9. Male ADAM 2002—Heavy Drug Use and Risk for Dependence

Table 10. Male ADAM 2002—Heavy Alcohol Use and Risk for Dependence

Drug Market Behavior

Table 11. Male ADAM 2002—Last Drug Buy with Cash Was Outdoors

Table 12. Male ADAM 2002—Any Failed Drug Buy in the Past 30 Days

Table 13. Male ADAM 2002—Failed Drug Buy Due to Police Activity

Treatment

Table 14. Male ADAM 2002—Drug, Alcohol, and Mental Health Treatment Status

Table 1: ADAM Booking Facilities, Completed Interviews, and Weighted Total Numbers—Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Study Area	# of Booking Facilities		Number of Interviews Completed (Unweighted)*					Weighted Number of Interviews
		Total in County	Number Where Data Were Collected	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	
Albany, NY	Capital Area	19	7	95	135	125	105	460	2,888
Albuquerque, NM	Bernalillo County	1	1	151	0	146	187	484	3,479
Anchorage, AK	Anchorage Borough	3	3	110	128	102	94	434	1,271
Atlanta, GA	Atlanta	3	2	0	0	281	290	571	4,714
Birmingham, AL	Jefferson County	12	3	125	138	117	120	500	1,850
Charlotte, NC	Charlotte-Metro	1	1	0	179	180	179	538	3,692
Chicago, IL	Cook County	5	5	315	291	314	314	1,234	37,767
Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County	50	6	217	227	247	220	911	4,361
Dallas, TX	Dallas County	23	4	0	0	441	523	964	6,312
Denver, CO	Denver County	1	1	199	192	216	207	814	4,301
Des Moines, IA	Polk County	5	3	127	118	121	126	492	1,641
Honolulu, HI	Oahu	8	1	116	135	142	118	511	1,432
Indianapolis, IN	Marion County	1	1	183	169	160	164	676	8,859
Laredo, TX	Webb County	2	1	48	71	57	0	176	524
Las Vegas, NV	Clark County	4	4	356	360	360	0	1,076	5,984
Los Angeles, CA	Pasadena	1	1	0	0	61	50	111	333
Minneapolis, MN	Hennepin County	17	2	219	234	218	233	904	5,181
New Orleans, LA	Orleans Parish	1	1	174	175	170	172	691	8,579
New York, NY	Manhattan	6	2	244	225	237	236	942	13,485
Oklahoma City, OK	Oklahoma County	1	1	196	185	178	190	749	3,610
Omaha, NE	Douglas County	2	1	107	128	201	158	594	6,057
Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia County	7	5	232	169	164	0	565	3,084
Phoenix, AZ	Maricopa County	9	3	433	470	457	439	1,799	16,501
Portland, OR	Multnomah County	1	1	177	170	158	192	697	3,731
Rio Arriba, NM	Rio Arriba County	2	2	0	37	32	0	69	109
Sacramento, CA	Sacramento County	8	1	182	179	189	187	737	6,844
Salt Lake City, UT	Salt Lake County	1	1	182	187	184	195	748	3,540
San Antonio, TX	Bexar County	2	2	172	183	191	190	736	11,687
San Diego, CA	San Diego County	3	3	171	180	211	218	780	9,600
San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County	4	1	235	221	236	244	936	6,180
Seattle, WA	King County	7	4	242	210	226	241	919	5,840
Spokane, WA	Spokane County	1	1	119	126	137	141	523	2,667
Tucson, AZ	Pima County	1	1	197	178	184	185	744	3,892
Tulsa, OK	Tulsa County	1	1	0	166	161	173	500	2,676
Washington, DC	Washington, DC	7	2	0	49	114	92	255	754
Woodbury, IA	Woodbury County	1	1	0	0	87	84	171	536
Median		3	2	172	170	179	182	684	3,811
Total		221	80	5,324	5,615	6,805	6,267	24,011	203,961

* Completed interview means that an arrestee was arrested within the past 48 hours, agreed to be interviewed, and fully completed the interview. Note: Although ADAM data are generally collected on a county basis, the primary (most populous) city in each metropolitan county is noted here and identifies the site in other tables in this report.

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of Adult Male Arrestees, 2002 (Weighted To Represent Booked Arrestees^a)

Primary City	Mean Age	Race/Ethnicity (%)				Employed (%)	High School Diploma or GED (%)	Prior Arrests (%) ^b			Had Health Insurance, Past Year (%)	Had Stable Housing, Past 30 Days (%)
		Hispanic	Non-Hispanic					Ever	Past Year			
			White	Black	Other				1+ times	2+ times		
Albany, NY	30.0	11.1	47.9	33.3	7.7	57.3	66.9	87.0	44.2	13.4	38.2	88.7
Albuquerque, NM	33.2	60.3	18.2	5.9	15.6	61.8	76.0	84.9	54.6	25.0	33.0	83.3
Anchorage, AK	32.3	10.8	38.3	12.5	38.3	54.3	82.3	88.6	45.6	17.6	36.3	78.7
Atlanta, GA	33.4	4.6	9.8	80.1	5.4	58.7	70.3	84.2	50.3	20.0	41.8	77.2
Birmingham, AL	33.1	1.0	21.8	76.0	1.2	59.1	71.1	79.9	51.1	21.3	38.7	91.5
Charlotte, NC	30.1	9.3	22.3	62.2	6.3	60.2	70.5	79.0	51.4	22.7	44.3	87.4
Chicago, IL	30.7	12.0	11.4	74.3	2.3	48.1	60.5	81.5	50.0	20.7	34.1	92.0
Cleveland, OH	30.8	4.6	16.1	75.2	4.2	52.8	54.3	86.5	49.1	16.1	35.6	89.9
Dallas, TX	30.1	32.3	19.9	45.3	2.6	59.7	63.7	80.6	42.3	12.0	35.2	91.7
Denver, CO	32.5	40.1	23.0	27.1	9.9	60.5	68.9	82.0	51.6	20.4	30.0	77.4
Des Moines, IA	31.2	8.5	66.5	15.5	9.5	63.0	72.3	85.4	50.6	21.8	31.2	85.7
Honolulu, HI	33.5	16.8	20.0	3.9	59.3	47.3	79.4	84.1	47.0	18.7	51.9	71.1
Indianapolis, IN	32.3	7.5	39.1	50.0	3.5	66.6	60.8	90.7	51.7	16.3	37.1	92.2
Laredo, TX	27.2	96.6	1.7	1.1	0.6	64.7	53.8	56.1	34.4	8.6	19.8	94.8
Las Vegas, NV	34.2	22.7	39.2	24.9	13.2	60.8	80.2	83.7	46.9	19.5	34.0	82.9
Los Angeles, CA	30.9	48.9	17.1	30.1	3.9	57.0	68.7	73.5	47.0	19.1	33.4	87.7
Minneapolis, MN	30.9	8.4	28.8	49.1	13.7	49.4	78.0	84.1	54.5	23.9	49.0	85.7
New Orleans, LA	30.7	2.9	15.1	81.6	0.4	62.2	60.0	71.2	53.9	22.6	34.6	91.1
New York, NY	40.4	32.9	8.8	52.7	5.6	45.4	61.4	82.6	54.2	21.8	50.4	82.7
Oklahoma City, OK	32.2	8.7	44.3	33.4	13.6	65.5	69.8	85.2	49.6	15.9	29.2	88.4
Omaha, NE	32.3	12.4	37.2	39.8	10.5	68.2	81.3	87.3	51.9	20.3	40.3	86.6
Philadelphia, PA	29.8	20.3	18.1	57.1	4.6	50.0	66.9	70.0	33.8	8.9	43.2	92.6
Phoenix, AZ	31.0	34.9	42.9	10.9	11.3	63.0	68.1	83.3	48.3	19.1	39.4	85.4
Portland, OR	33.0	9.7	57.1	20.5	12.7	41.7	79.3	87.7	60.5	30.0	50.0	77.4
Rio Arriba, NM	29.2	90.8	3.9	0.0	5.2	57.1	65.2	71.0	49.8	22.4	32.6	85.5
Sacramento, CA	32.8	20.3	37.1	30.1	12.5	50.0	75.5	84.0	47.3	14.8	39.1	77.8
Salt Lake City, UT	32.1	19.4	64.5	4.7	11.5	64.2	67.1	85.7	54.5	23.1	28.6	84.3
San Antonio, TX	29.2	72.6	13.9	11.3	2.1	72.5	55.9	82.8	52.5	19.2	30.2	92.4
San Diego, CA	32.2	39.8	34.7	15.9	9.6	61.8	71.6	81.2	43.6	14.8	37.9	76.0
San Jose, CA	32.8	50.3	25.0	10.4	14.4	57.9	74.2	79.8	49.4	17.4	40.7	86.9
Seattle, WA	32.5	9.0	48.6	26.7	15.7	51.6	79.8	87.2	60.3	24.0	36.4	80.4
Spokane, WA	32.0	8.5	66.8	9.8	14.9	49.2	73.7	88.5	56.3	23.9	39.0	86.7
Tucson, AZ	32.0	41.2	37.8	10.2	10.9	60.2	67.3	81.0	47.9	21.8	47.5	83.7
Tulsa, OK	31.8	6.1	40.8	33.5	19.6	65.0	72.8	88.7	57.0	22.7	34.6	92.2
Washington, DC	32.2	9.1	5.4	79.6	5.8	60.0	69.5	69.0	36.0	11.4	54.0	88.5
Woodbury, IA	30.9	17.7	52.3	10.1	20.0	61.2	74.5	85.0	53.2	24.5	32.8	88.8
Median	32.1	14.6	26.9	28.6	9.7	59.9	70.0	83.9	50.1	20.1	36.8	86.7
Range												
minimum	27.2	1.0	1.7	0.0	0.4	41.7	53.8	56.1	33.8	8.6	19.8	71.1
maximum	40.4	96.6	66.8	81.6	59.3	72.5	82.3	90.7	60.5	30.0	54.0	94.8

^aAll estimates in this table and subsequent tables are weighted to represent booked arrested men. ^bDoes not include arrests as a juvenile.

