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## Executive Summary

The 25th A nnual $R$ eport to $C$ ongress has been designed to show case the data collected from states and the national studies that make up the 0 ffice of Special Education Programs'(OSEP) N ational Assessment of the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. To this end, O SEP proposed questions about the characteristics of children and students receiving services under Parts B and $C$, the settings in which they receive services, their transition from Part $C$ to Part $B$ and from school to adult life, and their disabilities Answers to the questions are shown through graphs, charts, and tables complemented by short explanatory text. T he report is divided into three sections: a national picture of children and students with disabilities served under Parts C and B ; individual profiles of states that summarize selected aspects of special education in each state; and data tables that show states' ranking regarding exiting and educational environments for Part B and early childhood intervention and settings for Part C. Some key findings from the report are presented below.

## Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA, Part C

- Both the number and the percentage of infants and toddlers served under Part C have increased steadily from 1998 to 2001. In all years, 2-year-olds were the largest proportion (53 percent) of children served under Part C (page 4).
- The racial/ ethnic composition of these children is quite similar to that of the general infant and toddler population- the majority are white, followed by H ispanic, and then black children (page 5).
- M ost infants and toddlers served under Part C in 2000 received services at home; the percentage of this population served in programs for children with developmental delay or other disabilities decreased substantially between 1996-2000 (pages 7 and 8).
- The majority of Part C infants and toddlers (62.6 percent) are eligible to transition to Part B services when they turn age 3 (page 9).


## Children Ages 3 Through 5 Served Under IDEA, Part B

- Since 1991, the number of children ages 3 through 5 who receive services under Part B of IDEA has increased steadily (page14). A s of D ecember 1, 2001, 5.2 percent of the total population of 3 - through 5 -year-olds living in the 50 states and the District of C olumbia were estimated to be receiving services (page 13).
- The majority of children ages 3 through 5 receiving special education services are white; white children also make up the majority of the general preschool population (page 16).
- In 2000, 51 percent of preschoolers received special education services in either early childhood settings or part-time early childhood/ part-time early childhood special education settings (page 17).
- Special education teachers serving children ages 3 through 5 with disabilities are primarily white and female. Six and a half percent of these preschool special teachers also report having a disability themselves (page 19).


## Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

■ On December 1, 2001, 8.9 percent of 6- through 21-year-olds were receiving special education services under IDEA. T he number of students with disabilities receiving services has increased sowly since 1992 (pages 21 and 22).

- In contrast, the number of students receiving services for autism has increased markedly, from a little less than 10,000 in 1992 to approximately 65,000 in 2001 (page 24).
- A ccording to findings from two of OSEP's $N$ ational Assessment studies, the Special Education Elementary Longitudinal Study (SEELS) and $N$ ational Longitudinal Transition Study-2 ( N LTS2), students with disabilities are more likely to be poor than students in the general population (pages 31 and 32).
- Parent reports as shown in SEELS and N LTS2 data indicate that more black students with disabilities are suspended or expelled from school than are white or H ispanic students. 0 verall, parents report that about one-third of students ages 13 through 17 with disabilities have been suspended or expelled (pages 40 and 41).
- M ost students with disabilities (around 96 percent) are being educated in regular school buildings, and almost half are in regular classooms for most of the day (page 43). H owever, 26 percent of students ages 6 through 12 with disabilities and 36 percent of students ages 13 through 17 with disabilities have been retained in grade at least once (pages 53 and 55). Even so, the proportion of high school students being educated at the typical grade level for their age has increased from 32 percent in 1987 to 53 percent in 2001 (page 55).
- In 2000-01, 47.6 percent of students ages 14 and older with disabilities exited school with a regular high school diploma. A total of 41.1 percent of students ages 14 and older with disabilities dropped out (pages 69 and 70).


## State Profiles

State profiles include number of school districts, public school enrollment, per-pupil expenditures, and percentage of children living below the poverty level. For Part B, the profiles include number of children served under IDEA, percentage exiting with a diploma, percentage dropping out, number of special education teachers, and percentage of fully certified teachers. R ace/ ethnicity and education environments data are provided in charts.

For Part C, the profiles list the lead agency for early intervention services, number of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services, percentage of infants and toddlers served in the home, and percentage of infants and toddlers served in programs for typically developing children. $R$ ace/ ethnicity and reasons for exiting early intervention are provided in charts.

## D ata Sources U sed in This R eport

The text and graphics contained in the 25th A nnual $R$ eport to $C$ ongress were developed primarily from data from the 0 ffice of Special Education Programs (O SEP) D ataA nalysis Sysem (DAN S). DAN S is a repository for all the data mandated by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) to be collected from states annually. These data include the number of infants and toddlers being served under Part C of IDEA and the settingsin which they receive program services, as well as their transtion out of Part C. The states also report early intervention services provided to this population and the personnel who are providing services For Part B, states report the number of children and students who are being served, the educational environments in which they receive education, disciplinary actions that affect them, personnel providing educational services, and their exiting from the program.

M ost of the DAN S data used in vol. 1 are included in the tables in vol. 2. Tables and graphics that use DAN S data in the vol. 2 tables include a footnote referencing the specific table. 0 ther data in vol. 1 were generated directly from the DANS data repository. These tables and graphics reference DAN S, but do not include a specific table reference.

In addition to using data from DAN S, this report presents information from O SEP's $N$ ational Assesment of the Implementation of IDEA, the National C enter for Education Statistics (NCES) Common Core of Data (CCD), the NCES-sponsored National Household Education Surveys Program (N HES), and the U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program.
$M$ any of the studies that make up O SEP's $N$ ational Assessment of the Implementation of IDEA provided data for the report. T hese studies include:

- N ational Early Intervention Longitudinal Study (N EILS);
- Pre-Elementary Education Longitudinal Study (PEELS);
- Special Education Elementary Longitudinal Study (SEELS);

■ N ational Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (N LTS2);

- Special Education Expenditure Project (SEEP);
- Study of State and Local Implementation and Impact of the Individuals with D isabilities Education Act (SLIIDEA); and
- Study of Personnel $N$ eeds in Special Education (SPeN SE).

Each of these studies is summarized below. M ore detailed information about these studies and other data reports can be obtained from theWeb sites provided with each summary.T he U R Ls provided for the studies are for general information only. The data in this report from these studies represent analyses from databases not accessible to the general public.

## OSEP's National Assessment of the Implementation of IDEA N EILS

The National Early Intervention Longitudinal Study is being conducted for OSEP by SRI International, the Frank Porter Graham Child Development Institute at the U niversity of N orth C arolina at Chapel H ill, R esearch Triangle Institute, and A merican Institutes for R esearch.
N EILS is answering the following questions:

- W ho are the children and families receiving early intervention services?
- W hat early intervention services do participating children and families receive, and how are services delivered?
- W hat are the costs of services?
- W hat outcomes do participating children and families experience?
- How do outcomes relate to variations in child and family characteristics and services provided?

N EILS includes a nationally representative sample of 3,338 children between birth and 31 months of age and their families who began early intervention services for the first time between September 1997 and N ovember 1998. The sample families were recruited in three to seven counties in each of 20 states D ata in this report come from the NEILS Initial Program Data and the N EILS Parent Survey. The N EILSWeb site is www.sri.com/neils .

## PEELS

The Pre-Elementary Education Longitudinal Study is being conducted for OSEP by Westat. R esearchers will follow over 3,000 children with disabilities as they progress through preschool and into their early elementary years. T he children are 3 through 5 years old at the start of the study. The initial phase of this study examines children's preschool experiences and outcomes, their transition to kindergarten, and their early elementary school experiences and outcomes Five research questions focus the study:

- W hat are the characteristics of children receiving preschool special education?
- W hat preschool programs and services do they receive?
- W hat are their transitions like between early intervention (programs for children from birth to 3 years old) and preschool, and between preschool and elementary school?
- W hat results do children achieve in preschool, kindergarten, and early elementary school?
- W hat factors help to produce better results?

To answer these questions, researchers conduct telephone interviews with parents of preschoolers with disabilities, one-on-one assessments of children participating in this study, and mail surveys to the children's teachers and other service providers, school principals, district administrators, and state education agency administrators. Data collection began in fall 2003 and will be repeated in fallwinter 2004-5 and fall-winter 2005-6. T he PEELSWeb site is: www.PEELS.org/ . D ata from PEELS will be included in future reports.

## SEELS

The Special Education Elementary Longitudinal Study is a study of school-age students receiving special education services and is being conducted for O SEP by SR I International and Westat. From 2000 to 2006, SEELS will document the school experiences of a national sample of students as they move from elementary to middle school and from middle to high school. SEELS is designed to assess changes over time in students' educational, social, vocational, and personal development.

SEELS involves a large, nationally representative sample of students in special education who were ages 6 through 12 in 1999. Students were selected randomly from roters of students in special education provided by local education agencies and state-operated, special schools for the deaf and blind that agreed to participate in the study. Statistical summaries generated from SEELS will generalize to special education students nationally as a group, to each of the 13 federal special education disability categories, and to each single-year age cohort. Data in this report are from the SEELS Parent Survey. The SEELS Web site is: www.seels.net/ .

## N LT S2

The N ational Longitudinal Transition Study-2 is a follow-up of the original NLTS. The study is being conducted for OSEP by SR I International with assistance from Westat and RTI International. N LT S2 includes 11,276 youth nationwide who were ages 13 through 16 in 2001 and in at least 7th grade at the start of the study.T he study is collecting information over a 9 -year period from parents, youth, and schools and will provide a national picture of the experiences and achievements of young people as they transition into early adulthood. The study will:

- Describe the characteristics of secondary school students in special education and their households;
- Describe the secondary school experiences of students in special education, including their schools, school programs, related services, and extracurricular activities;
- Describe the experiences of students once they leave secondary school, including adult programs and services, social activities, etc.;
- M easure the secondary school and postschool outcomes of students in the education, employment, social, and residential domains; and
- Identify factors in students' secondary school and postschool experiences that contribute to positive outcomes.

Data in this report are from the N LTS2 Parent Survey and the N LT S2 School Survey. The N LT S2 Web site is. www.nlts2.org/ .

## SEEP

The Special Education Expenditure Project, being conducted for OSEP by the American Institutes for $R$ esearch in Palo Alto, C alifornia, examines resource allocation to special education programs. The study investigates the ways in which special education funds are used to enable special education students to meet the expectations of their individualized education program.

The study examines how resources are allocated among various special education programs and how the use of resources varies across schools and districts. The study also investigates total expenditure on special education, average per pupil expenditures for special education programs and services, patterns of resource allocation, and patterns of services to different categories of students. The study is designed to provide in-depth information about and analysis of:

- The detailed costs associated with the provision of special education services;
- The extent to which expenditures vary according to the type of student, placement, school, district, or state with which they are associated;
- C hanges in the rates and patterns of identification of students with disabilities and how these vary by the type of school, district, or state with which the student is associated; and
- How movements toward addressing the needs of special education students in the least restrictive setting, toward the blending of funds from different revenue sources, and toward increasing services to preschool students have affected patterns of resource allocation.

Data in this report are from the SEEP District and School Surveys. The SEEP Web site is: http:// csef.air.org/.

## SLIIDEA

The State and Local Implementation and Impact of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (SLIIDEA) is a national assessment conducted for O SEP by Abt Associates. SLIIDEA collects data from all 50 states, as well as a nationally representative sample of districts and schools that serve children with disabilities, through a combination of surveys, interviews, classroom observations, and document review. The study is designed to measure change over time by collecting data at several points over a 5 -year period, beginning in 2000. This longitudinal study is answering the following research questions:

- How is IDEA being implemented?
- W hat is the status of a number of issues identified in IDEA?
- W hat contextual factors influence the implementation of the legislation?
- W hat is the relationship between implementation and results?
- W hat are the intended and unintended outcomes of the legislation?
- W hat are the critical and emerging issues in states, districts, and schools?

D ata from SLIIDEA used in this report are from the SLIIDEA State, District, and School Surveys. The SLIIDEA Web site is: http:// www.abt.sliidea.org/ .

## SPeN SE

The Study of Personnel $N$ eeds in Special Education (SPeN SE) is a national assessment conducted for $O$ SEP by Westat. It was designed to address concerns about nationwide shortages in the number of personnel serving students with disabilities and the need for improvement in the qualifications of those employed. Part of the $N$ ational Assessment of IDEA mandated by Congress, SPeN SE examined (a) the extent to which personnel are adequately prepared to serve students with disabilities, (b) variation in personnel preparation, and (c) factors that explain that variation.

SPeN SE included personnel from a nationally representative sample of districts, intermediate education agencies, and state schools for students with vision and hearing impairments. $O$ ver 8,000 local administrators, preschool teachers, general and special education teachers, speech-language pathologists, and paraprofessionals participated in telephone interviews during the 1999-2000 school year.

SPeN SE provides information on the quality of the workforce nationally, within each geographic region, and within and across personnel categories. The SPeN SE Web site is: http:// ferdig.coe.ufl.edu/ spense/ . D ata in this report are from the SPeN SE Service Provider Survey.

## NCES

The National C enter for Education Statistics is the primary federal entity for collecting and analyzing data that are related to education in the U nited States and other nations. N CES is located within the U.S. Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences

N CES fulfills a congressional mandate to collect, collate, analyze, and report complete statistics on the condition of American education; conduct and publish reports; and review and report on education activities internationally. NCES statistics and publications are used by Congress, other federal agencies, state education agencies, educational organizations, the news media, researchers, and the public.

## NHES

The National Household Education Surveys Program is a data collection system of NCES that is designed to address a wide range of education-related issues. It provides descriptive data on the educational activities of the U.S. population and offers policymakers, researchers, and educators a variety of statistics on the condition of education in the $U$ nited States.

N H ES surveys have been conducted in spring of 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996, 1999, 2001, and 2003. The NHESWeb site is: http:// nces.ed.gov/ nhes/ .

## CCD

The Common Core of Data is the Department of Education's primary database on public elementary and secondary education in the U nited States. CCD is a comprehensive, annual, national statistical database of all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts that contains data that are designed to be comparable across all states.

CCD comprises five surveys sent to state education departments. M ost of the data are obtained from administrative records maintained by the state education agencies. Statistical information is collected annually from public elementary and secondary schools, public school districts, and the 50 states, the District of C olumbia, Puerto R ico, Department of Defense schools, and the outlying areas. This report uses information from the CCD for 1999-2000, 2000-01, and 2001-02, as noted in the text.

## U.S. Census Bureau

Each year, the Population Estimates Program of the U.S. C ensus Bureau publishes estimates of the resident population for each state and county M embers of the Armed Forces on active duty stationed outside the United States, military dependents living abroad, and other U nited States citizens living abroad are not included in these estimates T hese population estimates are produced by age, sex, race, and H ispanic origin. The state population estimates are solely the sum of the county population estimates, The reference date for county estimates is July 1.

Estimates are used in federal funding allocations, as denominators for vital rates and per capita time series, as survey controls, and in monitoring recent demographic changes.W ith each new issue of July 1 estimates, the estimates for years are revised back to the last census. Previously published estimates are superseded and archived. See the C ensusBureau's document Estimates and Projections A rea D ocumentation State and C ounty Total Population Estimatesfor more information about how population estimates are produced.

The Census files used in this report include the following:

- U.S. Bureau of the C ensus. Population data for 2000 and 2001 retrieved 0 ctober 2003 from http:// www.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/STCH-6R .CSV.T his file is now archived as http:// census.gov/ popest/ archives/ 2000s/ vintage_2002/ ST-EST 2002/ STCH-6R .txt/ .
- U.S. Bureau of the C ensus, Population data for 1999 retrieved 0 ctober 2000 from http:// www.census.gov/ popest/ archives/ 1990s/ stas/ st-99-10.txt/ .
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population data for 1998 retrieved $O$ ctober 1999. This file is no longer available on theWeb site.


## Introduction

During the two decades that the annual reports to Congress have been published, these documents have undergone several minor stylistic changes and one major substantive redesign and refocus. In 1997, O SEP adopted a policy-oriented approach to the annual report to C ongress. T he results of this shift were first seen in the 1998 annual report, which used a four-section modular format. The 2002 A nnual $R$ eport to $C$ ongress was the fifth volume to include four sections - Context/Environment, Student C haracteristics, Programs and Services, and $R$ esults- plus a separate appendix of data tables.

The 5 -year period since the introduction of the modular format has provided sufficient time for O SEP to evaluate the current approach and to suggest a redesign of the report. The implementation of the No C hild Left Behind A d (NCLB) in 2001 amplified the importance of the annual report to Congress. IDEA focuses on accountability and results. As the President's C ommission on Excellence in Special Education pointed out, this emphasis means that Congress and the public must know that IDEA is implemented effectively and that federal funds are well spent.

This annual report focuses on three goals. First, the report is congruent with N CLB.T his means that the annual report focuses on results and accountability throughout the text. T he second goal is to make the report more useful to C ongress, parents, each state, and other stakeholders. This report concentrates on a more readable and user-friendly style. It focuses on key state performance data in accordance with the recommendations of the President's C ommission. O SEP's third goal is to make the report more visually appealing.

The 25th A nnual R eport to C ongress has two volumes The first volume focuses on the children and students being served under IDEA and provides profiles of individual states' special education environment. In the national picture reported in the first section, the child/ student-focused material is presented in a question-and-answer format. It contains three subsections infants and toddlers served under IDEA, Part C ; children ages 3-21 served under IDEA, Part B; and students ages 6-21 served under IDEA, Part B. All information available about each group of children and students is presented in one section. Each subsection focuses on available results. All available data relevant to O SEP's Government Performance and R esultsAct (GPR A) indicators are included in this section. To the extent possible, the data are presented through graphics, short tables, and bulleted text.

The second section of vol. 1 contains state-level performance data. T hese state profiles, which provide all of the key information about a state on one or two pages, will be valuable to Congress and other stakeholders who are interested in individual state performance. The state profiles are a new feature of the annual report.

The third section of vol. 1 contains the rank-order tables O SEP uses as part of its continuous improvement and focused monitoring program. These tables are also a new feature of the annual report.

Vol. 2 contains all of the state reported data tables from DAN S. O SEP's goal in separating the text of the report from the extensive tables is to make the report usable to all readers.T he tables are also posted on www.IDEAdata.org/ .

The artwork for the covers of the report and its divider pages was graciously provided by VSA (Vision, Strength, Artistic expression) arts. ${ }^{1}$

Founded in 1974 by Jean Kennedy Smith as an affiliate of The John F. Kennedy C enter for the Performing Arts, V SA arts is an international organization that creates learning opportunities through the arts for people with disabilities. The organization offers arts-based programs in creative writing, dance, drama, music and the visual arts implemented primarily through its vast affiliate network in 39 states and the District of C olumbia, collaborators in 10 states, and 70 international affiliates in 67 countries.VSA arts programs now serve 4.3 million Americans and 1.3 million people in other parts of the world.


FLOWERS FOR MOMMA
© 2001 Nikki Wolfson, FL
Image provided courtesy of $\mathrm{VSA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ arts, www.vsarts.org
"Art shapes my world when I draw flowers to music."

## Infants and Toddlers Served U nder IDEA, Part C

The Education of the H andicapped Act Amendments of 1986 established the Early Intervention Program for Infants and Toddlers with D isabilities under Part H (now Part C) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The program assists states in developing and implementing a statewide, comprehensive, coordinated, multidisciplinary, interagency system to make early intervention services available to all children with disabilities from birth through age 2.

This program is based on the premise that early intervention in the lives of children with disabilities and their families provides greater opportunities for improving developmental outcomes.

## Trends in Numbers and Percentages of Infants and Toddlers Served

H ow many infants and toddlers receive early intervention services?
Figure 1-1. Number of Infants and Toddlers Served Under Part C of IDEA:
1998 Through 2001


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH1 in vol. 2 of this report. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- On December 1, 2001, IDEA, Part C was serving 247,433 infants and toddlers.
- The number of children served under IDEA, Part C increased 31 percent between 1998 and 2001-from 189,462 to 247,433.

2 Data for 2000 were revised since the 24th Annual $R$ eport.Twelve states or outlying areas revised their child count for 2000.

- The largest single-year increase in the number of infants and toddlers served was 13 percent. The number of children served increased from 206,111 in 1999 to 232,815 in 2000. ${ }^{2}$
- In all years, 2-year-olds were the largest proportion (53 percent in 2001) of children served under Part C. Infants less than 1 year old comprised 15 percent of all infants and toddlers served in 2001.
- From 1998 to 2001, the growth in the number of infants and toddlers served was slowest for the infants less than 1 year old ( 18 percent). The growth in the number of infants and toddlers who were 1 and 2 years old was 28 percent and 36 percent, respectively.


## W hat percentage of the birth-through-2-year-old population is served by Part C?

Figure 1-2. Change in the Percentage of the Birth-Through-2-Year-Old Population Served Under Part C: 1998 Through 2001 ${ }^{a /}$

a/ Percentage of population is calculated by dividing the count of children served by the total general population estimates for children in this age range for that year.
b/ Data from 50 states and the District of Columbia.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH7 in vol. 2 of this report. Population data for 1998 through 1999 are July estimates as of the date of the first release. These estimates are based on the 1990 decennial Census. For 2000 and 2001, population data are July 1 estimates, released October 2003. These data are based on the 2000 decennial Census. The population estimates are from the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

- The percentage of infants and toddlers served under Part C increased from 1.6 percent in 1998 to 2.1 percent in 2001.


## The Race/Ethnicity of Children Served ${ }^{3}$

## W hat is the race/ ethnidty of the infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services?

Figure 1-3. Racial/Ethnic Composition of Children Served Under IDEA in 2001 and the National Birth-Through-2 Population ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

a/ Data are for the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH7 in vol. 2. The population data are July 1 estimates for 2001 released in October 2003. The Census' multiracial category was apportioned into each of the five single race/ethnicity categories in proportion to each category's relative size. These estimates are based on the 2000 decennial Census and come from the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

- The racial/ ethnic composition of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services is similar to the racial/ ethnic composition of the general population of infants and toddlers.
- M ost infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services are white.
- H ispanic children are the next largest racial/ ethnic group who are served under Part C, followed by black children.

3 The race/ ethnicity categories presented here are those used by the $O$ ffice of Special Education Programs to collect the IDEA, Section 618 data. 0 ther racial/ ethnic categories or combinations of racial/ ethnic categories are used in other data included in this report.

N EILS, part of O SE P's N ational A ssessment, is a longitudinal study that is following more than 3,300 infants and toddlers with disabilities or at risk for disabilities and their families through their experiences in early intervention and into early elementary school.

The study is providing
information about the charaderistics of children and families, the services they receive, and
the outcomes
they experience

## Age at Entry to Early Intervention Services

 D oes the age of entry into early intervention services differ by disability?Figure 1-4. Average Age of Entry Into Early Intervention by Disability-Related Condition: 1997-98


Source: NEILS Initial Program Data.

- It appears that younger infants and toddlers are more likely to have either a diagnosed condition or are at risk compared to older infants and toddlers, who are more likely to have a developmental delay.T hree-month-olds are the most likely to have a diagnosed condition, while 6 -month-olds are most likely to be at risk.
- The majority of infants and toddlers who enter with a developmental delay are 27 months old or greater.
- C hildren begin receiving early intervention most often in the first 9 months after birth, or when they are approximately 28 months of age.


## Trends in Early Intervention Service Settings

## W hat is the primary service setting of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services?

Figure 1-5. Percentage of Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities Served in Various Settings: 1996 and 2000

a/ The percentage of children being served in residential facilities is too small to register on the chart.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH3 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- In 2000, most ( 71.8 percent) infants and toddlers were being served primarily in the home, followed by 10.9 percent being served in a program for children with developmental delays or disabilities, and 10.0 percent in a service provider location.
- Between 1996 and 2000, the percentage of infants and toddlers being served primarily in a program for children with developmental delays or disabilities decreased by more than 50 percent, while the percentage of those being served primarily in the home increased by more than 15 percent. All other settings differed by a maximum of 3 percent between 1996 and 2000.


## D oes the primary early intervention setting differ by race/ ethnidity?

Table 1-1. Percentage of Children by Early Intervention Setting and Race/Ethnicity: 2000

| Setting | All | American Indian/Alaska Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Black (not Hispanic) | Hispanic | White (not Hispanic) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home | 71.8 | 76.0 | 76.1 | 65.5 | 68.1 | 74.3 |
| Hospital (inpatient) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Programs for children with developmental delays or disabilities | 10.9 | 7.9 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 9.5 |
| Programs for typically developing children | 4.3 | 7.9 | 2.8 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| Residential facility | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Service provider location | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 13.6 | 9.2 |
| Other settings | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Tables AH3 and AH10 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- M ost children in all racial/ ethnic groups receive early intervention services primarily in the home or in programs for typically developing children. American Indian/A laska $N$ ative children are most often served in these settings ( 83.9 percent), followed by Asian/ Pacific Islander (78.9 percent) and white children ( 78.5 percent). H ispanic (71.2 percent) and black ( 72.5 percent) infants and toddlers are somewhat less likely to be served in these settings.


## Infants and Toddlers Exiting Part $\mathbf{C}^{4}$

## W hat happens when children reach age 3 and no longer receive early intervention services?

Figure 1-6. Percentage of Children Transitioning From Part C at Age 3, by Exiting Category: 2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a/ Does not include information on children who complete their individualized family services plan (IFSP), no longer require services, and exit before age 3.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH4. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- The majority (62.6 percent) of Part C children are eligible for Part B services when they turn age 3 . Some children exit Part C at age 3 without determination of their eligibility for Part B (17.4 percent). Children specifically deemed ineligible for Part B services either exit to another program (12.0 percent) or leave with no referral to another program ( 8.0 percent).

4 Under Part C of IDEA, states must "... ensure a smooth transition for toddlers receiving early intervention services.. to preschool or other appropriate services" (IDEA, §637(a)(8)).

## W hat are the differences in exiting categories for children in different radal/ ethnic groups who are exiting Part C at age 3?

Figure 1-7. Percentage of Children Transitioning From Part C at Age 3, by Exiting Category and Race/Ethnicity: 2000-01


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AH11 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- American Indian/ Alaska N ative (66.8 percent) and white infants and toddlers ( 65.8 percent) were somewhat more likely to be determined Part B eligible than were H ispanic ( 61.6 percent), A sian/ Pacific Isander ( 59.6 percent), and black ( 56.4 percent) infants and toddlers.
- Black infants and toddlers were more likely than other racial/ ethnic groups to have their Part B eligibility undetermined (21.1 percent), followed by N ative American/ Alaska N ative (15.9 percent) and Hispanic (15.9 percent).


## The Impact of Early Intervention Services on Infants and Toddlers Served ${ }^{5}$

## W hat progress do infants and toddlers make in their communications skills while receiving early intervention services?

Figure 1-8. How Well Child Makes Needs Known at Entry and at 36 Monthsad 1997-98

a/ Only children 12 months of age or older were evaluated for communication.
5 The data presented here are exemplary of the type of information collected by N EILS on the impact of early intervention services on infants and toddlers receiving these services. Additional data on the impact of early intervention services can be found on the N EILSWeb site, www.sti.com/neils/

Figure 1-9. Change in Others' Understanding of Child's Speech Between Time of Entry and at 36 Months $^{\text {a }}$ : 1997-98

a/ Only children 12 months of age or older were evaluated for speech.
Source: NEILS Parent Survey.

- M ore than two-thirds of children (59 percent) who were 12 months old at entry had a positive change in their speech.
- About a third of infants and toddlers (32 percent) experienced no change in their speech, and 9 percent experienced a decline in their ability to be verbally understood.


## C hildren Ages 3 Through 21 Served U nder IDEA, Part B ${ }^{6}$

Part B of IDEA provides funds to states to assist them in providing a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to children with disabilities who are in need of special education and related services To be eligible for funding under this program, a state must make FAPE available to all disabled children residing in the state, ages 3 through 21, except that they are not required to serve children ages 3 through 5 and ages 18 through 21 if serving such children is inconsistent with state law or practice or the order of any court. The act has four primary purposes: to ensure that all children with disabilities have FAPE available to them with special education and related services designed to meet their individual needs, to ensure that the rights of children with disabilities and their families are protected, to assist states and localities in providing education for all children with disabilities, and to assess and ensure the effectiveness of efforts to educate children with disabilities.

In 1997 C ongress made significant changes to IDEA, going beyond ensuring educational equity for children with disabilities.W ith access to public schools al ready guaranteed for 6.4 million children with disabilities, the 1997 reauthorization of IDEA set educators' and policymakers' sights on setting higher expectations and improving achievement for these students, as well as on ensuring positive transitions to work or postsecondary education after graduation.

## Children Ages 3 Through 5 Served Under IDEA, Part B

IDEA requires states to have policies and procedures in effect to ensure the provision of FAPE to all 3- through 5 -year-olds with disabilities in order to be eligible for funds under the Preschool Grants Program and other IDEA funds targeted to children ages 3 through 5 with disabilities. States may also, at their discretion, serve 2 -year-olds who will turn 3 during the school year.

## H ow many preschoolers are served under ID EA , Part B ??

- On D ecember 1, 2001, a total of 620,195 children ages 3 through 5 were served under Part B. Of these, 612,084 were served in the 50 states and the District of C olumbia. This number represents 5.2 percent of the total population of 3 - through 5 -year-olds living in the states and the District of C olumbia. ${ }^{8}$
- Of the total number of preschoolers receiving special education services, 21.9 percent were 3 years old, 35.8 percent were 4 years old, and 42.3 percent were 5 years old.

6 D ata from individual states impact these national data; in particular, data from one large state show many more 4-year-olds served than 5 -year-olds served in 2001. No explanation was provided by the state for the pattern observed.
7 Source: U.S. Department of Education, $O$ ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).Tables AA1,AA8, and AF7. D ata are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto R ico, and the outlying areas.
8 The percentage of general population was calculated using the July 1 population estimates for 2001 released 0 ctober 2003. The number served in the 50 states and the District of Columbia was divided by the general U.S. population estimate for children in this age range.

A s part of its $N$ ational A ssessment, 0 SEP is funding the Preelementary E arly Education L ongitudinal Study (PEELS).The study focuses on the characteristics of children recėving preschool special education; the
programs and services they receive; ther experiences in transitioning from early intervention
programs to preschool and from preschool to elementary school; the results they adhieve in preschool, kindergarten, and early elementary school; and the factors that contribute to better results

## H ow has the number of preschoolers served under Part B changed over the past 10 years?

Figure 1-10. Number of Preschoolers Served Under IDEA, Part B: 1991 Through 2001 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a/ For 1991 through 1994, the counts include children served under Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP). For 1991 only, children served under Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP) are only included in the total count because the data were not disaggregated by age year. Beginning in 1994-95, all special education services to children and youth with disabilities were provided only through IDEA, Part B. Data for 2000 were revised since the 24th Annual Report to Congress on Implementation of IDEA. Twelve states revised their child count for 2000.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Tables AA8 and AA9 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- Since 1991, the number of preschoolers served under Part B grew from 422,217 to 620,195 . T his is an increase of 197,978 preschoolers or a 46.9 percent growth in the number of children served.
- The number of preschoolers served under Part B increased for each age year. From 1991 to 2001, the number of 3 -year-olds served increased 93.6 percent, the number of 4 -year-olds served increased 75.9 percent, and the number of 5 -year-olds served increased 30.7 percent.


## The Race/Ethnicity of Preschoolers Served ${ }^{9}$

W hat is the raial/ ethnic composition of the preschool ID EA population?
Figure 1-11. Racial/Ethnic Composition of Children Ages 3 Through 5 Served Under IDEA and the National Preschool Population, Part B: 2001-02 ${ }^{a}$

a/ Data are for the 50 states and the District of Columbia.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Tables AA14 and AF7 in vol. 2. The population data are July 1 estimates for 2001 released October 2003. The Census' multiracial category was apportioned into each of the five single race/ethnicity categories in proportion to each category's relative size. These estimates are based on the 2000 decennial Census and come from the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

- In the 50 states and the District of C olumbia, the largest percentage of preschoolers served under Part B were white ( 67.2 percent). W hite children also composed the largest percentage of the preschool population ( 61.0 percent).
- The percentage of Hispanic preschoolers served under Part B (13.8 percent) is somewhat smaller than the percentage of H ispanic preschoolers in the general population (19.2 percent). This was also true for A sian/ Pacific Islanders; the percentage of Asian/Pacific Isander preschoolers served under Part B (2.3 percent) was smaller than the percentage of Asian/ Pacific Islander preschoolers in the population (4.0 percent).
- The percentages of A merican Indian/ Alaska $N$ ative and black preschoolers served under Part B were slightly larger ( 1.2 percent and 15.5 percent, respectively) than in the general population ( 0.9 percent and 14.9 percent, respectively).

States report
race/ ethniaity data
in five categories:
A merican Indian/

A laska $N$ ative,

A sian/ Padific

Islander, Black
(not H ispanic),
H ispanic, and
W hite (not
Hispanic).

9 The race/ ethnicity categories presented here are those used by the 0 ffice of Special Education Programs to collect the IDEA, Section 618 data. O ther racial/ ethnic categories or combinations of racial/ ethnic categories are used in other data included in this report.

## W hat is the likelihood of children ages 3 through 5 in each radial/ ethnic group being served under ID EA , Part B, as compared to that of all other children ages 3 through 5 ?

Risk ratios compare the proportion of a particular racial/ethnic group served under Part B to the proportion of all other racial/ethnic groups combined. A risk ratio of 1.0 indicates no difference between the racial/ ethnic groups.

Table 1-2. Risk Ratios by Race/Ethnicity for Children Ages 3 Through 5 Served Under IDEA, Part B: 2001-02 ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

| Race/ethnicity | Child count | 3-5 population | Risk index ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Risk ratio ${ }^{c /}$ vs. <br> all other children |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 7,445 | 108,371 | 6.87 | 1.30 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 13,825 | 465,807 | 2.97 | 0.55 |
| Black (not Hispanic) | 94,880 | $1,722,543$ | 5.51 | 1.05 |
| Hispanic | 84,570 | $2,222,419$ | 3.81 | 0.67 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 411,364 | $7,056,878$ | 5.83 | 1.31 |
| Race/ethnicity total | $\mathbf{6 1 2 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 7 6 , 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 9}$ | N/A |

a/ Data are for the 50 states and the District of Columbia.
b/ Risk indexes were calculated by dividing the number of children with disabilities in the racial/ethnic group by the total number of children in the racial/ethnic group.
d Overall risk ratios were calculated by dividing the risk index for the racial/ethnic group by the risk index for all other students.
d/ The race/ethnicity total may not equal the Part B total for the 50 states and D.C. because not all children were reported by race/ethnicity.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Tables A14 and AF7 in vol. 2. Population data are July 1 estimates for 2001 released October 2003. The Census' multiracial category was apportioned into each of the five single race/ethnicity categories in proportion to each category's relative size. These estimates are based on the 2000 decennial Census and come from the Population Estimates Program, Census Bureau, Population Division.

- American Indian/ Alaska $N$ ative children ages 3 through 5 were 1.3 times more likely to be served under Part B than all other groups combined.
■ W hite children ages 3 through 5 were 1.3 times more likely to be served under Part B than all other groups combined.
- A sian/ Pacific Islander children ages 3 through 5 were just over half as likely to be served under Part B than all other groups combined.


## Trends in Preschool Service Settings

W hat is the primary service setting for preschoolers with disabilities?
Figure 1-12. Percentage of Preschoolers with Disabilities Served in Various Settings: 2000-01

a/ Other includes residential facilities, separate schools, itinerant services outside the home, and reverse mainstream ${ }^{10}$ preschool environments.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB1 Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- In 2000, 51 percent of preschoolers received special education services in either early childhood settings or part-time early childhood/ part-time special education settings.
- O nly 3 percent of preschoolers were served primarily at home.

■ A total of 14.6 percent of preschoolers were served in other settings, including residential facilities, separate schools, itinerant services outside the home, or reverse mainstream settings.

10 The reverse mainstream setting is an educational program designed primarily for children with disabilities that includes 50 percent or more children without disabilities

## D o service settings for preschoolers differ by radial/ ethnic group?

Figure 1-13. Preschool Service Setting by Racial/Ethnic Group: 2000-01


Early childhood setting
Early childhood special education setting
$\square$ Part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education setting


O Othera/
a/ Other includes residential facilities, separate schools, itinerant services outside the home, and reverse mainstream preschool environments.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB9 in vol. 2.
Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- American Indian/ Alaska $N$ ative preschoolers with disabilities are more likely to receive special education and related services in early childhood settings than are children from any other group ( 44.6 percent).
- Asian/ Pacific Islander preschoolers with disabilities are most likely to receive special education and related services in early childhood special education settings than are children from any other group (46.3 percent).
- Black preschoolers with disabilities are more likely than other preschool children to receive special education and related services in a part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education setting (18.3 percent).
- Hispanic and white preschoolers with disabilities are more likely than other preschool children to receive special education and related services in "other" settings (14.7 percent and 15.1 percent, respectively).


## Workforce

## W hat are the charaderistics of teachers who serve preschoolers with spedial needs?

During the 2000-01 school year, there were 34,342 special education teachers serving preschoolers with disabilities in the U nited States and outlying areas. A bout 88.8 percent of them were fully certified for their positions ${ }^{11}$ According to the Study of Personnel $N$ eeds in Special Education (SPeN SE):

- 98.6 percent were female;
- 90.0 percent were white;
- 6.4 percent were Hispanic; and
- 6.5 percent have a disability.

The average preschool special education teacher serves 14 children, and 72 percent of preschool special education teachers serve children ages birth to 5 exclusively.

## H ow do preschool special education teachers spend their time?

Figure 1-14. How Preschool Special Education Teachers Spend Their Time: 2000


[^0]Source: SPeNSE Service Provider Survey. The percentages above are based on the mean number of hours spent per week on each activity. Preschool teachers worked 49.9 hours per week on average.

SPeN SE, another
component of
O SE P's N ational

A ssessment
studies, described
the quality of the
workforce serving
children and
youth with
disabilities and
fadors affeding
workforce quality.

11 These figures are from DAN S, Table AC 1 in vol. 2.; other data are from SPeN SE. See http:// ferdig.coe.ufl.edu/ spense/ for more information on preschool teachers and other special education personnel.

## H ow long do preschool special education teachers intend to stay in the field?

Figure 1-15. How Long Preschool Special Education Teachers Intend To Stay in the Field, as Compared to All Special Education Teachers: 2000


Source: SPeNSE Service Provider Survey .

- Almost 70 percent of preschool special education teachers are planning to remain in the field until they retire or as long as possible.