Table 3: Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Percent Testing Positive for:				Interviews With Completed Urine Tests (%)
	Any of 5 Drugs ^a	Multiple Drugs (Any of 5)	Any of 10 Drugs ^b	Multiple Drugs (Any of 10)	
Albany, NY	70.0	17.3	71.5	20.3	71.4
Albuquerque, NM	61.9	24.2	67.2	28.2	96.0
Anchorage, AK	61.4	11.0	64.5	15.0	90.2
Atlanta, GA	70.9	17.2	71.4	18.2	92.3
Birmingham, AL	63.6	17.6	65.2	20.9	85.5
Charlotte, NC	61.8	18.9	62.5	21.0	87.2
Chicago, IL	85.2	33.8	85.3	36.0	92.1
Cleveland, OH	71.9	23.4	73.2	25.4	92.0
Dallas, TX	58.2	17.7	59.4	20.7	95.8
Denver, CO	61.7	17.9	67.4	22.6	94.4
Des Moines, IA	56.0	18.5	57.3	19.8	91.2
Honolulu, HI	62.9	23.0	64.4	25.9	89.9
Indianapolis, IN	66.0	21.1	67.9	24.2	97.3
Laredo, TX	46.4	19.6	50.7	19.6	79.4
Las Vegas, NV	64.0	20.9	66.5	25.0	92.9
Los Angeles, CA	62.3	23.3	62.3	24.6	85.5
Minneapolis, MN	73.5	21.0	74.5	23.5	92.5
New Orleans, LA	71.6	29.3	73.4	32.1	97.4
New York, NY	81.0	26.1	82.7	31.5	97.3
Oklahoma City, OK	72.1	25.7	74.1	33.2	97.4
Omaha, NE	61.3	21.6	62.8	23.3	84.5
Philadelphia, PA	76.2	30.8	76.8	36.7	94.7
Phoenix, AZ	71.2	29.6	73.8	32.5	93.3
Portland, OR	66.3	24.2	68.7	27.7	93.5
Rio Arriba, NM	61.7	23.2	64.7	30.4	92.9
Sacramento, CA	78.7	31.3	80.1	36.7	96.1
Salt Lake City, UT	58.2	20.6	60.7	23.3	94.9
San Antonio, TX	62.9	20.0	64.4	22.0	95.9
San Diego, CA	63.8	22.5	66.1	25.6	96.5
San Jose, CA	58.5	21.1	60.2	22.7	90.9
Seattle, WA	70.1	23.8	71.0	25.1	92.5
Spokane, WA	64.8	23.0	66.6	24.5	94.8
Tucson, AZ	71.3	30.4	74.6	32.8	87.9
Tulsa, OK	70.3	23.8	72.3	29.3	98.4
Washington, DC	63.6	21.4	64.0	24.1	74.7
Woodbury, IA	40.8	12.0	41.3	13.3	86.5
Median	63.9	22.1	66.9	24.5	92.7
Range					
minimum	40.8	11.0	41.3	13.3	71.4
maximum	85.2	33.8	85.3	36.7	98.4

^aThe 5 drugs are cocaine, marijuana, methamphetamine, opiates, and phencyclidine (PCP).

^bThe 10 drugs are barbiturates, benzodiazepines, cocaine, marijuana, methadone, methamphetamine, methaqualone, opiates, phencyclidine (PCP), and propoxyphene.

Table 4: Use and Acquisition of MARIJUANA among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Marijuana (%)	Arrestees Reporting Marijuana Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Marijuana ^a	Acquired Marijuana in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^b By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	54.5	49.3	55.1	62.5	12.3	54.5	12.9	6.9
Albuquerque, NM	34.2	33.6	38.6	45.3	10.0	36.6	4.3	4.2
Anchorage, AK	48.7	44.0	50.8	61.7	9.2	48.8	6.4	5.1
Atlanta, GA	34.0	37.3	43.0	50.0	10.0	43.2	10.2	3.6
Birmingham, AL	41.6	31.6	37.1	46.7	10.3	35.8	9.6	4.9
Charlotte, NC	44.4	39.9	44.7	52.1	11.4	42.4	12.2	4.9
Chicago, IL	49.4	43.3	49.9	54.6	11.4	50.0	13.4	5.4
Cleveland, OH	51.1	48.1	54.7	61.2	10.7	56.2	12.9	5.4
Dallas, TX	36.2	32.5	37.6	45.8	11.3	38.5	10.8	4.5
Denver, CO	40.3	37.4	43.0	49.0	8.9	44.0	5.1	4.0
Des Moines, IA	41.8	39.2	47.7	55.2	9.5	46.4	6.1	4.8
Honolulu, HI	32.2	31.8	39.7	47.6	8.9	39.9	7.5	6.6
Indianapolis, IN	46.7	38.8	42.7	52.0	11.5	44.7	8.2	6.6
Laredo, TX	26.1	27.3	32.9	33.7	10.5	32.6	8.5	5.0
Las Vegas, NV	34.7	31.5	38.8	47.4	9.4	39.6	6.9	4.4
Los Angeles, CA	36.4	30.1	34.4	36.8	10.1	32.9	8.0	8.7
Minneapolis, MN	54.2	46.2	53.0	61.0	10.7	51.5	8.7	5.0
New Orleans, LA	46.9	47.2	50.5	55.1	11.6	50.7	12.0	5.3
New York, NY	44.3	45.7	50.4	56.5	12.2	49.7	13.2	6.4
Oklahoma City, OK	54.1	46.8	51.6	57.0	11.7	50.1	6.4	5.4
Omaha, NE	40.8	36.5	42.6	53.4	10.2	38.8	7.7	5.3
Philadelphia, PA	47.7	47.3	52.2	59.6	11.3	52.6	12.6	6.9
Phoenix, AZ	41.1	35.1	41.5	49.3	9.7	43.3	4.6	5.1
Portland, OR	37.5	36.6	44.3	53.8	8.0	41.7	5.8	4.1
Rio Arriba, NM	38.2	33.0	47.2	55.8	7.7	35.5	2.2	3.2
Sacramento, CA	50.9	45.2	53.1	61.5	10.6	52.4	8.8	5.9
Salt Lake City, UT	33.7	31.0	35.8	45.0	8.4	34.8	5.0	5.7
San Antonio, TX	42.0	33.7	38.9	45.9	11.5	39.1	6.6	4.4
San Diego, CA	37.8	34.8	42.4	49.3	8.9	43.9	5.2	5.3
San Jose, CA	34.0	29.8	35.6	43.8	9.6	36.4	6.3	5.3
Seattle, WA	38.5	39.3	47.2	58.7	9.1	45.2	7.4	5.4
Spokane, WA	47.3	46.1	55.3	64.6	9.7	56.1	6.0	4.8
Tucson, AZ	47.2	41.4	47.4	56.2	10.5	46.9	5.1	5.6
Tulsa, OK	53.5	43.8	49.8	56.5	11.2	46.9	6.5	4.5
Washington, DC	40.7	31.3	35.4	46.6	8.6	34.5	10.3	4.8
Woodbury, IA	27.6	25.5	34.3	43.7	9.0	32.6	5.0	2.4
Median	41.3	37.3	43.7	52.8	10.1	43.6	7.4	5.1
Range								
minimum	26.1	25.5	32.9	33.7	7.7	32.6	2.2	2.4
maximum	49.3	49.3	55.3	64.6	12.3	56.2	13.4	8.7

^aQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used the drug in the past year.^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 5: Use and Acquisition of CRACK COCAINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Cocaine ^a (%)	Arrestees Reporting Crack Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Crack ^b	Acquired Crack in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	25.5	12.6	14.1	19.1	7.0	16.6	11.5	7.4
Albuquerque, NM	37.5	16.2	19.3	24.2	8.0	20.1	13.2	7.5
Anchorage, AK	20.4	10.8	14.6	19.1	5.7	15.3	10.5	5.4
Atlanta, GA	49.9	27.1	28.3	30.2	11.2	31.1	17.3	8.7
Birmingham, AL	34.3	14.6	16.5	18.4	9.3	17.5	11.9	6.2
Charlotte, NC	33.7	14.1	15.2	17.3	9.9	17.6	12.7	8.2
Chicago, IL	47.9	26.1	27.8	28.9	10.6	30.3	14.0	5.4
Cleveland, OH	34.6	18.8	19.9	21.8	8.9	23.7	13.1	6.8
Dallas, TX	29.9	11.4	12.2	14.1	9.3	13.7	15.0	6.9
Denver, CO	32.7	15.1	17.2	21.0	6.9	18.8	11.3	6.7
Des Moines, IA	10.2	4.6	5.7	7.5	6.9	7.0	6.7	2.9
Honolulu, HI	9.1	6.5	7.8	12.9	5.4	8.8	11.7	5.3
Indianapolis, IN	34.9	16.2	17.8	19.2	8.5	18.1	12.2	6.8
Laredo, TX	36.2	2.5	3.1	6.4	2.9	4.1	3.3	1.3
Las Vegas, NV	24.2	12.1	13.3	16.4	7.8	13.5	13.9	7.2
Los Angeles, CA	32.1	11.1	14.1	14.7	4.2	13.8	9.8	5.4
Minneapolis, MN	30.8	17.9	19.2	22.1	8.4	19.8	12.3	8.7
New Orleans, LA	42.4	17.7	17.9	19.2	11.4	18.6	14.1	7.0
New York, NY	49.0	22.6	24.7	26.9	13.0	24.5	16.6	6.9
Oklahoma City, OK	25.5	10.4	11.6	14.4	8.7	13.5	10.3	6.1
Omaha, NE	21.1	10.9	12.4	16.1	7.9	10.7	12.6	12.4
Philadelphia, PA	38.7	21.3	22.4	23.2	10.8	24.6	15.3	6.8
Phoenix, AZ	27.8	11.9	13.1	16.6	8.6	13.5	16.0	9.2
Portland, OR	22.3	11.4	14.0	18.1	6.4	14.3	12.4	5.6
Rio Arriba, NM	30.1	16.5	18.0	26.1	4.5	15.4	5.4	1.9
Sacramento, CA	20.9	12.5	14.7	18.7	6.5	15.1	13.0	6.4
Salt Lake City, UT	18.5	6.6	8.4	13.7	5.6	8.0	13.3	7.1
San Antonio, TX	32.5	3.1	3.9	5.6	5.3	4.0	11.3	8.6
San Diego, CA	12.7	6.1	7.9	10.6	5.8	8.8	9.7	6.0
San Jose, CA	13.0	5.0	5.8	9.8	6.0	6.7	10.3	4.4
Seattle, WA	38.1	22.4	25.5	31.1	8.6	25.5	13.7	9.0
Spokane, WA	15.9	11.9	15.1	18.7	5.1	15.9	7.9	3.9
Tucson, AZ	42.5	16.3	17.7	24.6	8.7	18.8	12.9	8.4
Tulsa, OK	22.3	10.2	10.6	14.7	6.7	10.7	12.7	9.1
Washington, DC	27.5	10.5	12.0	15.3	12.1	16.0	12.7	5.7
Woodbury, IA	10.3	2.4	3.5	8.2	2.9	3.5	4.7	1.0
Median	30.0	12.0	14.4	18.3	7.8	15.3	12.5	6.8
Range	minimum	9.1	2.4	3.1	5.6	2.9	3.3	1.0
	maximum	49.9	27.1	28.3	31.1	31.1	17.3	12.4