## Students A ges 6T hrough 21 Served U nder IDEA, Part B

Since the 1975 passage of the Education for All H andicapped Children Act (EH A, P.L. 94-142), the Department of Education has collected data on the number of children served under the law. Early collections of data on the number of children with disabilities served under Part B of IDEA used nine disability categories. T hrough the subsequent years and multiple reauthorizations of the act, the disability categories have been expanded to 13 and revised, and new data collections have been required.

In 1997, the law was reauthorized with several major revisions (IDEA A mendments of 1997; P.L. 105-17). O ne revision was the requirement that race/ ethnicity data be collected on the number of children served. The reauthorization also allowed states the option of reporting children ages 6 through 9 under the developmental delay category.

## H ow many 6- through 21-year-olds are served under ID E A ? ${ }^{12}$

- On December 1, 2001, a total of 5,867,234 students with disabilities in the 6- through-21 age group were served under IDEA. Of these 5,795,334 were served in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. This number represented 8.9 percent of the general 6 - through 21-year-old population living in the U nited States. ${ }^{13}$
- Based on public school enrollment, 12.1 percent of students were receiving special education and related services in 2001. ${ }^{14}$

Figure 1-16. Number and Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 21, Served Under IDEA, by Age Group, During the 2001-02 School Year


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA1 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- Almost equal numbers of 6 - through 11- and 12- through 17-year-olds received special education services in 2001.
- For the 2001-02 school year, 6- through 11-year-olds with disabilities made up 48 percent of the total served under IDEA; 12- through 17-year-olds made up 48 percent, and 18 - through 21 -year-olds made up the remainder.

12 Source: U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, DataA nalysis System (DAN S),Tables $A A 1, A A 3$, and $A F 7$ in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto R ico, and the outlying areas
13 The percentage of population was calculated using the July 1 population estimates for 2001 released O ctober 2003.T he number served in the 50 states and the District of C olumbia was divided by the general U.S. population estimate for this age range.
14 The percentage of public school enrollment was calculated using 2001-02 data from the Common C ore of Data. The total number served was divided by the total student enrollment for the 50 states, D.C. Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

## H ow has the number of 6 - through 21 -year-olds served under ID EA , Part B, changed over time?

Figure 1-17. Total Number of Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA, by Age Group: 1992-93 to 2001-02


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA9 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas

■ Since 1992-93, the number of students ages 18 through 21 served under ID EA has remained fairly constant.

- The number of 6- through 11-year-olds served under IDEA grew until 1999-2000 and has since shown small declines in the number of children served. T he number of 12 - through 17 -year- olds served under IDEA has grown each year.


## H as the disability distribution of children receiving services for speific learning disabilities and autism under Part B changed over time?

Figure 1-18. Number of Students with Specific Learning Disabilities Served Under IDEA, by Age Group: 1992-93 to 2001-02


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA9 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas,

- W hile the number of students receiving services for specific learning disabilities in the 12 -through- 17 age group has increased over the past 10 years, the number of 6 - through 11 -year-olds and 18 - through 21 -year-olds has remained steady.

Figure 1-19. Number of Students with Autism Served Under IDEA, by Age Group:

T hese data come from $N$ ational
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students receeving
special education
and related services


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA9 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- Autism was added as an optional reporting category in 1991 and was a required category beginning in 1992.
- Although autism makes up a small percentage of children served under IDEA, the number of students receiving services for autism in the 6-through-11 and 12 -through-17 age groups grew markedly over the past 10 years.


## H ow many students have co-ocuurring disabilities?

Table 1-3. Percentage of Students with Co-occurring Disabilities: 2000-01

| Children <br> (Ages 6 through 12) |  | Youth <br> (Ages 13 through 17) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One disability | $56.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| Two disabilities | $28.6 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Three disabilities | $10.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |
| Four or more disabilities | $4.5 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |

Sources: SEELS Parent Survey and NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- N early 15 percent of students with disabilities ages 6 through 12 have three or more disabilities; almost 30 percent have two disabilities; and more than half have only one disability.
- About 28 percent of students with disabilities ages 13 through 17 have three disabilities; 19 percent have two disabilities and about 43 percent have only one disability.


## In which categories are students with attention defiat disorder/ attention defiat hyperacivity disorder (A D D / A D H D ) served?

Table 1-4. Distribution of Parent-Reported Student ADD/ADHD by Primary Disability Category ${ }^{2}$ : 2000-01

| Primary IDEA category ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Percentage of ADD/ADHD <br> students served <br> /l |
| :--- | :---: |
| Specific learning disabilities | 41 |
| Speech/language impairments | 15 |
| Mental retardation | 11 |
| Emotional disturbance | 14 |
| Hearing impairments | 1 |
| Visual impairments | 0 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 1 |
| Other health impairments | 12 |
| Autism | 2 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 0 |
| Multiple disabilities | 2 |
| Deaf-blindness | 0 |
| Total | 99 |

[^1]Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

- SEELS data indicate that, overall, 27 percent of students with disabilities have ADD/ADHD, according to parent reports.
- Although students with ADD / ADHD are served under IDEA, it is not a discrete disability category. Forty-one percent of all elementary and middle school-aged students with disabilities whose parents report that their children have ADD/ADHD are served under the specific learning disabilities category, while each of four other disability categories contains more than 10 percent of these students.


## Gender

## W hat is the gender distribution for students ages 6 through 12 with disabilities?

Figure 1-20. Disability Category ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ by Gender for Students Ages 6 Through 12: 2000-01

a/ SEELS did not sample students dassified as developmentally delayed.
Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

## W hat is the gender distribution for students ages 13 through 17 with disabilities?

Figure 1-21. Disability Category by Gender for Students Ages 13 Through 17: 2000


Source: NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- According to SEELS and N LT S2, males account for almost two-thirds of students ages 6 through 17 served under IDEA. In children ages 6 through 12 , males represent 80 percent of students with emotional disturbance and 83 percent of students with autism. In those ages 13 through 17, they represent 77 percent of students with emotional disturbance and 85 percent of students with autism.

15 The race/ ethnicity categories presented here are those used by the $O$ ffice of Special Education Programs to collect the IDEA, Section 618 data. 0 ther racial/ ethnic categories or combinations of racial/ ethnic categories are used in other data included in this report.
16 Population data are July 1 estimates for 2001, based on the 2000 decennial Census. The estimates were released by the Population Estimates Program, U.S. C ensus Bureau Population Division in 0 ctober 2003.

## Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{15}$

W hat is the racal/ ethnic composition of the 6 - through 21 -year-old IDEA population?
Figure 1-22. Racial/Ethnic Composition of Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA, Part B: 2001 ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA15 in vol. 2.

- W hile 16.6 percent of children between the ages of 6 and 21 in the general population are Hispanic and 15.1 percent are black, according to 2001 population estimates, black students make up a larger proportion of students served under IDEA than do Hispanic students. ${ }^{16}$


## W hat disabilities do students ages 6 through 21 have who receive special education services?

## Table 1-5. Disability Distribution, by Race/Ethnicity, of Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA: 2001

| Disability | American Indian/Alaska Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Black (non-Hispanic) | Hispanic | White (non-Hispanic) | All students served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific learning disabilities | 56.0\% | 42.1\% | 45.4\% | 58.9\% | 48.1\% | 49.2\% |
| Speech or language impairments | 16.8 | 25.1 | 14.6 | 17.7 | 20.0 | 18.6 |
| Mental retardation | 8.2 | 9.4 | 17.4 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 10.3 |
| Emotional disturbance | 7.7 | 5.0 | 11.3 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| Multiple disabilities | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Hearing impairments | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Other health impairments | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 5.8 |
| Visual impairments | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Autism | 0.8 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Deaf-blindness | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Developmental delay | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| All disabilities | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA15 in vol. 2 Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- For all racial/ ethnic groups, more students with specific learning disabilities were served than students with any other disability in 2001.
- The percentages of white students in most di sability categories are very similar to the percentages for the ID EA student population as a whole.
- The order of the five largest disability categories is the same for four of the five race/ ethnicity groups specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairments, mental retardation, emotional disturbance, and other health impairments. For black students, however, mental retardation is the second most frequently reported disability category.
- The percentages of American Indian/ Alaska $N$ ative and Hispanic students with disabilities who received special education for specific learning disabilities are relatively higher when compared with the percentage for all students with disabilities ( 56.0 percent and 58.9 percent v. 49.2 percent). The percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander students with disabilities who have specific learning disabilities is lower than the percentage for all students with disabilities (42.1 percent v. 49.2 percent).
- The percentage of black students with specific learning disabilities is lower than the percentage of all students with specific learning disabilities served under Part B (45.4 percent v. 49.2 percent).
- The percentage of black students with disabilities who received special education services for mental retardation is substantially higher than the percentage for any other racial/ ethnic group (17.4 percent compared with 8.2 percent for American Indian/Alaska

N ative students with disabilities, 9.4 percent for Asian/ Pacific Isander students with disabilities, 8.1 percent for H ispanic students with disabilities, and 8.6 percent for white students with disabilities).

- The percentage of black students with disabilities who received special education services for emotional disturbance is considerably higher than the percentage for any other racial/ ethnic group (11.3 percent compared with 7.7 percent for American Indian/ Alaska N ative students with disabilities, 5.0 percent for A sian/Pacific Isander students with disabilities, 5.0 percent for H ispanic students with disabilities, and 8.0 percent for white students with disabilities).
- The percentage of white students with disabilities who received special education services for other health impairments is nearly twice the percentage for the nearest racial/ ethnic group ( 7.0 percent v. 4.4 percent).


## W hat is the likelihood of students ages 6 through 21 in each radal/ ethnic group being identified with a given disability as compared to that of all other students ages 6 through 21?

$R$ isk ratios compare the proportion of a particular racial/ ethnic group served under Part B to the proportion of all other racial/ ethnic groups combined. A risk ratio of 1.0 indicates no difference between the racial/ ethnic groups.

Table 1-6. Overall Risk Ratios ${ }^{a /}$ for Students Ages 6 Through 21, by Race/Ethnicity for Selected Disability Categories: 2001-02

|  | American <br> Indian/Alaska <br> Native | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Black <br> (not Hispanic) | Hispanic | White <br> (not Hispanic) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | 1.50 | 0.39 | 1.31 | 1.07 | 0.88 |
| Specific learning disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speech or language | 1.21 | 0.65 | 1.07 | 0.82 | 1.13 |
| impairments | 1.09 | 0.44 | 2.99 | 0.58 | 0.63 |
| Mental retardation | 1.25 | 0.29 | 2.21 | 0.52 | 0.87 |
| Emotional disturbance | 1.33 | 0.57 | 1.40 | 0.76 | 1.00 |
| Multiple disabilities | 1.25 | 1.20 | 1.11 | 1.19 | 0.81 |
| Hearing impairments | 0.89 | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 1.15 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 1.07 | 0.36 | 0.99 | 0.44 | 1.69 |
| Other health impairments | 1.19 | 0.94 | 1.21 | 0.89 | 0.96 |
| Visual impairments | 0.64 | 1.22 | 1.17 | 0.52 | 1.22 |
| Autism | 1.94 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 1.05 |
| Deaf-blindness | 1.25 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.62 | 1.18 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 1.98 | 0.64 | 1.65 | 0.44 | 1.06 |
| Developmental delay | $\mathbf{1 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3}$ |
| All Disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |

a/ Overall risk ratios were calculated by dividing the risk index for the racial/ethnic group by the risk index for all other students. Risk indexes were calculated by dividing the number of children with disabilities in the racial/ethnic group by the total number of children in the racial/ethnic group.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AA15 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas. Population data are July 1 estimates for 2001 released October 2003. The Census' multiracial category was apportioned into each of the five single race/ethnicity categories in proportion to each category's relative size. The estimates are based on the 2000 decennial Census and come from the Population Estimates Program, Census Bureau, Population Division.

- Black students are 2.99 times more likely to be classified as having mental retardation and 2.21 times more likely to be classified as having emotional disturbance than all other groups combined.
- American Indian/ A laska N ative students are 1.50 times more likely to be served for specific learning disabilities than all other groups combined.
- Asian/ Pacific Islander students are less than half as likely to be served for specific learning disabilities, mental retardation, emotional disturbance, or other health impairments than all other groups combined.
- H ispanic students are less than half as likely to be served for other health impairments and developmental delay than all other groups combined.


## Household Income

## W hat is the household income of families with students ages 6 through 17 who receive spedal education?

Figure 1-23. Families of Students Ages 6 Through 12, by Household Income Level and by Disability Status: 2000-01

a/ SEELS uses the federal Orshansky index to define poverty. This is adjusted for family size, and it is computed as the estimated cash to minimally meet food needs $\times 3$. It is based on income rather than resources and ignores many non-cash benefits (food stamps, school lunches, Medicaid, housing subsidies, educational grants, and loans). It ignores wealth (i.e., owning a farm is not counted). For SEELS, the parents of students with disabilities reported their household income in categories (e.g., $\$ 25,001$ - $\$ 50,000$ ) rather than a specific dollar value; thus, the poverty rates for SEELS data are estimated.

Sources: Income in 1999 for households of 6- to 13-year-olds with disabilities, SEELS Parent Survey, 2002; Income in 1997 for households with children ages 6 to 17, U.S. Census, 2001. Population income data from the National Household Education Survey (NHES), 1999.

Figure 1-24. Families of Students Ages 13 Through 17, by Household Income Level and by Disability Status: 2001

a/
A dichotomous variable indicating that a student's household was in poverty was constructed using parents' reports of household income and household size and federal poverty thresholds for 2000. These thresholds indicate the income level; however, NLTS2 respondents reported household income in categories (e.g., \$25,501 to \$30,000) rather than a specific dollar amount. Estimates of poverty status were calculated by assigning each household to the mean value of the category of income reported by the parent and comparing that value to the household's size to determine poverty status.

Sources: NLTS2 Parent Survey. Population income data are from the National Household Education Survey (NHES), 1999.

- As reported by parents, students with disabilities are more likely to be poor than students in the general population. According to SEELS and N LTS2 data, almost one-fourth ( 24 percent) of elementary and middle school students and 25 percent of high school students with disabilities live in poverty compared with 20 percent of the general population. In 1987, 38 percent of high school students with disabilities lived in poverty.


## Use of Medications

## H ow many school-age children with disabilities are taking medications?

Figure 1-25. Medication Use of Children with Disabilities, by Age Group and Type of Medicine: 2000-01


Sources: SEELS Parent Survey; NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- The use of psychotropic medications is highest among middle-school-age students. Parents report that 17 percent of 6 - through 9 -year-olds take these medications compared with 22 percent of those who were 10 - through 12 -years old and 21 percent of 13 - through 14 -year-olds. The rate declines to 18 percent among older high school students.
- Stimulants are the most commonly reported psychotropic medications, 14 percent of early elementary students take them. The rate of use rises to 18 percent for middle schoolers and declines to 11 percent of youth ages 15 through 17.

Figure 1-26. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 17 Taking Stimulant Medication and Classified as ADD/ADHD, by Gender: 2000-01


Sources: SEELS Parent Survey; NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- Boys are much more likely than girls to take stimulants, A mong boys, 19 percent of 6 - through 12 -year-olds and 15 percent of 13 - through 17 -year-olds take stimulants. This compares with 11 percent and 8 percent of girls in the two age groups.
- The high rate of taking stimulant medications among boys is consistent with the high rate of parent-reported ADD/ADHD among boys. Almost one-third of 6 - through 12 -year-old boys and 42 percent of 13 - through 17-year-old boys are reported by parents to haveADD/ADHD. R ates for girls are 20 percent and 26 percent for the two age groups

Table 1-7. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Using Medications, by Disability Category and Age: 2000-01

| Disability | Any psychotropic medication |  | Antidepressant or antianxiety medication |  | Antipsychotic medication |  | Any other psychotropic medication |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ages 6-12 | Ages 13-17 | Ages 6-12 | Ages 13-17 | Ages 6-12 | Ages 13-17 | Ages 6-12 | Ages 13-17 |
| Learning disability | 8\% | 13\% | 15\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Speech/language impairment | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Mental retardation | 24 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 12 |
| Emotional disturbance | 52 | 42 | 40 | 29 | 24 | 29 | 16 | 34 |
| Hearing impairment | 13 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Visual impairment | 12 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Orthopedic impairment | 24 | 16 | 19 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Other health impairment | 52 | 44 | 47 | 38 | 13 | 21 | 7 | 25 |
| Autism | 3 | 43 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 32 | 14 | 38 |
| Traumatic brain Injury | 25 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 19 |
| Multiple disabilities | 27 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 20 |
| Deaf-blindness | 17 | 20 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 15 |

Sources: SEELS Parent Survey; NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- Some students in each disability category take psychotropic medication.T his is due, in part, to some students in each disability category also having ADD/ADHD and emotional disturbance, according to parental reports.
- The number of students with disabilities taking psychotropic medications ranges from 10 percent or fewer of those with speech impairments to about half of children and youth with emotional disturbance or other health impairments. According to SEELS and N LTS2, among elementary and middle school students whose parents report they have ADD/ADH D, 65 percent take some kind of psychotropic medication, with 55 percent taking stimulants specifically.
- Taking timulants is highest among those with emotional disturbance or other health impairments, according to SEELS and N LTS2.
- U se of other kinds of psychotropic medications increases with age for all disability categories.


## Social Activities and Outcomes

## H ow often do children with disabilities socialize outside the dassroom?

Figure 1-27. How Often Children with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 12 Either Visit with or Receive Telephone Calls From Friends: 2000-01


Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

- According to parent reports, more than 90 percent of students with disabilities ages 6 through 12 vist with friends outside of school occasionally or frequently.
- According to the SEELS Parent Survey, the correlations between children with disabilities who received phone calls and vists from friends and other social interactions ranged from . 27 to .32 ( $p<.001$ and $p<.001$ across the relationships).

Figure 1-28. How Often Children with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 12 Received Calls From Friends, by Disability Category ${ }^{\text {a/b } / \mathrm{l}}$ : 2000-01


SEELS did not sample students with developmental delay.
b/ There were too few students with deaf/blindness to report.
Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

- As reported by parents, students with autism, multiple disabilities, mental retardation, or hearing impairments are less likely to receive telephone calls from friends.
- Students with learning disabilities receive calls from friends most frequently.


## H ow many elementary and middle school students with disabilities participate in extracurriaular adivities compared to students without disabilities?

Figure 1-29. Participation in Extracurricular Activities, by Disability Status and Activity: 2000-01

a/ Lessons include art, music, dance, foreign language, and computer skills.
b/ Community-sponsored activities includes participation in sports groups, 4-H, scouting, religious and special interest group activities, and YMCA- and YWCA-sponsored activities.

Sources: SEELS Parent Survey; National Survey of America's Families (1999).

- Almost three-fourths of elementary and middle school children with disabilities are reported by their parents to have participated in extracurricular activities during the 1999-2000 school year. T his is slightly less than the general population, according to the $N$ ational Survey of America's Families.
- Slightly over 50 percent of elementary and middle school children with disabilities, according to their parents, participate in community-sponsored activities. T his appears to be about as often as their counterparts in the general population.


## H ow do secondary school-age students with disabilities spend their time outside of school?

Figure 1-30. Activities Reported by Parents as Most Common for Students w ith Disabilities Ages 13 Through 17: 2001


Source: NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- Television and video watching is the activity most commonly reported by parents.
- According to the N LT S2 Parent Survey, parents report that youth with disabilities spend an average of almost 16 hours per week watching TV and videos. About 25 percent of youth with disabilities are relatively infrequentTV and video watchers, spending 6 hours or fewer per week watching them. A smilar percentage spend more than 20 hours a week in front of the television set.
- The survey also showed that girls are significantly more likely than boys to spend time with family members (girls: 26.0 percent, boys: 17.7 percent) and on the phone with friends (girls: 22.7 percent; boys 15.0 percent). They also are more likely than boys to spend time listening to music (girls: 37.2 percent; boys: 28.8 percent). In contrast, boys are more likely than girls to spend time playing sports or in other physical or outdoor activities (boys: 48.0 percent; girls 29.2 percent), and more boys than girls spend most of their time using the computer for electronic games, communication, or other purposes (boys: 38.6 percent; girls: 30.6 percent).


## Discipline and Social Problems at School

D o suspension and expulsion rates differ by race/ ethnidity?
Figure 1-31. Elementary and Middle School-Age Students with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 12, Suspended/Expelled From School, by Race/Ethnicityal: 2000-01

a/ SEELS data yielded too few observations for other races/ethnicities to report.
Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

- Parents report more suspensions and expulsions for black students (28 percent) than for H ispanic students (13 percent) or white students (10 percent).

H ow often are secondary school-age students with disabilities suspended or expelled?
Table 1-8. Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Age: 2001

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspended or expelled | $\mathbf{1 3}$ through 14 | Age 15 | Age 16 | Age 17 | Total |  |  |  |  |
| No | $72.7 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $27.3 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Number of students in sample | 3,021 | 2,194 | 2,215 | 1,410 | 8,840 |  |  |  |  |

Source: NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- A bout one-third of all students ages 13 through 17 with disabilities have been suspended or expelled.
- M ore older students with disabilities were expelled than were 13- through 14-year-olds.


## W hat is the percentage of 6 - through 12 -year-old students with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled?

According to 2000-01 SEELS data, parents reported that 8.7 percent of 6 - through 9 -year-olds have been suspended or expelled.
For 10 - through 12 -year-olds, the percentage is 18.9 percent. ${ }^{17}$

## D o suspensions and expulsions for secondary school-age students differ by race/ ethnidity?

Figure 1-32. Youths with Disabilities Ages 13 Through 17 Ever Suspended or Expelled From School, by Race/Ethnicity: 2001


Source: NLTS2 Parent Survey.
W hen asked whether their child had ever been suspended or expelled, 46 percent of parents of black students responded "Yes." W hite and H ispanic parents responded to this question in the affirmative less often; 30 percent and 28 percent, respectively, indicating that their child had ever been suspended or expelled (N LTS2 Parent Survey).

17 These data differ from the data reported by states on discipline actions because N LT S2 and SEELS data are based on parent reports of whether a student with a disability was ever suspended or expelled. States report counts of students with disabilities who were suspended or expelled for more than 10 days during a given school year only, and the source of these data is school administrative records.

## W hat percentage of students with disabilities experience other sodal problems at school?

Figure 1-33. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Who Have Been Physically Attacked or Involved in Fights at School, by Age: 2000-01


Sources: SEELS Parent Survey, NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- According to parental reports in the SEELS study, 20 percent of students ages 6 through 9 with disabilities have been physically attacked or involved in fights at school, and more than a quarter of 10 - through 12 -year-olds with disabilities have been physically attacked or involved in fights at school (27 percent).
- A pproximately one-quarter of students ages 13 through 17 were physically attacked or involved in fights at school.


## Educational Environments

## To what extent are students with disabilities educated with their nondisabled peers?

Figure 1-34. Educational Environments of Students Ages 6 Through 21 with Disabilities: 2000

a/ Separate environments include public and private residential facilities, public and private separate facilities, and homebound/hospital environments.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB2 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- M ost students (about 96 percent) with disabilities are being educated in regular school buildings.
- Almost half of all students with disabilities (46.5 percent) are being educated in the regular classroom for most of the school day. That is, they are outside the regular classroom for less than 21 percent of the school day .


## A re students with different disabilities served in different educational environments?

Table 1-9. Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 21 with Disabilities Receiving Services in Different Educational Environments: December 1, 2000

| Disabilities | Served outside the regular class |  |  | Separate environments ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ of the day | 21-60\% of the day | >60\% of the day |  |
| Specific learning disabilities | 44.3\% | 40.3\% | 14.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Speech or language impairments | 85.6 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 0.9 |
| Mental retardation | 13.2 | 29.1 | 51.7 | 6.1 |
| Emotional disturbance | 26.8 | 23.4 | 31.8 | 18.1 |
| Multiple disabilities | 12.1 | 16.0 | 45.5 | 26.4 |
| Hearing impairments | 42.3 | 20.0 | 22.5 | 15.3 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 46.4 | 23.4 | 24.3 | 6.0 |
| Other health impairments | 45.1 | 33.9 | 16.7 | 4.4 |
| Visual impairments | 50.5 | 20.1 | 16.0 | 13.4 |
| Autism | 24.3 | 15.3 | 46.4 | 14.0 |
| Deaf-blindness | 18.1 | 9.9 | 34.2 | 37.8 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 32.3 | 27.9 | 29.4 | 10.4 |
| Developmental delay | 46.4 | 29.9 | 22.3 | 1.3 |

a/ Separate environments include public and private residential facilities, public and private separate facilities, and homebound/hospital environments.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB2 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

The percentage of students in each educational environment varies by disability category:

- Students with speech or language impairments are most likely to be educated with their nondisabled peers. They are also the least likely to be educated in the most restrictive, separate environments.
- Students with multiple disabilities, mental retardation, or deaf-blindness are the least likely to be educated in the most inclusive environments, that is, outside the regular classroom less than 21 percent of the day.
- Students with deaf-blindness or multiple disabilities are most likely to be educated in separate environments.

Figure 1-35. Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 12 Included in the Regular Classroom 100 Percent of the Time, by Disability Category ${ }^{\text {a/b/b }} 2001$

a/ SEELS did not sample students with developmental delay.
b/ There were too few students with deaf-blindness to report.
Source: SEELS School Survey.
■ 0 verall, 28 percent of students with disabilities ages 6 through 12 are served in the regular education classroom 100 percent of the time (SEELS School Survey).

- Students with speech/ language impairments are most commonly served in the regular education classoom 100 percent of the time ( 55 percent).
- Students with mental retardation and multiple disabilities are most rarely served in the regular education classroom 100 percent of the time ( 7 percent and 5 percent, respectively).

Figure 1-36. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Ages 13 Through 17 Included in the Regular Classroom 100 Percent of the Time, by Disability: 2002


Source: NLTS2 School Survey.
■ O verall, 28.2 percent of students with disabilities ages 13 through 17 are served in the regular classroom 100 percent of the time (N LT S2 School Survey).

- In a comparison of school data collected in 1987 (N LTS) and 2002 (N LTS2), students ages 15 through 19 with disabilities were about equally likely to receive some instruction in general education classes ( 83 percent vs. 88 percent); however, they were much less likely to spend any time in a special education class ( 90 percent vs. 70 percent). T his suggests that a larger proportion of the school day was spent in general education in 1987 than in 2002.
- In 2002, students with disabilities were more likely to be attending regular public schools (94 percent in N LT S2 vs. 90 percent in N LT S) than in 1987.
- Students with disabilities were much more likely in 2002 than in 1987 to be taking courses that prepared them for postsecondary education, including mathematics ( 92 percent vs. 72 percent), science ( 83 percent vs. 50 percent), social studies ( 88 percent vs. 74 percent), and foreign language ( 21 percent vs 5 percent). They were less likely to take vocational education ( 61 percent vs. 76 percent) (2002 data are from the N LT S2 School Survey; 1987 data are from N LTS).
- A ccording to the N LT S2 School Survey and the SEELS School Survey, students in seven disability categories ages 13 through 17 were included in the regular classroom 100 percent of the time more often than students in those categories ages 6 through 12 (see Figure 1-35). The largest percentage difference was for those with visual impairment at 19 percent.

Figure 1-37. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 21 Educated Outside the Regular Classroom Less Than 21 Percent of the School Day and in Separate Environments: 2000


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB2 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- As might be expected, students with severe disabilities are more likely to be educated outside the regular classroom for longer periods of the day. Less than one-quarter of students with mental retardation, multiple disabilities, autism, or deaf-blindness spend less than 21 percent of the school day being educated outside the regular classroom.
- Students with speech or language impairments are most likely to be educated in the regular classroom for longer periods of the day. A total of 85.6 percent of students with this type of disability spend less than 21 percent of the school day being educated outside the regular classroom.
- Students with the most severe types of disabilities are more likely to be educated in separate environments A total of 37.8 percent of students with deaf-blindness, 26.4 percent of students with multiple disabilities, and 18.1 percent of students with emotional disturbance are educated principally in separate environments.
- Very small percentages of students with specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairments, or developmental delay are educated in separate environments (approximately 1.0 percent of students within each of these disabilities).


## W here are students of different ages served?

Figure 1-38. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Educated in Various Environments, by Age Group: 2000


Separate environments indude public and private residential facilities, public and private separate facilities, and homebound/hospital environments.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). Tables AB3, AB4, AB5 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- Younger students with disabilities are more likely to be educated for more of the school day in the regular classroom. Fifty-six percent of students ages 6 through 11 with disabilities are educated less than 21 percent of the time outside the regular classroom, while 38 percent of those ages 12 through 17 and 32 percent of those ages 18 through 21 are educated less than 21 percent of the time outside the regular classroom.
- A much higher percentage of older students with disabilities are being educated in separate environments ( 13 percent of those in the 18 -through- 21 age groups as opposed to 2 percent of those in the 6 -through- 11 age group and 5 percent of those in the 12 -through-17 age group).


## To what extent are students with disabilities of different radal/ ethnic groups being educated with their nondisabled peers?

Figure 1-39. Percentage of Students with Disabilities Ages 6 Through 21 Being Educated in Different Educational Environments, by Race/Ethnicity: 2000-01


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB10 in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- Educational environments differ by race/ ethnicity. Black students with disabilities are the least likely of any racial/ ethnic group to be educated inside the regular classroom. Fifty one percent of A sian/ Pacific Islander and white students with disabilities are educated outside the regular class less than 21 percent of the day compared to 35 percent of black students with disabilities.
- Black students with disabilities are more likely than A merican Indian/ A laska N ative or white students to be educated outside the regular classroom more than 60 percent of the school day. Thirty one percent of black students with disabilities are educated outside the regular classroom more than 60 percent of the day compared to 14 percent of A merican Indian/ A laska $N$ ative students with disabilities and 15 percent of white students with di sabilities
- Less than one half of H ispanic students and approximately one-third of black students with disabilities are being educated less than 21 percent outside the regular classroom.


## H ave educational environments for students with disabilities changed in the past 10 years?

Figure 1-40. Educational Environments for Students with Disabilities From 1990 to 2000


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AB7 I in vol. 2. Data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

The trend over the past 10 years has been to serve more children in less restrictive environments. From 1990 to 2000:

- The percentage of students being educated outside the regular class less than 21 percent of the day increased from 33 percent to 46 percent.
- In comparison, the percentage of students being educated in all other environments decreased. T he percentage served outside the regular classroom 21 percent to 60 percent of the school day decreased from 36 percent to 30 percent, the percentage served outside the classroom more than 60 percent of the school day decreased from 25 percent to 20 percent, and the percentage of students educated in separate environments decreased from 6 percent to 4 percent.


## W hat supports are available to students with disabilities so they can access the general education curriaulum?

Table 1-10. Percentage of Schools Reporting Teachers' Strategies Used To Support Special Education Students' Access to the General Education Curriculum: 1999-2000

| Strategies | Large extent | Moderate extent | Small extent | Not at all |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum modification | 51 | 34 | 13 | 3 |
| Instructional modification and adaptation | 51 | 38 | 11 | 1 |
| Alternative grouping strategy | 30 | 39 | 23 | 8 |
| Cooperative learning | 28 | 46 | 20 | 6 |
| Peer tutoring | 21 | 36 | 38 | 5 |
| Multiage classrooms | 9 | 14 | 19 | 56 |
| Student(s) followed for multiple years | 8 | 10 | 20 | 62 |
| Cross-grade grouping | 8 | 16 | 32 | 44 |

Source: SLIDEA School Survey.

- According to principals, teachers in their school use a variety of teaching strategies to support special education students' access to the general curriculum. M ore than 80 percent of all schools use modification and adaptation of curriculum and instruction to a moderate or large extent.
- Teachers may also modify the structure of the class to support special education students' access to the general education curriculum. About 70 percent of schools use alternative grouping and cooperative learning strategies, and 57 percent use peer tutoring strategies to a moderate or large extent. Less than 25 percent of schools use multiage classrooms, curriculum looping, or cross grade grouping to facilitate access to the general education curriculum.

Table 1-11. Percentage of Schools Reporting Use of Support Services by One or More Students w ith Disabilities: 1999-2000

| Support system | One or more <br> students with <br> disabilities used service |
| :--- | :---: |
| Speech or language therapy | 89 |
| Occupational therapy | 71 |
| Family training, counseling and other support | 56 |
| Nursing service/health service | 52 |
| Psychological service | 51 |
| Physical therapy | 51 |
| Special transportation | 50 |
| Social work services | 49 |
| One-to-one paraeducator/assistant | 49 |
| Assistive technology service/device | 45 |
| Tutoring | 43 |
| Adaptive physical education | 42 |
| Service coordination/case management | 41 |
| Audiology/hearing service | 37 |
| Vision services | 26 |
| Communication service | 17 |

Source: SLIIDEA School Survey.

- Schools use a variety of related services and accommodations to support students with disabilities access to the general education curriculum. The most commonly reported supports are speech or language therapy (89 percent) and occupational therapy ( 71 percent).
- A little over half of the schools provided family training and counseling services (56 percent), nursing services (52 percent), psychological services (51 percent), physical therapy (51 percent), and special transportation services (50 percent) to support students with disabilities.


## Educational Outcomes for Students with Disabilities

H ow often are students with disabilities retained in grade?
Table 1-12. Percentage of Elementary and Middle School Students with Disabilities, by Age and Grade Level: 2001

| Grade | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Ungraded | 12 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1st | 88 | 86 | 32 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd |  | 10 | 60 | 31 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd |  |  | 4 | 61 | 35 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| 4th |  |  |  | 4 | 58 | 38 | 6 |  |  |
| 5th |  |  |  |  | 2 | 50 | 34 | 4 | 1 |
| 6th |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 53 | 45 | 14 |
| 7th |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 45 | 83 |
| 8th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |
| Multigrade |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: SEELS School Survey.
Note: Details may not add to 100 because of rounding.

- Elementary and middle school students with disabilities often do not move from grade level to grade level with their nondisabled peers, that is, they are held back a grade at least once or start school later than nondisabled students. For example, the average 9 -year-old is in the fourth grade; however, only about 4 percent of 9 -year-old students with disabilities are in the fourth grade.
- Especially in their early elementary careers, students with disabilities tend to be classified as "ungraded."
- Parents report that 26 percent of elementary and middle school students with disabilities have been retained in grade (SEELS School Survey).


## W hat are the household income and race/ ethniaity of students with disabilities retained in grade by percentage?

Figure 1-41. Parents' Reports of Students Ever Being Retained in Grade, by Household Income and Race/Ethnicity: 2000-01


Source: SEELS Parent Survey.

- Thirty-four percent of students with disabilities with a household income of $\$ 25,000$ or less had ever been retained in grade, while only 16 percent of students with disabilities with a household income of more than $\$ 50,000$ ever had been retained in grade.
- A lower percentage of white and Hispanic students had ever been retained in grade (24 percent and 27 percent, respectively), while 34 percent of black students with disabilities had been retained in grade.

Table 1-13. Percentage of Students with Disabilities, Ages 13-17, by Age and Grade Level: 2002

|  | Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{1 3}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| Ungraded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 1st-6th | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7th | 34 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 8th | 53 | 27 | 26 | 7 |
| 9th | 11 | 57 | 54 | 35 |
| 10th | 1 |  | 14 | 49 |
| 11th |  |  | 2 | 5 |
| 12th or 13th |  |  |  | 10 |
| Multigrade |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: NLTS2 School Survey.
Note: Details may not add to 100 because of rounding.

- According to N LTS2, secondary students with disabilities are frequently retained in grade at least once. W hile the typical 15 -year-old is in 10th grade, only 14.1 percent of 15 -year-old students with disabilities are in 10th grade.
- Parents of secondary students with disabilities report that 36 percent of these students have repeated a grade some time in their school enrollment (N LTS2 Parent Survey).
- In 1987, 32 percent of high school students with disabilities were at the typical grade level for their age (N LTS), while in 2001 this proportion was 53 percent (N LT S2 School Survey).


## H ow do students with disabilities perform academically?

Figure 1-42. Performance of Students with Disabilities Ages 6 to 12 on Standardized Assessments of Letter-Word Identification Skills (Percentage in Each Percentile Rank Range), by Gender, Age, Income, and Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{\text {a/bl }}$ : 2001

a/ For the standardized assessments, each student's performance is associated with a percentile score which reflects the proportion of individuals of that student's age in the general population who received a lower score on that assessment. The bar segments in the graph indicate the proportion of SEELS students whose percentile rank on the assessment fell within the percentile range (e.g., 0 to 20, 21 to 60, etc.) specified by the segment pattern. For example, 56 percent of the SEELS male students performed similarly to the bottom 20 percent of students in the general population. If students with disabilities were performing on the level of students in the general population, then only about 20 percent of the SEELS students would receive scores similar to their general population age peers in the 0 to 20th percentile range.
b/ Letter-Word Identification - Measures the student's reading skills in identifying isolated letters and words. It is not necessary that the student knows the meaning of any words correctly identified.

[^2]
## H ow do students with disabilities perform academically?

Figure 1-43. Letter-Word Identification (Percentage in Each Percentile Rank Range), by Disability Category ${ }^{a /(b /}$ for Elementary and Middle School Students with Disabilities, Ages 6 Through 12 ${ }^{\text {c/d, } /:} 2001$


Source: SEELS Direct Assessment.

## H ow do students with disabilities peform academically?

Figure 1-44. Passage Comprehension (Percentage in Each Percentile Rank Range), by Disability Category ${ }^{2, b / b}$ for Elementary and Middle School Students with Disabilities, Ages 6 Through 12 ${ }^{\text {c,d }} \mathbf{d} 2001$


[^3]
## H ow do students with disabilities perform academically?

Figure 1-45. Calculation (Percentage in Each Percentile Rank Range), by Disability Category ${ }^{2 /[b / b}$ for Elementary and Middle School Students with Disabilities, Ages 6 Through 12 ${ }^{c, d / d}: 2001$


[^4]
## H ow do students with disabilities peform academically?

Figure 1-46. Applied Problems (Percentage in Each Percentile Rank Range), by Disability Category ${ }^{\mathrm{a} /, b /}$ for Elementary and Middle School Students with Disabilities, Ages 6 Through 12 ${ }^{c, d, d}: 2001$

a/ SEELS did not sample students with developmental delay.
b/ There were too few students with deaf/blindness to report.
cl For the standardized assessments, each student's performance is associated with a percentile score which reflects the proportion of individuals of that student's age in the general population who received a lower score on that assessment. The bar segments in the graph indicate the proportion of SEELS students whose percentile rank on the assessment fell within the percentile range (e.g., 0 to 20, 21 to 60, etc.) specified by the segment pattern. For example, 56 percent of the SEELS male students performed similarly to the bottom 20 percent of students in the general population. If students with disabilities were performing on the level of students in the general population, then only about 20 percent of the SEELS students would receive scores similar to their general population age peers in the 0 to 20th percentile range.
d/ Problem Solving - Measures the ability to analyze and solve problems in mathematics; student must decide not only the appropriate mathematical operations to use but also which of the data to include in the calculation.