^aArrestee tested positive for either crack or powder cocaine. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used crack cocaine in the past year. ^cQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days. Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 6: Use and Acquisition of POWDER COCAINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Cocaine ^a (%)	Arrestees Reporting Powder Cocaine Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Powder Cocaine ^b	Acquired Powder Cocaine in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	25.5	6.7	9.5	19.2	3.2	11.6	6.1	3.2
Albuquerque, NM	37.5	11.1	15.3	21.7	4.0	16.5	5.4	3.2
Anchorage, AK	20.4	6.4	10.2	18.8	2.4	11.0	4.5	3.1
Atlanta, GA	49.9	9.0	11.9	17.3	4.7	14.3	7.0	2.7
Birmingham, AL	34.3	6.1	8.1	10.4	5.5	9.5	6.8	2.9
Charlotte, NC	33.7	9.2	10.9	16.0	4.9	12.1	7.3	4.3
Chicago, IL	47.9	5.5	7.7	10.8	5.2	8.6	6.5	3.5
Cleveland, OH	34.6	2.5	4.0	8.1	3.6	4.8	3.9	2.7
Dallas, TX	29.9	6.7	10.0	16.2	5.0	11.0	6.7	3.8
Denver, CO	32.7	8.2	12.8	18.7	3.9	14.0	5.4	3.1
Des Moines, IA	10.2	2.0	4.1	8.0	3.5	5.2	1.8	3.7
Honolulu, HI	9.1	1.9	3.4	7.3	2.4	3.2	3.8	2.7
Indianapolis, IN	34.9	5.5	8.2	13.5	4.5	9.4	8.0	6.0
Laredo, TX	36.2	12.9	17.7	21.4	6.3	19.1	9.3	3.4
Las Vegas, NV	24.2	6.4	8.9	12.5	4.4	9.5	7.7	3.1
Los Angeles, CA	32.1	3.4	5.0	6.2	2.8	4.9	1.3	1.4
Minneapolis, MN	30.8	5.0	7.5	12.3	4.0	8.5	5.4	4.2
New Orleans, LA	42.4	9.7	11.2	13.6	7.8	11.1	12.3	6.6
New York, NY	49.0	12.9	14.5	18.9	7.7	14.7	10.6	3.8
Oklahoma City, OK	25.5	5.5	9.0	13.1	4.0	10.1	5.3	2.4
Omaha, NE	21.1	4.0	5.8	10.1	4.1	6.2	3.0	5.7
Philadelphia, PA	38.7	8.4	10.6	15.6	5.7	12.7	7.9	5.0
Phoenix, AZ	27.8	6.1	8.4	14.1	3.8	9.3	6.0	2.8
Portland, OR	22.3	7.0	10.2	17.1	4.1	10.5	11.8	3.3
Rio Arriba, NM	30.1	23.3	26.4	34.4	5.6	31.8	3.7	3.7
Sacramento, CA	20.9	3.2	4.9	10.3	3.8	5.7	3.6	2.3
Salt Lake City, UT	18.5	8.0	11.0	16.2	4.4	11.5	10.9	6.1
San Antonio, TX	32.5	13.4	16.7	24.0	4.2	18.0	4.4	4.0
San Diego, CA	12.7	4.3	6.2	10.5	2.3	7.4	3.3	2.8
San Jose, CA	13.0	5.1	6.9	10.9	3.4	7.1	5.8	2.6
Seattle, WA	38.1	9.1	13.2	21.5	4.1	14.5	10.0	4.1
Spokane, WA	15.9	5.3	8.4	15.1	3.6	10.2	4.2	2.6
Tucson, AZ	42.5	16.3	22.2	30.1	4.5	25.7	5.3	3.4
Tulsa, OK	22.3	3.9	6.4	10.2	2.3	7.2	4.6	2.3
Washington, DC	27.5	3.4	3.4	5.5	5.8	3.6	11.8	1.5
Woodbury, IA	10.3	2.5	3.6	13.1	1.3	4.0	2.5	3.9
Median	30.0	6.3	8.9	13.9	4.1	10.1	5.6	3.2
Range	minimum	9.1	1.9	3.4	5.5	1.3	1.3	1.4
	maximum	49.9	23.3	26.4	34.4	7.8	12.3	6.6

^a Arrestee tested positive for either crack or powder cocaine. ^b Question was asked of arrestees who said they had used powder cocaine in the past year. ^c Question was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 7: Use and Acquisition of HEROIN^a among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Opiates (%)	Arrestees Reporting Heroin Use (%)			Mean No. of Days in Past 30 Used Heroin ^b	Acquired Heroin in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	6.4	3.4	4.5	6.7	8.4	5.0	12.1	3.0
Albuquerque, NM	9.8	9.3	11.0	13.0	12.7	11.2	16.6	3.5
Anchorage, AK	3.4	0.7	1.5	2.7	5.7	1.3	11.1	20.0
Atlanta, GA	3.2	1.8	2.1	2.6	11.8	2.2	18.7	15.1
Birmingham, AL	6.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	8.4	1.0	24.9	1.0
Charlotte, NC	3.4	1.8	1.8	2.1	9.8	2.0	12.4	12.5
Chicago, IL	26.0	21.8	22.6	24.0	14.0	23.4	21.0	7.2
Cleveland, OH	5.5	2.9	3.6	4.1	11.3	3.9	18.5	3.8
Dallas, TX	7.1	1.6	1.9	3.3	10.2	2.5	20.8	5.3
Denver, CO	4.0	3.4	3.5	5.0	12.0	3.7	22.4	4.0
Des Moines, IA	2.3	0.9	1.0	1.4	15.8	1.3	24.3	1.0
Honolulu, HI	3.5	1.5	2.6	4.1	5.7	3.0	14.0	3.0
Indianapolis, IN	4.8	1.6	1.6	2.3	8.8	1.6	18.6	8.1
Laredo, TX	6.5	6.9	6.9	8.1	7.6	6.4	13.8	15.5
Las Vegas, NV	5.6	2.8	3.3	4.1	8.3	3.6	13.7	3.8
Los Angeles, CA	5.8	4.2	4.2	6.2	6.8	4.1	18.7	7.0
Minneapolis, MN	5.1	2.6	3.5	4.2	9.0	3.5	12.1	4.7
New Orleans, LA	17.4	13.5	14.1	14.7	13.5	14.0	18.9	9.3
New York, NY	15.0	14.0	15.6	16.3	14.9	15.3	19.8	4.4
Oklahoma City, OK	3.3	0.4	0.7	1.4	4.1	1.0	5.4	1.6
Omaha, NE	2.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.1	2.0	0.0
Philadelphia, PA	15.9	14.0	14.6	15.9	13.6	15.0	24.3	5.4
Phoenix, AZ	4.9	3.6	4.0	5.4	11.1	4.2	20.7	7.4
Portland, OR	10.5	8.9	10.2	12.3	9.3	10.3	20.9	5.9
Rio Arriba, NM	21.5	16.2	19.5	22.1	15.2	20.8	14.3	3.5
Sacramento, CA	6.2	4.9	5.9	7.9	7.7	6.1	20.8	6.3
Salt Lake City, UT	7.3	5.2	6.8	8.2	10.2	6.7	17.5	4.5
San Antonio, TX	11.0	6.5	6.7	8.1	12.2	7.7	16.9	11.1
San Diego, CA	5.6	3.9	5.2	7.2	9.1	5.1	20.1	3.9
San Jose, CA	3.4	1.5	1.9	3.6	10.1	2.1	18.9	4.5
Seattle, WA	10.0	7.7	9.4	12.7	11.7	9.5	18.4	4.6
Spokane, WA	7.5	6.2	6.7	7.8	9.7	7.6	18.6	9.7
Tucson, AZ	6.5	3.6	4.8	7.7	8.2	5.3	17.8	7.9
Tulsa, OK	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.8	9.9	0.5	30.0	1.0
Washington, DC	9.5	5.7	6.0	7.3	16.3	6.7	18.8	7.4
Woodbury, IA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Median	5.9	3.5	4.1	5.8	10.0	4.2	18.6	4.7
Range								
minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
maximum	26.0	21.8	22.6	24.0	21.5	23.4	30.0	20.0

^aComparability for opiate items is not exact. Self-reported opiate use refers specifically to heroin, while urinalysis results may include a small percentage of other opiate users. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they used the drug in the past year. ^cQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 8: Use and Acquisition of METHAMPHETAMINE among Adult Male Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Methamphetamine (%)	Arrestees Reporting Methamphetamine Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Methamphetamine ^a	Acquired Methamphetamine in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^b	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	0.0	0.5	0.6	1.3	2.2	0.6	1.0	2.0
Albuquerque, NM	6.7	5.7	7.2	10.5	8.7	7.6	10.1	9.7
Anchorage, AK	1.5	0.6	2.0	5.6	4.0	2.3	3.0	5.3
Atlanta, GA	2.3	2.0	2.5	4.9	3.3	3.2	8.9	5.1
Birmingham, AL	0.6	0.7	1.3	2.0	5.3	1.3	1.9	1.0
Charlotte, NC	0.2	0.6	0.6	1.0	6.0	0.7	20.3	11.8
Chicago, IL	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.6	2.3	0.2	2.3	2.0
Cleveland, OH	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.9	1.3	0.3	2.0	4.0
Dallas, TX	3.1	2.5	3.4	6.1	7.9	4.3	11.5	4.6
Denver, CO	3.8	3.6	5.0	7.1	6.7	5.4	8.4	5.7
Des Moines, IA	20.2	18.6	22.7	28.7	8.6	22.7	7.5	5.3
Honolulu, HI	44.8	32.4	35.4	43.1	10.1	35.0	12.3	7.9
Indianapolis, IN	1.5	0.9	2.0	4.1	5.3	2.0	6.8	1.7
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.9	1.7	0.0	5.5
Las Vegas, NV	22.9	17.3	21.2	26.3	8.9	21.7	9.8	6.5
Los Angeles, CA	14.8	6.1	9.0	12.0	6.9	10.0	5.6	7.4
Minneapolis, MN	3.9	2.8	4.1	6.4	5.4	4.2	11.8	5.7
New Orleans, LA	1.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	2.1	0.4	1.0	1.0
New York, NY	0.5	0.9	1.0	2.0	9.3	1.0	13.2	5.4
Oklahoma City, OK	14.3	12.1	15.2	19.7	9.0	15.9	8.3	5.9
Omaha, NE	21.0	13.4	16.1	20.2	8.1	15.7	9.6	5.7
Philadelphia, PA	0.0	1.0	1.2	2.2	2.1	1.2	12.5	2.5
Phoenix, AZ	30.9	23.3	26.8	32.0	8.6	28.2	9.3	7.0
Portland, OR	21.9	21.3	24.9	30.0	6.6	24.4	8.2	5.9
Rio Arriba, NM	0.0	0.0	2.9	6.9	1.5	2.9	1.0	1.0
Sacramento, CA	33.5	25.8	29.0	33.6	8.9	28.6	9.4	6.1
Salt Lake City, UT	22.8	21.1	24.7	30.5	8.3	24.1	11.5	8.1
San Antonio, TX	2.3	1.1	1.2	2.9	7.7	1.4	4.4	5.0
San Diego, CA	31.7	26.8	29.7	35.2	7.9	30.0	9.0	7.6
San Jose, CA	29.9	24.0	26.4	32.5	8.9	26.7	7.7	6.8
Seattle, WA	10.9	9.7	12.7	18.4	7.5	12.9	9.0	5.2
Spokane, WA	22.3	18.6	24.3	30.4	8.9	25.4	7.9	7.1
Tucson, AZ	9.2	7.8	9.2	14.9	7.0	10.0	9.0	5.5
Tulsa, OK	14.4	11.7	15.1	18.0	8.7	15.6	7.7	7.4
Washington, DC	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.5	0.4	0.0	6.0
Woodbury, IA	15.3	7.8	12.2	20.2	6.1	10.0	6.0	5.0
Median	5.3	4.6	6.1	8.8	6.9	6.5	8.3	5.6
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0	1.0
	maximum	44.8	32.4	35.4	43.1	35.0	20.3	11.8

^aQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used the drug in the past year. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 9: Male ADAM 2002—Heavy Drug Use and Risk for Dependence

Primary City	Heavy Use ^a of Any of Five Drugs (%)	Injected Drugs, Past Year (%)	At Risk for Drug Dependence ^b (%)
Albany, NY	46.3	5.8	41.7
Albuquerque, NM	36.8	12.5	38.3
Anchorage, AK	33.6	4.6	34.6
Atlanta, GA	41.3	2.8	41.6
Birmingham, AL	29.4	2.8	32.1
Charlotte, NC	37.4	3.4	35.9
Chicago, IL	52.7	5.3	49.6
Cleveland, OH	40.5	2.7	41.7
Dallas, TX	34.3	4.6	33.1
Denver, CO	30.7	6.7	29.3
Des Moines, IA	36.2	8.3	43.8
Honolulu, HI	36.5	4.3	39.8
Indianapolis, IN	34.5	3.6	36.4
Laredo, TX	18.4	5.7	21.3
Las Vegas, NV	36.3	8.2	36.9
Los Angeles, CA	28.2	6.4	30.9
Minneapolis, MN	43.1	3.4	40.1
New Orleans, LA	40.4	10.3	36.6
New York, NY	51.7	7.2	48.3
Oklahoma City, OK	42.4	11.0	44.0
Omaha, NE	33.4	6.9	33.5
Philadelphia, PA	51.2	10.5	48.9
Phoenix, AZ	40.7	9.9	42.0
Portland, OR	38.6	17.5	38.2
Rio Arriba, NM	34.5	25.3	32.9
Sacramento, CA	49.5	12.5	48.6
Salt Lake City, UT	36.3	15.0	39.4
San Antonio, TX	31.5	7.7	28.5
San Diego, CA	39.3	10.5	41.1
San Jose, CA	34.0	6.2	39.1
Seattle, WA	43.9	14.1	47.2
Spokane, WA	43.9	16.2	44.3
Tucson, AZ	42.6	10.0	38.3
Tulsa, OK	38.1	12.3	37.2
Washington, DC	30.3	3.4	33.7
Woodbury, IA	22.1	5.7	27.2
Median	37.1	7.1	38.3
Range	minimum	2.7	21.3
	maximum	25.3	49.6

Note: Questions were asked of arrestees who reported using drugs. ^aHeavy use is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as 13 or more days of self-reported consumption of a drug in a 30-day period in the year before the interview. The five drugs considered here are cocaine, heroin, marijuana, methamphetamine, and PCP. ^bDependence, an indication of need for treatment, is measured by a clinically based dependency screen for drug use experiences during the previous year.

Table 10: Male ADAM 2002—Heavy Alcohol Use and Risk for Dependence

Primary City	Binge Drinking, Past 30 Days^a (%)	Heavy Drinking, Past 30 Days^b (%)	At Risk for Alcohol Dependence^c (%)
Albany, NY	50.0	28.8	32.0
Albuquerque, NM	68.9	45.8	44.6
Anchorage, AK	70.4	42.6	48.8
Atlanta, GA	44.3	26.5	29.1
Birmingham, AL	49.4	30.6	30.2
Charlotte, NC	43.5	25.7	21.6
Chicago, IL	37.5	23.1	23.9
Cleveland, OH	53.5	33.3	28.3
Dallas, TX	47.6	24.6	27.7
Denver, CO	53.2	30.1	31.5
Des Moines, IA	52.1	26.9	28.9
Honolulu, HI	45.7	23.3	26.2
Indianapolis, IN	53.0	29.9	30.2
Laredo, TX	57.3	27.2	25.3
Las Vegas, NV	52.1	29.5	28.1
Los Angeles, CA	43.4	19.2	26.6
Minneapolis, MN	50.8	25.6	30.9
New Orleans, LA	41.9	28.3	21.7
New York, NY	32.4	18.5	23.8
Oklahoma City, OK	57.9	36.8	36.3
Omaha, NE	49.2	29.6	28.4
Philadelphia, PA	38.2	19.5	21.5
Phoenix, AZ	49.0	25.9	27.1
Portland, OR	42.7	21.7	28.2
Rio Arriba, NM	66.3	30.1	39.6
Sacramento, CA	52.8	32.8	36.0
Salt Lake City, UT	49.2	27.2	35.2
San Antonio, TX	57.0	34.1	31.0
San Diego, CA	49.7	28.6	30.3
San Jose, CA	52.0	27.8	32.8
Seattle, WA	50.1	31.1	31.8
Spokane, WA	55.6	32.1	38.8
Tucson, AZ	57.1	34.7	36.1
Tulsa, OK	55.2	33.3	34.5
Washington, DC	31.1	18.7	19.5
Woodbury, IA	59.4	34.9	37.2
Median	50.5	28.7	30.2
Range			
minimum	31.1	18.5	19.5
maximum	70.4	45.8	48.8

Note: Questions were asked of arrestees who reported consuming alcohol. ^aBinge drinking is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as consumption of five or more drinks on at least one occasion in a month. ^bHeavy drinking is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as consumption of five or more drinks on five or more occasions in a month. ^cDependence, an indication of need for treatment, is measured by a clinically based dependency screen for alcohol use experiences during the previous year.

Table 11: Male ADAM 2002—Last Drug Buy with Cash Was Outdoors

Primary City	Percent Whose Most Recent Buy with Cash Was in an Outdoor Location				
	Marijuana	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine
Albany, NY	38.5	61.7	36.7	29.1	40.0
Albuquerque, NM	23.2	32.1	12.7	18.8	9.8
Anchorage, AK	26.8	30.1	25.2	0.0	0.0
Atlanta, GA	34.7	44.3	40.3	39.1	10.7
Birmingham, AL	34.7	26.4	33.3	11.6	0.0
Charlotte, NC	39.7	32.2	27.2	36.8	42.0
Chicago, IL	71.9	78.9	61.9	79.2	0.0
Cleveland, OH	60.6	69.5	43.6	68.3	0.0
Dallas, TX	15.8	28.1	11.6	0.0	10.1
Denver, CO	50.3	59.8	39.3	85.0	41.6
Des Moines, IA	23.2	42.2	26.1	30.9	5.4
Honolulu, HI	39.4	54.2	13.0	71.6	39.3
Indianapolis, IN	24.5	39.2	14.7	32.8	0.0
Laredo, TX	7.1	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
Las Vegas, NV	31.2	47.2	46.0	62.1	10.1
Los Angeles, CA	40.5	50.0	60.0	54.7	0.0
Minneapolis, MN	46.8	50.6	29.4	53.2	13.3
New Orleans, LA	75.9	82.0	74.4	76.2	100.0
New York, NY	78.5	83.9	75.4	79.8	87.9
Oklahoma City, OK	13.8	25.4	5.3	100.0	4.3
Omaha, NE	20.6	36.6	12.6	0.0	13.9
Philadelphia, PA	72.1	72.0	62.9	75.0	50.2
Phoenix, AZ	27.7	24.9	33.5	34.6	19.1
Portland, OR	34.1	69.5	63.6	76.7	27.0
Rio Arriba, NM	10.3	0.0	22.6	18.1	0.0
Sacramento, CA	33.7	48.8	37.3	49.7	24.8
Salt Lake City, UT	20.2	29.3	45.2	55.2	10.8
San Antonio, TX	13.2	36.8	18.9	32.9	30.5
San Diego, CA	37.5	56.9	40.0	47.1	28.5
San Jose, CA	29.8	42.7	28.5	44.0	20.7
Seattle, WA	37.4	51.7	35.0	48.9	13.5
Spokane, WA	16.5	25.6	13.1	13.6	8.1
Tucson, AZ	31.1	26.3	20.7	54.1	9.2
Tulsa, OK	20.2	41.5	24.8	60.3	5.4
Washington, DC	77.3	68.6	56.6	57.5	0.0
Woodbury, IA	28.4	27.1	12.0	0.0	0.0
Median	32.4	42.5	31.3	48.0	10.4
Range	minimum	7.1	0.0	5.3	0.0
	maximum	78.5	83.9	75.4	100.0

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who bought the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 12: Male ADAM 2002—Any Failed Drug Buy in the Past 30 Days

Primary City	Percent Reporting Failed Drug Buy in Past 30 Days				
	Marijuana	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine
Albany, NY	31.9	25.7	16.6	30.2	0.0
Albuquerque, NM	41.0	45.7	36.8	19.5	35.8
Anchorage, AK	44.8	29.9	12.0	20.8	11.6
Atlanta, GA	55.0	36.9	34.1	13.7	32.2
Birmingham, AL	33.4	30.1	28.2	9.9	38.8
Charlotte, NC	56.4	46.5	45.5	15.2	0.0
Chicago, IL	37.7	31.7	25.4	21.1	33.3
Cleveland, OH	33.9	27.2	18.6	25.0	0.0
Dallas, TX	47.4	35.9	22.3	9.6	28.7
Denver, CO	41.3	31.7	19.6	8.7	29.2
Des Moines, IA	42.6	26.1	3.3	0.0	34.3
Honolulu, HI	32.6	37.9	38.0	35.6	31.2
Indianapolis, IN	37.9	35.9	30.4	18.7	12.2
Laredo, TX	38.2	25.0	38.9	0.0	0.0
Las Vegas, NV	42.8	37.4	18.3	14.0	31.8
Los Angeles, CA	30.6	52.9	0.0	35.5	23.1
Minneapolis, MN	36.8	25.9	23.1	7.5	30.1
New Orleans, LA	30.9	23.3	23.1	19.0	0.0
New York, NY	30.6	40.2	35.1	27.7	50.5
Oklahoma City, OK	30.5	25.0	18.3	44.0	27.6
Omaha, NE	39.2	26.4	28.9	100.0	43.7
Philadelphia, PA	45.9	47.5	34.5	33.3	49.8
Phoenix, AZ	29.6	18.6	13.1	13.3	24.5
Portland, OR	29.5	37.0	24.8	18.8	35.6
Rio Arriba, NM	86.1	49.8	54.7	12.8	0.0
Sacramento, CA	31.8	35.6	20.1	38.5	31.2
Salt Lake City, UT	33.7	26.3	24.1	13.6	26.2
San Antonio, TX	25.6	23.3	16.2	24.6	0.0
San Diego, CA	34.9	26.7	21.2	31.5	30.5
San Jose, CA	27.6	30.2	16.6	8.5	29.7
Seattle, WA	29.9	33.5	23.2	19.8	25.3
Spokane, WA	17.2	23.3	23.8	27.1	38.0
Tucson, AZ	37.4	33.4	21.5	25.8	32.5
Tulsa, OK	29.9	28.0	10.0	0.0	25.8
Washington, DC	41.4	55.4	16.4	36.3	0.0
Woodbury, IA	58.4	29.7	26.3	0.0	22.7
Median	35.9	31.0	23.1	19.3	28.9
Range	minimum	17.2	18.6	0.0	0.0
	maximum	86.1	55.4	100.0	50.5