Source: SEELS Direct Assessment.

- A ccording to SEELS, among students ages 6 through 12 from the various disability categories, there is great diversity in standardized scores for both reading and mathematics. Some students in each disability category achieve reading and/ or math scores at, or close to, those of their same age peers without disabilities. H owever, many have not yet become proficient.W ith the exception of the speech/language impairments and visual impairment
categories, nearly 50 percent or more of students in the other disability categories scored at or below the 20th percentile on measures of reading (decoding and comprehension). 0 verall, students with disabilities receive higher scores on standardized tests of mathematics than reading skills.
- Sixty seven percent of students with disabilities from low-income households $(\$ 25,000$ or less) had scores at or below the 20th percentile for letter/word identification.T hirty eight percent of the students from households with over \$50,000 income had scores at or below the 20th percentile.
- N early three fourths or more of students in the mental retardation or multiple disabilities categories scored in the lowest performance range (below the 21t percentile) on the passage comprehension, letter/ word identification, mathematical calculation, and applied problem assessments.

Table 1-14. Average Scores and Performance Levels of Fourth- and EighthGrade Students on NAEP 2000 and 2002 Reading Assessments, by Disability Status

| 2000 and 2002 Grade 4 Average Scale Scores and Percent At or Above Basic and At or Above Proficienta/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  | Mean |  | \% at or above basic ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  | \% at or above proficient |  |
|  | 2000 | 2002 | 2000 | 2002 | 2000 | 2002 | 2000 | 2002 |
| Students with disabilities | 317 | 11,984 | 167 | 187 | 22\% | 30\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Students without disabilities | 7,757 | 128,593 | 217 | 221 | 62\% | 67\% | 31\% | 33\% |

2002 ${ }^{d}$ Grade 8 Average Scale Scores and Percent At or Above Basic and At or Above Proficient ${ }^{2 /}$

|  |  |  | \% at or above | \% at or above proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | basic ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Students with disabilities | 10,220 | 228 | 36\% | 6\% |
| Students without disabilities | 104,956 | 268 | 79\% | 35\% |

a/ Results for the sample of students with IEPs cannot be generalized to the total population of students with IEPs.
b/ Scores on the NAEP reading assessment fall on a 0-500 point scale delineated by three skill levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced.
cl The NAEP reading assessment was not administered to eighth-grade students in 2000.
d/ NCES defines students with disabilities as those who have IEPs.
Source: NAEP, June 2003 (http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard).

- Students with IEPs appear to have scored lower than did students without IEPs on the N AEP fourth-grade reading assessment in both 2000 and 2002.
- The scores of IEP students on the N AEP fourth-grade reading assessment appear to have improved between 2000 and 2002; that is, it appears that more students with IEPs scored at or above basic and at or above proficient.
- On the eighth-grade N AEP reading assessment, the proportion of students with IEPs who scored at or above basic appears to be less than half of the proportion of students without IEPs who scored at or above basic. W hen the proportions of both groups scoring at or above proficient are compared, the differences are greater with only 6 percent of students with IEPs scoring at this level compared to 35 percent of students without IEPs.

The N ational
A ssessment
of E ducational
Progress (N A EP), also known as the

N ation's R eport
C ard, is the only nationally
representative
and continuing
assessment of what
A merica's students
know and can do
in various subjed areas Since 1969, assessments have been conducted periodically
in reading, mathematics soence, writing,
U.S. history, divics geography, and the arts

## Expenditures for Special Education

D uring the 19992000 school year, the U nited States spent about $\$ 50$ billion on special education services A nother \$27.3 billion was expended on regular education services for students with disabil ities eligible for special education, and an additional
\$1 billion was spent on other spejal needs programs (eg, Title I, E nglish language learners or gifted and talented education). Thus, total spending to educate all students with disabilities found eligible for special education programs was $\$ 78.3$ billion.

W hat are the total expenditures to provide services to students with disabilities ages 6 through 21?

Figure 1-47. Calculation of Additional Expenditures for a Student with a Disability: 1999-2000


Sources: SEEP District and School Surveys.

- In per pupil terms, the total spending used to educate the average student with a disability is $\$ 12,639$. T his amount includes $\$ 8,080$ per pupil on special education services, $\$ 4,394$ per pupil on regular education services, and $\$ 165$ per pupil on services from other special needs programs (e.g.,T itle I, English language learners, or gifted and talented education).
- The data derived from SEEP indicate that the base expenditure on a regular education student is $\$ 6,556$ per pupil. Comparing this figure to the average expenditure for a student eligible to receive special education services, the additional expenditure attributable to special education is to $\$ 5,918$ per pupil.


## H ow are special education expenditures allocated?

Figure 1-48. Allocation of Special Education Expenditures: 1999-2000


Sources: SEEP District and School Surveys.

- Focusing on the $\$ 50$ billion of special education spending, it is useful to see how funds are allocated among different spending components. Special education spending includes central office administration and support of the program, direct instruction and related services for preschool (ages 3 through 5) and school-aged (ages 6 through 21) students, special education summer school, programs for students who are homebound or hospitalized, and special transportation services. T he above figure shows the percentage and dollar amount of special education spending on each of these components.


## H ow does spending on special education students vary across distríds?

- According to the SEEP District Survey, the smallest districts reported a level of actual expenditure that is 14 percent higher than the actual expenditure in the districts with enrollment of 25,000 or more students ( $\$ 14,062$ vs. $\$ 12,309$ ), and a cost-adjusted ${ }^{18}$ level of expenditure that is 22 percent higher ( $\$ 14,815$ vs, $\$ 12,138$ ). W hile the differences based on actual expenditures are not statistically significant, the differences based on costadjusted expenditures are both economically and statistically significantly different from each other (economic significance indicates a difference large enough to have an effect on the levels of services being offered).

18 This adjustment compensates for differences in the prices paid for comparable resources used in providing special education services in different geographic locations throughout the U nited States.

Figure 1-49. Total Expenditure (Cost-Adjusted) Across Districts To Educate a Student with a Disability, Classified by Size of District Enrollment: 1999-2000


District Enrollment

Sources: SEEP District and School Surveys.

- The spending ratio (relative spending on a special education student vs. regular education student) for the smallest districts is estimated to be 2.19, compared to a national average spending ratio of 1.90 (See Figure 1-47) (SEEP District and School Surveys).

Figure 1-50. Total Expenditure (Cost-Adjusted) Across Districts To Educate a Student with a Disability, Classified by Degree of Urbanicitya/: 1999-2000

a/ The three categories represent a consolidated version for the locale type variable included with the Common Core of Data (CCD) published by NCES, 1999-2000.
Sources: SEEP District and School Surveys; CCD, NCES, 1999-2000.

- R ural districts spend the most in cost-adjusted dollars, and urban districts spend the least, with suburban districts in between .

Figure 1-51. Total Expenditure (Cost-Adjusted) Across Districts To Educate a Student with a Disability, Classified by Median Family Income ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ 1999-2000

a/ This family income variable uses data from the 1990 U.S. Census organized by school district.
Sources:SEEP District and School Surveys; U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 (www.census.gov/hhes/www/income.html/).

- The third of districts with the lowest median family income spend the least to educate a student with disabilities. Districts with middle-income families spend $\$ 1,658$ more per student than districts with the lowest income families.

Figure 1-52. Total Expenditure (Cost-Adjusted) Across Districts To Educate a Student with a Disability, Classified by Student Poverty Levela/: 1999-2000

a/ Poverty is defined in terms of the percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch.
Sources:SEEP District and School Surveys.

- Low-poverty districts have the lowest spending ratios. No consistent positive or negative relationship is found for expenditures and districts' student poverty levels. H owever, lowpoverty districts have the lowest spending ratios (relative spending on a special education student vs. regular education student): 1.72 compared to 1.86 for the second lowest quartile, and 1.97 and 1.98 for the two highest poverty quartiles (SEEP District and School Surveys).
- The spending ratio for the smallest districts is estimated to be 2.19, compared to a national average spending ratio of 1.90 (SEEP District and School Surveys).


## W hat is being expended for spedial education transportation?

Figure 1-53. Changes in Expenditure Per Pupil on Special Transportation Services from 1985-86 to 1999-2000 (Expressed in Constant 1999-2000 Dollars)


Sources:SEEP District and School Surveys.

- Special education transportation expenditure per pupil in constant dollars (i.e., actual spending adjusted by the Consumer Price Index) has increased since the 1985-86 school year from $\$ 2,463$ to $\$ 4,418$ during the 1999-2000 school year, an increase of 80 percent. The per pupil spending on regular transportation rose from $\$ 365$ to $\$ 442$, an increase of 21 percent (SEEP District and School Surveys).
- Special transportation spending per pupil is nearly 10 times greater than spending on regular transportation. This represents an increase since 1985-86 when per pupil special transportation spending was around seven times more than that of regular transportation (SEEP District and School Surveys).
■ During the 1999-2000 school year, the nation's school districts spent around \$13.1 billion on home-to-school and school-to-school transportation services for all K-12 students in public schools (SEEP District and School Surveys).
- The total expenditure on special transportation services is estimated to be about $\$ 3.7$ billion. T his represents about 28 percent of the total school transportation expenditures in the U nited States and approximately 7 percent of the total spending on special education services (SEEP District and School Surveys).


## Trends in School Exiting and Transition

## H ow has the graduation rate changed over time for students with different disabilities?

## Table 1-15. Percentage ${ }^{a}$ of Students Age 14 and Older with Disabilities Who Graduated with a Standard Diploma: 1993-94 Through 2000-01

| Disability | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 | 1998-99*/ | 1999-2000 | 2000-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific learning disabilities | 49.1 | 47.7 | 48.2 | 48.8 | 51.0 | 51.9 | 51.6 | 53.6 |
| Speech/language impairments | 42.9 | 41.7 | 42.2 | 44.8 | 48.1 | 51.2 | 53.2 | 52.3 |
| Mental retardation | 35.0 | 33.8 | 34.0 | 33.0 | 34.3 | 36.0 | 34.3 | 35.0 |
| Emotional disturbance | 27.0 | 26.0 | 25.1 | 25.9 | 27.4 | 29.2 | 28.6 | 28.9 |
| Multiple disabilities | 36.1 | 31.4 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 39.0 | 41.0 | 42.1 | 41.6 |
| Hearing impairments | 61.9 | 58.2 | 58.8 | 61.8 | 62.3 | 60.9 | 61.8 | 60.3 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 56.7 | 54.1 | 53.6 | 54.9 | 57.9 | 53.9 | 51.2 | 57.4 |
| Other health impairments | 54.6 | 52.6 | 53.0 | 53.1 | 56.8 | 55.0 | 56.4 | 56.1 |
| Visual impairments | 63.5 | 63.7 | 65.0 | 64.3 | 65.1 | 67.6 | 66.5 | 65.9 |
| Autism | 33.7 | 35.5 | 36.4 | 35.9 | 38.7 | 40.5 | 40.7 | 42.1 |
| Deaf-blindness ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 34.7 | 30.0 | 39.5 | 39.4 | 67.7 | 48.3 | 39.5 | 41.2 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 54.6 | 51.7 | 54.0 | 57.3 | 58.2 | 60.6 | 56.7 | 57.5 |
| All disabilities | 43.5 | 42.1 | 42.4 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 46.5 | 46.1 | 47.6 |

a/ The percentage of students with disabilities who exit school with a regular high school diploma and the percentage who exit school by dropping out are performance indicators used by OSEP to measures progress in improving results for students with disabilities. The appropriate method for calculating graduation and dropout rates depends on the question to be answered and is limited by the data available. For reporting under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), OSEP calculates the graduation rate by dividing the number of students age 14 and older who graduated with a regular high school diploma by the number of students in the same age group who are known to have left school (i.e., graduated with a regular high school diploma, received a certificate of completion, reached the maximum age for services, died, moved and are not known to be continuing in an education program, or dropped out). These calculations are presented here. Not all states award a certificate of completion. In all years presented, Kansas, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Oklahoma, Texas, and Guam did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion. Since 1997, Minnesota has not reported any students receiving a certificate of completion. Since 1998, Arizona and Ohio have not reported any students receiving a certificate of completion. Prior to 1999, Pennsylvania did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.
b/ Two large states appear to have underreported dropouts in 1998-99. As a result, the graduation rate is somewhat inflated that year.
d Percentages are based on fewer than 150 students exiting school.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AD1 in vol. 2. These data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- In 2000-01, 47.6 percent of the students ages 14 and older with disabilities exited school with a regular high school diploma.
- From 1993-94 through 2000-01, there was little change in the relative standing of graduation rates for the various disability categories.
- Students with visual impairments or hearing impairments consistently had the highest graduation rates.
- Students with mental retardation or emotional disturbance consistently had the lowest graduation rates.
- From 1993-94 through 2000-01, the graduation rate improved for most disability categories.
- The largest gains were made by students with autism and speech/language impairments. N otable gains were also made by students with deaf-blindness and multiple disabilities.
- No meaningful change occurred in the graduation rate for students with mental retardation, orthopedic impairments, or other health impairments.


## H ow has the dropout rate changed over time for students with different disabilities?

Table 1-16. Percentage ${ }^{a /}$ of Students Age 14 and Older with Disabilities Who Dropped Out of School: 1993-94 Through 2000-01

| Disability | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 | 1998-99*/ | 1999-2000 | 2000-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific learning disabilities | 43.1 | 44.7 | 44.4 | 43.4 | 41.3 | 40.2 | 39.9 | 38.7 |
| Speech/language impairments | 49.3 | 51.4 | 50.4 | 48.0 | 44.5 | 40.9 | 39.3 | 39.7 |
| Mental retardation | 35.4 | 37.9 | 38.0 | 38.2 | 36.3 | 34.9 | 35.7 | 34.3 |
| Emotional disturbance | 67.8 | 69.2 | 69.9 | 69.2 | 67.2 | 65.5 | 65.2 | 65.1 |
| Multiple disabilities | 24.6 | 35.1 | 27.4 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 25.7 | 26.7 |
| Hearing impairments | 24.3 | 28.0 | 28.3 | 25.6 | 23.5 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 24.5 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 25.1 | 27.9 | 28.9 | 27.3 | 24.3 | 27.4 | 30.4 | 27.0 |
| Other health impairments | 37.4 | 38.1 | 36.8 | 37.8 | 34.9 | 36.3 | 35.2 | 36.2 |
| Visual impairments | 24.5 | 24.4 | 22.3 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 21.1 |
| Autism | 25.9 | 29.5 | 23.8 | 24.0 | 19.2 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 20.8 |
| Deaf-blindness ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 24.5 | 25.5 | 12.8 | 27.3 | 11.8 | 25.0 | 25.4 | 22.9 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 28.2 | 32.9 | 30.7 | 29.6 | 26.1 | 27.2 | 28.8 | 28.9 |
| All disabilities | 45.1 | 47.0 | 46.8 | 45.9 | 43.7 | 42.3 | 42.1 | 41.1 |

a/ See note on previous table as to how percentage was calculated. The dropout rate is calculated in the same manner, but with the number of dropouts in the numerator. Students who moved and are not known to be continuing in an education program are treated as dropouts.
b/ Two large states appear to have underreported the number of dropouts in 1998-99. As a result, the dropout rate is somewhat understated for that year.
d Percentages are based on fewer than 150 students exiting school.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AD1 in vol. 2. These data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- In 2000-01, 41.1 percent of the students ages 14 and older with disabilities exited school by dropping out.
- From 1993-94 through 2000-01, the percentage of students with disabilities dropping out decreased from 45.1 percent to 41.1 percent.
- Students with visual impairments consistently had the lowest dropout rates
- Students with emotional disturbance consistently had the highest dropout rates.
- In every year, students with emotional disturbance had a dropout rate that was substantially higher than the dropout rate for the next highest disability category.
- From 1993-94 through 2000-01, the dropout rate declined for students in most categories
- The improvement was most notable for students with autism and speech/language impairments. The dropout rate also notably declined for students with visual impairments and specific learning disabilities.
- No meaningful change occurred in the dropout rate for students with hearing impairments.


## A re the graduation and dropout rates the same for students with disabilities in different radal/ ethnic groups?

Table 1-17. Percentage ${ }^{a /}$ of Students Age 14 and Older with Disabilities Who Graduated with a Standard Diploma or Dropped Out, by Race/Ethnicity: 2000-01

|  | Graduated with a standard diploma |  | Dropped out |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 2,533 | 41.9 | 3,157 | 52.2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 3,583 | 60.6 | 1,652 | 28.0 |
| Black (not Hispanic) | 27,999 | 36.5 | 34,085 | 44.5 |
| Hispanic | 24,087 | 47.5 | 22,073 | 43.5 |
| White (not Hispanic) | 132,714 | 56.8 | 79,220 | 33.9 |

a/ Percentage is calculated by dividing the number of students age 14 and older in each racial/ethnic group who graduated with a regular high school diploma (or dropped out) by the number of students age 14 and older in that racial/ethnic group who are known to have left school (i.e., graduated with a regular high school diploma, received a certificate of completion, reached the maximum age for services, died, moved and are not known to be continuing, or dropped out.) Students who moved and are not known to be continuing in an education program are treated as dropouts. Not all states award a certificate of completion. In 2000-01, Arizona, Kansas, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, and Guam did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), Table AD4 in vol. 2. These data are for the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas.

- The graduation rate is highest for Asian/ Pacific Islander ( 60.6 percent) and white (56.8 percent) students with disabilities. Both rates are above the graduation rate for all students with disabilities (47.6 percent) (seeTable 1-15).
- The graduation rate is lowest for black students with disabilities (36.5 percent).
- The dropout rate is lowest for Asian/Pacific Islander (28.0 percent) and white students with disabilities (33.9 percent). Both rates are below the dropout rate for all students with disabilities (41.1 percent) (seeTable 1-16).
- The dropout rate is highest for American Indian/ A laska N ative (52.2 percent) students with disabilities.
- Black (44.5 percent) and Hispanic (43.5 percent) students with disabilities had similar dropout rates.


## W hat procedures are used by states, local education agendes, and schools to prevent students with disabilities from dropping out of school?

Table 1-18. Percentage of States Reporting on Individual Schools' Dropout Rates for Students with and without Disabilities: 1999-2000 School Year

| Practice | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| State included dropout rates in school reports and ... | 51 | 71 |
| $\quad$ Students with disabilities were included in calculation but not separately reported | 18 |  |
| Rates were reported separately for students with disabilities | 2 |  |
| Students with disabilities were not included in calculations and were not separately reported | 16 |  |
| State did not include dropout rates in its school reports |  | 12 |
| State did not issue school reports |  |  |

Source: SLIDEA State Survey.

- Almost three-fourths of the states (71 percent) issued individual school reports that included dropout rates.
- Of the 35 states that issued dropout reports, 25 states combined the dropout rates for general education students and students with disabilities, nine states reported rates separately for students with disabilities, and one state did not report the rates of students with disabilities

Table 1-19. Percentage of Districts That Tracked Dropout Risk Factors for Students with Disabilities: 1999-2000

| Risk Factors | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tracked any of the following factors | 60 |
| Tracked the following risk factors: | 58 |
| Excessive absences | 53 |
| Significant discipline problems | 48 |
| One or more suspensions from school | 35 |
| Juvenile justice involvement | 32 |
| Previously retained in grade | 29 |
| Limited English proficiency | 28 |
| Older than norm for grade | 26 |
| Family or economic problems |  |

Source: SLIDEA District Survey.

- Sixty percent of districts track dropout risk factors for students with disabilities.
- The most commonly tracked risk factors are excessive absences ( 58 percent), significant discipline problems ( 53 percent), and suspensions (48 percent).

Table 1-20. Percentage of Schools Reporting Factors Used To Select Students for Participation in the School's Dropout Prevention Program-Middle and High Schools: 1999-2000

| Factors | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Academic performance | 22 |
| Absentee record | 21 |
| Counselor's referral | 21 |
| Teacher referral | 19 |
| Disciplinary problem | 17 |
| Student previously retained in grade | 17 |
| Parental request | 16 |
| Student older than norm for grade | 16 |
| Student request | 13 |
| Disability category | 4 |

Source: SLIDEA School Survey.

- Academic performance (22 percent), absentee record (21 percent), and counselor's referral (21 percent) are the most common factors used to select students for participation in a middle or high school dropout prevention program.
- A student's disability category is the least likely reported factor (4 percent) used to select students for participation in a school's dropout prevention program.


## W hat do we know about the employment of older students with disabilities?

Figure 1-54. Employment of Students Ages 15 Through 17 with Disabilities in 1987 and 2001


Sources: NLTS Parent Survey; NLTS2 Parent Survey.

- According to N LTS2, among 15- to 17-year-olds in 2001, 60 percent had worked in 2000, a rate similar to the general population and up from 51 percent ( $p<.01$ ) in 1987.
- The percentage of employed youth ages 15 through 17 making at least minimum wage is equal to the percentage not making minimum wage (N LTS2).
- The percentage of employed youth ages 15 through 17 making above minimum wage increased from 41 percent in 1987 to 68 percent in 2001 ( $p<.001$ ) (N LT S2).


# W hat transition services are available to help students with disabilities move from secondary school to adult life? 

## Table 1-21. Percentage of High Schools That Offered Various Services To Help Students with Disabilities Transition From School to Adult Life: 1999-2000

| Transition services | Percent <br> of high schools |
| :--- | :---: |
| Formal assessment of career skills or interests | 99 |
| Career counseling | 98 |
| Job applications instruction | 97 |
| Job search instruction | 97 |
| Job readiness or prevocational training | 96 |
| Interviewing instruction | 96 |
| Postsecondary education/training applications assistance | 95 |
| Postsecondary and training institutions counseling | 95 |
| Counseling about support services for students with disabilities | 94 |
| Counseling about financial aid | 92 |
| Community work experience | 89 |
| Community work exploration | 87 |
| Referrals to potential employers | 85 |
| Specific job skills training | 85 |
| Job coaches to monitor job performance | 78 |
| Job coaches/staff who work with employers to modify jobs | 67 |
| Self-advocacy curriculum | 55 |

Source: SLIIDEA School Survey.

- M ost districts offer a range of services to assist the transition of students with disabilities to adult life. M ore than 90 percent of all high schools offer a formal assessment of career skills or interests, career counseling, job readiness or prevocational training, instructions in job searching and other similar services, as well as counseling and support regarding postsecondary institutions.
- Between 80 percent and 90 percent of high schools offer community work experience, community work exploration, referrals to potential employers, and specific job skills training.
- Fewer than 80 percent of high schools provide job coaches who work with employers, job coaches who monitor performance, or a self-advocacy curriculum.


## Workforce

## W ho provides services to 6-through-21-year-olds with disabilities?

Table 1-22. $\quad$ Characteristics of Service Providers for Students with Disabilities

| Demographics | Special Education Teacher | General Education Teacher | Paraprofessional | Speech-Language Pathologist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex: Female | 85\% | 76\% | 94\% | 96\% |
| Race/ethnicity: White | 86\% | 88\% | 78\% | 94\% |
| Identifying themselves as having a disability | 14\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Mean age | 43 | 43 | 44 | 43 |

Source: SPeNSE Service Provider Survey.

Figure 1-55. Number of Different Disabilities on Special Educators' Caseloads: 2000


Source: SPeNSE Service Provider Survey.

- Today's special educators must be innovative, adaptive, and prepared to use an array of instructional approaches that suit students with a wide variety of needs.
- Almost 80 percent of special education teachers serve students with two or more primary disabilities, and 32 percent teach students with four or more different primary disabilities.
- On average, almost one-fourth of their students are from a cultural or linguistic group different from their own, and 7 percent of their students are English language learners (SPeN SE Provider Survey).
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## Section II. The State Picture



## Introduction to StateTables

This section of the report focuses on states. M ost of the data included are available by topic in the tables in vol. 2. In this section, data from a variety of these tables are combined to provide a picture of special education and early intervention services in each state. M ore general information about the state, such as the size of the public school enrollment and per-pupil expenditures, is also included.

D ata are from the following tables in vol. 2 of this report:

## TableAA1 N umber of Children Served U nder IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 2001-02 SchoolYear

Table AA13 Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment) of C hildren A ges 6-17 Served U nder IDEA, Part B by Disability, D uring the 2001-02 School Year

TableAA15 R acial/Ethnic C omposition (N umber and Percentage) of StudentsAges 6-21 Served U nder IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2001-02 School Year
TableAB2 N umber and Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-2001 SchoolYear
Table AC2 Total N umber of Teachers Employed (in Full-T ime Equivalency) To Provide Special Education and $R$ elated Services for $C$ hildren and Youth A ges 6-21 with Disabilities, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year
TableAD1 N umber of StudentsA ge 14 and $O$ Ider Exiting Special Education, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year
TableAH $1 \quad$ N umber and Percentage (Based on 2001 Population Estimates) of Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services, D ecember 1, 2001
Table AH2 N umber of At-R isk Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services (Duplicated C ount), D ecember 1, 2001
Table AH3 N umber of Infants and Toddlers A ges Birth T hrough 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, December 1, 2000
TableAH 4 N umber of Infants and Toddlers A ges Birth T hrough 2 Exiting Part C Programs, During the 2000-2001 R eporting Year
TableAH 7 R acial/ Ethnic C omposition (N umber and Percentage) of Infants and Toddlers A ges Birth T hrough 2 Served U nder ID EA, Part C by R ace/ Ethnicity, D ecember 1, 2001

## Alabama

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 128
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 737,294
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,885
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 55.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 20.5
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA3-5 years old7,526
6 -17 years old ..... 83,857
18-21 years old ..... 5,094
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.4
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 20
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 46
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,036
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 97
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000



[^5]
## Alabama ${ }_{\text {cortrane }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$

Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ A labama Department of R ehabilitation Services

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,086

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.68

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children 10.6

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Alaska

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 53
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 134,358
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$9,216
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 65.6
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.5
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 1,678
6-17 years old ..... 15,636
18-21 years old ..... 703
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 37
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 60
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,096
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Alaska (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$ Alaska D epartment of H ealth and Social Services

Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.624

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children3.2

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Arizona

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 323
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 922,180
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,278
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 88.2
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 18.7
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 9,906
6-17 years old ..... 86,788
18-21 years old ..... 4,192
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.4
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ..... 42
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 56
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 5,632
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 88${ }^{\dagger}$ Arizona did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Arizona ${ }_{\text {costurax }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services.

A rizona Department of Econnomic Services

Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Arkansas

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 312
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 449,805
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,568
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 52.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 21.8

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$9,504

6-17 years old ..... 51,684
18-21 years old ..... 2,781
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.5
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 57
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 38
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 3,672
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 90
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Arkansas ${ }_{\text {(continued) }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services
A rkansas Department of H uman Services
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
$\qquad$
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## C alifornia

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 986
Public school prek-12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 6,248,610
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,987
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 94.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 18.5
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$3-5$ years old ..... 58,456
6 -17 years old ..... 573,818
18-21 years old ..... 25,397
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 48
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 39
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 29,356
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 76

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## C alifornia ${ }_{\text {arsumase }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services

Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children0.0

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Colorado

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 178
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 742,145
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,567
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 84.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 12.2

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$\qquad$
3-5 years old8,581
6-17 years old ..... 67,922
18-21 years old ..... 3,580
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 47
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 48
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,125
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 78
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## C olorado <br> (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ Colorado Department of Education
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services4,044
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.63
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.4

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## C onnecticut

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$166
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 570,228
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$10,127
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$. ..... 87.7
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 10.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old. }
$$7,390

6 -17 years old ..... 63,053
18-21 years old ..... 3,573
Percentage of 6 - 17 enrollment served under ID EA ..... 11.1
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 50
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 48
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,778
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 100

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Connect' Cut (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ C onnecticut Department of $M$ ental $R$ etardation
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,879
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children3.1

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Delaware

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 19
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 115,555
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$8,958
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 80.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 12.6

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$\qquad$
3-5 years old1,875
6-17 years old ..... 14,730
18-21 years old ..... 690
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 55
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 37
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,848
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 68
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## D elaware (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ Delaware Department of H ealth and Social Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.903
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children3.1

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of Data, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released $O$ ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## District of C olumbia

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ .....  .1
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 75,392
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$12,046
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 100.0
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 26.4

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 436
6 -17 years old ..... 10,975
18-21 years old ..... 1,045
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 14.6
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 21
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 61
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 675
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 94
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## District of Columbia ${ }_{\text {amomand }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ DC Department of H uman Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.279
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.17
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children17.5

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and H ousehold Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Florida

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 67
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... $2,500,478$
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,170
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 89.3
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 17.7

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old32,590
6-17 years old ..... 330,500
18-21 years old ..... 16,519
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 33
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 36
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 17,163
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 86
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Florida

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services.
Florida Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children3.4

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of Data, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and H ousehold Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released $O$ ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Georgia

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 180
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,470,634
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,929
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 71.6
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 17.5
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA3 -5 years old17,709
6-17 years old ..... 155,005
18-21 years old ..... 5,525
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 10.5
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 19
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 57
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 10,901
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 96

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Georgia ${ }_{\text {(cortinue) }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services Georgia Department of $H$ uman $R$ esources

Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,512

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.65

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children16.8

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, National C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of Data, Local Eduction A geng Universe Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, National C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of Data, State N onfiscal Surve, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of Data, N ational Public Eduation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse:Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State Estimates for People U Ider A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003:
http://www.censusgov/ hhes/www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_oo.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, DataA Analysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released 0 ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes/ data/ sates/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, DataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released 0 ctober 2003; http:// eire.censusgov/ popes/d data/ states files STC4-6R.txt.

## H awaii

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ .....  1
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 184,546
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,596
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 91.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 14.3
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$3-5$ years old ..... 1,930
6 -17 years old ..... 20,842
18-21 years old ..... 754
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 24
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 70
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,974
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 72

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Hawaii (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,961

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children2.4

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Idaho

N umber of regular school districts¹ ..... 114
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 246,521
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,725
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 66.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 15.2

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$3,650

6-17 years old ..... 24,437
18-21 years old ..... 1,013
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.9
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 61
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 33
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,024
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 92
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Idaho (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services I Idaho Department of H ealth and Welfare
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services1,257
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children4.3

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Illinois

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 893
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 2,071,391
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,643
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 87.8
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 14.6

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$29,664

6-17 years old ..... 264,538
18-21 years old ..... 12,153
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 55
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 40
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 22,660
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 94
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Illinois ${ }_{\text {latruace }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services. $\qquad$ .Illinois Department of H uman Services

Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services10,021

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children1.4

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and H ousehold Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Indiana

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 294
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 996,133
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,630
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 70.8
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 12.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$16,347

6-17 years old ..... 138,307
18-21 years old ..... 6,865
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.9
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 42
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 48
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 6,378
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 87
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Indiana ${ }_{\text {corimiued }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$ Indiana Family and Social ServicesAdministration

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Iowa

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 371
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 485,932
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,930
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 61.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 10.8

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$5,487

6-17 years old ..... 64,100
18-21 years old ..... 3,497
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 56
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 40
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 5,373
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 89
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## lowa (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$ Iowa Department of Education

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services1,637

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.85

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.3

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## K ansas

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 304
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 470,205
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,925
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 71.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.9

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 8,135
6-17 years old ..... 50,982
18-21 years old ..... 2,756
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 10.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 64
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 34
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 3,483
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95${ }^{\dagger}$ Kansas did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## K ansas ${ }_{\text {compraxe }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ .K ansas D epartment of H ealth and Environment
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,738
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home82.2
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children 6.0

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of Data, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Kentucky

N umber of regular school districts²176
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 654,363
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ .....  $\$ 6,079$
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 55.8
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 19.3
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$3-5$ years old$.17,747$
6-17 years old ..... 77,152
18-21 years old ..... 3,247
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 46
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 45
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 5,690
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 84

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Kentucky (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ Kentucky C abinet for H ealth Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,810
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children0.0

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Louisiana

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 66
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 731,328
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,037
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 72.6
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 24.4

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$10,061

6-17 years old ..... 83,932
18-21 years old ..... 5,332
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.5
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 17
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 61
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 8,343
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 69
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Louisiana $_{\text {(corinues) }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services
Louisiana Department of Education
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,311
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children0.8

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of Data, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA Analysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## $M$ aine

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 282
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 205,586
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$8,232
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 40.2
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 12.9

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 4,230
6-17 years old ..... 30,793
18-21 years old ..... 1,557
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 15.0
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 57
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 38
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,242
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 93
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M aine (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services. $\qquad$ .M aine Department of Education

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.947

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.30

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children16.3

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M aryland

N umber of regular school districts² ..... 24
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 860,640
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$8,256
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 86.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 10.7
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$3-5$ years old ..... 10,614
6 -17 years old ..... 97,603 ..... 97,603
18 -21 years old ..... 4,209
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 56
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 36
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 6,528
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 86
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M aryland ${ }_{\text {cortrues }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services
.M aryland Department of Education
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children3.6

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M assachusetts

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 350
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 973,140
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$9,509
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 91.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty leve ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.5

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old13,070
6-17 years old ..... 129,711
18-21 years old ..... 7,222
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ..... 59
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 38
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 10,266
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 100${ }^{\dagger}$ Massachusetts did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M assachusetts ${ }_{\text {carinam }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services M assachusetts D epartment of Public H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services12,906
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.100
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children0.0

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M ichigan

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 554
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 1,730,668
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$8,278
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 74.7
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.7

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$20,887

6-17 years old ..... 194,401
18-21 years old ..... 10,773
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 37
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 57
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 13,479
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 92
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M ichigan (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services ..M ichigan Department of Education

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services7,094

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home. .77

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children0 .5

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M innesota

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 417
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 851,384
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ .....  $\$ 7,645$
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 70.9
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 8.7

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$\qquad$
3 - 5 years old11,804
$6-17$ years old ..... 94,478
18-21 years old ..... 4,682
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.1
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ..... 48
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 51
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 7,716
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 91${ }^{\dagger}$ Minnesota did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M innesota (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ .M innesota Department of Children, Families, and Learning
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,052
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children 4.5

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M ississippi

N umber of regular school districts²152
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 493,507
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,175
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 48.8
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 24.9

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old. }
$$6,902

6-17 years old ..... 52,533
18 -21 years old ..... 2,761
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 10.6
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 22
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 35
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,229
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 92

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M ississippi (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$ .M ississippi State Department of H ealth

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Missouri

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 524
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 909,792
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,657
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 69.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty leve ${ }^{5}$ ..... 14.8

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old12,222
6-17 years old ..... 122,521
18-21 years old ..... 6,781
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.5
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 58
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 37
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 8,697
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 93
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M issouri (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services $\qquad$ .M issouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,825

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home. .79

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children7.9

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## M ontana

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 452
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 151,947
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,726
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 54.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 18.8
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old1,687
6-17 years old ..... 16,731
18-21 years old ..... 844
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.0
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 63
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 35
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21. ..... 881
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## M ontana ${ }_{\text {cominame }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ .M ontana Department of Public H ealth and Human Services

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.600

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.91

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.2

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## N ebraska

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 555
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 285,095
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,223
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 69.8
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.9
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 3,896
6-17 years old ..... 37,525
18-21 years old ..... 2,443
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 42
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 54
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,218
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N ebraska ${ }_{\text {amomaxat }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ .N ebraska Department of Education
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services.953
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children10 .8

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## N evada

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 17
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 356,814
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,807
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 91.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.6
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 3,976
6-17 years old ..... 34,957 ..... 34,957
18-21 years old ..... 1,294
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 22
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 46
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,027
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 97
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N evada (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services
$N$ evada Department of H uman Services
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.895

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## New Hampshire

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$178
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 206,847
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,286
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 59.3
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 6.9

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$2,452

6-17 years old ..... 26,399
18-21 years old ..... 1,419
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 49
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 48
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,086
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 83

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N ew H ampshire

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ .N ew H ampshire Department of H ealth and H uman Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay? $\qquad$
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services1,174
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.94
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.3

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ationa Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## New Jersey

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$603
Public school prek - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,341,656
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$11,248
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 94.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 10.5

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3 - 5 years old 16,716
6-17 years old ...................................................................................................,000
18-21 years old ...................................................................................................128
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ................................................................ 15.1
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ................... 71
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$................. 27
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ...............................................16,067
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ............................ 98
${ }^{\dagger}$ New Jersey did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N ew Jersey (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ .N ew Jersey Department of H ealth and Senior Services

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children4.8

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## New Mexico

N umber of regular school districts1 .......................................................................................... 89
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ 320,260
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$..................................................................................................... $\$ 6,313$

Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level5...................................................... 25.5

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old .5,145
6-17 years old ..... 44,769
18-21 years old ..... 2,311
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 14.0
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 46
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 51
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 3,981
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 90

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N ew M exico <br> (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ N ew M exico Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay? Yes
N umber of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services1,834
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.65
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children1.0

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## N ew York

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$703
Public school prek - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 2,872,132
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$10,716
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 87.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 19.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$3-5$ years old .53,313
$6-17$ years old ..... 364,975
18-21 years old ..... 21,944
Percentage of 6 - 17 enrollment served under ID EA ..... 12.7
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 37
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 43
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 40,264
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 75

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N ew York

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services.
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services30,417
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.76
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children1.4

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## N orth C arolina

N umber of regular school districts1 ....................................................................................... 121
Public school preK-12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. 1,315,363
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$..................................................................................................... $\$ 6,346$

Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level5......................................................16.5

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old 19,010
6-17 years old .................................................................................................161,850
18-21 years old ....................................................................................................6,112
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ............................................................... 12.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma...................... 34
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out .................... 47
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ...............................................9,522
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ........................... 83
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N orth C arolina ${ }_{\text {leratued }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services
.N orth C arolina Department of H ealth and Human Services
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children9.7

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## N orth D akota

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 222
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 106,047
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,125
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 55.9
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$1,294

6 -17 years old ..... 11,627
18-21 years old ..... 706
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.0
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 63
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 33
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21. ..... 746
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## N orth Dakota ${ }_{\text {corimese }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ N orth Dakota Department of H uman Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.371
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.92
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children6.9

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## O hio


#### Abstract

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$662


Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,830,985
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... $\$ 7,571$
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 77.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 14.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old. }
$$19,075

6 -17 years old ..... 206,344
18-21 years old ..... 13,128
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 69
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 22
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 13,927
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95${ }^{\dagger}$ Ohio did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## O hio (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$Lead agency for earlyintervention services
$\qquad$. O hio Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay? ..... No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services ..... 7,612
Percentage of infants and toddlersserved in the home.55Percentage of infants and toddlersserved in programs for typicallydeveloping children2.0

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## O klahoma

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 543
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 622,139
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,019
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 65.3
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 20.0

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
$\qquad$
$3-5$ years old6,714
6 -17 years old ..... 76,821
18-21 years old ..... 4,266
Percentage of 6 - 17 enrollment served under ID EA ..... 12.4
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ..... 58
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 41
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,244
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98${ }^{\dagger}$ Oklahoma did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## O klahoma (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services
.0 klahoma State Department of Education
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children0.0

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01
 11.3\%

1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and Rural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## O regon

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 198
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 551,480
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,528
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 78.7
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 15.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old7,227
6-17 years old ..... 65,866
18-21 years old ..... 3,036
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.9
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 33
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 55
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 3,063
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 96

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## O regon <br> (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ .0 regon Department of Education
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services1,887
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.54
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children3.4

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Pennsylvania

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$501
Public school prek - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,821,627
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ .....  $\$ 8,210$
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 77.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old. }
$$21,885

6 -17 years old ..... 215,441
18-21 years old ..... 12,405
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 59
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 40
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 17,099
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 100

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Penns/Vania

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ PennsyIvania D epartment of Public Welfare
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services10,191
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.91
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.5

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## R hode Isand

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 36
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 158,046
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$9,315
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 90.9
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 15.0

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$2,692

6-17 years old ..... 27,821
18-21 years old ..... 1,303
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 17.6
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 65
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 29
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,738
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## R hode Island ${ }_{\text {continues) }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ R hode Island Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## South C arolina

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 89
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 691,078
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,631
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 60.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 18.2

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$11,967

6-17 years old ..... 94,179
18-21 years old ..... 3,891
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 13.6
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 24
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 48
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 5,267
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 93
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## South C arolina ${ }_{\text {(arminase }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services South C arolina Department of H ealth and Environmental C ontrol

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,093

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children1.7

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## South Dakota

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 176
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 127,542
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,191
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 51.9
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 15.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$2,244

6-17 years old ..... 13,902
18-21 years old ..... 785
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 10.9
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 64
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 27
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21. ..... 951
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## South Dakota

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services

South Dakota Department of Education and Cultural Affairs
Services provided to infants
and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.655

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children19.7

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


## Tennessee

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 138
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 925,030
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$5,687
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 63.6
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty leve ${ }^{5}$ ..... 17.8

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$11,132

6-17 years old ..... 109,372
18-21 years old ..... 5,741
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 31
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 27
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,747
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Tennessee (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ Tennessee Department of Education
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.60
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children9.6

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

N ote: Please see the D ata $N$ otes at the end of section III for any information the state submitted to clarify its data submission.

## Texas

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1,040
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 4,163,447
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,539
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 82.5
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 20.7

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA 3-5 years old37,244
6-17 years old ..... 434,839
18-21 years old ..... 20,774
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 10.4
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diplomat ..... 69
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ${ }^{\dagger}$ ..... 31
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 26,898
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 89
${ }^{\dagger}$ Texas did not report any students receiving a certificate of completion.

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Texas (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$Texas Interagency C ouncil on Early Childhood Intervention

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children10.2

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of Data, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, H ousing and H ousehold Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Utah

N umber of regular school districts² ..... 40
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 484,677
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$4,674
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 88.2
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty leve ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.1

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$5,922

6-17 years old ..... 46,289
18-21 years old ..... 2,359
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.6
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 42
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 54
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,322
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 94
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## U tah (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ U tah Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,494
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home. .76
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children1.3

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and R ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

N ote: Please see the D ata $N$ otes at the end of section III for any information the state submitted to clarify its data submission.

## Vermont

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 292
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 101,179
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$9,153
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 38.2
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.6

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$1,293

6-17 years old ..... 11,909
18-21 years old ..... 684
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 11.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 51
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 45
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 1,033
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 96
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Vermont

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ Vermont Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services.471
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children 18.9

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers
Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, Census 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Virginia

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$137
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,163,091
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,281
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 73.0
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 12.2

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$15,145

6-17 years old ..... 148,287
18-21 years old ..... 7,086
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.8
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 49
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 32
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 12,738
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 84

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Virginia ${ }_{\text {costrues }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ Virginia Department of M ental Health, M ental R etardation, and Substance Abuse Services

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk
of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services$.4,743$

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention and the National Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public Education Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Housing and H ousehold Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released October 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Washington

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 296
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$. ..... 1,009,200
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$6,750
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 82.0
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.2

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$11,881

6 -17 years old ..... 103,950
18 -21 years old ..... 5,139
Percentage of 6 -17 enrollment served under ID EA ..... 10.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 48
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 44
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 4,719
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 99

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Washington <br> (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ Washington Department of Social and Health Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services3,119
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.37
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children8.6

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of D ata, N ational Public E ducation Finandial Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ scty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released $O$ ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## WesVVirginia

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 55
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 282,885
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,534
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 46.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty leve ${ }^{5}$ ..... 21.9

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$5,332

6-17 years old ..... 42,660
18-21 years old ..... 2,144
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 15.1
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 49
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 45
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 2,699
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 82
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## West Virginia $a_{\text {certimes }}$

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ WestVirginia D epartment of $H$ ealth and $H$ uman $R$ esources
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay? Yes
N umber of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services1,553
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.96
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children0.9

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, L ocal E ducation A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ationa Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, N ational Public Education Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. C ensus Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, D ata A nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## W isconsin

N umber of regular school districts² ..... 433
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 879,361
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ .....  $\$ 8,243$
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 68.3
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 11.0
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA$3-5$ years old14,574
6 -17 years old ..... 106,158
18 - 21 years old ..... 6,303
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.1
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 60
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 37
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 7,374
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 98
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## W isconsin (continued)

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$
Lead agency for early intervention services $\qquad$ W isconsin Department of H ealth and Family Services
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services5,212
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children5.2

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, N ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.censusgov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC 4-6R .txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Wyoming

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ ..... 48
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 88,128
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$7,835
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 65.1
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level ${ }^{5}$ ..... 13.9
Special Education (Part B)
All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
N umber of children served under IDEA
3-5 years old ..... 1,867
6-17 years old ..... 10,852
18-21 years old ..... 567
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 12.3
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 41
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 56
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 916
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 95

Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special Education and the National Population, Ages 6-21: 2001


## Distribution of Special Education Students in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Wyoming

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{8}$ Lead agency for early intervention services. $\qquad$ Wyoming Department of H ealth
Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?
N umber of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services.531
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.80
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically developing children10 .8

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers

Receiving Early Intervention and the National
Population, Birth Through 2 Years of Age: 2001


## Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01



1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of D ata, L ocal Education A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, N ational Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, $N$ ational Public E ducation Finandal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. C ensus Bureau, U rban and $R$ ural [6] Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse: Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Census Bureau, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, Small A rea Estimates Branch, State E stimates for People U nder A ge 18 in Poverty U.S., 2000 released O ctober 2003;
http:// www.census.gov/ hhes/ www/ saipe/ stcty/ doo_00.html.
6 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis System (DAN S).
7 U.S.C ensus Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popest/ data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R.txt.
8 U.S. Department of Education, 0 ffice of Special Education Programs, D ataA nalysis Systems (DAN S).
9 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, Population Estimates for 2001, released O ctober 2003; http:// eire.census.gov/ popes// data/ states/ files/ STC4-6R .txt.

## Puerto R ico

N umber of regular school districts ${ }^{1}$ .....  1
Public school preK - 12 enrollment ${ }^{2}$ ..... 604,177
Per-pupil expenditures ${ }^{3}$ ..... \$3,685
Percentage of population in urban areas ${ }^{4}$ ..... 94.4
Percentage of children under age 18 below poverty level D ata not available

## Special Education (Part B)

All data about special education on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{5}$
N umber of children served under IDEA

$$
3-5 \text { years old }
$$7,378

6 -17 years old ..... 55,371
18-21 years old ..... 3,125
Percentage of 6-17 enrollment served under IDEA ..... 9.2
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school with a diploma ..... 25
Percentage of students with disabilities ages 14-21 exiting school by dropping out ..... 46
N umber of special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 3,279
Percentage of fully certified special education teachers for students ages 6-21 ..... 99
Racial/Ethnic Composition of Special EducationPopulation, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Ages 6-21: 2001

${ }^{\dagger}$ Population estimates were not available for Puerto Rico.

## Distribution of Special Education Students

 in Different Educational Environments: 2000
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## Puerto R ico carmino

## Early Intervention Services for Infants and Toddlers (Part C)

All data about early intervention services on this page are from DAN S. ${ }^{6}$
Lead agency for early
intervention services. $\qquad$ Puerto R ico Department of H ealth

Services provided to infants and toddlers at risk of developmental delay?No

N umber of infants and toddlers
receiving early intervention services2,983

Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in the home.
Percentage of infants and toddlers
served in programs for typically
developing children

## Racial/Ethnic Composition of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention ${ }^{\dagger}$ : 2001


${ }^{\dagger}$ Population estimates were not available for Puerto Rico.
Reasons for Exiting Early Intervention Program: 2000-01


1 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational Center for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, Local Eduction A gency U niverse Survey, 2001-02.
2 U.S. Department of Education, $N$ ational C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon Core of D ata, State N onfiscal Survey, 2001-02.
3 U.S. Department of Education, National C enter for Education Statistics, C ommon C ore of $D$ ata, N ational Public Eduction Finandáal Survey, 2000-01.
4 U.S. Census Bureau, $U$ rban and $R$ ural [6]Summary File 1 (SF1) 100-Percent D ata U niverse:Total Population, C ensus 2000.
5 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, Data A nalysis System (DAN S).
6 U.S. Department of Education, O ffice of Special Education Programs, DataA nalysis System (DAN S).

BAREFOOT BANDED GECKO
© 2001 Jeremy Berquis, VT
Image provided courtesy of $V_{S} A$ arts, www.vsarts.org
"Before this project, I only knew there were lizards. Painting a gecko taught me about a new animal."

## Introduction to R ank- O rder Tables

All of the following tables contain two elements requiring explanation.

- N ational Baseline row shows the data for the nation as a whole. For this row, the percent value is calculated from the data for all states and outlying areas combined. It is not an average of the state percent values.
- DIF column shows the difference between a state's percent value and the $N$ ational Baseline percent value.

On most of these tables, states are ranked on their DIF value. T hat is, they are ranked according to how different their percentage value is from the percentage value of the nation as a whole.

Some of the tables show state data trends. T hese tables are ordered by state name. T hey are not ranked because there is a different value for every year.

Some of the tables include trend data, with states ranked on the percent change column. Percent change is the difference between the current percent value and the percent value in the baseline year. It is measured relative to the size of the baselines year's value.

## Table 3-1

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of StudentsA ges 14-21+ Exiting Special Education with a Diploma Based on N umber of Students Leaving School by Disability, During the 2000-2001 School Year

## ALL DISABIUTIES

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| STATE | $\%$ | DIF |  |

## Table 3-2

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of StudentsA ges 14-21+ Dropping O ut Based on N umber of Students 14-21+ Leaving School by Disability, During the 2000-2001 School Year

## ALL DISABILITIES



## ALL DISABILITIES

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { \% Change } \\ 1996-97 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 413 | 10 | -33 | 441 | 11 | -34 | 690 | 18 | -29 | 749 | 21 | -25 | 731 | 22 | -26 | 116 |
| UTAH | 697 | 25 | -18 | 1,050 | 47 | 2 | 1,596 | 55 | 8 | 1,598 | 50 | 4 | 1,077 | 42 | -6 | 69 |
| CALIFORNIA | 8,259 | 29 | -14 | 8,643 | 29 | -16 | 9,758 | 34 | -13 | 9,962 | 34 | -12 | 13,870 | 48 | 0 | 67 |
| OREGON | 1,055 | 22 | -21 | 788 | 37 | -8 | 1,091 | 30 | -17 | 1,130 | 33 | -13 | 1,279 | 33 | -15 | 52 |
| IDAHO | 492 | 42 | -1 | 570 | 43 | -2 | 743 | 52 | 5 | 866 | 57 | 11 | 924 | 61 | 13 | 46 |
| PUERTO RICO | 400 | 17 | -26 | 398 | 20 | -25 | 462 | 21 | -26 | 553 | 24 | -22 | 547 | 25 | -23 | 45 |
| ARIZONA | 1,221 | 31 | -12 | 1,359 | 43 | -2 | 1,949 | 42 | -5 | 2,290 | 43 | -3 | 2,623 | 42 | -6 | 36 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 937 | 36 | -7 | 887 | 34 | -11 | 1,030 | 58 | 11 | 1,230 | 51 | 5 | 1,150 | 49 | 1 | 36 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 361 | 48 | 5 | 366 | 49 | 4 | 332 | 51 | 4 | 409 | 50 | 4 | 439 | 64 | 16 | 35 |
| LOUISIANA | 865 | 13 | -30 | 992 | 15 | -30 | 1,020 | 15 | -32 | 1,090 | 16 | -30 | 1,204 | 17 | -31 | 28 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 716 | 19 | -24 | 703 | 17 | -28 | 1,093 | 24 | -23 | 1,033 | 24 | -22 | 1,120 | 24 | -24 | 27 |
| ILUNOIS | 7,072 | 44 | 1 | 7,276 | 41 | -4 | 7,999 | 46 | -1 | 7,772 | 44 | -2 | 9,383 | 55 | 7 | 25 |
| MONTANA | 466 | 51 | 8 | 513 | 51 | 6 | 516 | 57 | 10 | 512 | 52 | 6 | 739 | 63 | 15 | 23 |
| KANSAS | 1,475 | 52 | 9 | 1,703 | 59 | 14 | 2,065 | 58 | 11 | 2,241 | 60 | 14 | 2,370 | 64 | 16 | 22 |
| NEW MEXICO | 757 | 39 | -4 | 906 | 44 | -1 | 1,133 | 47 | 0 | 803 | 40 | -6 | 2,262 | 46 | -2 | 19 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 360 | 54 | 11 | 432 | 59 | 14 | 380 | 55 | 8 | 532 | 63 | 17 | 516 | 63 | 15 | 17 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,798 | 49 | 6 | 1,858 | 49 | 4 | 2,253 | 54 | 7 | 2,176 | 58 | 12 | 1,786 | 57 | 9 | 17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 5,511 | 51 | 8 | 6,185 | 57 | 12 | 5,851 | 59 | 12 | 6,164 | 60 | 14 | 5,673 | 59 | 11 | 16 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 8,156 | 51 | 8 | 8,653 | 52 | 7 | 9,324 | 55 | 8 | 6,941 | 61 | 15 | 5,533 | 59 | 11 | 15 |
| COLORADO | 1,800 | 41 | -2 | 2,026 | 50 | 5 | 2,170 | 51 | 4 | 2,348 | 50 | 4 | 2,423 | 47 | -1 | 15 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,649 | 52 | 9 | 3,922 | 55 | 10 | 4,229 | 56 | 9 | 4,666 | 59 | 13 | 4,878 | 60 | 12 | 14 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,724 | 41 | -2 | 1,815 | 45 | 0 | 2,052 | 44 | -3 | 1,947 | 43 | -3 | 2,034 | 46 | -2 | 14 |
| IOWA | 2,140 | 50 | 7 | 2,057 | 51 | 6 | 2,257 | 52 | 5 | 2,501 | 56 | 10 | 2,645 | 56 | 8 | 13 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,378 | 33 | -10 | 4,464 | 35 | -10 | 4,707 | 33 | -14 | 5,000 | 33 | -13 | 5,256 | 37 | -11 | 12 |
| MISSOURI | 2,859 | 52 | 9 | 3,967 | 52 | 7 | 3,977 | 51 | 4 | 4,391 | 50 | 4 | 5,024 | 58 | 10 | 12 |
| OHIO | 6,064 | 63 | 20 | 7,020 | 64 | 19 | 8,775 | 77 | 30 | 9,709 | 66 | 20 | 10,225 | 69 | 21 | 10 |
| NEW JERSEY | 7,100 | 65 | 22 | 9,416 | 69 | 24 | 8,778 | 67 | 20 | 9,599 | 66 | 20 | 9,250 | 71 | 23 | 9 |
| MARYLAND | 1,976 | 51 | 8 | 2,565 | 53 | 8 | 2,819 | 53 | 6 | 3,088 | 57 | 11 | 3,353 | 56 | 8 | 9 |
| MAINE | 937 | 53 | 10 | 996 | 52 | 7 | 1,048 | 59 | 12 | 1,108 | 59 | 13 | 1,179 | 57 | 9 | 8 |
| FLORIDA | 3,879 | 30 | -13 | 4,877 | 35 | -10 | 4,950 | 32 | -15 | 5,516 | 35 | -11 | 5,558 | 33 | -15 | 8 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 908 | 61 | 18 | 966 | 53 | 8 | 1,016 | 66 | 19 | 899 | 66 | 20 | 1,097 | 65 | 17 | 7 |
| VERMONT | 358 | 49 | 6 | 406 | 53 | 8 | 374 | 48 | 1 | 403 | 53 | 7 | 485 | 51 | 3 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 3,577 | 46 | 3 | 3,748 | 46 | 1 | 4,053 | 48 | 1 | 4,396 | 49 | 3 | 4,306 | 48 | 0 | 5 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,847 | 48 | 5 | 2,951 | 49 | 4 | 3,042 | 48 | 1 | 3,223 | 55 | 9 | 2,995 | 50 | 2 | 4 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,738 | 47 | 4 | 2,391 | 54 | 9 | 2,702 | 53 | 6 | 2,476 | 52 | 6 | 3,150 | 48 | 0 | 4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2,427 | 57 | 14 | 2,692 | 59 | 14 | 3,036 | 59 | 12 | 3,449 | 62 | 16 | 3,123 | 58 | 10 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,218 | 33 | -10 | 2,741 | 34 | -11 | 2,734 | 35 | -12 | 2,988 | 35 | -11 | 2,896 | 34 | -14 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 3,440 | 49 | 6 | 3,818 | 51 | 6 | 4,023 | 52 | 5 | 4,218 | 49 | 3 | 4,233 | 49 | 1 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 340 | 38 | -5 | 401 | 37 | -8 | 409 | 37 | -10 | 413 | 37 | -9 | 437 | 37 | -11 | -1 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,426 | 32 | -11 | 2,036 | 29 | -16 | 1,963 | 25 | -22 | 2,369 | 27 | -19 | 2,224 | 31 | -17 | -2 |
| GEORGIA | 1,276 | 20 | -23 | 1,294 | 20 | -25 | 1,411 | 29 | -18 | 1,913 | 19 | -27 | 2,180 | 19 | -29 | -2 |
| DELAWARE | 132 | 57 | 14 | 231 | 54 | 9 | 304 | 53 | 6 | 267 | 53 | 7 | 364 | 55 | 7 | -4 |
| ALABAMA | 1,325 | 21 | -22 | 1,423 | 23 | -22 | 1,513 | 24 | -23 | 1,252 | 18 | -28 | 1,260 | 20 | -28 | -4 |
| INDIANA | 3,876 | 44 | 1 | 4,185 | 47 | 2 | 4,317 | 49 | 2 | 4,539 | 50 | 4 | 4,071 | 42 | -6 | -4 |
| NEW YORK | 10,276 | 40 | -3 | 9,400 | 37 | -8 | 6,813 | 48 | 1 | 9,749 | 38 | -8 | 10,301 | 37 | -11 | -8 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,701 | 57 | 14 | 1,730 | 54 | 9 | 1,696 | 54 | 7 | 1,618 | 51 | 5 | 1,621 | 49 | 1 | -14 |
| NEVADA | 338 | 27 | -16 | 386 | 32 | -13 | 380 | 21 | -26 | 454 | 22 | -24 | 492 | 22 | -26 | -17 |
| TEXAS | 15,702 | 84 | 41 | 18,566 | 84 | 39 | 13,236 | 71 | 24 | 17,406 | 76 | 30 | 21,166 | 69 | 21 | -19 |
| WYOMING | 339 | 50 | 7 | 326 | 49 | 4 | 332 | 33 | -14 | 386 | 43 | -3 | 409 | 41 | -7 | -19 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,155 | 57 | 14 | 987 | 52 | 7 | 724 | 53 | 6 | 1,246 | 63 | 17 | 1,006 | 42 | -6 | -25 |
| HAWAII | 362 | 42 | -1 | 342 | 29 | -16 | 429 | 34 | -13 | 480 | 35 | -11 | 167 | 24 | -24 | -44 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 18 | -28 | 152 | 21 | -27 |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 4 | 15 | -28 | 4 | 17 | -28 | 18 | 47 | 0 | 8 | 22 | -24 | 17 | 40 | -8 | 157 |
| GUAM | 37 | 36 | -7 | 43 | 42 | -3 | 43 | 62 | 15 | 36 | 55 | 9 | 68 | 53 | 5 | 45 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 55 | 61 | 18 | 17 | 24 | -21 | 27 | 42 | -5 | 22 | 22 | -24 | 55 | 68 | 20 | 11 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 230 | 41 | -2 | 131 | 34 | -13 | 163 | 34 | -12 | 194 | 37 | -11 | -11 |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 6 | 38 | -5 | 10 | 59 | 14 | 10 | 59 | 12 | 10 | 38 | -8 | 3 | 16 | -32 | -58 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 134,610 | 43 |  | 147,942 | 45 |  | 149,783 | 47 |  | 162,352 | 46 |  | 173,523 | 48 |  | 11 |

$\%=$ \#graduating with diploma $\div$ \#leaving school.
Students leaving school indudes students who graduated with a diploma, received a certificate, dropped out, died, reached maximum age, and moved - not known to be continuing.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
$\%$ Change $=((2000-2001$ graduation rate $-1996-1997$ graduation rate) $/ 1996-1997$ graduation rate $) * 100)$.
Differences in state graduation rates should be interpreted with caution.Standards for graduation and student tracking systems vary widely across states
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported across states.
Data as of August 30, 2002.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From National Baseline of StudentsA ges 14-21+ D ropping 0 ut Based on N umber of Students 14-21+ Leaving School by Disability, During the 1996-1997 Through 2000-2001 School Years

ALL DISABILITIES

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Change } \\ 1996-97 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |  |
| HAWAII | 116 | 14 | -32 | 319 | 27 | -17 | 254 | 20 | -22 | 227 | 17 | -25 | 494 | 70 | 29 | 421 |
| TEXAS | 2,915 | 16 | -30 | 3,647 | 16 | -28 | 5,220 | 28 | -14 | 5,494 | 24 | -18 | 9,562 | 31 | -10 | 98 |
| NEBRASKA | 800 | 39 | -7 | 785 | 42 | -2 | 603 | 44 | 2 | 622 | 32 | -10 | 1,284 | 54 | 13 | 38 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,061 | 36 | -10 | 1,318 | 41 | -3 | 1,276 | 41 | -1 | 1,399 | 44 | 2 | 1,497 | 45 | 4 | 27 |
| WYOMING | 301 | 45 | -1 | 305 | 46 | 2 | 650 | 64 | 22 | 482 | 53 | 11 | 560 | 56 | 15 | 25 |
| GEORGIA | 3,161 | 49 | 3 | 3,006 | 46 | 2 | 1,656 | 34 | -8 | 5,944 | 60 | 18 | 6,526 | 57 | 16 | 18 |
| DELAWARE | 73 | 32 | -14 | 167 | 39 | -5 | 230 | 40 | -2 | 192 | 38 | -4 | 243 | 37 | -4 | 16 |
| NEVADA | 512 | 41 | -5 | 380 | 32 | -12 | 747 | 41 | -1 | 965 | 46 | 4 | 1,021 | 46 | 5 | 14 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1,384 | 34 | -12 | 1,478 | 38 | -6 | 1,369 | 36 | -6 | 1,169 | 33 | -9 | 1,182 | 35 | -6 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 533 | 59 | 13 | 615 | 57 | 13 | 691 | 62 | 20 | 662 | 60 | 18 | 709 | 60 | 19 | 2 |
| ALABAMA | 2,868 | 45 | -1 | 2,475 | 40 | -4 | 2,565 | 40 | -2 | 3,321 | 48 | 6 | 2,901 | 46 | 5 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 10,991 | 43 | -3 | 10,933 | 43 | -1 | 4,944 | 34 | -8 | 10,732 | 42 | 0 | 12,066 | 43 | 2 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 3,918 | 61 | 15 | 4,176 | 62 | 18 | 4,271 | 61 | 19 | 3,884 | 57 | 15 | 4,237 | 61 | 20 | -1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,201 | 48 | 2 | 3,993 | 50 | 6 | 3,543 | 46 | 4 | 3,966 | 46 | 4 | 4,014 | 47 | 6 | -3 |
| VERMONT | 340 | 46 | 0 | 341 | 45 | 1 | 383 | 49 | 7 | 329 | 43 | 1 | 426 | 45 | 4 | -3 |
| MINNESOTA | 4,069 | 53 | 7 | 4,343 | 53 | 9 | 4,251 | 51 | 9 | 4,606 | 51 | 9 | 4,533 | 51 | 10 | -3 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,810 | 42 | -4 | 1,831 | 40 | -4 | 2,069 | 40 | -2 | 2,111 | 38 | -4 | 2,188 | 41 | 0 | -3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,955 | 50 | 4 | 2,849 | 47 | 3 | 3,132 | 50 | 8 | 2,572 | 44 | 2 | 2,867 | 48 | 7 | -4 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,720 | 46 | 0 | 1,850 | 42 | -2 | 2,143 | 42 | 0 | 1,960 | 41 | -1 | 2,863 | 44 | 3 | -5 |
| MICHIGAN | 8,031 | 61 | 15 | 7,465 | 58 | 14 | 8,653 | 61 | 19 | 9,259 | 61 | 19 | 8,072 | 57 | 16 | -7 |
| INDIANA | 4,534 | 51 | 5 | 4,298 | 48 | 4 | 4,083 | 46 | 4 | 3,990 | 44 | 2 | 4,655 | 48 | 7 | -7 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,374 | 53 | 7 | 1,421 | 55 | 11 | 624 | 35 | -7 | 1,066 | 45 | 3 | 1,148 | 48 | 7 | -8 |
| MISSOURI | 2,200 | 40 | -6 | 3,314 | 44 | 0 | 3,289 | 42 | 0 | 3,794 | 44 | 2 | 3,195 | 37 | -4 | -8 |
| MARYLAND | 1,487 | 39 | -7 | 1,810 | 37 | -7 | 2,010 | 38 | -4 | 1,769 | 33 | -9 | 2,130 | 36 | -5 | -8 |
| MAINE | 740 | 42 | -4 | 837 | 43 | -1 | 620 | 35 | -7 | 661 | 35 | -7 | 790 | 38 | -3 | -8 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,547 | 42 | -4 | 1,640 | 43 | -1 | 1,640 | 39 | -3 | 1,370 | 37 | -5 | 1,182 | 38 | -3 | -10 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,124 | 57 | 11 | 1,080 | 52 | 8 | 1,163 | 48 | 6 | 1,170 | 58 | 16 | 2,529 | 51 | 10 | -10 |
| COLORADO | 2,349 | 54 | 8 | 1,774 | 44 | 0 | 1,847 | 43 | 1 | 2,079 | 44 | 2 | 2,461 | 48 | 7 | -11 |
| ARIZONA | 2,480 | 63 | 17 | 1,655 | 52 | 8 | 2,568 | 56 | 14 | 2,963 | 55 | 13 | 3,457 | 56 | 15 | -12 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,228 | 52 | 6 | 888 | 44 | 0 | 1,071 | 50 | 8 | 1,106 | 47 | 5 | 1,017 | 46 | 5 | -12 |
| IOWA | 1,969 | 46 | 0 | 1,824 | 46 | 2 | 1,965 | 45 | 3 | 1,878 | 42 | 0 | 1,881 | 40 | -1 | -13 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,606 | 37 | -9 | 2,521 | 34 | -10 | 2,549 | 33 | -9 | 3,135 | 36 | -6 | 2,755 | 32 | -9 | -13 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2,039 | 55 | 9 | 2,453 | 58 | 14 | 2,138 | 48 | 6 | 2,101 | 49 | 7 | 2,182 | 48 | 7 | -13 |
| KENTUCKY | 2,202 | 52 | 6 | 1,838 | 46 | 2 | 2,278 | 49 | 7 | 2,218 | 49 | 7 | 1,962 | 45 | 4 | -14 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7,689 | 48 | 2 | 7,765 | 47 | 3 | 7,547 | 44 | 2 | 4,166 | 37 | -5 | 3,777 | 40 | -1 | -17 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,141 | 45 | -1 | 2,924 | 41 | -3 | 3,045 | 41 | -1 | 2,995 | 38 | -4 | 3,053 | 37 | -4 | -17 |
| MONTANA | 387 | 43 | -3 | 456 | 46 | 2 | 378 | 41 | -1 | 433 | 44 | 2 | 415 | 35 | -6 | -17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 4,995 | 46 | 0 | 4,451 | 41 | -3 | 3,814 | 38 | -4 | 3,890 | 38 | -4 | 3,651 | 38 | -3 | -18 |
| NEW JERSEY | 3,668 | 34 | -12 | 4,009 | 29 | -15 | 3,945 | 30 | -12 | 4,514 | 31 | -11 | 3,560 | 27 | -14 | -19 |
| UTAH | 1,884 | 67 | 21 | 1,082 | 48 | 4 | 1,133 | 39 | -3 | 1,387 | 44 | 2 | 1,397 | 54 | 13 | -19 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 279 | 42 | -4 | 278 | 38 | -6 | 283 | 41 | -1 | 295 | 35 | -7 | 273 | 33 | -8 | -20 |
| FLORIDA | 5,769 | 45 | -1 | 5,841 | 41 | -3 | 6,719 | 43 | 1 | 5,913 | 38 | -4 | 6,036 | 36 | -5 | -21 |
| ILINOIS | 8,220 | 51 | 5 | 9,886 | 55 | 11 | 8,424 | 49 | 7 | 9,170 | 52 | 10 | 6,855 | 40 | -1 | -22 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 550 | 37 | -9 | 750 | 41 | -3 | 487 | 31 | -11 | 398 | 29 | -13 | 485 | 29 | -12 | -22 |
| OREGON | 3,460 | 72 | 26 | 989 | 47 | 3 | 2,057 | 57 | 15 | 1,924 | 56 | 14 | 2,109 | 55 | 14 | -24 |
| KANSAS | 1,298 | 46 | 0 | 1,120 | 39 | -5 | 1,461 | 41 | -1 | 1,453 | 39 | -3 | 1,278 | 34 | -7 | -25 |
| IDAHO | 532 | 45 | -1 | 610 | 46 | 2 | 654 | 45 | 3 | 610 | 40 | -2 | 505 | 33 | -8 | -26 |
| CALIFORNIA | 16,228 | 56 | 10 | 16,027 | 55 | 11 | 13,730 | 47 | 5 | 14,016 | 47 | 5 | 11,457 | 39 | -2 | -30 |
| OHIO | 3,110 | 32 | -14 | 3,540 | 32 | -12 | 2,408 | 21 | -21 | 3,636 | 25 | -17 | 3,205 | 22 | -19 | -33 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 309 | 41 | -5 | 298 | 40 | -4 | 272 | 42 | 0 | 363 | 45 | 3 | 181 | 27 | -14 | -35 |
| TENNESSEE | 3,211 | 42 | -4 | 2,971 | 42 | -2 | 3,208 | 40 | -2 | 3,245 | 37 | -5 | 1,943 | 27 | -14 | -35 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 26 | -16 | 447 | 61 | 20 |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 | 19 | -27 | 3 | 18 | -26 | 3 | 18 | -24 | 12 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 42 | 1 | 125 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 261 | 47 | 1 | 200 | 52 | 10 | 287 | 60 | 18 | 290 | 55 | 14 | 17 |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 14 | 54 | 8 | 15 | 65 | 21 | 16 | 42 | 0 | 23 | 62 | 20 | 24 | 56 | 15 | 4 |
| GUAM | 61 | 60 | 14 | 60 | 58 | 14 | 24 | 35 | -7 | 30 | 45 | 3 | 56 | 43 | 2 | -27 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 28 | 31 | -15 | 40 | 56 | 12 | 9 | 14 | -28 | 44 | 43 | 1 | 18 | 22 | -19 | -29 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 143,735 | 46 |  | 142,744 | 44 |  | 136,312 | 42 |  | 148,065 | 42 |  | 149,612 | 41 |  | -11 |

[^57]
## Table 3-5

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

ALL DISABILTIES > EARLY CHILDHOOD SETTING

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3-5 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$
N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 2000-2001 School Year

## AL DISABILITIES > EARLY CHILDHOOD SPECIAL EDUCATION SETTING



## Table 3-5 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

## ALL DISABILTIES > HOME

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3-5 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$
N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 2000-2001 School Year

## AL DISABILITIES > PART-TIME EARLY CHILDHOOD SPECIAL EDUCATION SETIING



## Table 3-5 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

ALL DISABIUTIES > RESIDENTIAL FACILITY


Table 3-5 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$
N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 2000-2001 School Year

AL DISABILITIES > SEPARATE SCHOOL


## Table 3-6

N umber, Percentage, and D ifference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

ALL DISABILTIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS <21\%

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

Aレ DISABILITIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS 21-60\%


## Table 3-6

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

ALL DISABILTIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS >60\%

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

## AL DISABILITIES > PUBLIC/PRIVATE SEPARATE SCHOOL FACILITY



## Table 3-6 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$

N umber, Percentage, and D ifference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 2000-2001 School Year

## ALL DISABIபTIES > PUBUC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Table 3-7

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1998-1999T hrough 2000-2001 SchoolYears

## ALL DISABILITIES > EARLY CHILDHOOD SETTING



Table 3-7 $7_{\text {ontinued }}$
N umber, Percentage, and Difference From National Baseline of C hildren A ges 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder ID EA, Part B, D uring the 1998-1999 Through 2000-2001 School Years
ALL DISABILITIES > PARTTIME EARLY CHILDHOOD SPECIAL EDUCATION SETTING

| STATE | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 933 | 13 | 1 | 1,811 | 25 | 12 | 2,548 | 34 | 19 |
| ALASKA | 127 | 7 | -5 | 175 | 11 | -2 | 120 | 7 | -8 |
| ARIZONA | 2,684 | 30 | 18 | 2,534 | 28 | 15 | 2,383 | 26 | 11 |
| ARKANSAS | 2,919 | 34 | 22 | 2,972 | 33 | 20 | 3,453 | 37 | 22 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 4,059 | 7 | -6 | 5,903 | 10 | -5 |
| COLORADO | 980 | 13 | 1 | 796 | 10 | -3 | 763 | 9 | -6 |
| CONNECTICUT | 456 | 6 | -6 | 1,573 | 22 | 9 | 1,353 | 19 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 363 | 22 | 10 | 287 | 17 | 4 | 214 | 13 | -2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 10 | -5 |
| FLORIDA | 15,546 | 58 | 46 | 16,501 | 56 | 43 | 17,834 | 58 | 43 |
| GEORGIA | 883 | 6 | -6 | 496 | 3 | -10 | 604 | 4 | -11 |
| HAWAII | 217 | 13 | 1 | 270 | 15 | 2 | 478 | 25 | 10 |
| IDAHO | 137 | 4 | -8 | 178 | 7 | -6 | 206 | 6 | -9 |
| ILINOIS | 3,870 | 14 | 2 | 3,997 | 14 | 1 | 4,373 | 15 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 858 | 6 | -6 | 969 | 7 | -6 | 1,276 | 8 | -7 |
| IOWA | 879 | 16 | 4 | 858 | 15 | 2 | 836 | 15 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 655 | 9 | -3 | 635 | 9 | -4 | 677 | 9 | -6 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,700 | 11 | -1 | 3,627 | 23 | 10 | 5,011 | 31 | 16 |
| LOUISIANA | 140 | 1 | -11 | 184 | 2 | -11 | 2 | 0 | -15 |
| MAINE | 404 | 11 | -1 | 610 | 16 | 3 | 538 | 14 | -1 |
| MARYLAND | 1,392 | 14 | 2 | 1,255 | 13 | 0 | 1,871 | 19 | 4 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 3,500 | 23 | 11 | 3,228 | 22 | 9 | 2,038 | 15 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 773 | 4 | -8 | 1,143 | 6 | -7 | 1,805 | 9 | -6 |
| MINNESOTA | 590 | 5 | -7 | 551 | 5 | -8 | 728 | 6 | -9 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1,550 | 26 | 14 | 2,031 | 30 | 17 | 1,941 | 28 | 13 |
| MISSOURI | 192 | 2 | -10 | 115 | 1 | -12 | 574 | 5 | -10 |
| MONTANA | 99 | 6 | -6 | 114 | 7 | -6 | 106 | 6 | -9 |
| NEBRASKA | 527 | 17 | 5 | 1,366 | 40 | 27 | 0 | 0 | -15 |
| NEVADA | 40 | 1 | -11 | 83 | 2 | -11 | 303 | 8 | -7 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 43 | 2 | -10 | 94 | 4 | -9 | 91 | 4 | -11 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,026 | 13 | 1 | 1,117 | 7 | -6 | 1,141 | 7 | -8 |
| NEW MEXICO | 171 | 3 | -9 | 216 | 4 | -9 | 128 | 3 | -12 |
| NEW YORK | 1,365 | 4 | -8 | 1,507 | 5 | -8 | 2,030 | 6 | -9 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,336 | 8 | -4 | 721 | 4 | -9 | 706 | 4 | -11 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 143 | 12 | 0 | 98 | 8 | -5 | 96 | 8 | -7 |
| OHIO | 3,439 | 19 | 7 | 984 | 5 | -8 | 1,158 | 6 | -9 |
| OKLAHOMA | 693 | 12 | 0 | 771 | 13 | 0 | 812 | 13 | -2 |
| OREGON | 528 | 12 | 0 | 541 | 12 | -1 | 336 | 7 | -8 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 761 | 4 | -8 | 502 | 2 | -11 | 265 | 1 | -14 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,127 | 20 | 8 | 1,012 | 16 | 3 | 1,365 | 18 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -15 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2,051 | 19 | 7 | 1,416 | 12 | -1 | 1,820 | 16 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 559 | 26 | 14 | 526 | 23 | 10 | 577 | 25 | 10 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,113 | 11 | -1 | 1,207 | 11 | -2 | 1,075 | 10 | -5 |
| TEXAS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,950 | 30 | 15 |
| UTAH | 114 | 2 | -10 | 769 | 13 | 0 | 693 | 12 | -3 |
| VERMONT | 110 | 9 | -3 | 231 | 20 | 7 | 188 | 15 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,872 | 14 | 2 | 938 | 7 | -6 | 1,118 | 8 | -7 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,529 | 13 | 1 | 1,363 | 12 | -1 | 1,278 | 11 | -4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 339 | 6 | -6 | 1,280 | 24 | 11 | 945 | 17 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 2,370 | 17 | 5 | 2,329 | 17 | 4 | 2,219 | 15 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 22 | 1 | -11 | 1,012 | 53 | 40 | 918 | 54 | 39 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -15 |
| GUAM | 23 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 6 | -7 | 94 | 46 | 31 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -15 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 40 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -15 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4 | 1 | -11 | 129 | 29 | 16 | 102 | 33 | 18 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 64,192 | 12 |  | 71,192 | 13 |  | 88,087 | 15 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ total \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> National environment percentages for 1998-99 do not sum across pages to $100 \%$. <br> California did not report data for individual preschool environments, but is induded in the total across all environments. <br> * Categories are optional. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3-7 $7_{\text {ontinued }}$
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Tadle 3-7 $7_{\text {motinued }}$
N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational B aseline of Children A ges 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1998-1999 Through 2000-2001 SchoolYears