Note: Question was asked of arrestees who said they had bought drugs with cash in the past 30 days. In sites where the number of arrestees who bought a drug with cash in the past 30 days was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 13: Male ADAM 2002—Failed Drug Buy Due to Police Activity

Primary City	Percent Reporting Last Drug Buy Failed Due to Police Activity				
	Marijuana	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine
Albany, NY	12.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Albuquerque, NM	0.0	19.3	0.0	22.7	0.0
Anchorage, AK	4.6	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atlanta, GA	12.1	16.6	26.1	0.0	0.0
Birmingham, AL	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Charlotte, NC	7.1	9.4	3.0	0.0	N/A
Chicago, IL	16.4	18.1	16.7	25.9	0.0
Cleveland, OH	7.5	11.8	0.0	25.5	N/A
Dallas, TX	6.3	13.0	2.6	41.0	0.0
Denver, CO	5.1	14.1	16.2	46.3	0.0
Des Moines, IA	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	1.9
Honolulu, HI	2.8	33.6	0.0	0.0	5.3
Indianapolis, IN	0.0	5.6	10.2	0.0	0.0
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A
Las Vegas, NV	4.6	25.4	20.5	69.4	0.0
Los Angeles, CA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.5
Minneapolis, MN	5.8	22.4	48.2	0.0	0.0
New Orleans, LA	12.8	11.3	18.1	11.2	N/A
New York, NY	21.1	20.2	21.8	49.1	58.6
Oklahoma City, OK	9.7	33.5	13.0	0.0	0.0
Omaha, NE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.3
Philadelphia, PA	20.1	26.9	16.3	37.4	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	4.3	19.7	22.5	15.9	5.4
Portland, OR	1.2	19.0	7.8	18.2	13.2
Rio Arriba, NM	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Sacramento, CA	4.7	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.4
Salt Lake City, UT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3
San Antonio, TX	2.6	9.4	0.0	17.6	0.0
San Diego, CA	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6
San Jose, CA	4.3	0.0	0.0	100.0	1.1
Seattle, WA	7.8	17.9	0.0	18.1	2.9
Spokane, WA	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	2.9
Tucson, AZ	9.3	25.4	4.8	0.0	0.0
Tulsa, OK	7.0	5.5	0.0	N/A	5.4
Washington, DC	6.7	17.7	0.0	17.3	N/A
Woodbury, IA	0.0	0.0	100.0	N/A	0.0
Median	4.7	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	maximum	21.1	33.6	100.0	58.6

Note: Question was asked of arrestees who said they had purchased drugs with cash in the past 30 days and had at least one failed drug buy. In sites where the number of arrestees with failed drug buys in the past 30 days was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously. N/A = Not Applicable.

Table 14: Male ADAM 2002—Drug, Alcohol, and Mental Health Treatment Status

Primary City	Drug or Alcohol Treatment (%)				Inpatient Mental Health/ Psychiatric Treatment (%)	
	Outpatient		Inpatient or Residential			
	Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year	Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year	Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year
Albany, NY	39.4	9.8	36.9	10.4	14.3	2.3
Albuquerque, NM	26.6	5.4	38.3	8.8	12.6	1.9
Anchorage, AK	37.1	7.1	40.4	7.3	14.1	2.5
Atlanta, GA	13.1	1.3	24.4	7.2	9.3	1.5
Birmingham, AL	13.3	2.5	20.2	3.8	8.4	0.4
Charlotte, NC	18.8	3.2	24.2	5.5	9.7	1.7
Chicago, IL	18.5	4.2	21.7	4.1	8.4	1.2
Cleveland, OH	21.4	3.9	30.2	5.8	9.9	0.5
Dallas, TX	10.7	3.6	16.7	4.7	5.7	1.2
Denver, CO	21.2	3.9	35.4	7.4	12.8	1.0
Des Moines, IA	39.2	10.7	37.5	6.4	17.6	0.8
Honolulu, HI	20.4	4.6	26.4	5.5	12.8	3.1
Indianapolis, IN	21.6	3.8	18.6	2.5	9.0	0.5
Laredo, TX	4.0	2.3	7.5	1.7	2.9	0.6
Las Vegas, NV	16.4	3.1	20.1	2.7	8.3	0.6
Los Angeles, CA	16.0	4.8	24.1	7.6	7.3	0.0
Minneapolis, MN	30.7	8.5	38.8	11.1	10.6	1.4
New Orleans, LA	6.1	0.9	12.8	3.1	6.7	0.9
New York, NY	29.0	8.7	33.0	9.4	9.3	3.1
Oklahoma City, OK	10.7	3.8	32.2	6.3	10.9	0.6
Omaha, NE	23.2	3.7	27.7	4.3	14.9	1.1
Philadelphia, PA	20.5	5.1	31.3	10.6	11.9	2.0
Phoenix, AZ	19.4	4.3	24.4	5.0	9.5	1.9
Portland, OR	38.0	12.8	37.9	10.5	10.9	0.6
Rio Arriba, NM	21.5	8.6	41.7	9.6	4.9	1.2
Sacramento, CA	15.0	4.2	20.7	3.6	14.0	1.7
Salt Lake City, UT	29.1	7.2	34.6	7.1	11.0	0.9
San Antonio, TX	13.0	1.8	16.6	3.2	7.6	0.9
San Diego, CA	18.6	5.5	30.8	7.8	13.7	2.9
San Jose, CA	22.8	8.3	22.5	5.4	7.9	1.5
Seattle, WA	36.3	9.4	35.2	7.7	12.4	2.0
Spokane, WA	34.7	6.6	32.2	4.5	15.0	1.4
Tucson, AZ	20.3	3.9	28.0	7.2	14.3	3.1
Tulsa, OK	12.0	2.0	25.6	4.9	10.9	1.4
Washington, DC	15.7	5.4	16.5	1.7	9.0	1.1
Woodbury, IA	27.6	8.8	32.1	1.9	14.8	0.4
Median	20.5	4.5	27.8	5.7	10.7	1.2
Range	minimum	4.0	0.9	7.5	1.7	2.9
	maximum	39.4	12.8	41.7	11.1	3.1

Female Arrestee Tables

Description of Sample

Table 1. ADAM Booking Facilities and Completed Interviews—Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Drug Test Results

Table 3. Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Drug Use and Acquisition Self-Reports

Table 4. Use and Acquisition of MARIJUANA among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 5. Use and Acquisition of CRACK COCAINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 6. Use and Acquisition of POWDER COCAINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 7. Use and Acquisition of HEROIN among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 8. Use and Acquisition of METHAMPHETAMINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Table 9. Female ADAM 2002—Heavy Drug Use and Risk for Dependence

Table 10. Female ADAM 2002—Heavy Alcohol Use and Risk for Dependence

Drug Market Behavior

Table 11. Female ADAM 2002—Last Drug Buy with Cash Was Outdoors

Table 12. Female ADAM 2002—Any Failed Drug Buy in the Past 30 Days

Table 13. Female ADAM 2002—Failed Drug Buy Due to Police Activity

Treatment

Table 14. Female ADAM 2002—Drug, Alcohol, and Mental Health Treatment Status

Table 1: ADAM Booking Facilities and Completed Interviews—Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Study Area	# of Booking Facilities		Number of Interviews Completed				
		Total in County	Number Where Female Data Were Collected	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total
Albany, NY	Capital Area	19	7	16	14	16	21	67
Albuquerque, NM	Bernalillo County	1	1	56	0	60	94	210
Anchorage, AK	Anchorage Borough	3	2	17	26	21	28	92
Charlotte, NC	Charlotte-Metro	1	1	0	79	99	90	268
Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County	50	6	86	95	105	73	359
Denver, CO	Denver County	1	1	57	61	59	62	239
Des Moines, IA	Polk County	5	2	17	21	22	43	103
Honolulu, HI	Oahu	8	1	27	16	22	21	86
Indianapolis, IN	Marion County	1	1	40	55	63	81	239
Laredo, TX	Webb County	2	1	10	11	9	0	30
New Orleans, LA	Orleans Parish	1	1	76	83	79	82	320
New York, NY	Manhattan	6	2	17	11	11	0	39
Oklahoma City, OK	Oklahoma County	1	1	94	92	76	73	335
Omaha, NE	Douglas County	2	1	12	11	22	15	60
Phoenix, AZ	Maricopa County	9	3	97	111	90	98	396
Portland, OR	Multnomah County	1	1	22	18	23	30	93
Salt Lake City, UT	Salt Lake County	1	1	17	41	45	21	124
San Diego, CA	San Diego County	2	2	84	86	90	99	359
San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County	4	1	35	40	39	42	156
Tucson, AZ	Pima County	1	1	77	74	77	50	278
Tulsa, OK	Tulsa County	1	1	0	46	42	66	154
Washington, DC	Washington, DC	7	2	0	7	22	25	54
Woodbury, IA	Woodbury County	1	1	0	0	10	19	29
Median		2	1	22	40	42	43	154
Total		128	41	857	998	1,102	1,133	4,090

Note: Although ADAM data are generally collected on a county basis, the primary (most populous) city in each metropolitan county is noted here and identifies the site in other tables in this report. Sites where fewer than 25 female interviews were completed during the year are omitted from this report. Current ADAM procedures do not include collection of data on total female bookings during the sampling period, therefore all female data are self-weighted.

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Mean Age	Race/Ethnicity (%)				Employed (%)	High School Diploma or GED (%)	Prior Arrests (%)*			Had Health Insurance, Past Year (%)	Had Stable Housing, Past 30 Days (%)
		Hispanic	Non-Hispanic					Ever	Past Year			
			White	Black	Other				1+ times	2+ times		
Albany, NY	30.5	10.6	60.6	24.2	4.5	46.2	63.6	70.3	30.6	9.4	53.8	89.2
Albuquerque, NM	32.5	61.3	21.6	4.4	12.7	41.4	81.4	77.8	48.0	16.2	46.0	85.7
Anchorage, AK	33.5	4.6	46.0	8.0	41.4	37.5	73.9	88.5	51.2	15.1	43.2	81.6
Charlotte, NC	30.6	4.5	26.9	60.8	7.8	47.2	72.0	65.5	41.4	19.5	54.9	85.3
Cleveland, OH	32.4	3.3	22.3	71.3	3.1	39.8	61.3	81.1	43.3	14.2	51.4	90.8
Denver, CO	31.6	37.8	26.1	26.5	9.7	37.7	66.9	77.8	51.0	17.2	43.5	78.5
Des Moines, IA	30.6	2.9	76.5	14.7	5.9	46.1	76.5	72.5	40.2	15.7	45.1	85.3
Honolulu, HI	33.1	19.0	17.9	2.4	60.7	31.8	77.6	69.4	42.0	18.5	63.4	74.1
Indianapolis, IN	31.2	1.3	49.6	47.1	2.1	46.4	57.1	82.4	46.4	15.6	38.0	95.3
Laredo, TX	28.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	48.3	30.0	21.4	10.7	16.7	100.0
New Orleans, LA	30.0	0.0	15.6	84.1	0.3	40.9	60.0	61.1	40.7	16.7	37.2	91.5
New York, NY	30.7	35.9	15.4	46.2	2.6	37.8	53.8	71.8	44.4	27.8	46.2	78.9
Oklahoma City, OK	31.2	7.1	41.6	32.0	19.3	41.4	67.7	73.5	46.9	14.2	35.0	90.9
Omaha, NE	30.4	6.7	35.0	50.0	8.3	40.0	71.7	57.6	27.3	12.7	51.7	93.3
Phoenix, AZ	31.3	32.7	46.0	9.0	12.3	43.3	69.2	73.2	45.5	16.3	52.6	85.5
Portland, OR	31.4	5.4	67.4	13.0	14.1	26.1	81.3	83.3	58.4	28.1	56.0	76.1
Salt Lake City, UT	32.1	16.9	72.6	3.2	7.3	51.6	57.7	84.6	52.1	25.6	27.0	88.6
San Diego, CA	31.9	28.3	40.3	19.9	11.5	35.7	73.5	68.8	39.5	11.9	48.9	77.4
San Jose, CA	31.0	47.7	27.5	11.8	13.1	39.9	70.6	72.1	41.2	16.2	47.7	86.4
Tucson, AZ	31.7	37.6	40.5	5.1	16.8	39.1	67.2	76.6	43.0	18.5	59.6	80.1
Tulsa, OK	32.2	7.4	40.9	24.2	27.5	49.7	75.8	76.4	44.2	12.9	36.1	89.9
Washington, DC	30.9	1.9	9.3	85.2	3.7	51.9	75.9	51.9	24.5	10.2	72.2	82.4
Woodbury, IA	33.2	14.8	48.1	11.1	25.9	48.1	81.5	77.8	61.5	23.1	23.1	85.2
Median	31.3	10.6	40.3	19.9	9.7	40.9	70.6	73.2	43.3	16.2	46.2	85.5
Range												
	minimum	28.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	48.3	30.0	21.4	9.4	16.7	74.1
	maximum	33.5	100.0	76.5	85.2	51.9	81.5	88.5	61.5	28.1	72.2	100.0

*Does not include arrests as a juvenile.

Table 3: Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Percent Testing Positive for:				Interviews With Completed Urine Tests (%)
	Any of 5 Drugs ^a	Multiple Drugs (Any of 5)	Any of 10 Drugs ^b	Multiple Drugs (Any of 10)	
Albany, NY	65.0	20.0	65.0	25.0	62.1
Albuquerque, NM	69.7	30.3	71.8	39.0	96.6
Anchorage, AK	67.9	16.0	71.6	29.6	93.3
Charlotte, NC	63.5	15.2	66.4	17.5	79.1
Cleveland, OH	64.4	15.9	67.9	18.5	95.0
Denver, CO	68.0	22.5	69.8	26.1	95.4
Des Moines, IA	55.2	13.5	62.5	14.6	94.1
Honolulu, HI	60.3	20.6	63.2	23.5	85.4
Indianapolis, IN	75.9	26.7	78.4	33.6	97.9
Laredo, TX	25.9	3.7	33.3	7.4	96.7
New Orleans, LA	59.4	16.5	60.0	21.3	98.4
New York, NY	61.1	19.4	63.9	19.4	94.7
Oklahoma City, OK	67.1	27.8	71.8	32.9	98.4
Omaha, NE	60.0	14.0	60.0	16.0	85.0
Phoenix, AZ	70.6	28.1	73.3	31.9	94.6
Portland, OR	67.0	22.7	70.5	27.3	98.9
Salt Lake City, UT	73.7	31.6	75.4	33.3	91.9
San Diego, CA	69.0	27.0	72.2	31.9	96.4
San Jose, CA	66.9	19.3	69.0	22.1	95.5
Tucson, AZ	70.1	23.1	72.9	28.3	91.7
Tulsa, OK	72.4	22.1	77.2	29.7	98.0
Washington, DC	74.4	25.6	74.4	28.2	74.1
Woodbury, IA	39.1	0.0	39.1	4.3	85.2
Median	67.0	20.6	69.8	26.1	94.7
Range					
minimum	25.9	0.0	33.3	4.3	62.1
maximum	75.9	31.6	78.4	39.0	98.9

^aThe 5 drugs are cocaine, marijuana, methamphetamine, opiates, and phencyclidine (PCP).

^bThe 10 drugs are barbiturates, benzodiazepines, cocaine, marijuana, methadone, methamphetamine, methaqualone, opiates, phencyclidine (PCP), and propoxyphene.

Table 4: Use and Acquisition of MARIJUANA among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Marijuana (%)	Arrestees Reporting Marijuana Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Marijuana ^a	Acquired Marijuana in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^b By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	40.0	24.2	25.8	34.4	10.0	27.0	16.1	6.1
Albuquerque, NM	26.7	28.9	34.8	46.3	9.9	33.8	3.7	5.4
Anchorage, AK	28.4	26.2	34.5	48.3	7.5	33.7	4.4	2.4
Charlotte, NC	37.9	36.3	40.3	47.6	10.0	41.0	10.2	5.6
Cleveland, OH	26.2	25.8	33.6	44.3	8.1	36.3	8.2	4.5
Denver, CO	33.3	38.7	44.1	52.3	7.4	43.9	2.7	4.0
Des Moines, IA	32.3	36.0	43.6	52.0	6.8	42.6	7.3	5.6
Honolulu, HI	20.6	27.5	33.3	42.4	5.8	31.7	12.4	2.5
Indianapolis, IN	38.8	37.1	42.5	52.9	9.2	43.4	5.0	5.0
Laredo, TX	7.4	7.1	7.1	10.3	2.5	6.7	2.0	1.0
New Orleans, LA	26.0	28.3	33.9	38.4	9.5	34.5	9.5	5.5
New York, NY	30.6	38.2	38.2	43.6	11.4	36.8	13.0	7.3
Oklahoma City, OK	43.0	39.7	45.4	51.1	10.7	45.0	7.7	7.1
Omaha, NE	28.0	25.0	28.3	31.7	7.5	18.3	7.1	4.0
Phoenix, AZ	29.4	28.7	34.5	43.2	8.4	36.4	5.8	5.1
Portland, OR	21.6	22.1	33.7	48.9	7.3	29.2	8.3	4.3
Salt Lake City, UT	25.4	29.9	35.0	43.1	5.5	36.6	2.3	4.2
San Diego, CA	33.3	30.9	39.5	45.9	7.2	40.9	4.5	4.7
San Jose, CA	26.9	27.6	32.9	39.9	8.9	34.2	10.3	8.1
Tucson, AZ	25.1	27.2	31.0	44.5	9.8	33.6	4.5	4.6
Tulsa, OK	33.8	32.9	41.9	48.0	8.7	39.2	3.7	3.8
Washington, DC	33.3	17.6	17.6	34.0	6.6	17.6	2.8	5.7
Woodbury, IA	13.0	18.5	25.9	25.9	4.3	22.2	1.0	1.7
Median	28.4	28.3	34.5	44.3	8.1	34.5	5.8	4.7
Range	minimum	7.4	7.1	10.3	2.5	6.7	1.0	1.0
	maximum	43.0	39.7	45.4	11.4	45.0	16.1	8.1

^aQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used the drug in the past year. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 5: Use and Acquisition of CRACK COCAINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Cocaine ^a (%)	Arrestees Reporting Crack Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Crack ^b	Acquired Crack in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	40.0	9.7	12.9	20.3	2.8	14.3	11.7	5.0
Albuquerque, NM	48.7	27.9	30.8	37.4	10.5	29.8	18.5	9.4
Anchorage, AK	49.4	34.1	34.1	42.5	8.9	34.9	18.8	6.9
Charlotte, NC	38.4	22.8	23.9	26.2	13.1	24.8	15.6	7.9
Cleveland, OH	42.9	33.6	38.4	42.2	10.8	40.3	14.0	6.9
Denver, CO	44.6	28.3	30.7	33.9	8.0	30.8	14.4	7.2
Des Moines, IA	12.5	5.0	5.9	11.8	5.9	5.9	18.8	9.7
Honolulu, HI	7.4	3.7	3.7	7.1	3.4	4.9	3.0	5.5
Indianapolis, IN	55.2	32.2	37.1	40.8	12.8	37.4	14.2	6.7
Laredo, TX	11.1	3.7	3.7	10.0	14.2	6.7	4.0	0.0
New Orleans, LA	42.2	24.4	25.9	28.2	11.7	26.0	13.0	6.2
New York, NY	38.9	18.2	18.2	23.1	11.6	15.8	20.0	10.0
Oklahoma City, OK	30.4	18.0	19.3	24.9	9.1	20.8	11.4	9.7
Omaha, NE	30.0	15.3	16.9	21.7	9.7	16.7	11.5	17.5
Phoenix, AZ	26.2	15.3	17.1	21.9	10.3	19.1	16.2	7.2
Portland, OR	28.4	17.6	19.8	30.0	10.7	20.2	22.2	3.1
Salt Lake City, UT	30.7	21.9	24.1	26.8	7.5	22.8	19.7	10.9
San Diego, CA	21.2	12.6	13.2	16.6	8.6	13.7	12.8	8.8
San Jose, CA	12.4	5.6	7.7	10.5	8.8	7.5	11.0	7.3
Tucson, AZ	45.4	24.1	26.2	34.4	11.8	27.4	18.4	7.8
Tulsa, OK	26.9	15.9	17.1	23.6	11.7	17.7	15.0	7.3
Washington, DC	38.5	27.5	27.5	30.0	14.4	27.5	20.0	8.7
Woodbury, IA	26.1	14.8	14.8	14.8	17.3	14.8	20.0	3.7
Median	30.7	18.0	19.3	24.9	10.5	20.2	15.0	7.3
Range	minimum	7.4	3.7	7.1	2.8	4.9	3.0	0.0
	maximum	55.2	34.1	38.4	17.3	40.3	22.2	17.5