## ALL DISABILITIES > REVERSE MAINSTREAM*



| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 36,891 | 41 | -5 | 38,021 | 42 | -4 | 41,753 | 45 | -1 | 48,213 | 52 | 6 | 44,104 | 48 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 9,241 | 57 | 11 | 7,772 | 48 | 2 | 9,489 | 59 | 13 | 9,333 | 59 | 13 | 9,289 | 58 | 12 |
| ARIZONA | 33,039 | 46 | 0 | 34,884 | 46 | 0 | 37,290 | 47 | 1 | 40,918 | 48 | 2 | 42,086 | 48 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 18,761 | 39 | -7 | 19,328 | 40 | -6 | 19,688 | 39 | -7 | 19,903 | 38 | -8 | 20,263 | 38 | -8 |
| CALIFORNIA | 278,074 | 53 | 7 | 286,984 | 52 | 6 | 295,767 | 52 | 6 | 287,925 | 49 | 3 | 356,720 | 61 | 15 |
| COLORADO | 45,680 | 71 | 25 | 46,343 | 71 | 25 | 47,795 | 71 | 25 | 48,989 | 71 | 25 | 50,423 | 72 | 26 |
| CONNECTICUT | 38,523 | 57 | 11 | 38,672 | 57 | 11 | 39,147 | 56 | 10 | 38,058 | 56 | 10 | 36,738 | 55 | 9 |
| DELAWARE | 3,292 | 24 | -22 | 3,498 | 24 | -22 | 4,130 | 28 | -18 | 4,359 | 30 | -16 | 4,902 | 32 | -14 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,125 | 13 | -33 | 1,553 | 23 | -23 | 441 | 4 | -42 |
| FLORIDA | 111,545 | 39 | -7 | 155,965 | 51 | 5 | 154,364 | 49 | 3 | 162,641 | 50 | 4 | 163,789 | 49 | 3 |
| GEORGIA | 46,581 | 37 | -9 | 48,235 | 36 | -10 | 52,457 | 37 | -9 | 52,095 | 35 | -11 | 56,011 | 36 | -10 |
| HAWAll | 7,519 | 44 | -2 | 7,710 | 45 | -1 | 3,546 | 19 | -27 | 3,971 | 19 | -27 | 9,878 | 45 | -1 |
| IDAHO | 14,807 | 67 | 21 | 15,252 | 67 | 21 | 15,766 | 66 | 20 | 16,614 | 66 | 20 | 16,518 | 65 | 19 |
| ILLINOIS | 86,128 | 36 | -10 | 88,696 | 36 | -10 | 93,550 | 37 | -9 | 97,002 | 37 | -9 | 97,734 | 36 | -10 |
| INDIANA | 74,781 | 60 | 14 | 75,077 | 58 | 12 | 78,482 | 59 | 13 | 78,650 | 57 | 11 | 82,168 | 58 | 12 |
| IOWA | 35,061 | 56 | 10 | 31,714 | 50 | 4 | 31,071 | 48 | 2 | 30,725 | 46 | 0 | 30,197 | 45 | -1 |
| KANSAS | 29,976 | 61 | 15 | 30,186 | 60 | 14 | 30,990 | 60 | 14 | 31,452 | 60 | 14 | 31,473 | 59 | 13 |
| KENTUCKY | 33,193 | 47 | 1 | 33,153 | 47 | 1 | 35,725 | 49 | 3 | 37,741 | 50 | 4 | 39,702 | 51 | 5 |
| LOUISIANA | 29,270 | 35 | -11 | 30,664 | 36 | -10 | 27,221 | 32 | -14 | 34,752 | 40 | -6 | 39,098 | 44 | -2 |
| MAINE | 15,087 | 51 | 5 | 15,652 | 52 | 6 | 15,738 | 51 | 5 | 16,048 | 51 | 5 | 16,456 | 52 | 6 |
| MARYLAND | 41,603 | 44 | -2 | 44,654 | 45 | -1 | 46,384 | 46 | 0 | 47,282 | 47 | 1 | 47,246 | 46 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 95,041 | 66 | 20 | 97,312 | 66 | 20 | 25,082 | 16 | -30 | 21,106 | 14 | -32 | 27,487 | 18 | -28 |
| MICHIGAN | 84,244 | 48 | 2 | 101,746 | 56 | 10 | 100,218 | 53 | 7 | 87,947 | 45 | -1 | 89,374 | 44 | -2 |
| MINNESOTA | 58,983 | 65 | 19 | 60,516 | 65 | 19 | 61,473 | 65 | 19 | 61,932 | 64 | 18 | 62,741 | 64 | 18 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 20,867 | 35 | -11 | 19,219 | 33 | -13 | 26,083 | 47 | 1 | 26,618 | 48 | 2 | 25,993 | 47 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 44,843 | 41 | -5 | 40,527 | 34 | -12 | 61,796 | 51 | 5 | 64,538 | 52 | 6 | 67,028 | 53 | 7 |
| MONTANA | 9,400 | 56 | 10 | 9,011 | 53 | 7 | 9,433 | 55 | 9 | 9,545 | 55 | 9 | 9,723 | 55 | 9 |
| NEBRASKA | 23,459 | 64 | 18 | 22,586 | 56 | 10 | 23,464 | 56 | 10 | 21,713 | 56 | 10 | 23,119 | 59 | 13 |
| NEVADA | 12,217 | 46 | 0 | 12,473 | 44 | -2 | 14,426 | 48 | 2 | 15,987 | 50 | 4 | 17,476 | 51 | 5 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 12,425 | 51 | 5 | 12,363 | 50 | 4 | 20,036 | 79 | 33 | 19,614 | 74 | 28 | 20,472 | 74 | 28 |
| NEW JERSEY | 86,192 | 46 | 0 | 87,176 | 46 | 0 | 87,090 | 45 | -1 | 89,991 | 45 | -1 | 90,688 | 44 | -2 |
| NEW MEXICO | 15,125 | 34 | -12 | 16,498 | 36 | -10 | 19,252 | 41 | -5 | 13,551 | 29 | -17 | 15,724 | 33 | -13 |
| NEW YORK | 154,753 | 43 | -3 | 161,033 | 43 | -3 | 170,740 | 45 | -1 | 181,896 | 48 | 2 | 192,839 | 50 | 4 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 79,207 | 58 | 12 | 82,362 | 58 | 12 | 85,808 | 58 | 12 | 90,517 | 58 | 12 | 94,609 | 58 | 12 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9,107 | 79 | 33 | 9,323 | 79 | 33 | 9,578 | 80 | 34 | 9,826 | 80 | 34 | 9,781 | 79 | 33 |
| OHIO | 127,654 | 61 | 15 | 130,092 | 62 | 16 | 135,521 | 64 | 18 | 140,615 | 65 | 19 | 89,679 | 41 | -5 |
| OKLAHOMA | 34,133 | 50 | 4 | 35,491 | 49 | 3 | 36,676 | 49 | 3 | 36,540 | 47 | 1 | 37,091 | 47 | 1 |
| OREGON | 40,904 | 70 | 24 | 43,515 | 71 | 25 | 48,907 | 75 | 29 | 49,750 | 74 | 28 | 49,740 | 72 | 26 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 73,900 | 38 | -8 | 75,996 | 37 | -9 | 70,577 | 34 | -12 | 75,484 | 36 | -10 | 89,672 | 41 | -5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2,509 | 6 | -40 | 2,783 | 6 | -40 | 24,961 | 51 | 5 | 30,468 | 58 | 12 | 25,544 | 44 | -2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12,168 | 50 | 4 | 12,346 | 49 | 3 | 12,283 | 48 | 2 | 12,962 | 48 | 2 | 12,954 | 46 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 27,993 | 35 | -11 | 29,319 | 35 | -11 | 30,054 | 34 | -12 | 29,551 | 32 | -14 | 30,153 | 32 | -14 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 8,333 | 65 | 19 | 8,659 | 65 | 19 | 9,003 | 67 | 21 | 9,263 | 66 | 20 | 9,313 | 65 | 19 |
| TENNESSEE | 53,181 | 46 | 0 | 53,963 | 45 | -1 | 52,469 | 44 | -2 | 52,189 | 45 | -1 | 51,901 | 45 | -1 |
| TEXAS | 110,318 | 26 | -20 | 114,647 | 26 | -20 | 122,916 | 27 | -19 | 128,404 | 28 | -18 | 129,886 | 29 | -17 |
| UTAH | 21,328 | 44 | -2 | 21,307 | 43 | -3 | 21,622 | 44 | -2 | 21,720 | 44 | -2 | 20,405 | 42 | -4 |
| VERMONT | 8,824 | 84 | 38 | 9,124 | 83 | 37 | 9,373 | 82 | 36 | 9,512 | 78 | 32 | 9,734 | 79 | 33 |
| VIRGINIA | 54,743 | 42 | -4 | 54,487 | 40 | -6 | 54,949 | 39 | -7 | 54,029 | 38 | -8 | 54,441 | 37 | -9 |
| WASHINGTON | 48,071 | 51 | 5 | 51,292 | 52 | 6 | 53,584 | 52 | 6 | 53,611 | 51 | 5 | 52,172 | 49 | 3 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 20,109 | 48 | 2 | 20,476 | 47 | 1 | 21,260 | 48 | 2 | 21,796 | 49 | 3 | 22,217 | 49 | 3 |
| WISCONSIN | 36,752 | 38 | -8 | 39,090 | 39 | -7 | 40,914 | 40 | -6 | 44,517 | 41 | -5 | 47,951 | 43 | -3 |
| WYOMING | 7,726 | 56 | 10 | 6,240 | 55 | 9 | 6,332 | 54 | 8 | 6,120 | 51 | 5 | 5,981 | 52 | 6 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 170 | 52 | 6 | 213 | 54 | 8 | 263 | 50 | 4 | 285 | 44 | -2 | 371 | 57 | 11 |
| GUAM | 515 | 29 | -17 | 509 | 28 | -18 | 683 | 36 | -10 | 591 | 29 | -17 | 638 | 31 | -15 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | $233$ | 75 | $29$ |  |  |  | 337 | 80 | 34 | 364 | 70 | 24 | 137 | 25 | -21 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 202 | 16 | -30 |  | . |  | 510 | 32 | -14 | 423 | 27 | -19 | 355 | 25 | -21 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3,200 | 41 | -5 |  | . |  | 3,747 | 61 | 15 | 4,888 | 59 | 13 | 5,296 | 62 | 16 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 2,387,881 | 46 |  | 2,494,154 | 46 |  | 2,552,898 | 46 |  | 2,606,087 | 46 |  | 2,687,921 | 46 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

ALL DISABILITIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 13,799 | 15 | -6 | 13,365 | 15 | -5 | 12,743 | 14 | -6 | 7,912 | 9 | -11 | 8,764 | 10 | -10 |
| ALASKA | 1,619 | 10 | -11 | 1,585 | 10 | -10 | 1,495 | 9 | -11 | 1,713 | 11 | -9 | 1,984 | 12 | -8 |
| ARIZONA | 12,562 | 18 | -3 | 12,983 | 17 | -3 | 13,829 | 17 | -3 | 14,717 | 17 | -3 | 15,402 | 18 | -2 |
| ARKANSAS | 6,732 | 14 | -7 | 7,095 | 15 | -5 | 7,266 | 14 | -6 | 7,505 | 14 | -6 | 7,421 | 14 | -6 |
| CALIFORNIA | 119,772 | 23 | 2 | 124,412 | 23 | 3 | 130,830 | 23 | 3 | 156,298 | 27 | 7 | 104,492 | 18 | -2 |
| COLORADO | 5,558 | 9 | -12 | 5,894 | 9 | -11 | 5,991 | 9 | -11 | 6,154 | 9 | -11 | 5,607 | 8 | -12 |
| CONNECTICUT | 11,671 | 17 | -4 | 11,568 | 17 | -3 | 11,569 | 17 | -3 | 10,925 | 16 | -4 | 10,768 | 16 | -4 |
| DELAWARE | 1,024 | 7 | -14 | 1,202 | 8 | -12 | 1,320 | 9 | -11 | 1,922 | 13 | -7 | 2,670 | 18 | -2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,437 | 28 | 8 | 4,229 | 42 | 22 |  |  |  |
| FLORIDA | 94,966 | 33 | 12 | 61,530 | 20 | 0 | 68,155 | 22 | 2 | 71,818 | 22 | 2 | 75,674 | 22 | 2 |
| GEORGIA | 34,152 | 27 | 6 | 36,449 | 27 | 7 | 38,463 | 27 | 7 | 40,781 | 27 | 7 | 41,356 | 27 | 7 |
| HAWAll | 3,419 | 20 | -1 | 3,494 | 20 | 0 | 3,841 | 20 | 0 | 4,191 | 20 | 0 | 4,659 | 21 | 1 |
| IDAHO | 1,579 | 7 | -14 | 1,593 | 7 | -13 | 1,658 | 7 | -13 | 1,775 | 7 | -13 | 1,822 | 7 | -13 |
| ILLINOIS | 65,120 | 27 | 6 | 69,742 | 28 | 8 | 72,294 | 28 | 8 | 73,816 | 28 | 8 | 76,674 | 29 | 9 |
| INDIANA | 29,841 | 24 | 3 | 31,494 | 24 | 4 | 31,463 | 24 | 4 | 33,998 | 25 | 5 | 30,065 | 21 | 1 |
| IOWA | 8,370 | 13 | -8 | 9,487 | 15 | -5 | 9,793 | 15 | -5 | 10,095 | 15 | -5 | 9,911 | 15 | -5 |
| KANSAS | 5,573 | 11 | -10 | 5,452 | 11 | -9 | 6,107 | 12 | -8 | 6,415 | 12 | -8 | 6,624 | 12 | -8 |
| KENTUCKY | 11,560 | 17 | -4 | 10,717 | 15 | -5 | 11,725 | 16 | -4 | 11,857 | 16 | -4 | 11,530 | 15 | -5 |
| LOUISIANA | 34,087 | 41 | 20 | 33,489 | 40 | 20 | 29,398 | 34 | 14 | 27,509 | 32 | 12 | 26,747 | 30 | 10 |
| MAINE | 3,499 | 12 | -9 | 3,903 | 13 | -7 | 4,025 | 13 | -7 | 4,134 | 13 | -7 | 4,190 | 13 | -7 |
| MARYLAND | 23,223 | 24 | 3 | 24,466 | 25 | 5 | 25,128 | 25 | 5 | 24,358 | 24 | 4 | 23,574 | 23 | 3 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 20,848 | 14 | -7 | 21,465 | 14 | -6 | 21,831 | 14 | -6 | 22,150 | 15 | -5 | 23,447 | 15 | -5 |
| MICHIGAN | 40,652 | 23 | 2 | 28,889 | 16 | -4 | 35,648 | 19 | -1 | 39,791 | 20 | 0 | 46,760 | 23 | 3 |
| MINNESOTA | 6,985 | 8 | -13 | 7,325 | 8 | -12 | 7,356 | 8 | -12 | 7,863 | 8 | -12 | 8,568 | 9 | -11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 14,723 | 25 | 4 | 14,321 | 25 | 5 | 11,750 | 21 | 1 | 11,640 | 21 | 1 | 12,277 | 22 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 17,750 | 16 | -5 | 18,372 | 15 | -5 | 17,429 | 14 | -6 | 16,326 | 13 | -7 | 15,864 | 13 | -7 |
| MONTANA | 1,627 | 10 | -11 | 1,812 | 11 | -9 | 1,801 | 11 | -9 | 1,902 | 11 | -9 | 1,877 | 11 | -9 |
| NEBRASKA | 3,762 | 10 | -11 | 4,032 | 10 | -10 | 5,205 | 12 | -8 | 6,505 | 17 | -3 | 5,013 | 13 | -7 |
| NEVADA | 2,700 | 10 | -11 | 3,051 | 11 | -9 | 3,745 | 13 | -7 | 4,693 | 15 | -5 | 5,429 | 16 | -4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 4,151 | 17 | -4 | 4,553 | 18 | -2 | 675 | 3 | -17 | 1,159 | 4 | -16 | 1,071 | 4 | -16 |
| NEW JERSEY | 35,603 | 19 | -2 | 36,426 | 19 | -1 | 40,978 | 21 | 1 | 38,268 | 19 | -1 | 37,252 | 18 | -2 |
| NEW MEXICO | 15,203 | 34 | 13 | 14,815 | 33 | 13 | 13,988 | 30 | 10 | 17,674 | 37 | 17 | 15,344 | 32 | 12 |
| NEW YORK | 122,375 | 34 | 13 | 130,049 | 35 | 15 | 127,793 | 33 | 13 | 117,356 | 31 | 11 | 116,112 | 30 | 10 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 23,164 | 17 | -4 | 24,720 | 17 | -3 | 25,818 | 18 | -2 | 26,917 | 17 | -3 | 28,318 | 17 | -3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 608 | 5 | -16 | 533 | 5 | -15 | 511 | 4 | -16 | 474 | 4 | -16 | 463 | 4 | -16 |
| OHIO | 17,383 | 8 | -13 | 14,467 | 7 | -13 | 11,878 | 6 | -14 | 11,334 | 5 | -15 | 41,539 | 19 | -1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 8,868 | 13 | -8 | 9,151 | 13 | -7 | 9,445 | 13 | -7 | 9,300 | 12 | -8 | 9,725 | 12 | -8 |
| OREGON | 3,789 | 7 | -14 | 4,483 | 7 | -13 | 4,678 | 7 | -13 | 5,238 | 8 | -12 | 5,568 | 8 | -12 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 52,011 | 27 | 6 | 52,814 | 26 | 6 | 47,198 | 23 | 3 | 58,459 | 28 | 8 | 49,193 | 22 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 10,899 | 26 | 5 | 11,066 | 24 | 4 | 10,701 | 22 | 2 | 10,427 | 20 | 0 | 10,627 | 18 | -2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6,114 | 25 | 4 | 6,585 | 26 | 6 | 6,780 | 27 | 7 | 7,419 | 27 | 7 | 7,837 | 28 | 8 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 19,888 | 25 | 4 | 21,456 | 25 | 5 | 22,711 | 26 | 6 | 23,442 | 26 | 6 | 23,136 | 24 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 866 | 7 | -14 | 876 | 7 | -13 | 888 | 7 | -13 | -875 | 6 | -14 | 896 | 6 | -14 |
| TENNESSEE | 21,149 | 18 | -3 | 21,944 | 18 | -2 | 21,851 | 19 | -1 | 21,339 | 18 | -2 | 20,595 | 18 | -2 |
| TEXAS | 92,918 | 22 | 1 | 89,262 | 20 | 0 | 83,602 | 19 | -1 | 81,593 | 18 | -2 | 79,814 | 18 | -2 |
| UTAH | 9,986 | 21 | 0 | 9,802 | 20 | 0 | 10,513 | 21 | 1 | 10,412 | 21 | 1 | 10,314 | 21 | 1 |
| VERMONT | 426 | 4 | -17 | 494 | 4 | -16 | 507 | 4 | -16 | 671 | 6 | -14 | 740 | 6 | -14 |
| VIRGINIA | 31,439 | 24 | 3 | 33,158 | 25 | 5 | 35,567 | 25 | 5 | 36,628 | 25 | 5 | 37,229 | 25 | 5 |
| WASHINGTON | 14,640 | 15 | -6 | 14,203 | 14 | -6 | 14,471 | 14 | -6 | 15,106 | 14 | -6 | 16,567 | 15 | -5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6,797 | 16 | -5 | 6,867 | 16 | -4 | 6,659 | 15 | -5 | 5,897 | 13 | -7 | 5,785 | 13 | -7 |
| WISCONSIN | $17,633$ | 18 | -3 | 17,755 | $18$ | -2 | 17,594 | 17 | -3 | 16,725 | 16 | -4 | 16,016 | 14 | -6 |
| WYOMING | 1,219 | 9 | -12 | 1,037 | 9 | -11 | 998 | 9 | -11 | 1,418 | 12 | -8 | 1,107 | 10 | -10 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 58 | 18 | -3 | 52 | 13 | -7 | 53 | 10 | -10 | 55 | 8 | -12 | 58 | 9 | -11 |
| GUAM | 549 | 31 | 10 | 581 | 32 | 12 | 538 | 28 | 8 | 646 | 32 | 12 | 596 | 29 | 9 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | $27$ | 9 | -12 |  |  |  | 7 | 2 | -18 | 16 | 3 | -17 | 329 | 60 | 40 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 613 | 50 | 29 |  | . |  | 634 | 40 | 20 | 330 | 21 | 1 | 396 | 28 | 8 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 648 | 8 | -13 |  | . |  | 547 | 9 | -11 | 623 | 8 | -12 | 576 | 7 | -13 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 1,116,197 | 21 |  | 1,096,336 | 20 |  | 1,111,878 | 20 |  | 1,148,564 | 20 |  | 1,130,601 | 20 |  |
| $\%=$ \#in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

## ALL DISABIபTIES > PUBUC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACIUTY



N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

ALL DISABILITIES > HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL ENVIRONMENT

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 241 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 226 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 217 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 262 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 245 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| ALASKA | 9 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 20 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 26 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| ARIZONA | 164 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 172 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 189 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 217 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 208 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| ARKANSAS | 272 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 207 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 185 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 202 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 188 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| CALFORNIA | 2,530 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2,553 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2,635 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2,656 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2,610 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| COLORADO | 446 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 437 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 415 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 404 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 316 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 126 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 117 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 114 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 114 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 96 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| DELAWARE | 85 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 81 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 75 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 67 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 41 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| FLORIDA | 1,743 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,933 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 887 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 952 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 1,830 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| GEORGIA | 107 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 118 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 137 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 170 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 164 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| HAWAll | 66 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 126 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 154 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 184 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 |
| IDAHO | 68 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 58 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 49 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 69 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 63 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| ILINOIS | 1,026 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 430 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 415 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 353 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 358 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| INDIANA | 472 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 565 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 572 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 560 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 597 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| IOWA | 50 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 125 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 134 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 112 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 131 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| KANSAS | 165 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 182 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 141 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 112 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 107 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| KENTUCKY | 257 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 299 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 493 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 516 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 506 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| LOUISIANA | 779 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 802 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 621 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 649 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 667 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| MAINE | 104 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 132 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 129 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 130 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 185 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| MARYLAND | 334 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 453 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 352 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 286 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 302 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,068 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 947 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 935 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 681 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 629 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| MICHIGAN | 521 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 575 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 554 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 298 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 290 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| MINNESOTA | 215 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 248 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 211 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 189 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 190 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 426 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 396 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 382 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 348 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 335 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| MISSOURI | 230 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 393 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 386 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 501 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 520 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| MONTANA | 43 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 60 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 38 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 30 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 29 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| NEBRASKA | 190 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1,186 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 230 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 179 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 213 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| NEVADA | 95 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 84 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 68 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 95 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 101 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 77 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 73 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 36 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 63 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 54 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,214 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1,176 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,145 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,226 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,143 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 266 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 241 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 291 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 316 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 277 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| NEW YORK | 1,462 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 2,288 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,804 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2,497 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1,801 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 566 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 446 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 62 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 659 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 723 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 39 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 30 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 23 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 19 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 16 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 2,210 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2,159 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2,200 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2,098 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1,923 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 277 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 331 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 298 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 330 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 412 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| OREGON | 250 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 229 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 222 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 239 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 234 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 444 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 493 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 399 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 340 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 326 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 999 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 965 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 811 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 783 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 791 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 159 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 171 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 196 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 234 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 197 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 594 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 440 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 365 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 427 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 405 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 21 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 17 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 19 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 27 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 17 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,665 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1,625 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1,583 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1,329 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1,306 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| TEXAS | 4,695 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 4,471 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4,703 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4,454 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4,246 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| UTAH | 165 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 55 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 231 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 176 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 225 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| VERMONT | 97 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 103 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 109 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 88 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 94 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| VIRGINIA | 374 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 403 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 401 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 573 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 602 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| WASHINGTON | 297 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 264 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 214 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 227 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 233 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 157 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 121 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 193 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 224 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 237 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| WISCONSIN | 203 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 229 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 226 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 246 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 247 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| WYOMING | 56 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 36 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 31 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 30 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 31 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 0.3 | -0.2 |  |  |  | 4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.5 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | $14$ | $1.1$ | $0.6$ |  |  |  | 9 | 0.6 | $0.1$ | 13 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 15 | 0.2 | -0.3 | . | . | . | 8 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 9 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 32 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 28,149 | 0.5 |  | 29,285 | 0.5 |  | 26,317 | 0.5 |  | 27,037 | 0.5 |  | 26,562 | 0.5 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS < 21\%


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 21,779 | 57 | 18 | 21,942 | 55 | 16 | 20,211 | 50 | 10 | 16,957 | 41 | 1 | 19,679 | 47 | 7 |
| ALASKA | 3,727 | 38 | -1 | 4,662 | 48 | 9 | 3,515 | 37 | -3 | 3,349 | 37 | -3 | 3,352 | 36 | -4 |
| ARIZONA | 19,454 | 46 | 7 | 20,587 | 47 | 8 | 21,424 | 46 | 6 | 21,925 | 44 | 4 | 22,391 | 44 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 11,939 | 55 | 16 | 11,808 | 54 | 15 | 12,328 | 55 | 15 | 12,769 | 56 | 16 | 12,797 | 57 | 17 |
| CALFORNIA | 93,393 | 29 | -10 | 96,889 | 29 | -10 | 99,470 | 29 | -11 | 95,821 | 28 | -12 | 84,018 | 24 | -16 |
| COLORADO | 6,578 | 20 | -19 | 6,521 | 19 | -20 | 6,257 | 19 | -21 | 6,385 | 19 | -21 | 6,649 | 19 | -21 |
| CONNECTICUT | 8,376 | 24 | -15 | 8,311 | 24 | -15 | 8,445 | 25 | -15 | 8,029 | 25 | -15 | 7,799 | 25 | -15 |
| DELAWARE | 6,349 | 71 | 32 | 6,407 | 70 | 31 | 5,987 | 66 | 26 | 5,468 | 61 | 21 | 4,861 | 54 | 14 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,730 | 41 | 1 | 2,080 | 56 | 16 | 1,527 | 30 | -10 |
| FLORIDA | 59,697 | 43 | 4 | 60,559 | 41 | 2 | 64,507 | 43 | 3 | 65,749 | 41 | 1 | 67,006 | 41 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 18,928 | 47 | 8 | 19,849 | 47 | 8 | 20,815 | 46 | 6 | 23,026 | 49 | 9 | 22,817 | 47 | 7 |
| HAWAII | 3,633 | 42 | 3 | 3,506 | 42 | 3 | 6,152 | 65 | 25 | 6,945 | 66 | 26 | 4,525 | 42 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 3,233 | 25 | -14 | 3,454 | 25 | -14 | 3,881 | 27 | -13 | 4,141 | 28 | -12 | 4,151 | 29 | -11 |
| ILINOIS | 60,161 | 50 | 11 | 60,559 | 49 | 10 | 60,389 | 47 | 7 | 60,039 | 46 | 6 | 63,256 | 47 | 7 |
| INDIANA | 8,523 | 18 | -21 | 15,051 | 27 | -12 | 14,726 | 26 | -14 | 15,667 | 27 | -13 | 17,109 | 29 | -11 |
| IOWA | 9,478 | 32 | -7 | 11,607 | 38 | -1 | 12,783 | 40 | 0 | 13,378 | 40 | 0 | 13,878 | 41 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 7,136 | 33 | -6 | 7,453 | 34 | -5 | 7,437 | 33 | -7 | 7,489 | 32 | -8 | 7,813 | 33 | -7 |
| KENTUCKY | 11,250 | 51 | 12 | 11,358 | 52 | 13 | 10,224 | 47 | 7 | 9,455 | 45 | 5 | 8,955 | 44 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 12,605 | 34 | -5 | 12,740 | 34 | -5 | 18,250 | 48 | 8 | 14,414 | 39 | -1 | 12,801 | 36 | -4 |
| MAINE | 5,496 | 42 | 3 | 5,279 | 40 | 1 | 5,321 | 41 | 1 | 5,395 | 41 | 1 | 5,148 | 39 | -1 |
| MARYLAND | 14,360 | 33 | -6 | 14,234 | 32 | -7 | 14,051 | 31 | -9 | 13,619 | 30 | -10 | 13,630 | 31 | -9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 13,606 | 15 | -24 | 14,139 | 16 | -23 | 65,669 | 70 | 30 | 66,045 | 72 | 32 | 59,435 | 65 | 25 |
| MICHIGAN | 30,055 | 36 | -3 | 30,937 | 36 | -3 | 33,131 | 37 | -3 | 36,761 | 40 | 0 | 39,704 | 42 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 9,267 | 24 | -15 | 9,516 | 24 | -15 | 9,777 | 25 | -15 | 10,040 | 26 | -14 | 10,120 | 26 | -14 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 16,815 | 55 | 16 | 16,551 | 56 | 17 | 13,035 | 47 | 7 | 12,337 | 45 | 5 | 12,140 | 45 | 5 |
| MISSOURI | 29,928 | 50 | 11 | 36,279 | 57 | 18 | 26,378 | 41 | 1 | 26,767 | 41 | 1 | 26,506 | 40 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 4,221 | 44 | 5 | 4,226 | 44 | 5 | 4,125 | 43 | 3 | 4,107 | 42 | 2 | 4,026 | 41 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 4,169 | 27 | -12 | 5,531 | 34 | -5 | 5,999 | 36 | -4 | 5,256 | 32 | -8 | 5,205 | 32 | -8 |
| NEVADA | 9,477 | 55 | 16 | 10,327 | 57 | 18 | 9,259 | 49 | 9 | 8,901 | 44 | 4 | 8,983 | 41 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 3,267 | 26 | -13 | 3,240 | 26 | -13 | 1,802 | 14 | -26 | 2,137 | 16 | -24 | 2,364 | 18 | -22 |
| NEW JERSEY | 39,414 | 38 | -1 | 40,373 | 38 | -1 | 39,262 | 36 | -4 | 41,627 | 38 | -2 | 44,594 | 40 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 10,007 | 37 | -2 | 10,161 | 37 | -2 | 9,863 | 35 | -5 | 11,582 | 40 | 0 | 11,500 | 41 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 32,697 | 16 | -23 | 32,534 | 15 | -24 | 32,371 | 15 | -25 | 31,640 | 15 | -25 | 30,116 | 15 | -25 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 15,473 | 27 | -12 | 16,192 | 26 | -13 | 16,531 | 26 | -14 | 17,662 | 26 | -14 | 18,168 | 26 | -14 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 625 | 11 | -28 | 606 | 11 | -28 | 609 | 11 | -29 | 674 | 12 | -28 | 728 | 13 | -27 |
| OHIO | 12,490 | 16 | -23 | 11,661 | 15 | -24 | 10,954 | 13 | -27 | 11,053 | 13 | -27 | 37,893 | 44 | 4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 17,069 | 46 | 7 | 18,238 | 46 | 7 | 19,295 | 46 | 6 | 21,000 | 48 | 8 | 21,777 | 49 | 9 |
| OREGON | 7,326 | 23 | -16 | 7,163 | 22 | -17 | 5,758 | 17 | -23 | 6,153 | 18 | -22 | 6,647 | 20 | -20 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 45,211 | 45 | 6 | 48,819 | 45 | 6 | 58,254 | 52 | 12 | 51,545 | 44 | 4 | 54,618 | 44 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 15,080 | 81 | 42 | 17,790 | 82 | 43 | 5,946 | 25 | -15 | 5,253 | 19 | -21 | 11,906 | 38 | -2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3,315 | 23 | -16 | 3,335 | 22 | -17 | 3,446 | 23 | -17 | 3,623 | 23 | -17 | 3,708 | 24 | -16 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 21,176 | 61 | 22 | 22,590 | 61 | 22 | 23,979 | 62 | 22 | 25,999 | 63 | 23 | 28,902 | 67 | 27 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1,993 | 31 | -8 | 1,987 | 29 | -10 | 1,961 | 28 | -12 | 2,056 | 28 | -12 | 2,161 | 29 | -11 |
| TENNESSEE | 25,029 | 44 | 5 | 26,343 | 44 | 5 | 26,727 | 46 | 6 | 25,528 | 46 | 6 | 25,430 | 47 | 7 |
| TEXAS | 174,495 | 68 | 29 | 180,052 | 69 | 30 | 181,895 | 69 | 29 | 179,840 | 69 | 29 | 178,289 | 69 | 29 |
| UTAH | 12,252 | 44 | 5 | 12,251 | 43 | 4 | 12,199 | 42 | 2 | 12,385 | 43 | 3 | 12,682 | 45 | 5 |
| VERMONT | 312 | 7 | -32 | 329 | 7 | -32 | 372 | 8 | -32 | 496 | 11 | -29 | 409 | 9 | -31 |
| VIRGINIA | 31,496 | 48 | 9 | 32,156 | 48 | 9 | 32,585 | 48 | 8 | 34,193 | 49 | 9 | 34,423 | 50 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | 18,475 | 41 | 2 | 19,203 | 41 | 2 | 20,219 | 41 | 1 | 21,358 | 42 | 2 | 22,708 | 45 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9,670 | 51 | 12 | 9,700 | 49 | 10 | 9,725 | 49 | 9 | 9,776 | 50 | 10 | 9,627 | 51 | 11 |
| WISCONSIN | 27,302 | 61 | 22 | 27,983 | 60 | 21 | 28,233 | 58 | 18 | 28,721 | 56 | 16 | 28,551 | 54 | 14 |
| WYOMING | 3,028 | 42 | 3 | 2,564 | 44 | 5 | 2,629 | 44 | 4 | 2,480 | 41 | 1 | 2,565 | 45 | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 90 | 37 | -2 | 107 | 35 | -4 | 164 | 41 | 1 | 260 | 50 | 10 | 180 | 35 | -5 |
| GUAM | 582 | 44 | 5 | 619 | 45 | 6 | 560 | 39 | -1 | 661 | 44 | 4 | 679 | 44 | 4 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 28 | 14 | -25 |  |  | . | 37 | 12 | -28 | 75 | 22 | -18 | 16 | 4 | -36 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 216 | 49 | 10 |  |  |  | . 409 | 63 | 23 | 281 | 50 | 10 | 258 | 45 | 5 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2,475 | 55 | 16 | . | . | . | .1,325 | 37 | -3 | 1,716 | 37 | -3 | 1,797 | 36 | -4 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 1,034,226 | 39 |  | 1,076,278 | 39 |  | 1,132,386 | 40 |  | 1,132,088 | 40 |  | 1,162,977 | 40 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1,335 | 3 | -14 | 1,573 | 4 | -12 | 1,845 | 5 | -10 | 1,331 | 3 | -13 | 1,630 | 4 | -10 |
| ALASKA | 501 | 5 | -12 | 428 | 4 | -12 | 370 | 4 | -11 | 458 | 5 | -11 | 535 | 6 | -8 |
| ARIZONA | 4,668 | 11 | -6 | 4,674 | 11 | -5 | 4,927 | 11 | -4 | 5,198 | 11 | -5 | 5,337 | 10 | -4 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,244 | 6 | -11 | 1,297 | 6 | -10 | 1,342 | 6 | -9 | 1,367 | 6 | -10 | 1,230 | 5 | -9 |
| CALIFORNIA | 66,508 | 21 | 4 | 67,828 | 21 | 5 | 70,857 | 21 | 6 | 85,162 | 25 | 9 | 53,778 | 16 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 1,139 | 3 | -14 | 1,176 | 3 | -13 | 1,195 | 4 | -11 | 1,195 | 3 | -13 | 1,242 | 4 | -10 |
| CONNECTICUT | 4,319 | 12 | -5 | 4,058 | 12 | -4 | 3,942 | 11 | -4 | 3,584 | 11 | -5 | 3,376 | 11 | -3 |
| DELAWARE | 421 | 5 | -12 | 449 | 5 | -11 | 470 | 5 | -10 | 774 | 9 | -7 | 1,263 | 14 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 733 | 18 | 3 |  |  |  | 2,744 | 54 | 40 |
| FLORIDA | 39,465 | 29 | 12 | 23,259 | 16 | 0 | 26,290 | 17 | 2 | 28,287 | 18 | 2 | 29,858 | 18 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 5,249 | 13 | -4 | 5,682 | 13 | -3 | 6,268 | 14 | -1 | 6,766 | 14 | -2 | 7,020 | 14 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 1,073 | 12 | -5 | 954 | 11 | -5 | 1,327 | 14 | -1 | 1,430 | 14 | -2 | 1,284 | 12 | -2 |
| IDAHO | 224 | 2 | -15 | 256 | 2 | -14 | 242 | 2 | -13 | 255 | 2 | -14 | 286 | 2 | -12 |
| ILUNOIS | 30,624 | 25 | 8 | 32,977 | 26 | 10 | 34,000 | 27 | 12 | 34,181 | 26 | 10 | 35,777 | 27 | 13 |
| INDIANA | 8,749 | 18 | 1 | 9,304 | 17 | 1 | 9,068 | 16 | 1 | 10,052 | 17 | 1 | 7,999 | 13 | -1 |
| IOWA | 2,023 | 7 | -10 | 2,305 | 7 | -9 | 2,697 | 8 | -7 | 2,894 | 9 | -7 | 3,162 | 9 | -5 |
| KANSAS | 983 | 5 | -12 | 1,042 | 5 | -11 | 1,246 | 6 | -9 | 1,629 | 7 | -9 | 1,484 | 6 | -8 |
| KENTUCKY | 2,404 | 11 | -6 | 1,632 | 8 | -8 | 1,877 | 9 | -6 | 1,679 | 8 | -8 | 1,376 | 7 | -7 |
| LOUISIANA | 14,648 | 39 | 22 | 14,085 | 37 | 21 | 11,883 | 32 | 17 | 10,388 | 28 | 12 | 9,691 | 27 | 13 |
| MAINE | 564 | 4 | -13 | 608 | 5 | -11 | 631 | 5 | -10 | 580 | 4 | -12 | 599 | 5 | -9 |
| MARYLAND | 9,401 | 21 | 4 | 9,439 | 21 | 5 | 9,587 | 21 | 6 | 8,992 | 20 | 4 | 8,325 | 19 | 5 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 7,828 | 9 | -8 | 8,060 | 9 | -7 | 8,199 | 9 | -6 | 8,318 | 9 | -7 | 8,803 | 10 | -4 |
| MICHIGAN | 16,431 | 20 | 3 | 12,067 | 14 | -2 | 14,609 | 16 | 1 | 16,029 | 17 | 1 | 17,966 | 19 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 879 | 2 | -15 | 953 | 2 | -14 | 884 | 2 | -13 | 971 | 2 | -14 | 1,037 | 3 | -11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7,490 | 25 | 8 | 7,016 | 24 | 8 | 5,854 | 21 | 6 | 5,761 | 21 | 5 | 5,920 | 22 | 8 |
| MISSOURI | 5,776 | 10 | -7 | 5,855 | 9 | -7 | 5,448 | 8 | -7 | 4,731 | 7 | -9 | 4,465 | 7 | -7 |
| MONTANA | 453 | 5 | -12 | 489 | 5 | -11 | 486 | 5 | -10 | 565 | 6 | -10 | 533 | 5 | -9 |
| NEBRASKA | 518 | 3 | -14 | 427 | 3 | -13 | 782 | 5 | -10 | 1,444 | 9 | -7 | 991 | 6 | -8 |
| NEVADA | 958 | 6 | -11 | 1,129 | 6 | -10 | 1,636 | 9 | -6 | 2,297 | 11 | -5 | 2,719 | 13 | -1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,884 | 15 | -2 | 2,049 | 16 | 0 | 225 | 2 | -13 | 345 | 3 | -13 | 295 | 2 | -12 |
| NEW JERSEY | 22,767 | 22 | 5 | 23,141 | 22 | 6 | 26,069 | 24 | 9 | 21,624 | 20 | 4 | 19,786 | 18 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8,437 | 32 | 15 | 8,331 | 30 | 14 | 7,689 | 27 | 12 | 10,235 | 36 | 20 | 8,959 | 32 | 18 |
| NEW YORK | 68,228 | 33 | 16 | 68,205 | 32 | 16 | 64,317 | 31 | 16 | 56,100 | 27 | 11 | 52,763 | 26 | 12 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,419 | 6 | -11 | 3,544 | 6 | -10 | 3,620 | 6 | -9 | 3,701 | 6 | -10 | 3,966 | 6 | -8 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 27 | 0 | -17 | 28 | 0 | -16 | 24 | 0 | -15 | 16 | 0 | -16 | 20 | 0 | -14 |
| OHIO | 1,776 | 2 | -15 | 1,919 | 2 | -14 | 1,502 | 2 | -13 | 1,556 | 2 | -14 | 6,010 | 7 | -7 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,536 | 4 | -13 | 1,801 | 5 | -11 | 2,027 | 5 | -10 | 2,094 | 5 | -11 | 2,349 | 5 | -9 |
| OREGON | 549 | 2 | -15 | 631 | 2 | -14 | 639 | 2 | -13 | 647 | 2 | -14 | 686 | 2 | -12 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 24,815 | 25 | 8 | 25,255 | 24 | 8 | 20,551 | 18 | 3 | 29,037 | 25 | 9 | 22,491 | 18 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2,292 | 12 | -5 | 2,550 | 12 | -4 | 2,621 | 11 | -4 | 2,657 | 10 | -6 | 2,963 | 9 | -5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3,724 | 26 | 9 | 3,939 | 27 | 11 | 3,920 | 27 | 12 | 4,359 | 28 | 12 | 4,337 | 28 | 14 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5,945 | 17 | 0 | 6,580 | 18 | 2 | 7,022 | 18 | 3 | 7,507 | 18 | 2 | 7,199 | 17 | 3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 90 | 1 | -16 | 100 | 1 | -15 | 99 | 1 | -14 | 102 | 1 | -15 | 91 | 1 | -13 |
| TENNESSEE | 6,646 | 12 | -5 | 6,695 | 11 | -5 | 6,095 | 11 | -4 | 5,939 | 11 | -5 | 5,468 | 10 | -4 |
| TEXAS | 40,098 | 16 | -1 | 39,239 | 15 | -1 | 34,817 | 13 | -2 | 32,414 | 12 | -4 | 30,271 | 12 | -2 |
| UTAH | 4,020 | 14 | -3 | 4,284 | 15 | -1 | 4,428 | 15 | 0 | 4,245 | 15 | -1 | 4,295 | 15 | 1 |
| VERMONT | 53 | 1 | -16 | 58 | 1 | -15 | 53 | 1 | -14 | 86 | 2 | -14 | 86 | 2 | -12 |
| VIRGINIA | 10,788 | 16 | -1 | 11,299 | 17 | 1 | 12,151 | 18 | 3 | 12,653 | 18 | 2 | 12,847 | 19 | 5 |
| WASHINGTON | 4,146 | 9 | -8 | 3,648 | 8 | -8 | 3,588 | 7 | -8 | 3,848 | 8 | -8 | 4,166 | 8 | -6 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,663 | 9 | -8 | 1,670 | 9 | -7 | 1,586 | 8 | -7 | 1,116 | 6 | -10 | 1,064 | 6 | -8 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,283 | 7 | -10 | 3,254 | 7 | -9 | 3,357 | 7 | -8 | 2,957 | 6 | -10 | 2,899 | 6 | -8 |
| WYOMING | 291 | 4 | -13 | 228 | 4 | -12 | 202 | 3 | -12 | 360 | 6 | -10 | 202 | 4 | -10 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -17 | 0 | 0 | -16 | 0 | 0 | -15 | 0 | 0 | -16 | 0 | 0 | -14 |
| GUAM | 416 | 31 | 14 | 452 | 33 | 17 | 447 | 31 | 16 | 521 | 34 | 18 | 484 | 31 | 17 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 7 | 4 | -13 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -15 | 4 | 1 | -15 | 266 | 71 | 57 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 196 | 45 | 28 |  |  |  | 8 | 1 | -14 | 67 | 12 | -4 | 109 | 19 | 5 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 286 | 6 | -11 |  |  |  | 333 | 9 | -6 | 297 | 6 | -10 | 226 | 5 | -9 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 453,257 | 17 |  | 437,922 | 16 |  | 436,085 | 15 |  | 450,805 | 16 |  | 414,728 | 14 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div \#$ in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder ID EA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES > PUBLIC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 23 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 33 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 38 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 60 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 45 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 7 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 3 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 3 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| ARIZONA | 29 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 49 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 31 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 45 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| ARKANSAS | 97 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 58 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 62 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 46 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 43 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| CALFORNIA | 897 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 966 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 987 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1,048 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1,017 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| COLORADO | 71 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 68 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 62 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 59 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 26 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 20 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 12 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| DELAWARE | 8 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 14 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| FLORIDA | 108 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 70 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 50 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 43 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 105 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| GEORGIA | 12 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 7 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 13 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| HAWAll | 11 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 11 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 35 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| IDAHO | 16 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 13 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| ILINOIS | 45 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 36 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 57 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 47 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 49 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| INDIANA | 150 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 165 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 185 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 166 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 193 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| IOWA | 8 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 11 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 30 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 38 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 44 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| KANSAS | 44 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 37 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 34 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 19 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| KENTUCKY | 20 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 26 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 70 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 50 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 46 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LOUISIANA | 217 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 220 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 144 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 140 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 150 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| MAINE | 17 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 22 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 25 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| MARYLAND | 108 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 193 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 132 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 98 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 88 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 59 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 52 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 52 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 37 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 34 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| MICHIGAN | 61 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 80 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 108 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 66 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 34 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| MINNESOTA | 21 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 35 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 23 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 150 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 118 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 119 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 111 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 105 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| MISSOURI | 53 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 104 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 119 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 159 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 167 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| MONTANA | 9 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 18 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 8 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| NEBRASKA | 12 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 21 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 21 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 14 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| NEVADA | 32 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 38 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 20 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 17 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 5 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 379 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 389 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 345 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 385 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 331 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 97 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 88 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 104 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 86 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 81 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| NEW YORK | 262 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 271 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 234 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 305 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 269 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 89 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 87 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 10 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 112 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 114 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 128 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 146 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 177 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 174 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 172 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 74 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 71 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 59 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 80 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 105 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| OREGON | 53 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 64 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 59 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 55 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 51 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 60 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 65 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 63 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 79 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 84 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 23 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 49 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 22 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 14 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 28 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 35 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 45 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 29 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 143 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 119 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 109 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 124 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 127 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 3 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| TENNESSEE | 364 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 349 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 332 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 333 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 322 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| TEXAS | 394 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 393 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 469 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 503 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 538 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| UTAH | 44 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 50 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 44 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| VERMONT | 21 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| VIRGINIA | 89 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 107 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 97 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 141 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 154 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| WASHINGTON | 34 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 21 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 35 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 37 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 34 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 30 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 28 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 43 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 50 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 70 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| WISCONSIN | 29 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 28 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 36 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 46 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 39 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| WYOMING | 17 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 10 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | $0.0$ | $-0.2$ |  |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | $0.0$ | 2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | . | . | . | 3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 17 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 4,679 | 0.2 |  | 4,845 | 0.2 |  | 4,786 | 0.2 |  | 5,113 | 0.2 |  | 5,013 | 0.2 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder ID EA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS < 21\%


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 191 | 1 | -6 | 234 | 1 | -6 | 583 | 4 | -4 | 835 | 5 | -3 | 1,241 | 8 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 357 | 11 | 4 | 886 | 27 | 20 | 225 | 7 | -1 | 226 | 7 | -1 | 152 | 5 | -3 |
| ARIZONA | 812 | 6 | -1 | 1,149 | 8 | 1 | 1,093 | 8 | 0 | 763 | 5 | -3 | 657 | 4 | -4 |
| ARKANSAS | 533 | 7 | 0 | 614 | 7 | 0 | 728 | 8 | 0 | 869 | 9 | 1 | 1,007 | 11 | 3 |
| CALFORNIA | 5,883 | 5 | -2 | 5,857 | 5 | -2 | 6,511 | 5 | -3 | 6,964 | 6 | -2 | 6,384 | 5 | -3 |
| COLORADO | 684 | 6 | -1 | 839 | 7 | 0 | 860 | 7 | -1 | 905 | 7 | -1 | 1,064 | 8 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,376 | 12 | 5 | 1,623 | 14 | 7 | 1,880 | 15 | 7 | 2,037 | 16 | 8 | 2,324 | 19 | 11 |
| DELAWARE | 619 | 42 | 35 | 723 | 46 | 39 | 389 | 24 | 16 | 293 | 19 | 11 | 141 | 9 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179 | 52 | 44 | 205 | 38 | 30 | 334 | 35 | 27 |
| FLORIDA | 2,576 | 4 | -3 | 2,506 | 3 | -4 | 2,562 | 4 | -4 | 2,865 | 4 | -4 | 3,146 | 4 | -4 |
| GEORGIA | 6,441 | 23 | 16 | 6,806 | 24 | 17 | 5,764 | 19 | 11 | 6,873 | 22 | 14 | 7,346 | 22 | 14 |
| HAWAII | 184 | 7 | 0 | 272 | 11 | 4 | 1,738 | 77 | 69 | 1,823 | 77 | 69 | 215 | 9 | 1 |
| IDAHO | 226 | 6 | -1 | 288 | 8 | 1 | 387 | 10 | 2 | 440 | 11 | 3 | 482 | 12 | 4 |
| ILINOIS | 867 | 2 | -5 | 994 | 2 | -5 | 1,161 | 2 | -6 | 1,330 | 2 | -6 | 1,502 | 3 | -5 |
| INDIANA | 27 | 0 | -7 | 28 | 0 | -7 | 49 | 0 | -8 | 77 | 0 | -8 | 765 | 2 | -6 |
| IOWA | 269 | 3 | -4 | 311 | 4 | -3 | 304 | 5 | -3 | 284 | 6 | -2 | 278 | 7 | -1 |
| KANSAS | 258 | 2 | -5 | 396 | 4 | -3 | 429 | 4 | -4 | 623 | 6 | -2 | 363 | 3 | -5 |
| KENTUCKY | 570 | 3 | -4 | 1,103 | 6 | -1 | 143 | 1 | -7 | 191 | 1 | -7 | 180 | 1 | -7 |
| LOUISIANA | 324 | 2 | -5 | 357 | 2 | -5 | 1,387 | 8 | 0 | 821 | 4 | -4 | 459 | 2 | -6 |
| MAINE | 1,333 | 20 | 13 | 1,345 | 19 | 12 | 1,447 | 20 | 12 | 1,516 | 20 | 12 | 1,482 | 20 | 12 |
| MARYLAND | 4,560 | 18 | 11 | 4,290 | 16 | 9 | 3,867 | 15 | 7 | 3,539 | 15 | 7 | 3,680 | 16 | 8 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,266 | 5 | -2 | 1,317 | 5 | -2 | 20,110 | 77 | 69 | 20,066 | 79 | 71 | 17,667 | 73 | 65 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,178 | 3 | -4 | 938 | 3 | -4 | 1,041 | 3 | -5 | 1,770 | 5 | -3 | 1,883 | 5 | -3 |
| MINNESOTA | 773 | 5 | -2 | 728 | 5 | -2 | 761 | 5 | -3 | 734 | 5 | -3 | 751 | 5 | -3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2,527 | 14 | 7 | 2,521 | 16 | 9 | 235 | 1 | -7 | 360 | 2 | -6 | 590 | 4 | -4 |
| MISSOURI | 4,100 | 18 | 11 | 9,865 | 40 | 33 | 3,600 | 14 | 6 | 3,187 | 12 | 4 | 2,562 | 10 | 2 |
| MONTANA | 66 | 2 | -5 | 80 | 2 | -5 | 72 | 2 | -6 | 94 | 3 | -5 | 127 | 4 | -4 |
| NEBRASKA | 546 | 6 | -1 | 605 | 6 | -1 | 775 | 7 | -1 | 637 | 7 | -1 | 721 | 7 | -1 |
| NEVADA | 44 | 1 | -6 | 75 | 2 | -5 | 58 | 1 | -7 | 63 | 1 | -7 | 155 | 3 | -5 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,459 | 29 | 22 | 1,497 | 29 | 22 | 747 | 14 | 6 | 861 | 16 | 8 | 962 | 18 | 10 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,359 | 3 | -4 | 1,500 | 3 | -4 | 1,717 | 4 | -4 | 2,279 | 5 | -3 | 2,924 | 7 | -1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,800 | 21 | 14 | 1,596 | 19 | 12 | 1,493 | 17 | 9 | 1,857 | 21 | 13 | 1,798 | 21 | 13 |
| NEW YORK | 5,217 | 11 | 4 | 5,171 | 10 | 3 | 5,401 | 10 | 2 | 5,663 | 10 | 2 | 5,743 | 10 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 202 | 1 | -6 | 176 | 1 | -6 | 144 | 1 | -7 | 200 | 1 | -7 | 180 | 1 | -7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 150 | 5 | -2 | 160 | 5 | -2 | 147 | 4 | -4 | 128 | 4 | -4 | 134 | 4 | -4 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,258 | 9 | 2 | 1,316 | 9 | 2 | 1,354 | 10 | 2 | 1,927 | 14 | 6 | 1,990 | 14 | 6 |
| OREGON | 1,042 | 8 | 1 | 1,100 | 8 | 1 | 905 | 6 | -2 | 919 | 6 | -2 | 1,224 | 7 | -1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,957 | 5 | -2 | 2,140 | 6 | -1 | 5,296 | 14 | 6 | 2,254 | 6 | -2 | 2,481 | 7 | -1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2,762 | 65 | 58 | 3,399 | 68 | 61 | 1,391 | 24 | 16 | 741 | 12 | 4 | 2,195 | 29 | 21 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 556 | 12 | 5 | 566 | 13 | 6 | 601 | 13 | 5 | 696 | 14 | 6 | 772 | 15 | 7 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 846 | 5 | -2 | 504 | 3 | -4 | 462 | 2 | -6 | 1,126 | 5 | -3 | 1,335 | 6 | -2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 100 | 3 | -4 | 95 | 3 | -4 | 89 | 3 | -5 | 59 | 2 | -6 | 67 | 2 | -6 |
| TENNESSEE | 3,047 | 12 | 5 | 3,175 | 13 | 6 | 3,223 | 13 | 5 | 3,160 | 13 | 5 | 3,263 | 13 | 5 |
| TEXAS | 4,437 | 7 | 0 | 4,974 | 8 | 1 | 5,492 | 8 | 0 | 5,965 | 9 | 1 | 6,320 | 9 | 1 |
| UTAH | 1,004 | 12 | 5 | 988 | 12 | 5 | 881 | 10 | 2 | 952 | 11 | 3 | 938 | 11 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 121 | 7 | 0 | 151 | 8 | 1 | 160 | 9 | 1 | 187 | 10 | 2 | 179 | 9 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 233 | 1 | -6 | 248 | 1 | -6 | 241 | 1 | -7 | 259 | 1 | -7 | 260 | 1 | -7 |
| WASHINGTON | 342 | 2 | -5 | 305 | 2 | -5 | 347 | 2 | -6 | 486 | 3 | -5 | 483 | 3 | -5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 723 | 7 | 0 | 931 | 9 | 2 | 780 | 7 | -1 | 679 | 6 | -2 | 79 | 1 | -7 |
| WISCONSIN | 575 | 3 | -4 | 578 | 3 | -4 | 649 | 4 | -4 | 744 | 4 | -4 | 816 | 5 | -3 |
| WYOMING | 469 | 13 | 6 | 448 | 16 | 9 | 416 | 15 | 7 | 369 | 14 | 6 | 387 | 15 | 7 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| GUAM | 11 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 25 | 14 | 6 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 25 | 13 | 5 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 14 | 7 |  | . | . | 0 | 0 | -8 | 4 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 3 | -4 |  | . |  | 0 | 0 | -8 | 55 | 25 | 17 | 58 | 31 | 23 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 561 | 37 | 30 | . | . |  | 29 | 2 | -6 | 35 | 2 | -6 | 80 | 5 | -3 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 68,827 | 7 |  | 77,785 | 7 |  | 90,328 | 8 |  | 92,018 | 8 |  | 92,351 | 8 |  |

$\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf)
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 10 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 8 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 5 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 11 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| ALASKA | 19 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 3 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| ARIZONA | 173 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 14 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| ARKANSAS | 9 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 20 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 6 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| CALFORNIA | 153 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 177 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 216 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 207 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 199 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| COLORADO | 14 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 8 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 23 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 27 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| CONNECTICUT | 54 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 64 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 68 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 116 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| DELAWARE | 8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 15.3 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 84 | 8.9 | 8.1 |
| FLORIDA | 54 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 70 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 89 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 66 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 18 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| GEORGIA | 14 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 23 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 8 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 17 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 25 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| IDAHO | 7 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 7 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 39 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 11 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 7 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| ILINOIS | 73 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 97 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 102 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 92 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 95 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 17 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| IOWA | 11 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 11 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 9 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 11 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 13 | 0.3 | -0.5 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 5 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 7 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 17 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| KENTUCKY | 16 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 9 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 7 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 34 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 34 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| LOUISIANA | 12 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| MAINE | 10 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 7 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 19 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 6 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| MARYLAND | 224 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 194 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 171 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 101 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 118 | 0.5 | -0.3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 161 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 170 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 177 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 183 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 232 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| MICHIGAN | 374 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 404 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 283 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1,359 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 478 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| MINNESOTA | 79 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 92 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 84 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 48 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 36 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 62 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 83 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 98 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 107 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 181 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| MISSOURI | 27 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 66 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 29 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 42 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 35 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| MONTANA | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 6 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| NEBRASKA | 160 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 411 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 218 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 164 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 169 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| NEVADA | 6 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 15 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 74 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 54 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 34 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 53 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 271 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 270 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 322 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 277 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 281 | 0.7 | -0.1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 10 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 8 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| NEW YORK | 713 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 620 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 609 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 782 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 620 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 28 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 28 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 21 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 98 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.4 | -0.4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 18 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 13 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 3 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 63 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 94 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 5,234 | 13.6 | 12.8 |
| OKLAHOMA | 29 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 18 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 27 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 49 | 0.3 | -0.5 |
| OREGON | 73 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 66 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 88 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 86 | 0.5 | -0.3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 21 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 63 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 79 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| PUERTO RICO | 107 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 73 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 82 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 112 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 101 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 14 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.4 | -0.4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 7 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 31 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 59 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 30 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| TENNESSEE | 28 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 19 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 11 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 11 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| TEXAS | 14 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 6 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 10 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 9 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 8 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| UTAH | 3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 6 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| VERMONT | 13 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 20 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 18 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 19 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 32 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| VIRGINIA | 36 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 32 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 37 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 55 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 77 | 0.3 | -0.5 |
| WASHINGTON | 11 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 8 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 15 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 12 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 4 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| WISCONSIN | 29 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 41 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 43 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 44 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 51 | 0.3 | -0.5 |
| WYOMING | 21 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 30 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| GUAM | 1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 |  | . |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 1 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | . | . | . | 6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | . |  |  |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 3,297 | 0.3 |  | 3,365 | 0.3 |  | 3,171 | 0.3 |  | 4,332 | 0.4 |  | 8,791 | 0.8 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

MENTAL RETARDATION > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS 21-60\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 11,242 | 48 | 20 | 11,275 | 50 | 20 | 10,747 | 48 | 18 | 11,682 | 55 | 25 | 11,768 | 58 | 29 |
| ALASKA | 257 | 36 | 8 | 278 | 35 | 5 | 253 | 33 | 3 | 258 | 32 | 2 | 244 | 30 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 1,288 | 20 | -8 | 1,398 | 22 | -8 | 1,428 | 21 | -9 | 1,432 | 20 | -10 | 1,367 | 19 | -10 |
| ARKANSAS | 6,257 | 51 | 23 | 6,278 | 51 | 21 | 6,267 | 52 | 22 | 6,153 | 52 | 22 | 6,387 | 54 | 25 |
| CALFORNIA | 3,734 | 13 | -15 | 4,097 | 13 | -17 | 4,244 | 13 | -17 | 4,379 | 13 | -17 | 4,216 | 12 | -17 |
| COLORADO | 806 | 26 | -2 | 855 | 27 | -3 | 834 | 25 | -5 | 835 | 25 | -5 | 939 | 27 | -2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 854 | 22 | -6 | 923 | 23 | -7 | 888 | 22 | -8 | 937 | 24 | -6 | 998 | 27 | -2 |
| DELAWARE | 1,052 | 58 | 30 | 1,041 | 55 | 25 | 1,136 | 57 | 27 | 1,058 | 52 | 22 | 839 | 41 | 12 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 528 | 34 | 4 | 432 | 50 | 20 | 116 | 9 | -20 |
| FLORIDA | 2,684 | 8 | -20 | 5,894 | 16 | -14 | 6,472 | 17 | -13 | 6,635 | 17 | -13 | 6,971 | 18 | -11 |
| GEORGIA | 7,042 | 25 | -3 | 7,444 | 26 | -4 | 7,852 | 27 | -3 | 7,914 | 26 | -4 | 8,042 | 27 | -2 |
| HAWAII | 969 | 34 | 6 | 989 | 39 | 9 | 1,365 | 49 | 19 | 1,425 | 45 | 15 | 1,027 | 38 | 9 |
| IDAHO | 1,147 | 40 | 12 | 1,129 | 42 | 12 | 1,013 | 42 | 12 | 864 | 41 | 11 | 803 | 42 | 13 |
| ILINOIS | 1,503 | 6 | -22 | 1,277 | 5 | -25 | 1,253 | 5 | -25 | 1,344 | 5 | -25 | 1,450 | 5 | -24 |
| INDIANA | 2,810 | 14 | -14 | 3,206 | 15 | -15 | 3,357 | 16 | -14 | 3,561 | 16 | -14 | 4,478 | 20 | -9 |
| IOWA | 4,539 | 34 | 6 | 5,453 | 38 | 8 | 5,703 | 38 | 8 | 5,911 | 37 | 7 | 6,272 | 38 | 9 |
| KANSAS | 1,750 | 31 | 3 | 1,922 | 34 | 4 | 1,764 | 32 | 2 | 1,716 | 32 | 2 | 1,910 | 34 | 5 |
| KENTUCKY | 9,161 | 51 | 23 | 9,360 | 52 | 22 | 8,601 | 47 | 17 | 8,660 | 48 | 18 | 8,642 | 48 | 19 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,232 | 10 | -18 | 1,334 | 10 | -20 | 1,752 | 14 | -16 | 1,888 | 15 | -15 | 2,071 | 17 | -12 |
| MAINE | 454 | 36 | 8 | 414 | 34 | 4 | 389 | 34 | 4 | 362 | 33 | 3 | 296 | 29 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 891 | 14 | -14 | 932 | 15 | -15 | 1,010 | 16 | -14 | 1,082 | 16 | -14 | 1,164 | 17 | -12 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,540 | 21 | -7 | 2,641 | 21 | -9 | 5,033 | 38 | 8 | 5,146 | 39 | 9 | 4,824 | 35 | 6 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,464 | 17 | -11 | 3,850 | 18 | -12 | 3,949 | 17 | -13 | 4,620 | 20 | -10 | 5,027 | 21 | -8 |
| MINNESOTA | 4,054 | 40 | 12 | 4,196 | 41 | 11 | 4,183 | 41 | 11 | 4,180 | 42 | 12 | 4,187 | 41 | 12 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2,281 | 30 | 2 | 2,090 | 29 | -1 | 2,135 | 32 | 2 | 1,778 | 28 | -2 | 1,612 | 28 | -1 |
| MISSOURI | 2,608 | 22 | -6 | 3,015 | 24 | -6 | 3,234 | 26 | -4 | 3,519 | 28 | -2 | 3,586 | 29 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 448 | 33 | 5 | 498 | 43 | 13 | 435 | 37 | 7 | 409 | 34 | 4 | 515 | 42 | 13 |
| NEBRASKA | 2,312 | 41 | 13 | 3,062 | 49 | 19 | 2,725 | 45 | 15 | 2,375 | 39 | 9 | 2,314 | 39 | 10 |
| NEVADA | 572 | 35 | 7 | 589 | 35 | 5 | 478 | 29 | -1 | 436 | 26 | -4 | 404 | 23 | -6 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 220 | 24 | -4 | 267 | 27 | -3 | 244 | 25 | -5 | 290 | 29 | -1 | 292 | 29 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 793 | 17 | -11 | 709 | 15 | -15 | 405 | 9 | -21 | 688 | 13 | -17 | 978 | 17 | -12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 293 | 14 | -14 | 279 | 13 | -17 | 240 | 12 | -18 | 214 | 11 | -19 | 315 | 17 | -12 |
| NEW YORK | 1,267 | 7 | -21 | 1,348 | 8 | -22 | 1,419 | 9 | -21 | 1,564 | 10 | -20 | 1,771 | 11 | -18 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 9,322 | 35 | 7 | 9,407 | 34 | 4 | 9,556 | 34 | 4 | 9,745 | 34 | 4 | 9,773 | 33 | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 520 | 41 | 13 | 531 | 42 | 12 | 528 | 43 | 13 | 560 | 46 | 16 | 576 | 47 | 18 |
| OHIO | 30,461 | 62 | 34 | 31,419 | 63 | 33 | 31,333 | 62 | 32 | 30,737 | 60 | 30 | 23,073 | 45 | 16 |
| OKLAHOMA | 4,287 | 43 | 15 | 4,200 | 44 | 14 | 4,185 | 45 | 15 | 4,195 | 47 | 17 | 3,969 | 47 | 18 |
| OREGON | 1,033 | 28 | 0 | 992 | 25 | -5 | 1,085 | 27 | -3 | 1,117 | 26 | -4 | 1,076 | 25 | -4 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7,916 | 29 | 1 | 8,206 | 30 | 0 | 9,178 | 33 | 3 | 8,801 | 32 | 2 | 9,559 | 35 | 6 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4,871 | 36 | 8 | 4,957 | 37 | 7 | 1,603 | 12 | -18 | 1,618 | 12 | -18 | 2,546 | 20 | -9 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 57 | 5 | -23 | 64 | 6 | -24 | 65 | 6 | -24 | 59 | 5 | -25 | 68 | 6 | -23 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 4,681 | 28 | 0 | 4,493 | 26 | -4 | 4,713 | 27 | -3 | 4,876 | 28 | -2 | 4,440 | 26 | -3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 737 | 50 | 22 | 742 | 50 | 20 | 712 | 50 | 20 | 721 | 51 | 21 | 702 | 49 | 20 |
| TENNESSEE | 5,293 | 34 | 6 | 5,493 | 34 | 4 | 5,471 | 34 | 4 | 5,224 | 34 | 4 | 5,106 | 35 | 6 |
| TEXAS | 3,838 | 15 | -13 | 3,885 | 16 | -14 | 4,711 | 19 | -11 | 4,856 | 20 | -10 | 4,940 | 20 | -9 |
| UTAH | 425 | 13 | -15 | 402 | 12 | -18 | 425 | 13 | -17 | 355 | 11 | -19 | 334 | 10 | -19 |
| VERMONT | 161 | 12 | -16 | 162 | 12 | -18 | 187 | 14 | -16 | 201 | 15 | -15 | 205 | 16 | -13 |
| VIRGINIA | 3,207 | 22 | -6 | 3,215 | 22 | -8 | 3,218 | 22 | -8 | 3,321 | 23 | -7 | 3,278 | 23 | -6 |
| WASHINGTON | 3,204 | 41 | 13 | 3,017 | 40 | 10 | 2,809 | 38 | 8 | 2,724 | 39 | 9 | 2,448 | 37 | 8 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 3,196 | 40 | 12 | 3,561 | 42 | 12 | 4,077 | 46 | 16 | 4,486 | 49 | 19 | 4,694 | 51 | 22 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,934 | 31 | 3 | 4,031 | 31 | 1 | 4,302 | 34 | 4 | 4,646 | 36 | 6 | 4,738 | 36 | 7 |
| WYOMING | 282 | 39 | 11 | 279 | 43 | 13 | 274 | 40 | 10 | 176 | 26 | -4 | 231 | 36 | 7 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -28 | 7 | 20 | -10 | 23 | 41 | 11 | 21 | 39 | 9 | 25 | 40 | 11 |
| GUAM | 32 | 27 | -1 | 30 | 29 | -1 | 34 | 40 | 10 | 43 | 44 | 14 | 45 | 47 | 18 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 7 | 19 | -9 |  | . |  | 14 | 44 | 14 | 23 | 44 | 14 | 41 | 72 | 43 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 140 | 31 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -30 | 402 | 69 | 39 | 256 | 60 | 31 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 329 | 61 | 33 | . | . | . | 158 | 45 | 15 | 200 | 37 | 7 | 211 | 42 | 13 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 168,457 | 28 |  | 177,136 | 30 |  | 179,997 | 30 |  | 182,854 | 30 |  | 178,159 | 29 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div \#$ in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

MENTAL RETARDATION > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

MENTAL RETARDATION > PUBLIC/PRIVATE SEPARATE SCHOOL FACILITY

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 529 | 2.3 | -3.5 | 518 | 2.3 | -2.9 | 553 | 2.5 | -2.5 | 442 | 2.1 | -2.8 | 451 | 2.2 | -2.9 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 0.3 | -5.5 | 2 | 0.3 | -4.9 | 6 | 0.8 | -4.2 | 8 | 1.0 | -3.9 | 8 | 1.0 | -4.1 |
| ARIZONA | 267 | 4.2 | -1.6 | 272 | 4.2 | -1.0 | 282 | 4.2 | -0.8 | 273 | 3.7 | -1.2 | 323 | 4.5 | -0.6 |
| ARKANSAS | 180 | 1.5 | -4.3 | 227 | 1.9 | -3.3 | 196 | 1.6 | -3.4 | 198 | 1.7 | -3.2 | 167 | 1.4 | -3.7 |
| CALFORNIA | 2,440 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 2,319 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 2,357 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 2,338 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 2,648 | 7.4 | 2.3 |
| COLORADO | 15 | 0.5 | -5.3 | 30 | 0.9 | -4.3 | 50 | 1.5 | -3.5 | 43 | 1.3 | -3.6 | 50 | 1.4 | -3.7 |
| CONNECTICUT | 311 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 310 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 323 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 341 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 320 | 8.6 | 3.5 |
| DELAWARE | 244 | 13.5 | 7.7 | 253 | 13.3 | 8.1 | 172 | 8.7 | 3.7 | 74 | 3.6 | -1.3 | 128 | 6.3 | 1.2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 482 | 31.2 | 26.2 |  |  |  | 501 | 37.5 | 32.4 |
| FLORIDA | 3,362 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 1,593 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 1,636 | 4.4 | -0.6 | 1,758 | 4.5 | -0.4 | 3,224 | 8.2 | 3.1 |
| GEORGIA | 179 | 0.6 | -5.2 | 189 | 0.7 | -4.5 | 195 | 0.7 | -4.3 | 188 | 0.6 | -4.3 | 189 | 0.6 | -4.5 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0.0 | -5.8 | 9 | 0.4 | -4.8 | 12 | 0.4 | -4.6 | 12 | 0.4 | -4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.1 |
| IDAHO | 32 | 1.1 | -4.7 | 41 | 1.5 | -3.7 | 28 | 1.2 | -3.8 | 22 | 1.0 | -3.9 | 19 | 1.0 | -4.1 |
| ILINOIS | 3,973 | 15.7 | 9.9 | 4,020 | 15.5 | 10.3 | 3,967 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 3,815 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 3,811 | 13.8 | 8.7 |
| INDIANA | 385 | 1.9 | -3.9 | 383 | 1.8 | -3.4 | 198 | 0.9 | -4.1 | 179 | 0.8 | -4.1 | 172 | 0.8 | -4.3 |
| IOWA | 313 | 2.4 | -3.4 | 342 | 2.4 | -2.8 | 408 | 2.7 | -2.3 | 436 | 2.7 | -2.2 | 479 | 2.9 | -2.2 |
| KANSAS | 117 | 2.1 | -3.7 | 134 | 2.4 | -2.8 | 132 | 2.4 | -2.6 | 102 | 1.9 | -3.0 | 160 | 2.9 | -2.2 |
| KENTUCKY | 46 | 0.3 | -5.5 | 23 | 0.1 | -5.1 | 83 | 0.5 | -4.5 | 92 | 0.5 | -4.4 | 114 | 0.6 | -4.5 |
| LOUISIANA | 371 | 2.9 | -2.9 | 401 | 3.1 | -2.1 | 334 | 2.6 | -2.4 | 330 | 2.7 | -2.2 | 254 | 2.1 | -3.0 |
| MAINE | 34 | 2.7 | -3.1 | 31 | 2.6 | -2.6 | 29 | 2.5 | -2.5 | 27 | 2.5 | -2.4 | 22 | 2.1 | -3.0 |
| MARYLAND | 1,234 | 20.1 | 14.3 | 1,158 | 18.4 | 13.2 | 1,087 | 16.9 | 11.9 | 1,041 | 15.5 | 10.6 | 1,025 | 15.3 | 10.2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 632 | 5.2 | -0.6 | 665 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 695 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 719 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 948 | 6.9 | 1.8 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,731 | 13.2 | 7.4 | 2,743 | 12.8 | 7.6 | 2,772 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 4,019 | 17.1 | 12.2 | 2,561 | 10.6 | 5.5 |
| MINNESOTA | 803 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 732 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 805 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 704 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 693 | 6.9 | 1.8 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 94 | 1.2 | -4.6 | 77 | 1.1 | -4.1 | 64 | 1.0 | -4.0 | 55 | 0.9 | -4.0 | 53 | 0.9 | -4.2 |
| MISSOURI | 1,866 | 15.7 | 9.9 | 1,907 | 15.0 | 9.8 | 1,829 | 14.5 | 9.5 | 1,723 | 13.7 | 8.8 | 1,685 | 13.6 | 8.5 |
| MONTANA | 4 | 0.3 | -5.5 | 6 | 0.5 | -4.7 | 3 | 0.3 | -4.7 | 23 | 1.9 | -3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.1 |
| NEBRASKA | 214 | 3.8 | -2.0 | 114 | 1.8 | -3.4 | 106 | 1.8 | -3.2 | 92 | 1.5 | -3.4 | 119 | 2.0 | -3.1 |
| NEVADA | 224 | 13.7 | 7.9 | 207 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 218 | 13.2 | 8.2 | 201 | 11.8 | 6.9 | 186 | 10.6 | 5.5 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 73 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 72 | 7.3 | 2.1 | 33 | 3.4 | -1.6 | 39 | 3.8 | -1.1 | 36 | 3.6 | -1.5 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,397 | 29.6 | 23.8 | 1,454 | 31.5 | 26.3 | 1,582 | 34.1 | 29.1 | 1,300 | 25.1 | 20.2 | 1,368 | 23.7 | 18.6 |
| NEW MEXICO | 13 | 0.6 | -5.2 | 2 | 0.1 | -5.1 | 7 | 0.3 | -4.7 | 54 | 2.7 | -2.2 | 48 | 2.5 | -2.6 |
| NEW YORK | 4,793 | 28.3 | 22.5 | 3,415 | 20.5 | 15.3 | 3,148 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 2,934 | 18.1 | 13.2 | 2,707 | 17.1 | 12.0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,005 | 3.8 | -2.0 | 1,057 | 3.9 | -1.3 | 1,065 | 3.8 | -1.2 | 1,061 | 3.7 | -1.2 | 894 | 3.1 | -2.0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4 | 0.3 | -5.5 | 12 | 1.0 | -4.2 | 7 | 0.6 | -4.4 | 12 | 1.0 | -3.9 | 9 | 0.7 | -4.4 |
| OHIO | 312 | 0.6 | -5.2 | 201 | 0.4 | -4.8 | 181 | 0.4 | -4.6 | 306 | 0.6 | -4.3 | 563 | 1.1 | -4.0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 98 | 1.0 | -4.8 | 59 | 0.6 | -4.6 | 46 | 0.5 | -4.5 | 41 | 0.5 | -4.4 | 38 | 0.4 | -4.7 |
| OREGON | 94 | 2.5 | -3.3 | 105 | 2.7 | -2.5 | 141 | 3.5 | -1.5 | 166 | 3.9 | -1.0 | 158 | 3.6 | -1.5 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,658 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 1,587 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 1,618 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 1,244 | 4.5 | -0.4 | 1,053 | 3.8 | $-1.3$ |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,265 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 1,261 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 1,206 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 1,194 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 1,472 | 11.3 | 6.2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 108 | 9.9 | 4.1 | 111 | 9.8 | 4.6 | 104 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 87 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 78 | 6.5 | 1.4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 390 | 2.3 | -3.5 | 385 | 2.2 | -3.0 | 369 | 2.1 | -2.9 | 420 | 2.4 | -2.5 | 434 | 2.6 | -2.5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 47 | 3.2 | -2.6 | 50 | 3.4 | -1.8 | 44 | 3.1 | -1.9 | 46 | 3.2 | -1.7 | 51 | 3.5 | -1.6 |
| TENNESSEE | 361 | 2.3 | -3.5 | 372 | 2.3 | -2.9 | 247 | 1.6 | -3.4 | 279 | 1.8 | -3.1 | 232 | 1.6 | -3.5 |
| TEXAS | 1,045 | 4.0 | -1.8 | 1,221 | 5.0 | -0.2 | 766 | 3.1 | -1.9 | 709 | 2.9 | -2.0 | 711 | 2.9 | -2.2 |
| UTAH | 261 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 291 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 280 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 244 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 300 | 9.4 | 4.3 |
| VERMONT | 16 | 1.2 | -4.6 | 21 | 1.5 | -3.7 | 33 | 2.6 | -2.4 | 43 | 3.3 | -1.6 | 36 | 2.8 | -2.3 |
| VIRGINIA | 225 | 1.6 | -4.2 | 228 | 1.6 | -3.6 | 234 | 1.6 | -3.4 | 215 | 1.5 | -3.4 | 233 | 1.6 | -3.5 |
| WASHINGTON | 83 | 1.1 | -4.7 | 81 | 1.1 | -4.1 | 70 | 1.0 | -4.0 | 59 | 0.8 | -4.1 | 28 | 0.4 | -4.7 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 25 | 0.3 | -5.5 | 36 | 0.4 | -4.8 | 39 | 0.4 | -4.6 | 26 | 0.3 | -4.6 | 15 | 0.2 | -4.9 |
| WISCONSIN | 379 | 3.0 | -2.8 | 384 | 3.0 | -2.2 | 396 | 3.1 | -1.9 | 386 | 3.0 | -1.9 | 390 | 3.0 | -2.1 |
| WYOMING | 9 | 1.2 | -4.6 | 7 | 1.1 | -4.1 | 6 | 0.9 | -4.1 | 32 | 4.8 | -0.1 | 13 | 2.1 | -3.0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -5.8 |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.1 |
| GUAM | 3 | 2.5 | -3.3 | 3 | 2.9 | -2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.0 | 1 | 1.0 | -3.9 | 1 | 1.1 | -4.0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -5.8 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -5.0 | 5 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0.2 | -5.6 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -5.1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 24 | 4.4 | -1.4 | . | . | . | 11 | 3.1 | -1.9 | 11 | 2.0 | -2.9 |  |  |  |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 34,291 | 5.8 |  | 31,121 | 5.2 |  | 30,655 | 5.0 |  | 30,169 | 4.9 |  | 31,178 | 5.1 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