^aArrestee tested positive for either crack or powder cocaine. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used crack cocaine in the past year. ^cQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 6: Use and Acquisition of POWDER COCAINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Cocaine ^a (%)	Arrestees Reporting Powder Cocaine Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Powder Cocaine ^b	Acquired Powder Cocaine in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	30.0	17.7	22.6	32.8	8.0	22.2	4.8	5.0
Albuquerque, NM	48.7	11.1	16.2	25.1	5.2	18.2	5.5	3.7
Anchorage, AK	49.4	15.3	21.2	29.9	3.9	24.4	6.9	4.0
Charlotte, NC	38.4	10.3	13.7	16.1	6.9	14.3	6.8	3.7
Cleveland, OH	42.9	3.7	6.7	12.0	3.6	7.3	9.8	2.1
Denver, CO	44.6	9.2	12.6	19.7	4.6	13.9	13.3	5.0
Des Moines, IA	12.5	2.0	3.0	8.8	2.8	4.0	0.0	8.8
Honolulu, HI	7.4	2.5	2.5	3.5	7.5	3.7	4.5	1.0
Indianapolis, IN	55.2	6.7	11.6	16.8	3.9	11.9	4.3	2.4
Laredo, TX	11.1	7.4	7.4	10.0	3.1	6.7	4.0	14.0
New Orleans, LA	42.2	6.7	8.3	11.3	8.2	8.8	10.1	5.8
New York, NY	38.9	10.0	10.0	12.8	14.0	10.5	26.0	0.0
Oklahoma City, OK	30.4	6.1	9.7	12.8	5.4	11.6	10.1	4.1
Omaha, NE	30.0	3.3	3.3	5.0	1.9	3.3	10.0	2.0
Phoenix, AZ	26.2	6.1	9.3	14.5	4.1	10.5	14.6	5.3
Portland, OR	28.4	4.7	8.1	11.1	7.9	7.9	26.5	1.3
Salt Lake City, UT	30.7	15.2	19.6	27.6	6.1	21.1	14.7	4.8
San Diego, CA	21.2	1.4	2.3	7.6	3.8	2.9	13.4	5.0
San Jose, CA	12.4	2.8	2.8	8.6	3.0	3.4	2.0	2.2
Tucson, AZ	45.4	11.8	15.8	27.6	5.2	18.3	7.2	4.0
Tulsa, OK	26.9	2.1	3.4	7.4	2.5	4.1	2.8	1.3
Washington, DC	38.5	0.0	2.0	10.0	1.6	2.0	0.0	2.0
Woodbury, IA	26.1	0.0	3.7	11.1	6.4	3.7	1.0	0.0
Median	30.4	6.1	8.3	12.0	4.6	8.8	6.9	3.7
Range	minimum	7.4	0.0	2.0	3.5	1.6	2.0	0.0
	maximum	55.2	17.7	22.6	32.8	14.0	26.5	14.0

^aArrestee tested positive for either crack or powder cocaine. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had used powder cocaine in the past year. ^cQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 7: Use and Acquisition of HEROIN^a among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Opiates (%)	Arrestees Reporting Heroin Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Heroin ^b	Acquired Heroin in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^c	
						By Cash	By Non-cash	
Albany, NY	10.0	6.5	8.1	9.4	11.7	7.9	16.8	5.0
Albuquerque, NM	16.4	11.3	11.8	13.3	16.9	12.6	23.2	7.0
Anchorage, AK	6.2	3.5	3.5	5.8	5.0	3.5	0.0	2.3
Charlotte, NC	2.8	1.5	1.5	1.9	9.6	1.5	16.0	15.5
Cleveland, OH	6.2	2.8	3.4	4.7	11.5	4.2	17.3	10.5
Denver, CO	5.4	4.6	4.6	6.3	11.6	4.6	18.5	8.1
Des Moines, IA	2.1	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	1.0	0.0	1.0
Honolulu, HI	5.9	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3	2.4	1.0	1.0
Indianapolis, IN	8.2	2.7	2.7	4.2	13.9	3.0	21.8	9.0
Laredo, TX	7.4	3.7	3.7	6.7	13.2	6.7	10.0	14.0
New Orleans, LA	9.2	7.4	7.7	9.7	13.8	8.2	20.5	11.7
New York, NY	13.9	16.1	16.1	15.4	16.0	13.2	22.3	1.0
Oklahoma City, OK	6.0	0.7	1.0	1.6	4.0	0.9	16.0	1.5
Omaha, NE	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	5.2	3.5	4.3	6.7	11.9	4.5	23.7	3.8
Portland, OR	18.2	12.0	14.3	18.9	10.9	13.5	23.6	4.0
Salt Lake City, UT	16.7	12.6	13.5	16.3	10.5	12.2	24.1	7.5
San Diego, CA	5.8	3.2	3.7	5.1	13.1	3.4	24.3	10.2
San Jose, CA	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tucson, AZ	9.2	7.1	7.5	10.7	14.3	7.9	17.6	5.7
Tulsa, OK	7.6	0.7	0.7	1.4	11.7	1.4	0.0	2.5
Washington, DC	17.9	11.8	11.8	14.0	13.9	11.8	19.0	4.3
Woodbury, IA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Median	6.2	3.5	3.7	5.8	11.6	4.2	17.3	4.3
Range								
minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
maximum	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	15.5

^aComparability for opiate items is not exact. Self-reported opiate use refers specifically to heroin, while urinalysis results may include a small percentage of other opiate users.

^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they used the drug in the past year. ^cQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 8: Use and Acquisition of METHAMPHETAMINE among Adult Female Arrestees, 2002

Primary City	Arrestees Testing Positive for Methamphetamine (%)	Arrestees Reporting Methamphetamine Use (%)			Mean Number of Days in Past 30 Used Methamphetamine ^a	Acquired Methamphetamine in Past 30 Days		
		Past 7 Days	Past 30 Days	Past Year		% of Arrestees	Mean Number of Days ^b	
							By Cash	By Non-cash
Albany, NY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Albuquerque, NM	12.3	11.3	12.8	18.2	8.9	13.1	8.6	
Anchorage, AK	0.0	2.4	3.6	10.3	3.8	1.0	2.0	
Charlotte, NC	0.5	1.1	1.5	2.6	4.0	1.0	1.0	
Cleveland, OH	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.4	6.9	1.0	5.7	
Denver, CO	6.8	6.3	7.6	10.9	7.7	2.6	8.5	
Des Moines, IA	24.0	21.0	24.8	30.4	6.7	9.5	9.4	
Honolulu, HI	50.0	30.0	38.3	44.7	11.1	13.0	8.4	
Indianapolis, IN	1.7	1.8	3.1	6.8	1.8	0.0	3.7	
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
New Orleans, LA	0.6	0.3	0.6	1.6	7.7	17.5	1.0	
New York, NY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Oklahoma City, OK	17.7	12.9	15.2	20.9	9.5	11.0	8.9	
Omaha, NE	12.0	6.7	8.3	13.3	9.1	30.0	8.0	
Phoenix, AZ	41.7	29.8	34.0	40.8	8.9	8.7	6.5	
Portland, OR	22.7	21.4	27.1	33.3	8.3	5.2	10.2	
Salt Lake City, UT	37.7	30.2	35.9	41.5	7.5	10.3	9.1	
San Diego, CA	36.8	27.3	29.8	36.6	10.7	11.6	9.1	
San Jose, CA	42.8	35.4	40.3	43.8	10.4	7.7	10.4	
Tucson, AZ	14.3	9.7	11.9	18.3	7.9	6.4	7.7	
Tulsa, OK	26.9	17.7	20.9	29.7	7.5	6.7	5.3	
Washington, DC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Woodbury, IA	0.0	14.8	14.8	18.5	11.5	24.0	13.7	
Median	12.0	9.7	11.9	18.2	7.7	6.4	7.7	
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	maximum	50.0	35.4	44.7	11.5	30.0	13.7	

^aQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they used the drug in the past year. ^bQuestion was asked of arrestees who said they had obtained the drug during the past 30 days.

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who acquired the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 9: Female ADAM 2002—Heavy Drug Use and Risk for Dependence

Primary City	Heavy Use ^a of Any of Five Drugs (%)	Injected Drugs, Past Year (%)	At Risk for Drug Dependence ^b (%)
Albany, NY	32.8	5.4	38.3
Albuquerque, NM	45.3	16.2	44.9
Anchorage, AK	39.1	11.6	51.2
Charlotte, NC	37.1	4.2	35.1
Cleveland, OH	39.7	4.5	47.6
Denver, CO	35.6	11.3	37.0
Des Moines, IA	35.3	10.0	47.5
Honolulu, HI	28.2	6.2	40.2
Indianapolis, IN	41.4	6.4	48.3
Laredo, TX	10.0	7.4	17.9
New Orleans, LA	30.1	8.3	35.1
New York, NY	41.0	5.4	38.9
Oklahoma City, OK	39.6	13.6	43.4
Omaha, NE	21.7	6.8	28.8
Phoenix, AZ	42.3	14.3	48.5
Portland, OR	47.8	21.6	49.4
Salt Lake City, UT	47.2	19.7	59.0
San Diego, CA	40.8	10.7	44.8
San Jose, CA	37.9	9.8	45.5
Tucson, AZ	44.4	14.2	43.6
Tulsa, OK	40.5	14.9	44.6
Washington, DC	36.0	6.3	37.5
Woodbury, IA	18.5	15.4	30.8
Median	39.1	10.0	43.6
Range	minimum	10.0	17.9
	maximum	47.8	59.0

^aHeavy use is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as 13 or more days of self-reported consumption of a drug in a 30-day period in the year before the interview. The five drugs considered here are cocaine, heroin, marijuana, methamphetamine, and PCP.

^bDependence, an indication of need for treatment, is measured by a clinically based dependency screen for drug use experiences during the previous year.

Note: Questions were asked of arrestees who reported using drugs.

Table 10: Female ADAM 2002—Heavy Alcohol Use and Risk for Dependence

Primary City	Binge Drinking, Past 30 Days ^a (%)	Heavy Drinking, Past 30 Days ^b (%)	At Risk for Alcohol Dependence ^c (%)
Albany, NY	35.5	24.2	27.0
Albuquerque, NM	46.0	27.4	32.8
Anchorage, AK	52.9	28.2	45.3
Charlotte, NC	30.7	19.0	22.2
Cleveland, OH	37.5	24.4	26.5
Denver, CO	46.0	22.5	30.1
Des Moines, IA	45.5	20.8	27.8
Honolulu, HI	30.9	13.6	21.7
Indianapolis, IN	38.8	25.4	32.3
Laredo, TX	23.1	15.4	3.3
New Orleans, LA	24.5	13.6	13.4
New York, NY	15.8	13.2	16.7
Oklahoma City, OK	39.7	19.2	25.7
Omaha, NE	33.3	13.3	18.6
Phoenix, AZ	32.7	18.6	23.4
Portland, OR	19.5	4.6	10.3
Salt Lake City, UT	28.1	13.2	21.3
San Diego, CA	34.8	16.4	28.4
San Jose, CA	34.0	18.9	25.2
Tucson, AZ	43.4	23.0	28.9
Tulsa, OK	34.5	23.0	29.5
Washington, DC	36.0	12.2	25.0
Woodbury, IA	51.9	22.2	52.0
Median	34.8	19.0	25.7
Range			
minimum	15.8	4.6	3.3
maximum	52.9	28.2	52.0

^aBinge drinking is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as consumption of five or more drinks on at least one occasion in a month.