MENTAL RETARDATION > PUBLC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILTY
 Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS < 21\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1,967 | 36 | 13 | 1,924 | 35 | 10 | 2,168 | 38 | 13 | 2,484 | 47 | 22 | 2,113 | 44 | 17 |
| ALASKA | 1,966 | 25 | 2 | 176 | 21 | -4 | 2,16 | 28 | 3 | 2,42 | 24 | -1 | 2, 214 | 27 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 1,122 | 23 | 0 | 1,147 | 23 | -2 | 1,266 | 24 | -1 | 1,370 | 23 | -2 | 1,331 | 25 | -2 |
| ARKANSAS | 76 | 18 | -5 | 53 | 13 | -12 | 63 | 15 | -10 | 80 | 18 | -7 | 76 | 16 | -11 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,001 | 11 | -12 | 2,350 | 12 | -13 | 2,573 | 13 | -12 | 2,820 | 13 | -12 | 6,451 | 29 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 4,424 | 51 | 28 | 4,149 | 49 | 24 | 4,211 | 49 | 24 | 4,199 | 49 | 24 | 4,484 | 51 | 24 |
| CONNECTICUT | 3,307 | 34 | 11 | 2,641 | 31 | 6 | 2,436 | 31 | 6 | 2,230 | 30 | 5 | 2,238 | 31 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 150 | 21 | -2 | 156 | 22 | -3 | 88 | 14 | -11 | 101 | 16 | -9 | 121 | 18 | -9 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 2 | -23 | 79 | 7 | -18 | 21 | 1 | -26 |
| FLORIDA | 5,235 | 16 | -7 | 13,217 | 38 | 13 | 12,652 | 36 | 11 | 13,882 | 38 | 13 | 13,624 | 37 | 10 |
| GEORGIA | 5,452 | 25 | 2 | 5,168 | 23 | -2 | 5,212 | 23 | -2 | 5,027 | 21 | -4 | 5,385 | 22 | -5 |
| HAWAll | 479 | 22 | -1 | 804 | 37 | 12 | 645 | 25 | 0 | 783 | 25 | 0 | 1,342 | 40 | 13 |
| IDAHO | 222 | 37 | 14 | 256 | 41 | 16 | 258 | 39 | 14 | 281 | 39 | 14 | 308 | 39 | 12 |
| ILLINOIS | 3,614 | 13 | -10 | 3,664 | 13 | -12 | 3,821 | 13 | -12 | 4,176 | 14 | -11 | 4,289 | 14 | -13 |
| INDIANA | 2,956 | 32 | 9 | 3,017 | 31 | 6 | 3,411 | 32 | 7 | 3,540 | 31 | 6 | 3,988 | 33 | 6 |
| IOWA | 3,336 | 40 | 17 | 2,968 | 33 | 8 | 3,154 | 34 | 9 | 3,395 | 35 | 10 | 3,673 | 37 | 10 |
| KANSAS | 1,962 | 40 | 17 | 1,906 | 41 | 16 | 1,779 | 40 | 15 | 1,759 | 42 | 17 | 1,689 | 40 | 13 |
| KENTUCKY | 813 | 16 | -7 | 988 | 19 | -6 | 1,079 | 20 | -5 | 1,178 | 21 | -4 | 1,339 | 23 | -4 |
| LOUISIANA | 687 | 12 | -11 | 712 | 12 | -13 | 647 | 11 | -14 | 728 | 13 | -12 | 861 | 16 | -11 |
| MAINE | 1,579 | 36 | 13 | 1,459 | 34 | 9 | 1,287 | 32 | 7 | 1,191 | 31 | 6 | 1,133 | 31 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 1,120 | 16 | -7 | 1,210 | 16 | -9 | 1,458 | 18 | -7 | 1,588 | 18 | -7 | 1,805 | 20 | -7 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 2,490 | 22 | -1 | 2,549 | 22 | -3 | 585 | 5 | -20 | 492 | 4 | -21 | 641 | 4 | -23 |
| MICHIGAN | 5,454 | 32 | 9 | 8,576 | 49 | 24 | 8,217 | 45 | 20 | 5,552 | 30 | 5 | 5,605 | 29 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 8,756 | 50 | 27 | 8,814 | 50 | 25 | 9,071 | 51 | 26 | 9,121 | 51 | 26 | 8,917 | 51 | 24 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 30 | 10 | -13 | 33 | 9 | -16 | 71 | 15 | -10 | 101 | 18 | -7 | 144 | 21 | -6 |
| MISSOURI | 1,366 | 15 | -8 | 2,156 | 23 | -2 | 3,205 | 33 | 8 | 3,279 | 35 | 10 | 3,241 | 35 | 8 |
| MONTANA | 422 | 38 | 15 | 340 | 31 | 6 | 385 | 36 | 11 | 370 | 37 | 12 | 366 | 36 | 9 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,241 | 43 | 20 | 1,105 | 35 | 10 | 1,152 | 38 | 13 | 1,006 | 36 | 11 | 1,151 | 44 | 17 |
| NEVADA | 391 | 28 | 5 | 412 | 27 | 2 | 502 | 32 | 7 | 521 | 32 | 7 | 609 | 33 | 6 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 931 | 43 | 20 | 865 | 40 | 15 | 1,421 | 64 | 39 | 1,334 | 57 | 32 | 1,460 | 57 | 30 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,064 | 16 | -7 | 2,146 | 17 | -8 | 2,130 | 17 | -8 | 2,590 | 19 | -6 | 2,946 | 21 | -6 |
| NEW MEXICO | 724 | 21 | -2 | 790 | 23 | -2 | 1,084 | 32 | 7 | 622 | 19 | -6 | 807 | 26 | -1 |
| NEW YORK | 7,463 | 17 | -6 | 7,627 | 17 | -8 | 8,119 | 18 | -7 | 8,992 | 20 | -5 | 9,045 | 21 | -6 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,810 | 30 | 7 | 2,772 | 29 | 4 | 2,793 | 29 | 4 | 3,016 | 30 | 5 | 3,034 | 30 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 402 | 52 | 29 | 428 | 53 | 28 | 497 | 55 | 30 | , 558 | 57 | 32 | 619 | 60 | 33 |
| OHIO | 2,015 | 17 | -6 | 2,593 | 20 | -5 | 3,239 | 24 | -1 | 3,608 | 25 | 0 | 2,520 | 17 | -10 |
| OKLAHOMA | 536 | 19 | -4 | 670 | 20 | -5 | 722 | 20 | -5 | 836 | 22 | -3 | 957 | 23 | -4 |
| OREGON | 1,466 | 41 | 18 | 1,562 | 40 | 15 | 1,883 | 45 | 20 | 1,820 | 42 | 17 | 1,818 | 41 | 14 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,557 | 14 | -9 | 2,680 | 14 | -11 | 2,650 | 14 | -11 | 3,068 | 16 | -9 | 4,779 | 24 | -3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 54 | 6 | -17 | 47 | 6 | -19 | 276 | 34 | 9 | 334 | 41 | 16 | 254 | 31 | 4 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 428 | 21 | -2 | 479 | 22 | -3 | 485 | 22 | -3 | 534 | 22 | -3 | 499 | 20 | -7 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 625 | 12 | -11 | 643 | 11 | -14 | 640 | 11 | -14 | 567 | 9 | -16 | 1,995 | 27 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 202 | 39 | 16 | 196 | 38 | 13 | 226 | 40 | 15 | 248 | 40 | 15 | 310 | 40 | 13 |
| TENNESSEE | 813 | 24 | 1 | 702 | 20 | -5 | 799 | 24 | -1 | 832 | 23 | -2 | 852 | 24 | -3 |
| TEXAS | 4,095 | 12 | -11 | 4,267 | 12 | -13 | 4,762 | 13 | -12 | 5,081 | 14 | -11 | 5,218 | 15 | -12 |
| UTAH | 1,667 | 36 | 13 | 1,621 | 36 | 11 | 1,458 | 35 | 10 | 1,344 | 35 | 10 | 1,161 | 33 | 6 |
| VERMONT | 1,163 | 71 | 48 | 1,217 | 69 | 44 | 1,244 | 67 | 42 | 1,298 | 63 | 38 | 1,338 | 62 | 35 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,234 | 19 | -4 | 2,246 | 18 | -7 | 2,261 | 18 | -7 | 2,323 | 18 | -7 | 2,368 | 18 | -9 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,763 | 33 | 10 | 1,731 | 34 | 9 | 1,745 | 35 | 10 | 1,700 | 34 | 9 | 1,578 | 32 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 682 | 33 | 10 | 684 | 33 | 8 | 696 | 32 | 7 | 752 | 35 | 10 | 771 | 36 | 9 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,739 | 23 | $0$ | 4,152 | 26 | 1 | 4,397 | $28$ | 3 | 4,916 | 30 | 5 | 5,418 | 33 | 6 |
| WYOMING | 365 | 30 | 7 | 253 | 29 | 4 | 246 | 28 | 3 | 229 | 24 | -1 | 229 | 24 | -3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -23 | 0 | 0 | -25 | 0 | 0 | -25 | 0 | 0 | -25 | 0 | 0 | -27 |
| GUAM | 1 | 11 | -12 | 1 | 9 | -16 | 2 | 18 | -7 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 11 | 58 | 31 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 7 | $100$ | $77$ |  |  |  | 3 | 75 | 50 | 1 | 33 | 8 | 0 | 0 | -27 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | -23 |  | . |  | 5 | 9 | -16 | 6 | 14 | -11 | 6 | 9 | -18 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 265 | 36 | 13 |  | . |  | 178 | 48 | 23 | 303 | 37 | 12 | 341 | 46 | 19 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 99,929 | 23 |  | 112,322 | 25 |  | 115,610 | 25 |  | 118,440 | 25 |  | 127,503 | 27 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS 21-60\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 2,100 | 38 | 15 | 2,099 | 38 | 15 | 1,988 | 35 | 12 | 1,768 | 33 | 9 | 1,642 | 34 | 11 |
| ALASKA | 269 | 32 | 9 | 231 | 27 | 4 | 253 | 31 | 8 | 238 | 30 | 6 | 238 | 28 | 5 |
| ARIZONA | 1,069 | 22 | -1 | 1,163 | 23 | 0 | 1,198 | 22 | -1 | 1,319 | 23 | -1 | 1,008 | 19 | -4 |
| ARKANSAS | 114 | 27 | 4 | 116 | 29 | 6 | 125 | 29 | 6 | 149 | 33 | 9 | 202 | 41 | 18 |
| CALFORNIA | 1,867 | 10 | -13 | 2,020 | 10 | -13 | 2,121 | 10 | -13 | 2,350 | 11 | -13 | 2,340 | 11 | -12 |
| COLORADO | 1,127 | 13 | -10 | 1,220 | 14 | -9 | 1,292 | 15 | -8 | 1,300 | 15 | -9 | 1,334 | 15 | -8 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,641 | 17 | -6 | 1,479 | 17 | -6 | 1,362 | 17 | -6 | 1,212 | 16 | -8 | 1,154 | 16 | -7 |
| DELAWARE | 344 | 49 | 26 | 316 | 44 | 21 | 277 | 45 | 22 | 250 | 39 | 15 | 228 | 34 | 11 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 8 | -15 | 215 | 19 | -5 | 107 | 6 | -17 |
| FLORIDA | 5,931 | 18 | -5 | 7,453 | 22 | -1 | 7,511 | 21 | -2 | 7,522 | 21 | -3 | 7,568 | 20 | -3 |
| GEORGIA | 8,418 | 39 | 16 | 8,273 | 37 | 14 | 8,085 | 36 | 13 | 8,578 | 36 | 12 | 8,751 | 36 | 13 |
| HAWAII | 864 | 40 | 17 | 589 | 27 | 4 | 1,150 | 44 | 21 | 1,395 | 44 | 20 | 983 | 29 | 6 |
| IDAHO | 135 | 23 | 0 | 141 | 23 | 0 | 167 | 25 | 2 | 173 | 24 | 0 | 178 | 23 | 0 |
| ILINOIS | 5,661 | 20 | -3 | 5,492 | 19 | -4 | 5,414 | 18 | -5 | 5,109 | 17 | -7 | 5,398 | 18 | -5 |
| INDIANA | 990 | 11 | -12 | 1,124 | 11 | -12 | 1,149 | 11 | -12 | 1,275 | 11 | -13 | 1,769 | 15 | -8 |
| IOWA | 1,945 | 23 | 0 | 2,424 | 27 | 4 | 2,672 | 29 | 6 | 2,914 | 30 | 6 | 3,210 | 32 | 9 |
| KANSAS | 1,478 | 30 | 7 | 1,328 | 29 | 6 | 1,198 | 27 | 4 | 1,076 | 26 | 2 | 1,093 | 26 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,485 | 29 | 6 | 1,602 | 31 | 8 | 1,359 | 25 | 2 | 1,502 | 26 | 2 | 1,508 | 26 | 3 |
| LOUISIANA | 715 | 12 | -11 | 792 | 13 | -10 | 1,128 | 20 | -3 | 1,000 | 18 | -6 | 848 | 16 | -7 |
| MAINE | 1,490 | 34 | 11 | 1,351 | 32 | 9 | 1,271 | 32 | 9 | 1,204 | 31 | 7 | 1,140 | 31 | 8 |
| MARYLAND | 896 | 13 | -10 | 942 | 12 | -11 | 930 | 11 | -12 | 1,019 | 12 | -12 | 1,045 | 11 | -12 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 949 | 8 | -15 | 987 | 8 | -15 | 3,071 | 25 | 2 | 3,105 | 25 | 1 | 2,838 | 20 | -3 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,269 | 25 | 2 | 3,640 | 21 | -2 | 3,792 | 21 | -2 | 4,922 | 27 | 3 | 5,430 | 28 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 3,534 | 20 | -3 | 3,523 | 20 | -3 | 3,389 | 19 | -4 | 3,196 | 18 | -6 | 3,136 | 18 | -5 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 78 | 25 | 2 | 84 | 22 | -1 | 109 | 23 | 0 | 141 | 24 | 0 | 163 | 24 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 3,908 | 43 | 20 | 3,491 | 37 | 14 | 2,632 | 27 | 4 | 2,758 | 29 | 5 | 2,654 | 29 | 6 |
| MONTANA | 264 | 24 | 1 | 291 | 27 | 4 | 231 | 22 | -1 | 270 | 27 | 3 | 283 | 28 | 5 |
| NEBRASKA | 619 | 22 | -1 | 871 | 28 | 5 | 781 | 26 | 3 | 653 | 23 | -1 | 601 | 23 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 529 | 38 | 15 | 567 | 37 | 14 | 456 | 29 | 6 | 386 | 24 | 0 | 428 | 23 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 452 | 21 | -2 | 437 | 20 | -3 | 261 | 12 | -11 | 336 | 14 | -10 | 416 | 16 | -7 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,683 | 21 | -2 | 2,454 | 20 | -3 | 1,924 | 16 | -7 | 2,212 | 17 | -7 | 2,469 | 18 | -5 |
| NEW MEXICO | 543 | 15 | -8 | 524 | 15 | -8 | 414 | 12 | -11 | 504 | 15 | -9 | 540 | 18 | -5 |
| NEW YORK | 3,226 | 7 | -16 | 3,215 | 7 | -16 | 3,393 | 7 | -16 | 3,388 | 8 | -16 | 3,476 | 8 | -15 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,197 | 23 | 0 | 2,234 | 23 | 0 | 2,100 | 22 | -1 | 2,164 | 21 | -3 | 2,218 | 22 | -1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 229 | 30 | 7 | 240 | 30 | 7 | 264 | 29 | 6 | 254 | 26 | 2 | 256 | 25 | 2 |
| OHIO | 3,761 | 32 | 9 | 4,165 | 32 | 9 | 4,606 | 34 | 11 | 4,934 | 34 | 10 | 3,066 | 20 | -3 |
| OKLAHOMA | 856 | 30 | 7 | 1,005 | 31 | 8 | 1,119 | 31 | 8 | 1,227 | 32 | 8 | 1,356 | 33 | 10 |
| OREGON | 513 | 14 | -9 | 531 | 14 | -9 | 447 | 11 | -12 | 540 | 12 | -12 | 584 | 13 | -10 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4,213 | 23 | 0 | 4,504 | 24 | 1 | 5,209 | 27 | 4 | 4,653 | 25 | 1 | 5,108 | 25 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 341 | 38 | 15 | 313 | 38 | 15 | 123 | 15 | -8 | 66 | 8 | -16 | 140 | 17 | -6 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 288 | 14 | -9 | 305 | 14 | -9 | 316 | 14 | -9 | 318 | 13 | -11 | 350 | 14 | -9 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,843 | 35 | 12 | 2,006 | 35 | 12 | 2,103 | 35 | 12 | 2,207 | 36 | 12 | 2,094 | 28 | 5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 112 | 22 | -1 | 112 | 22 | -1 | 109 | 19 | -4 | 141 | 23 | -1 | 143 | 18 | -5 |
| TENNESSEE | 720 | 22 | -1 | 816 | 24 | 1 | 833 | 25 | 2 | 915 | 26 | 2 | 951 | 26 | 3 |
| TEXAS | 14,280 | 41 | 18 | 14,689 | 42 | 19 | 16,005 | 45 | 22 | 16,402 | 46 | 22 | 17,025 | 48 | 25 |
| UTAH | 1,281 | 28 | 5 | 1,161 | 26 | 3 | 1,040 | 25 | 2 | 947 | 25 | 1 | 873 | 25 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 98 | 6 | -17 | 98 | 6 | -17 | 120 | 6 | -17 | 181 | 9 | -15 | 194 | 9 | -14 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,821 | 24 | 1 | 2,982 | 24 | 1 | 3,010 | 24 | 1 | 3,454 | 26 | 2 | 3,369 | 26 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,725 | 33 | 10 | 1,635 | 32 | 9 | 1,647 | 33 | 10 | 1,529 | 31 | 7 | 1,604 | 33 | 10 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 648 | 32 | 9 | 712 | 34 | 11 | 710 | 32 | 9 | 669 | 31 | 7 | 656 | 31 | 8 |
| WISCONSIN | 7,035 | 44 | 21 | 6,976 | 44 | 21 | 6,741 | 43 | 20 | 6,850 | 42 | 18 | 6,764 | 41 | 18 |
| WYOMING | 348 | 29 | 6 | 268 | 31 | 8 | 329 | 37 | 14 | 235 | 25 | 1 | 303 | 32 | 9 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -23 | 3 | 100 | 77 | 10 | 83 | 60 | 4 | 80 | 56 | 7 | 100 | 77 |
| GUAM | 2 | 22 | -1 | 2 | 18 | -5 | 7 | 64 | 41 | 0 | 0 | -24 | 1 | 5 | -18 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | -23 |  |  |  | 1 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 67 | 43 | 0 | 0 | -23 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 7 | 20 | -3 |  |  | . | 0 | 0 | -23 | 19 | 43 | 19 | 42 | 60 | 37 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 194 | 26 | 3 | . | . | . | 102 | 28 | 5 | 275 | 34 | 10 | 215 | 29 | 6 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 103,105 | 23 |  | 104,996 | 23 |  | 108,190 | 23 |  | 111,425 | 24 |  | 111,497 | 23 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE > PUBLIC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE > HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL ENVIRONMENT

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 80 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 67 | 1.2 | -0.4 | 61 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 63 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 58 | 1.2 | -0.1 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 1 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 1 | 0.1 | -1.4 | 7 | 0.8 | -0.5 |
| ARIZONA | 36 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 29 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 37 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 44 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 37 | 0.7 | -0.6 |
| ARKANSAS | 15 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 22 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 14 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 12 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 16 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| CALFORNIA | 423 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 434 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 437 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 415 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 410 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| COLORADO | 272 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 249 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 220 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 190 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 158 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| CONNECTICUT | 47 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 39 | 0.5 | -1.1 | 37 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 53 | 0.7 | -0.8 | 39 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| DELAWARE | 6 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 11 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 3 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 12 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.9 | -0.4 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 3 | 0.3 | -1.2 | 5 | 0.3 | -1.0 |
| FLORIDA | 107 | 0.3 | -1.2 | 38 | 0.1 | -1.5 | 61 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 63 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 74 | 0.2 | -1.1 |
| GEORGIA | 9 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 12 | 0.1 | -1.5 | 20 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 65 | 0.3 | -1.2 | 29 | 0.1 | -1.2 |
| HAWAII | 43 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 50 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 89 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 108 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 |
| IDAHO | 22 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 18 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 17 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 25 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 20 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| ILINOIS | 69 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 52 | 0.2 | -1.4 | 58 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 60 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 60 | 0.2 | -1.1 |
| INDIANA | 188 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 213 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 205 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 216 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 201 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| IOWA | 14 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 47 | 0.5 | -1.1 | 33 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 21 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 35 | 0.4 | -0.9 |
| KANSAS | 38 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 50 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 34 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 15 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 11 | 0.3 | -1.0 |
| KENTUCKY | 74 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 72 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 146 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 165 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 152 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| LOUISIANA | 239 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 205 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 106 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 102 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 102 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| MAINE | 39 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 38 | 0.9 | -0.7 | 42 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 49 | 1.3 | -0.2 | 65 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| MARYLAND | 86 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 102 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 80 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 68 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 80 | 0.9 | -0.4 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 142 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 125 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 123 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 90 | 0.7 | -0.8 | 84 | 0.6 | -0.7 |
| MICHIGAN | 42 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 32 | 0.2 | -1.4 | 47 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 38 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 43 | 0.2 | -1.1 |
| MINNESOTA | 111 | 0.6 | -0.9 | 109 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 100 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 74 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 86 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 26 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 32 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 29 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 24 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 27 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| MISSOURI | 54 | 0.6 | -0.9 | 120 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 107 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 137 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 133 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| MONTANA | 5 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 8 | 0.7 | -0.9 | 9 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 4 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 6 | 0.6 | -0.7 |
| NEBRASKA | 25 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 41 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 14 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 11 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 14 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| NEVADA | 23 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 21 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 14 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 19 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 22 | 1.0 | -0.5 | 20 | 0.9 | -0.7 | 7 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 11 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 10 | 0.4 | -0.9 |
| NEW JERSEY | 456 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 414 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 356 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 397 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 384 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| NEW MEXICO | 72 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 61 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 49 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 69 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 54 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| NEW YORK | 700 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1,395 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 944 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1,461 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 910 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 201 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 110 | 1.2 | -0.4 | 40 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 171 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 206 | 2.0 | 0.7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 13 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 8 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 2 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 5 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 6 | 0.6 | -0.7 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 411 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 423 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 460 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 488 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 501 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 81 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 81 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 69 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 79 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 89 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| OREGON | 77 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 76 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 61 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 86 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 96 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 198 | 1.1 | -0.4 | 202 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 165 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 99 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 100 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| PUERTO RICO | 52 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 42 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 39 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 48 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 36 | 4.4 | 3.1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 20 | 1.0 | -0.5 | 28 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 28 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 44 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 43 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 158 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 138 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 96 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 121 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 92 | 1.2 | -0.1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0.6 | -0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 3 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 5 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 2 | 0.3 | -1.0 |
| TENNESSEE | 98 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 92 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 94 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 97 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 107 | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| TEXAS | 1,341 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1,360 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1,320 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1,241 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1,086 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| UTAH | 51 | 1.1 | -0.4 | 55 | 1.2 | -0.4 | 104 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 74 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 94 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| VERMONT | 32 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 32 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 39 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 31 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 40 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| VIRGINIA | 95 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 84 | 0.7 | -0.9 | 93 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 180 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 159 | 1.2 | -0.1 |
| WASHINGTON | 112 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 70 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 25 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 45 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 49 | 1.0 | -0.3 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 67 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 30 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 56 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 46 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 47 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| WISCONSIN | 79 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 96 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 82 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 78 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 74 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| WYOMING | 17 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 9 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 6 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 13 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 11 | 1.2 | -0.1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | . |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | $5.7$ | $4.2$ |  |  |  | 1 | $1.7$ | $0.3$ | 2 | 4.5 | $3.0$ | 1 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5 | 0.7 | -0.8 | . | . | . | 3 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 5 | 0.7 | -0.6 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 6,600 | 1.5 |  | 7,062 | 1.6 |  | 6,286 | 1.4 |  | 7,038 | 1.5 |  | 6,170 | 1.3 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LOW INCIDENCE > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS < 21\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 757 | 23 | 0 | 775 | 23 | -1 | 889 | 26 | 2 | 1,005 | 27 | 3 | 999 | 26 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 213 | 24 | 1 | 292 | 29 | 5 | 292 | 29 | 5 | 279 | 27 | 3 | 207 | 20 | -6 |
| ARIZONA | 1,131 | 30 | 7 | 1,333 | 34 | 10 | 1,398 | 33 | 9 | 1,784 | 34 | 10 | 1,966 | 33 | 7 |
| ARKANSAS | 374 | 18 | -5 | 421 | 18 | -6 | 435 | 18 | -6 | 455 | 18 | -6 | 482 | 18 | -8 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5,400 | 24 | 1 | 5,803 | 24 | 0 | 6,508 | 25 | 1 | 7,140 | 25 | 1 | 14,208 | 47 | 21 |
| COLORADO | 1,982 | 44 | 21 | 2,066 | 44 | 20 | 2,143 | 44 | 20 | 2,255 | 44 | 20 | 2,584 | 49 | 23 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,100 | 29 | 6 | 1,152 | 29 | 5 | 1,215 | 30 | 6 | 1,237 | 29 | 5 | 1,312 | 28 | 2 |
| DELAWARE | 75 | 19 | -4 | 65 | 13 | -11 | 83 | 16 | -8 | 80 | 14 | -10 | 113 | 20 | -6 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 3 | -21 | 25 | 7 | -17 | 13 | 2 | -24 |
| FLORIDA | 1,233 | 22 | -1 | 2,504 | 40 | 16 | 2,746 | 40 | 16 | 3,042 | 40 | 16 | 2,995 | 36 | 10 |
| GEORGIA | 745 | 27 | 4 | 825 | 26 | 2 | 878 | 26 | 2 | 991 | 25 | 1 | 1,068 | 24 | -2 |
| HAWAII | 200 | 36 | 13 | 193 | 22 | -2 | 164 | 17 | -7 | 172 | 18 | -6 | 267 | 25 | -1 |
| IDAHO | 417 | 37 | 14 | 442 | 38 | 14 | 467 | 38 | 14 | 480 | 37 | 13 | 506 | 37 | 11 |
| ILLNOIS | 1,434 | 24 | 1 | 1,491 | 23 | -1 | 1,729 | 24 | 0 | 1,896 | 24 | 0 | 2,036 | 23 | -3 |
| INDIANA | 1,708 | 38 | 15 | 1,775 | 36 | 12 | 2,023 | 37 | 13 | 2,246 | 37 | 13 | 2,566 | 38 | 12 |
| IOWA | 892 | 45 | 22 | 732 | 38 | 14 | 657 | 35 | 11 | 574 | 32 | 8 | 547 | 33 | 7 |
| KANSAS | 981 | 36 | 13 | 938 | 33 | 9 | 1,091 | 33 | 9 | 1,359 | 36 | 12 | 1,214 | 34 | 8 |
| KENTUCKY | 967 | 30 | 7 | 958 | 27 | 3 | 1,052 | 27 | 3 | 1,201 | 28 | 4 | 1,227 | 27 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 732 | 19 | -4 | 772 | 20 | -4 | 653 | 16 | -8 | 845 | 21 | -3 | 997 | 24 | -2 |
| MAINE | 684 | 26 | 3 | 757 | 26 | 2 | 770 | 24 | 0 | 830 | 25 | 1 | 897 | 25 | -1 |
| MARYLAND | 1,439 | 19 | -4 | 1,576 | 18 | -6 | 1,813 | 20 | -4 | 1,907 | 20 | -4 | 2,026 | 20 | -6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,349 | 25 | 2 | 1,381 | 25 | 1 | 462 | 8 | -16 | 392 | 7 | -17 | 511 | 8 | -18 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,436 | 30 | 7 | 3,815 | 45 | 21 | 3,838 | 41 | 17 | 2,806 | 28 | 4 | 2,995 | 28 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,725 | 55 | 32 | 1,905 | 55 | 31 | 2,106 | 53 | 29 | 2,342 | 52 | 28 | 2,605 | 51 | 25 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 196 | 12 | -11 | 158 | 10 | -14 | 257 | 15 | -9 | 286 | 16 | -8 | 344 | 19 | -7 |
| MISSOURI | 546 | 18 | -5 | 768 | 22 | -2 | 1,150 | 30 | 6 | 1,258 | 31 | 7 | 1,388 | 31 | 5 |
| MONTANA | 225 | 36 | 13 | 208 | 21 | -3 | 261 | 26 | 2 | 278 | 27 | 3 | 307 | 29 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 688 | 46 | 23 | 608 | 34 | 10 | 640 | 36 | 12 | 609 | 36 | 12 | 691 | 40 | 14 |
| NEVADA | 225 | 22 | -1 | 283 | 24 | 0 | 343 | 26 | 2 | 416 | 27 | 3 | 479 | 27 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 182 | 21 | -2 | 220 | 23 | -1 | 725 | 72 | 48 | 674 | 62 | 38 | 721 | 61 | 35 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,574 | 10 | -13 | 1,768 | 10 | -14 | 2,174 | 11 | -13 | 2,623 | 12 | -12 | 2,915 | 12 | -14 |
| NEW MEXICO | 386 | 20 | -3 | 469 | 24 | 0 | 603 | 27 | 3 | 318 | 14 | -10 | 410 | 18 | -8 |
| NEW YORK | 5,136 | 18 | -5 | 5,538 | 18 | -6 | 6,228 | 19 | -5 | 7,469 | 22 | -2 | 8,359 | 22 | -4 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,654 | 28 | 5 | 1,760 | 28 | 4 | 1,920 | 29 | 5 | 2,027 | 28 | 4 | 2,194 | 29 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 149 | 55 | 32 | 155 | 54 | 30 | 156 | 53 | 29 | 164 | 52 | 28 | 173 | 52 | 26 |
| OHIO | 2,433 | 15 | -8 | 2,772 | 17 | -7 | 3,402 | 20 | -4 | 3,948 | 21 | -3 | 3,081 | 16 | -10 |
| OKLAHOMA | 613 | 21 | -2 | 688 | 21 | -3 | 761 | 23 | -1 | 796 | 22 | -2 | 797 | 22 | -4 |
| OREGON | 1,321 | 44 | 21 | 1,763 | 54 | 30 | 2,091 | 55 | 31 | 2,012 | 52 | 28 | 2,158 | 52 | 26 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,357 | 29 | 6 | 2,352 | 27 | 3 | 2,200 | 23 | -1 | 2,454 | 26 | 2 | 3,171 | 29 | 3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 154 | 5 | -18 | 123 | 4 | -20 | 825 | 27 | 3 | 897 | 29 | 5 | 663 | 22 | -4 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 98 | 16 | -7 | 107 | 16 | -8 | 125 | 16 | -8 | 118 | 13 | -11 | 122 | 13 | -13 |
| SOUTH CAROLNA | 606 | 28 | 5 | 511 | 24 | 0 | 523 | 22 | -2 | 504 | 20 | -4 | 533 | 20 | -6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 192 | 22 | -1 | 208 | 23 | -1 | 227 | 24 | 0 | 240 | 25 | 1 | 254 | 25 | -1 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,165 | 25 | 2 | 1,190 | 25 | 1 | 1,203 | 25 | 1 | 1,118 | 23 | -1 | 1,164 | 23 | -3 |
| TEXAS | 2,042 | 10 | -13 | 2,091 | 11 | -13 | 2,250 | 11 | -13 | 2,630 | 11 | -13 | 2,738 | 12 | -14 |
| UTAH | 806 | 25 | 2 | 450 | 14 | -10 | 563 | 16 | -8 | 528 | 17 | -7 | 507 | 17 | -9 |
| VERMONT | 271 | 71 | 48 | 294 | 74 | 50 | 275 | 66 | 42 | 295 | 63 | 39 | 318 | 60 | 34 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,868 | 26 | 3 | 2,127 | 24 | 0 | 1,049 | 20 | -4 | 1,103 | 20 | -4 | 1,258 | 19 | -7 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,636 | 26 | 3 | 1,499 | 24 | 0 | 1,494 | 24 | 0 | 1,488 | 23 | -1 | 1,345 | 21 | -5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 352 | 41 | 18 | 418 | 45 | 21 | 432 | 45 | 21 | 413 | 42 | 18 | 444 | 42 | 16 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,032 | 39 | 16 | 1,091 | 38 | 14 | 1,145 | 36 | 12 | 1,289 | 36 | 12 | 1,402 | 35 | 9 |
| WYOMING | 177 | 46 | 23 | 160 | 43 | 19 | 159 | 38 | 14 | 164 | 32 | 8 | 162 | 37 | 11 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -23 | 0 | 0 | -24 | 4 | 10 | -14 | 1 | 2 | -22 | 2 | 7 | -19 |
| GUAM | 22 | 22 | -1 | 37 | 33 | 9 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 34 | 27 | 3 | 33 | 27 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 26 | 53 | 30 |  |  | . | 32 | 55 | 31 | 27 | 44 | 20 | 3 | 6 | -20 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 13 | 15 | -8 |  |  |  | 11 | 13 | -11 | 14 | 16 | -8 | 9 | 11 | -15 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 125 | 26 | 3 | . | . | . | 109 | 31 | 7 | 99 | 28 | 4 | 127 | 31 | 5 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 56,244 | 23 |  | 61,787 | 24 |  | 66,789 | 24 |  | 71,637 | 24 |  | 82,613 | 26 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ total $\#$ in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Low incidence disabilities includes multiple disabilities, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury, autism, hearing impairments, and visual impairments. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported. <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 523 | 16 | -1 | 569 | 17 | -1 | 616 | 18 | 0 | 845 | 23 | 4 | 894 | 24 | 6 |
| ALASKA | 192 | 22 | 5 | 270 | 27 | 9 | 198 | 20 | 2 | 209 | 20 | 1 | 172 | 17 | -1 |
| ARIZONA | 532 | 14 | -3 | 505 | 13 | -5 | 599 | 14 | -4 | 828 | 16 | -3 | 1,179 | 20 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 462 | 22 | 5 | 489 | 21 | 3 | 518 | 21 | 3 | 588 | 23 | 4 | 652 | 24 | 6 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,854 | 13 | -4 | 3,293 | 14 | -4 | 3,280 | 13 | -5 | 3,631 | 13 | -6 | 3,304 | 11 | -7 |
| COLORADO | 622 | 14 | -3 | 593 | 13 | -5 | 641 | 13 | -5 | 689 | 13 | -6 | 788 | 15 | -3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 679 | 18 | 1 | 750 | 19 | 1 | 816 | 20 | 2 | 850 | 20 | 1 | 913 | 20 | 2 |
| DELAWARE | 212 | 53 | 36 | 223 | 43 | 25 | 189 | 35 | 17 | 210 | 36 | 17 | 134 | 23 | 5 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 8 | -10 | 51 | 14 | -5 | 48 | 7 | -11 |
| FLORIDA | 642 | 12 | -5 | 786 | 12 | -6 | 850 | 12 | -6 | 929 | 12 | -7 | 1,158 | 14 | -4 |
| GEORGIA | 555 | 20 | 3 | 611 | 19 | 1 | 670 | 20 | 2 | 809 | 20 | 1 | 992 | 23 | 5 |
| HAWAII | 136 | 24 | 7 | 119 | 14 | -4 | 222 | 23 | 5 | 230 | 24 | 5 | 183 | 17 | -1 |
| IDAHO | 221 | 20 | 3 | 242 | 21 | 3 | 220 | 18 | 0 | 246 | 19 | 0 | 287 | 21 | 3 |
| ILINOIS | 1,210 | 20 | 3 | 1,310 | 20 | 2 | 1,473 | 20 | 2 | 1,587 | 20 | 1 | 1,746 | 20 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 315 | 7 | -10 | 382 | 8 | -10 | 405 | 7 | -11 | 440 | 7 | -12 | 672 | 10 | -8 |
| IOWA | 313 | 16 | -1 | 357 | 18 | 0 | 355 | 19 | 1 | 353 | 20 | 1 | 332 | 20 | 2 |
| KANSAS | 607 | 22 | 5 | 720 | 25 | 7 | 730 | 22 | 4 | 864 | 23 | 4 | 827 | 23 | 5 |
| KENTUCKY | 628 | 19 | 2 | 924 | 26 | 8 | 907 | 24 | 6 | 1,029 | 24 | 5 | 1,226 | 27 | 9 |
| LOUISIANA | 465 | 12 | -5 | 498 | 13 | -5 | 850 | 21 | 3 | 670 | 17 | -2 | 588 | 14 | -4 |
| MAINE | 731 | 28 | 11 | 774 | 26 | 8 | 883 | 27 | 9 | 974 | 29 | 10 | 942 | 26 | 8 |
| MARYLAND | 935 | 12 | -5 | 1,045 | 12 | -6 | 1,101 | 12 | -6 | 1,369 | 14 | -5 | 1,436 | 14 | -4 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 490 | 9 | -8 | 509 | 9 | -9 | 1,554 | 27 | 9 | 1,565 | 27 | 8 | 1,428 | 23 | 5 |
| MICHIGAN | 779 | 10 | -7 | 715 | 8 | -10 | 885 | 9 | -9 | 1,250 | 12 | -7 | 1,541 | 14 | -4 |
| MINNESOTA | 532 | 17 | 0 | 636 | 18 | 0 | 794 | 20 | 2 | 911 | 20 | 1 | 1,066 | 21 | 3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 354 | 21 | 4 | 299 | 19 | 1 | 278 | 17 | -1 | 275 | 16 | -3 | 261 | 14 | -4 |
| MISSOURI | 1,003 | 32 | 15 | 1,048 | 30 | 12 | 840 | 22 | 4 | 900 | 22 | 3 | 997 | 22 | 4 |
| MONTANA | 139 | 22 | 5 | 311 | 32 | 14 | 270 | 27 | 9 | 266 | 25 | 6 | 286 | 27 | 9 |
| NEBRASKA | 241 | 16 | -1 | 386 | 21 | 3 | 349 | 20 | 2 | 303 | 18 | -1 | 292 | 17 | -1 |
| NEVADA | 164 | 16 | -1 | 204 | 17 | -1 | 211 | 16 | -2 | 265 | 18 | -1 | 337 | 19 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 91 | 10 | -7 | 123 | 13 | -5 | 86 | 9 | -9 | 172 | 16 | -3 | 207 | 17 | -1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,772 | 17 | 0 | 3,068 | 17 | -1 | 2,507 | 13 | -5 | 3,185 | 15 | -4 | 3,850 | 16 | -2 |
| NEW MEXICO | 263 | 14 | -3 | 291 | 15 | -3 | 286 | 13 | -5 | 297 | 13 | -6 | 375 | 16 | -2 |
| NEW YORK | 2,213 | 8 | -9 | 2,659 | 9 | -9 | 3,022 | 9 | -9 | 3,724 | 11 | -8 | 4,265 | 11 | -7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 707 | 12 | -5 | 781 | 12 | -6 | 775 | 12 | -6 | 897 | 12 | -7 | 935 | 12 | -6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 41 | 15 | -2 | 48 | 17 | -1 | 51 | 17 | -1 | 67 | 21 | 2 | 70 | 21 | 3 |
| OHIO | 4,212 | 27 | 10 | 4,935 | 29 | 11 | 5,593 | 33 | 15 | 6,290 | 34 | 15 | 3,284 | 17 | -1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 465 | 16 | -1 | 597 | 19 | 1 | 630 | 19 | 1 | 759 | 21 | 2 | 801 | 22 | 4 |
| OREGON | 442 | 15 | -2 | 580 | 18 | 0 | 614 | 16 | -2 | 636 | 16 | -3 | 693 | 17 | -1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 950 | 12 | -5 | 1,108 | 13 | -5 | 1,423 | 15 | -3 | 1,259 | 13 | -6 | 1,506 | 14 | -4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 847 | 28 | 11 | 931 | 31 | 13 | 350 | 11 | -7 | 308 | 10 | -9 | 485 | 16 | -2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 89 | 14 | -3 | 82 | 12 | -6 | 87 | 11 | -7 | 114 | 13 | -6 | 125 | 13 | -5 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 405 | 19 | 2 | 485 | 23 | 5 | 518 | 22 | 4 | 622 | 25 | 6 | 635 | 24 | 6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 204 | 24 | 7 | 218 | 24 | 6 | 235 | 25 | 7 | 237 | 25 | 6 | 258 | 25 | 7 |
| TENNESSEE | 617 | 13 | -4 | 624 | 13 | -5 | 626 | 13 | -5 | 657 | 13 | -6 | 707 | 14 | -4 |
| TEXAS | 6,557 | 33 | 16 | 6,360 | 33 | 15 | 7,027 | 35 | 17 | 8,713 | 37 | 18 | 8,249 | 35 | 17 |
| UTAH | 213 | 7 | -10 | 231 | 7 | -11 | 277 | 8 | -10 | 294 | 10 | -9 | 306 | 10 | -8 |
| VERMONT | 19 | 5 | -12 | 18 | 5 | -13 | 35 | 8 | -10 | 48 | 10 | -9 | 55 | 10 | -8 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,469 | 20 | 3 | 1,954 | 22 | 4 | 821 | 15 | -3 | 869 | 15 | -4 | 1,053 | 16 | -2 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,493 | 24 | 7 | 1,526 | 24 | 6 | 1,493 | 24 | 6 | 1,566 | 24 | 5 | 1,553 | 24 | 6 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 200 | 24 | 7 | 198 | 21 | 3 | 192 | 20 | 2 | 208 | 21 | 2 | 243 | 23 | 5 |
| WISCONSIN | 457 | 17 | 0 | 511 | 18 | 0 | 603 | 19 | 1 | 807 | 23 | 4 | 989 | 25 | 7 |
| WYOMING | 120 | 31 | 14 | 134 | 36 | 18 | 126 | 30 | 12 | 222 | 43 | 24 | 145 | 33 | 15 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 9 | 30 | 13 | 9 | 26 | 8 | 9 | 21 | 3 | 19 | 44 | 25 | 6 | 21 | 3 |
| GUAM | 21 | 21 | 4 | 23 | 21 | 3 | 43 | 39 | 21 | 39 | 31 | 12 | 39 | 32 | 14 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 12 | 24 | 7 |  | . | . | 16 | 28 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 8 | 22 | 45 | 27 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 13 | 15 | -2 | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | -16 | 10 | 11 | -8 | 16 | 20 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 252 | 53 | 36 | . | . | . | 156 | 45 | 27 | 118 | 34 | 15 | 135 | 33 | 15 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 41,689 | 17 |  | 46,062 | 18 |  | 48,339 | 18 |  | 55,290 | 19 |  | 55,688 | 18 |  |