^bHeavy drinking is defined here, as in the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as consumption of five or more drinks on five or more occasions in a month.

^cDependence, an indication of need for treatment, is measured by a clinically based dependency screen for alcohol use experiences during the previous year.

Note: Questions were asked of arrestees who reported consuming alcohol.

Table 11: Female ADAM 2002—Last Drug Buy with Cash Was Outdoors

Primary City	Percent Whose Most Recent Buy with Cash Was in an Outdoor Location				
	Marijuana	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine
Albany, NY	0.0	27.3	66.7	50.0	0.0
Albuquerque, NM	25.0	18.4	7.1	5.6	0.0
Anchorage, AK	0.0	40.0	38.5	0.0	0.0
Charlotte, NC	30.0	22.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
Cleveland, OH	30.6	54.1	28.6	20.0	0.0
Denver, CO	21.7	43.2	50.0	60.0	14.3
Des Moines, IA	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Honolulu, HI	50.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	44.4
Indianapolis, IN	19.4	26.2	7.1	33.3	0.0
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
New Orleans, LA	75.0	80.0	92.9	73.7	50.0
New York, NY	60.0	83.3	66.7	75.0	0.0
Oklahoma City, OK	10.4	15.8	11.8	0.0	3.8
Omaha, NE	33.3	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	8.3	21.3	38.5	53.8	11.1
Portland, OR	25.0	53.8	75.0	55.6	12.5
Salt Lake City, UT	30.0	15.8	33.3	66.7	8.3
San Diego, CA	28.6	40.0	40.0	50.0	18.4
San Jose, CA	26.7	28.6	0.0	0.0	16.7
Tucson, AZ	22.7	23.9	11.8	45.5	0.0
Tulsa, OK	12.5	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Washington, DC	66.7	63.6	0.0	80.0	0.0
Woodbury, IA	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Median	25.0	28.6	28.6	33.3	0.0
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	maximum	75.0	100.0	100.0	50.0

Note: In sites where the number of arrestees who bought the drug was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 12: Female ADAM 2002—Any Failed Drug Buy in the Past 30 Days

Primary City	Percent Reporting Failed Drug Buy in Past 30 Days				
	Marijuana	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine
Albany, NY	37.5	42.9	0.0	50.0	0.0
Albuquerque, NM	32.1	55.3	43.8	33.3	46.2
Anchorage, AK	25.0	40.0	21.4	0.0	0.0
Charlotte, NC	47.5	60.7	35.0	0.0	0.0
Cleveland, OH	23.2	27.5	25.0	25.0	0.0
Denver, CO	31.3	50.0	35.7	10.0	18.2
Des Moines, IA	29.4	25.0	0.0	0.0	45.5
Honolulu, HI	30.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
Indianapolis, IN	30.8	31.9	6.7	33.3	0.0
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
New Orleans, LA	30.9	27.3	44.4	16.7	50.0
New York, NY	40.0	33.3	33.3	60.0	0.0
Oklahoma City, OK	29.0	25.0	22.2	50.0	24.1
Omaha, NE	57.1	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	33.3	20.4	14.3	7.7	27.1
Portland, OR	18.2	33.3	33.3	10.0	25.0
Salt Lake City, UT	20.0	20.0	23.5	27.3	16.7
San Diego, CA	35.7	40.5	0.0	18.2	50.8
San Jose, CA	42.1	25.0	100.0	0.0	37.1
Tucson, AZ	45.8	38.0	25.0	28.6	50.0
Tulsa, OK	42.1	16.7	16.7	0.0	13.3
Washington, DC	66.7	66.7	0.0	20.0	0.0
Woodbury, IA	66.7	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0
Median	32.1	33.3	22.2	16.7	16.7
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	maximum	66.7	66.7	100.0	50.8

Note: Question was asked of arrestees who said they had bought drugs with cash in the past 30 days. In sites where the number of arrestees who bought a drug with cash in the past 30 days was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 13: Female ADAM 2002—Failed Drug Buy Due to Police Activity

Primary City	Percent Reporting Most Recent Drug Buy Failed Due to Police Activity					
	Crack Cocaine	Powder Cocaine	Marijuana	Heroin	Methamphetamine	
Albany, NY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Albuquerque, NM	11.5	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	
Anchorage, AK	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	
Charlotte, NC	12.9	0.0	7.4	N/A	N/A	
Cleveland, OH	9.1	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	
Denver, CO	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Des Moines, IA	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0	
Honolulu, HI	100.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0	
Indianapolis, IN	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Laredo, TX	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	
New Orleans, LA	22.2	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	
New York, NY	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Oklahoma City, OK	8.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Omaha, NE	0.0	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	
Phoenix, AZ	20.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	10.5	
Portland, OR	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Salt Lake City, UT	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
San Diego, CA	13.3	N/A	6.7	50.0	13.3	
San Jose, CA	0.0	0.0	12.5	N/A	0.0	
Tucson, AZ	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	
Tulsa, OK	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	
Washington, DC	25.0	N/A	0.0	100.0	N/A	
Woodbury, IA	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	
Median	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	maximum	100.0	100.0	12.5	100.0	25.0

Note: Question was asked of arrestees who said they had purchased drugs with cash in the past 30 days and had at least one failed drug buy. In sites where the number of arrestees with failed drug buys in the past 30 days was small, these findings have low statistical reliability and should be interpreted cautiously. N/A = Not Applicable.

Table 14: Female ADAM 2002—Drug, Alcohol, and Mental Health Treatment Status

Primary City	Drug or Alcohol Treatment (%)				Inpatient Mental Health/ Psychiatric Treatment (%)	
	Outpatient		Inpatient or Residential		Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year
	Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year	Ever Treated	Treated in Past Year		
Albany, NY	43.8	12.7	43.8	7.9	20.3	0.0
Albuquerque, NM	22.7	8.4	33.0	10.3	18.2	0.5
Anchorage, AK	39.1	9.2	50.0	14.8	17.4	3.5
Charlotte, NC	20.2	6.8	25.1	5.2	14.2	3.0
Cleveland, OH	32.9	8.1	38.4	9.5	23.4	2.8
Denver, CO	23.0	5.4	32.2	5.9	17.2	2.1
Des Moines, IA	31.4	6.9	39.2	11.9	23.5	3.0
Honolulu, HI	21.2	3.5	25.9	4.7	23.5	4.7
Indianapolis, IN	27.3	8.0	32.4	5.5	15.5	1.7
Laredo, TX	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Orleans, LA	7.5	2.8	14.7	4.1	15.0	2.2
New York, NY	28.2	7.9	30.8	7.9	2.6	2.6
Oklahoma City, OK	15.3	2.2	33.0	5.4	19.3	1.9
Omaha, NE	20.0	5.0	21.7	5.0	18.3	5.0
Phoenix, AZ	20.6	4.4	21.4	6.0	15.5	1.6
Portland, OR	34.4	17.8	45.1	11.1	17.8	2.2
Salt Lake City, UT	35.8	13.0	41.5	13.0	22.8	1.7
San Diego, CA	23.0	6.8	30.5	9.3	15.4	2.6
San Jose, CA	25.3	7.3	22.7	5.3	12.3	2.0
Tucson, AZ	22.2	5.3	34.3	9.5	19.5	3.9
Tulsa, OK	20.4	4.1	34.0	8.8	21.1	3.5
Washington, DC	23.1	11.5	34.6	16.3	13.5	3.8
Woodbury, IA	40.7	3.7	63.0	11.1	37.0	0.0
Median	23.0	6.8	33.0	7.9	17.8	2.2
Range	minimum	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0
	maximum	43.8	17.8	63.0	16.3	5.0

Juvenile Detainee Tables

Description of Sample

Table 1. ADAM Juvenile Sample Counts and Demographic Characteristics, 2002

Drug Test Results

Table 2. Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Juvenile Male Detainees, 2002

Table 3. Urine Test Results on Drug Use among Juvenile Female Detainees, 2002

Table 1: ADAM Juvenile Sample Counts and Demographic Characteristics, 2002

Primary City	Total	Gender		Race/Ethnicity*				Age*								
		Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Birmingham, AL	59	49	10	12	46	1	0	0	1	0	7	4	15	16	14	2
Cleveland, OH	204	204	0	61	132	10	1	0	1	12	18	33	27	57	53	2
Phoenix, AZ	402	298	104	152	61	172	12	3	2	16	35	73	99	97	75	0
San Antonio, TX	152	100	52	13	13	124	2	1	3	12	30	34	48	20	1	1
San Diego, CA	225	179	46	51	57	97	16	0	5	5	29	28	44	67	43	3
Total	1,042	830	212	289	309	404	31	4	12	45	119	172	233	257	186	8

* Age and race/ethnicity may not sum to totals due to missing data.

Table 2: Urine Test Results for Juvenile Male Detainees, 2002

Primary City	Total Valid Urine Test Cases	Detainees Testing Positive for Drug (%)				
		Any Drug*	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Methamphetamine
Birmingham, AL	45	66.7	62.2	4.4	0.0	0.0
Cleveland, OH	124	59.7	57.7	10.6	2.4	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	218	72.0	67.9	11.9	0.0	13.8
San Antonio, TX	95	51.6	48.4	5.5	2.2	0.0
San Diego, CA	119	53.8	50.0	2.5	0.0	9.2
Median	119	59.7	57.7	5.5	0.0	0.0

*Any of 10 drugs, including barbiturates, benzodiazepines, cocaine, marijuana, methadone, methamphetamine, methaqualone, opiates, phencyclidine (PCP), and propoxyphene.

Table 3: Urine Test Results for Juvenile Female Detainees, 2002

Primary City	Total Valid Urine Test Cases	Detainees Testing Positive for Drug (%)				
		Any Drug*	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Methamphetamine
Birmingham, AL	9	62.5	62.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
Phoenix, AZ	80	53.2	34.2	6.3	0.0	26.3
San Antonio, TX	51	29.4	23.5	3.9	2.0	0.0
San Diego, CA	39	38.5	30.8	2.6	0.0	10.3
Median	45	45.9	32.5	5.1	0.0	5.2

*Any of 10 drugs, including barbiturates, benzodiazepines, cocaine, marijuana, methadone, methamphetamine, methaqualone, opiates, phencyclidine (PCP), and propoxyphene.