$\%=$ \#in environment category $\div$ total \#in all environment categories
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
Low incidence disabilities indudes multiple disabilities, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury, autism, hearing impairments, and visual impairments.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported.
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

LOW INCIDENCE > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1,169 | 36 | -1 | 1,197 | 36 | -2 | 1,166 | 33 | -5 | 1,007 | 28 | -9 | 1,120 | 30 | -7 |
| ALASKA | 461 | 52 | 15 | 441 | 43 | 5 | 485 | 49 | 11 | 530 | 51 | 14 | 612 | 60 | 23 |
| ARIZONA | 1,120 | 30 | -7 | 1,244 | 32 | -6 | 1,461 | 34 | -4 | 1,704 | 33 | -4 | 2,177 | 36 | -1 |
| ARKANSAS | 783 | 37 | 0 | 804 | 35 | -3 | 902 | 37 | -1 | 1,019 | 39 | 2 | 1,093 | 40 | 3 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10,963 | 49 | 12 | 11,982 | 49 | 11 | 12,989 | 50 | 12 | 14,001 | 50 | 13 | 9,038 | 30 | -7 |
| COLORADO | 1,411 | 31 | -6 | 1,518 | 32 | -6 | 1,556 | 32 | -6 | 1,643 | 32 | -5 | 1,444 | 27 | -10 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,182 | 31 | -6 | 1,178 | 30 | -8 | 1,159 | 28 | -10 | 1,220 | 29 | -8 | 1,352 | 29 | -8 |
| DELAWARE | 39 | 10 | -27 | 44 | 9 | -29 | 99 | 19 | -19 | 99 | 17 | -20 | 144 | 25 | -12 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186 | 47 | 9 | . |  |  | 106 | 17 | -20 |
| FLORIDA | 2,661 | 48 | 11 | 2,074 | 33 | -5 | 2,370 | 35 | -3 | 2,690 | 36 | -1 | 3,073 | 37 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 1,087 | 39 | 2 | 1,291 | 41 | 3 | 1,432 | 43 | 5 | 1,771 | 44 | 7 | 1,881 | 43 | 6 |
| HAWAII | 207 | 37 | 0 | 483 | 55 | 17 | 494 | 51 | 13 | 500 | 52 | 15 | 608 | 57 | 20 |
| IDAHO | 350 | 31 | -6 | 349 | 30 | -8 | 401 | 33 | -5 | 445 | 35 | -2 | 437 | 32 | -5 |
| ILLNOIS | 2,461 | 40 | 3 | 2,707 | 41 | 3 | 2,992 | 41 | 3 | 3,334 | 42 | 5 | 3,741 | 42 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 1,806 | 40 | 3 | 2,056 | 41 | 3 | 2,271 | 42 | 4 | 2,674 | 44 | 7 | 2,825 | 41 | 4 |
| IOWA | 499 | 25 | -12 | 583 | 30 | -8 | 628 | 33 | -5 | 609 | 34 | -3 | 540 | 33 | -4 |
| KANSAS | 703 | 26 | -11 | 709 | 25 | -13 | 935 | 29 | -9 | 1,035 | 27 | -10 | 1,008 | 28 | -9 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,301 | 40 | 3 | 1,284 | 37 | -1 | 1,413 | 37 | -1 | 1,547 | 36 | -1 | 1,662 | 37 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 2,105 | 55 | 18 | 2,139 | 54 | 16 | 2,031 | 50 | 12 | 2,008 | 49 | 12 | 2,045 | 49 | 12 |
| MAINE | 1,013 | 39 | 2 | 1,170 | 40 | 2 | 1,310 | 41 | 3 | 1,370 | 41 | 4 | 1,481 | 41 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 2,992 | 39 | 2 | 3,446 | 40 | 2 | 3,655 | 39 | 1 | 3,661 | 38 | 1 | 3,710 | 37 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 1,684 | 31 | -6 | 1,735 | 31 | -7 | 1,762 | 30 | -8 | 1,789 | 31 | -6 | 1,895 | 30 | -7 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,763 | 34 | -3 | 1,803 | 21 | -17 | 2,420 | 26 | -12 | 2,731 | 27 | -10 | 3,866 | 36 | -1 |
| MINNESOTA | 474 | 15 | -22 | 520 | 15 | -23 | 654 | 16 | -22 | 845 | 19 | -18 | 985 | 19 | -18 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 723 | 43 | 6 | 698 | 44 | 6 | 722 | 43 | 5 | 726 | 41 | 4 | 808 | 45 | 8 |
| MISSOURI | 940 | 30 | -7 | 1,080 | 31 | -7 | 1,175 | 31 | -7 | 1,266 | 31 | -6 | 1,327 | 30 | -7 |
| MONTANA | 187 | 30 | -7 | 365 | 37 | -1 | 399 | 40 | 2 | 402 | 38 | 1 | 407 | 38 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 407 | 27 | -10 | 569 | 31 | -7 | 638 | 36 | -2 | 651 | 39 | 2 | 550 | 32 | -5 |
| NEVADA | 355 | 35 | -2 | 403 | 34 | -4 | 455 | 35 | -3 | 533 | 35 | -2 | 635 | 36 | -1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 118 | 13 | -24 | 130 | 14 | -24 | 52 | 5 | -33 | 99 | 9 | -28 | 102 | 9 | -28 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4,975 | 31 | -6 | 5,648 | 32 | -6 | 6,476 | 33 | -5 | 7,087 | 33 | -4 | 7,626 | 31 | -6 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,057 | 54 | 17 | 986 | 51 | 13 | 1,132 | 50 | 12 | 1,360 | 61 | 24 | 1,239 | 55 | 18 |
| NEW YORK | 9,069 | 32 | -5 | 12,548 | 41 | 3 | 13,160 | 40 | 2 | 12,993 | 37 | 0 | 14,644 | 39 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,315 | 39 | 2 | 2,554 | 41 | 3 | 2,809 | 42 | 4 | 3,046 | 42 | 5 | 3,287 | 43 | 6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 24 | 9 | -28 | 30 | 10 | -28 | 35 | 12 | -26 | 36 | 11 | -26 | 42 | 13 | -24 |
| OHIO | 4,074 | 26 | -11 | 3,863 | 23 | -15 | 3,446 | 20 | -18 | 3,439 | 19 | -18 | 8,353 | 43 | 6 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,399 | 47 | 10 | 1,484 | 46 | 8 | 1,554 | 46 | 8 | 1,575 | 44 | 7 | 1,662 | 45 | 8 |
| OREGON | 454 | 15 | -22 | 636 | 20 | -18 | 750 | 20 | -18 | 846 | 22 | -15 | 935 | 22 | -15 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,789 | 34 | -3 | 3,124 | 35 | -3 | 3,446 | 36 | -2 | 3,989 | 42 | 5 | 4,131 | 38 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,048 | 35 | -2 | 1,052 | 35 | -3 | 1,054 | 34 | -4 | 994 | 33 | -4 | 973 | 32 | -5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 228 | 36 | -1 | 280 | 41 | 3 | 339 | 43 | 5 | 392 | 45 | 8 | 450 | 46 | 9 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 795 | 37 | 0 | 774 | 36 | -2 | 940 | 40 | 2 | 1,001 | 40 | 3 | 1,052 | 39 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 218 | 25 | -12 | 246 | 27 | -11 | 265 | 28 | -10 | 265 | 28 | -9 | 283 | 28 | -9 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,116 | 45 | 8 | 2,174 | 45 | 7 | 2,251 | 46 | 8 | 2,326 | 47 | 10 | 2,349 | 47 | 10 |
| TEXAS | 9,754 | 49 | 12 | 9,390 | 49 | 11 | 9,483 | 47 | 9 | 10,556 | 45 | 8 | 10,776 | 46 | 9 |
| UTAH | 1,419 | 44 | 7 | 993 | 31 | -7 | 1,250 | 35 | -3 | 1,322 | 43 | 6 | 1,310 | 43 | 6 |
| VERMONT | 30 | 8 | -29 | 28 | 7 | -31 | 47 | 11 | -27 | 59 | 13 | -24 | 74 | 14 | -23 |
| VIRGINIA | 3,315 | 46 | 9 | 4,023 | 46 | 8 | 2,796 | 52 | 14 | 2,865 | 51 | 14 | 3,281 | 50 | 13 |
| WASHINGTON | 2,575 | 41 | 4 | 2,764 | 44 | 6 | 2,861 | 45 | 7 | 2,977 | 46 | 9 | 3,207 | 49 | 12 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 130 | 15 | -22 | 149 | 16 | -22 | 164 | 17 | -21 | 178 | 18 | -19 | 186 | 18 | -19 |
| WISCONSIN | 910 | 34 | -3 | 1,002 | 35 | -3 | 1,100 | 35 | -3 | 1,191 | 33 | -4 | 1,250 | 32 | -5 |
| WYOMING | 68 | 18 | -19 | 65 | 17 | -21 | 74 | 18 | -20 | 100 | 20 | -17 | 113 | 26 | -11 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 21 | 70 | 33 | 23 | 68 | 30 | 25 | 60 | 22 | 22 | 51 | 14 | 19 | 68 | 31 |
| GUAM | 45 | 45 | 8 | 49 | 44 | 6 | 36 | 33 | -5 | 47 | 38 | 1 | 46 | 38 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 11 | 22 | -15 |  |  |  | 7 | 12 | -26 | 12 | 19 | -18 | 22 | 45 | 8 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 44 | 50 | 13 |  |  |  | 61 | 74 | 36 | 43 | 49 | 12 | 51 | 62 | 25 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 63 | 13 | -24 |  |  |  | 59 | 17 | -21 | 81 | 23 | -14 | 107 | 26 | -11 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 90,910 | 37 |  | 97,887 | 38 |  | 103,832 | 38 |  | 110,711 | 37 |  | 118,140 | 37 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ total \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Low incidence disabilities includes multiple disabilities, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury, autism, hearing impairments, and visual impairments Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported. <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 308 | 9.5 | -6.7 | 317 | 9.5 | -5.5 | 368 | 10.6 | -4.3 | 318 | 8.7 | -5.6 | 285 | 7.5 | -6.3 |
| ALASKA | 8 | 0.9 | -15.3 | 7 | 0.7 | -14.3 | 11 | 1.1 | -13.8 | 16 | 1.5 | -12.8 | 19 | 1.9 | -11.9 |
| ARIZONA | 534 | 14.2 | -2.0 | 272 | 6.9 | -8.1 | 260 | 6.1 | -8.8 | 273 | 5.3 | -9.0 | 336 | 5.6 | -8.2 |
| ARKANSAS | 197 | 9.4 | -6.8 | 281 | 12.2 | -2.8 | 197 | 8.1 | -6.8 | 209 | 8.1 | -6.2 | 174 | 6.4 | -7.4 |
| CALFORNIA | 1,813 | 8.1 | -8.1 | 1,914 | 7.9 | -7.1 | 2,084 | 8.0 | -6.9 | 2,082 | 7.4 | -6.9 | 2,468 | 8.1 | -5.7 |
| COLORADO | 320 | 7.1 | -9.1 | 344 | 7.3 | -7.7 | 354 | 7.2 | -7.7 | 325 | 6.4 | -7.9 | 296 | 5.6 | -8.2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 662 | 17.6 | 1.4 | 723 | 18.3 | 3.3 | 747 | 18.2 | 3.3 | 782 | 18.3 | 4.0 | 860 | 18.5 | 4.7 |
| DELAWARE | 74 | 18.5 | 2.3 | 177 | 34.3 | 19.3 | 157 | 29.3 | 14.4 | 187 | 32.1 | 17.8 | 178 | 30.8 | 17.0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 | 40.3 | 25.4 |  |  |  | 452 | 70.4 | 56.6 |
| FLORIDA | 353 | 6.4 | -9.8 | 160 | 2.5 | -12.5 | 204 | 3.0 | -11.9 | 220 | 2.9 | -11.4 | 408 | 4.9 | -8.9 |
| GEORGIA | 198 | 7.1 | -9.1 | 349 | 11.0 | -4.0 | 266 | 7.9 | -7.0 | 307 | 7.7 | -6.6 | 309 | 7.1 | -6.7 |
| HAWAII | 7 | 1.3 | -14.9 | 46 | 5.3 | -9.7 | 79 | 8.1 | -6.8 | 53 | 5.5 | -8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | -13.8 |
| IDAHO | 12 | 1.1 | -15.1 | 32 | 2.7 | -12.3 | 46 | 3.7 | -11.2 | 19 | 1.5 | -12.8 | 27 | 2.0 | -11.8 |
| ILINOIS | 581 | 9.5 | -6.7 | 696 | 10.5 | -4.5 | 729 | 9.9 | -5.0 | 825 | 10.4 | -3.9 | 939 | 10.6 | -3.2 |
| INDIANA | 318 | 7.0 | -9.2 | 362 | 7.3 | -7.7 | 101 | 1.8 | -13.1 | 116 | 1.9 | -12.4 | 112 | 1.6 | -12.2 |
| IOWA | 113 | 5.7 | -10.5 | 112 | 5.8 | -9.2 | 120 | 6.3 | -8.6 | 105 | 5.9 | -8.4 | 102 | 6.2 | -7.6 |
| KANSAS | 317 | 11.7 | -4.5 | 365 | 12.9 | -2.1 | 419 | 12.9 | -2.0 | 425 | 11.2 | -3.1 | 318 | 8.9 | -4.9 |
| KENTUCKY | 52 | 1.6 | -14.6 | 35 | 1.0 | -14.0 | 140 | 3.7 | -11.2 | 126 | 3.0 | -11.3 | 120 | 2.6 | -11.2 |
| LOUISIANA | 87 | 2.3 | -13.9 | 85 | 2.2 | -12.8 | 77 | 1.9 | -13.0 | 84 | 2.1 | -12.2 | 74 | 1.8 | -12.0 |
| MAINE | 96 | 3.7 | -12.5 | 101 | 3.4 | -11.6 | 120 | 3.7 | -11.2 | 102 | 3.0 | -11.3 | 117 | 3.3 | -10.5 |
| MARYLAND | 1,597 | 21.0 | 4.8 | 1,814 | 21.1 | 6.1 | 2,039 | 21.9 | 7.0 | 2,096 | 21.5 | 7.2 | 2,229 | 22.1 | 8.3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,208 | 22.3 | 6.1 | 1,284 | 23.0 | 8.0 | 1,191 | 20.5 | 5.6 | 1,230 | 21.3 | 7.0 | 1,530 | 24.2 | 10.4 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,899 | 23.4 | 7.2 | 1,990 | 23.3 | 8.3 | 2,126 | 22.5 | 7.6 | 3,061 | 30.4 | 16.1 | 2,166 | 20.1 | 6.3 |
| MINNESOTA | 179 | 5.7 | -10.5 | 179 | 5.2 | -9.8 | 232 | 5.8 | -9.1 | 236 | 5.2 | -9.1 | 253 | 4.9 | -8.9 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 108 | 6.4 | -9.8 | 113 | 7.2 | -7.8 | 95 | 5.6 | -9.3 | 102 | 5.8 | -8.5 | 113 | 6.2 | -7.6 |
| MISSOURI | 414 | 13.3 | -2.9 | 432 | 12.2 | -2.8 | 495 | 12.9 | -2.0 | 528 | 12.9 | -1.4 | 594 | 13.3 | -0.5 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 0.5 | -15.7 | 13 | 1.3 | -13.7 | 7 | 0.7 | -14.2 | 19 | 1.8 | -12.5 | 3 | 0.3 | -13.5 |
| NEBRASKA | 101 | 6.7 | -9.5 | 84 | 4.6 | -10.4 | 73 | 4.1 | -10.8 | 50 | 3.0 | -11.3 | 116 | 6.8 | -7.0 |
| NEVADA | 252 | 24.8 | 8.6 | 267 | 22.7 | 7.7 | 270 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 283 | 18.7 | 4.4 | 285 | 16.2 | 2.4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 435 | 49.0 | 32.8 | 409 | 42.7 | 27.7 | 57 | 5.7 | -9.2 | 59 | 5.4 | -8.9 | 64 | 5.4 | -8.4 |
| NEW JERSEY | 6,066 | 37.9 | 21.7 | 6,541 | 36.8 | 21.8 | 8,169 | 41.3 | 26.4 | 8,297 | 38.1 | 23.8 | 9,361 | 38.4 | 24.6 |
| NEW MEXICO | 134 | 6.9 | -9.3 | 12 | 0.6 | -14.4 | 22 | 1.0 | -13.9 | 22 | 1.0 | -13.3 | 41 | 1.8 | -12.0 |
| NEW YORK | 10,247 | 36.6 | 20.4 | 8,116 | 26.6 | 11.6 | 8,421 | 25.9 | 11.0 | 8,865 | 25.6 | 11.3 | 8,305 | 22.4 | 8.6 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 482 | 8.2 | -8.0 | 523 | 8.3 | -6.7 | 604 | 9.1 | -5.8 | 618 | 8.6 | -5.7 | 653 | 8.6 | -5.2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 14 | 5.2 | -11.0 | 16 | 5.6 | -9.4 | 16 | 5.4 | -9.5 | 15 | 4.7 | -9.6 | 13 | 3.9 | -9.9 |
| OHIO | 4,592 | 29.2 | 13.0 | 4,804 | 28.7 | 13.7 | 4,304 | 25.1 | 10.2 | 4,205 | 22.8 | 8.5 | 4,351 | 22.2 | 8.4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 156 | 5.3 | -10.9 | 128 | 4.0 | -11.0 | 113 | 3.4 | -11.5 | 119 | 3.4 | -10.9 | 120 | 3.3 | -10.5 |
| OREGON | 119 | 4.0 | -12.2 | 100 | 3.1 | -11.9 | 143 | 3.7 | -11.2 | 162 | 4.2 | -10.1 | 179 | 4.3 | -9.5 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,755 | 21.3 | 5.1 | 1,889 | 21.3 | 6.3 | 2,030 | 21.5 | 6.6 | 1,526 | 15.9 | 1.6 | 1,626 | 15.1 | 1.3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 375 | 12.5 | -3.7 | 376 | 12.4 | -2.6 | 405 | 13.1 | -1.8 | 389 | 12.8 | -1.5 | 439 | 14.5 | 0.7 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 189 | 30.0 | 13.8 | 194 | 28.1 | 13.1 | 217 | 27.3 | 12.4 | 230 | 26.2 | 11.9 | 253 | 26.1 | 12.3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 82 | 3.8 | -12.4 | 85 | 4.0 | -11.0 | 109 | 4.6 | -10.3 | 93 | 3.8 | -10.5 | 175 | 6.5 | -7.3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 64 | 7.5 | -8.7 | 62 | 6.9 | -8.1 | 67 | 7.1 | -7.8 | 81 | 8.4 | -5.9 | 82 | 8.0 | -5.8 |
| TENNESSEE | 576 | 12.2 | -4.0 | 556 | 11.5 | -3.5 | 543 | 11.1 | -3.8 | 540 | 11.0 | -3.3 | 535 | 10.7 | -3.1 |
| TEXAS | 1,069 | 5.3 | -10.9 | 580 | 3.0 | -12.0 | 636 | 3.2 | -11.7 | 1,107 | 4.7 | -9.6 | 640 | 2.7 | -11.1 |
| UTAH | 643 | 20.1 | 3.9 | 1,468 | 45.3 | 30.3 | 1,427 | 39.8 | 24.9 | 922 | 29.8 | 15.5 | 889 | 29.2 | 15.4 |
| VERMONT | 23 | 6.0 | -10.2 | 18 | 4.6 | -10.4 | 19 | 4.5 | -10.4 | 26 | 5.6 | -8.7 | 36 | 6.8 | -7.0 |
| VIRGINIA | 235 | 3.2 | -13.0 | 274 | 3.1 | -11.9 | 335 | 6.3 | -8.6 | 466 | 8.3 | -6.0 | 607 | 9.3 | -4.5 |
| WASHINGTON | 227 | 3.6 | -12.6 | 175 | 2.8 | -12.2 | 143 | 2.3 | -12.6 | 135 | 2.1 | -12.2 | 130 | 2.0 | -11.8 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 50 | 5.9 | -10.3 | 53 | 5.8 | -9.2 | 53 | 5.6 | -9.3 | 53 | 5.4 | -8.9 | 28 | 2.7 | -11.1 |
| WISCONSIN | 106 | 4.0 | -12.2 | 141 | 4.9 | -10.1 | 126 | 4.0 | -10.9 | 168 | 4.7 | -9.6 | 155 | 3.9 | -9.9 |
| WYOMING | 9 | 2.3 | -13.9 | 10 | 2.7 | -12.3 | 5 | 1.2 | -13.7 | 14 | 2.7 | -11.6 | 5 | 1.1 | -12.7 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -16.2 |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -13.8 |
| GUAM | 10 | 10.0 | -6.2 | 1 | 0.9 | -14.1 | 1 | 0.9 | -14.0 | 3 | 2.4 | -11.9 | 2 | 1.7 | -12.1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -16.2 |  | . |  | 0 | 0.0 | -14.9 | 2 | 3.2 | -11.1 | 2 | 4.1 | -9.7 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 7 | 8.0 | -8.2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -14.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -13.8 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 7 | 1.5 | -14.7 | . | . | . | 6 | 1.7 | -13.2 | 11 | 3.1 | -11.2 | 4 | 1.0 | -12.8 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 39,483 | 16.2 |  | 39,085 | 15.0 |  | 41,138 | 14.9 |  | 42,307 | 14.3 |  | 43,578 | 13.8 |  |
| $\%=$ \#in environment category $\div$ total \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Low indidence disabilities indudes multiple disabilities, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury, autism, hearing impairments, and visual impairments. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported. <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

## LOW INCIDENCE > PUBLC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY



N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

LOW INCIDENCE > HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL ENVIRONMENT

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 46 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 36 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 33 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 43 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 48 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| ALASKA | 5 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 5 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 7 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 10 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 10 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| ARIZONA | 49 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 44 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 62 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 61 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 51 | 0.9 | -0.3 |
| ARKANSAS | 31 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 36 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 39 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 56 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 47 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| CALFORNIA | 195 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 188 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 216 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 309 | 1.1 | -0.2 | 305 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| COLORADO | 57 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 61 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 60 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 70 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 44 | 0.8 | -0.4 |
| CONNECTICUT | 30 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 27 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 26 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 24 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 27 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 2 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 3 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 1 | 0.2 | -1.1 | 2 | 0.3 | -0.9 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -1.2 | 6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| FLORIDA | 19 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 12 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 8 | 0.1 | -1.1 | 5 | 0.1 | -1.2 | 15 | 0.2 | -1.0 |
| GEORGIA | 6 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 3 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 2 | 0.1 | -1.1 | 7 | 0.2 | -1.1 | 10 | 0.2 | -1.0 |
| HAWAII | 7 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 32 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 10 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 11 | 1.1 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.2 |
| IDAHO | 16 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 7 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 11 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 12 | 0.9 | -0.3 |
| ILINOIS | 4 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 7 | 0.1 | -1.3 | 4 | 0.1 | -1.1 | 9 | 0.1 | -1.2 | 6 | 0.1 | -1.1 |
| INDIANA | 35 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 49 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 53 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 55 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 69 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| IOWA | 7 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 17 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 14 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 5 | 0.3 | -1.0 | 7 | 0.4 | -0.8 |
| KANSAS | 40 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 48 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 31 | 0.8 | -0.5 | 37 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| KENTUCKY | 55 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 57 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 81 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 105 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 119 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| LOUISIANA | 81 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 109 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 129 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 146 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 157 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| MAINE | 30 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 36 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 36 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 34 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 50 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| MARYLAND | 48 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 71 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 57 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 54 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 58 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 175 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 154 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 152 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 110 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 103 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| MICHIGAN | 98 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 117 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 66 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 97 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 100 | 0.9 | -0.3 |
| MINNESOTA | 12 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 11 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 6 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 10 | 0.2 | -1.1 | 11 | 0.2 | -1.0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 37 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 46 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 44 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 51 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 51 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| MISSOURI | 26 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 32 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 26 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 46 | 1.1 | -0.2 | 52 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| MONTANA | 7 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 8 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 11 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 7 | 0.7 | -0.6 | 4 | 0.4 | -0.8 |
| NEBRASKA | 28 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 111 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 37 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 30 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 37 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| NEVADA | 19 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 16 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 16 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 16 | 1.1 | -0.2 | 25 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 8 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 12 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 15 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 23 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 22 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| NEW JERSEY | 189 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 221 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 231 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 271 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 260 | 1.1 | -0.1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 39 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 42 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 59 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 65 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 63 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| NEW YORK | 282 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 325 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 298 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 456 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 393 | 1.1 | -0.1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 62 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 58 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 1 | 0.0 | -1.2 | 89 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 89 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 1 | 0.3 | -0.9 | 2 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 2 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 141 | 0.9 | -0.5 | 137 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 169 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 166 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 186 | 0.9 | -0.3 |
| OKLAHOMA | 70 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 110 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 109 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 116 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 124 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| OREGON | 47 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 20 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 22 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 16 | 0.4 | -0.8 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 86 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 104 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 79 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 93 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 80 | 0.7 | -0.5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 559 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 545 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 459 | 14.8 | 13.6 | 454 | 14.9 | 13.6 | 446 | 14.7 | 13.5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 3 | 0.4 | -0.8 | 7 | 0.8 | -0.5 | 2 | 0.2 | -1.0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 32 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 24 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 17 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 28 | 1.1 | -0.2 | 22 | 0.8 | -0.4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 12 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 14 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 9 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 8 | 0.8 | -0.5 | 8 | 0.8 | -0.4 |
| TENNESSEE | 100 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 104 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 124 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 124 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 110 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| TEXAS | 358 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 369 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 362 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 401 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 396 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| UTAH | 24 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 27 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 27 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 34 | 1.1 | -0.1 |
| VERMONT | 8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 10 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 10 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 12 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 16 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| VIRGINIA | 45 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 56 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 47 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 53 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 46 | 0.7 | -0.5 |
| WASHINGTON | 51 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 62 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 62 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 50 | 0.8 | -0.5 | 54 | 0.8 | -0.4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 5 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 11 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 17 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 14 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| WISCONSIN | 9 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 8 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 5 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 10 | 0.3 | -1.0 | 10 | 0.3 | -0.9 |
| WYOMING | 3 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | -1.1 | 1 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 | 3 | 0.7 | -0.5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 4 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | . | . |  | 3 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -1.2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 2.3 | $0.9$ |  |  |  | 3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 4 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 0.4 | -1.0 | . | . | . | 1 | 0.3 | -0.9 | 5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 3,308 | 1.4 |  | 3,575 | 1.4 |  | 3,364 | 1.2 |  | 3,926 | 1.3 |  | 3,864 | 1.2 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ total \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Low incidence disabilities indudes multiple disabilities, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury, autism, hearing impairments, and visual impairments. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported. <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

ORTHOPEDIC AND OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS < 21\%

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1,102 | 45 | 4 | 1,407 | 48 | 5 | 1,732 | 50 | 6 | 2,139 | 54 | 9 | 2,329 | 51 | 6 |
| ALASKA | 247 | 50 | 9 | 240 | 39 | -4 | 367 | 53 | 9 | 443 | 57 | 12 | 534 | 53 | 8 |
| ARIZONA | 733 | 43 | 2 | 864 | 44 | 1 | 980 | 45 | 1 | 1,199 | 46 | 1 | 1,235 | 49 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,192 | 38 | -3 | 1,376 | 37 | -6 | 1,563 | 35 | -9 | 1,804 | 35 | -10 | 2,001 | 34 | -11 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10,696 | 46 | 5 | 10,846 | 45 | 2 | 11,697 | 45 | 1 | 11,366 | 41 | -4 | 16,266 | 54 | 9 |
| COLORADO | 2,664 | 78 | 37 | 3,061 | 76 | 33 | 3,431 | 76 | 32 | 3,714 | 76 | 31 | 4,113 | 74 | 29 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,992 | 68 | 27 | 3,638 | 66 | 23 | 4,184 | 65 | 21 | 4,532 | 65 | 20 | 5,023 | 62 | 17 |
| DELAWARE | 125 | 22 | -19 | 163 | 25 | -18 | 194 | 26 | -18 | 228 | 27 | -18 | 307 | 27 | -18 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 3 | -41 | 33 | 19 | -26 | 11 | 4 | -41 |
| FLORIDA | 1,469 | 21 | -20 | 2,867 | 36 | -7 | 4,147 | 45 | 1 | 4,927 | 47 | 2 | 5,264 | 42 | -3 |
| GEORGIA | 1,903 | 30 | -11 | 2,416 | 29 | -14 | 3,124 | 30 | -14 | 3,461 | 28 | -17 | 4,263 | 29 | -16 |
| HAWAII | 85 | 49 | 8 | 314 | 42 | -1 | 199 | 23 | -21 | 252 | 22 | -23 | 673 | 46 | 1 |
| IDAHO | 519 | 64 | 23 | 560 | 60 | 17 | 655 | 61 | 17 | 739 | 60 | 15 | 817 | 62 | 17 |
| ILUNOIS | 1,804 | 27 | -14 | 2,145 | 29 | -14 | 2,496 | 29 | -15 | 2,921 | 29 | -16 | 3,260 | 27 | -18 |
| INDIANA | 1,622 | 21 | -20 | 1,838 | 61 | 18 | 2,130 | 62 | 18 | 2,497 | 62 | 17 | 3,002 | 60 | 15 |
| IOWA | 738 | 64 | 23 | 597 | 57 | 14 | 505 | 52 | 8 | 442 | 50 | 5 | 358 | 44 | -1 |
| KANSAS | 1,965 | 60 | 19 | 2,358 | 59 | 16 | 2,608 | 59 | 15 | 2,868 | 60 | 15 | 3,261 | 57 | 12 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,251 | 45 | 4 | 1,639 | 42 | -1 | 2,172 | 44 | 0 | 2,731 | 45 | 0 | 3,269 | 45 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 2,196 | 33 | -8 | 2,547 | 34 | -9 | 2,030 | 25 | -19 | 3,140 | 36 | -9 | 4,013 | 44 | -1 |
| MAINE | 674 | 51 | 10 | 752 | 49 | 6 | 922 | 52 | 8 | 1,149 | 54 | 9 | 1,367 | 52 | 7 |
| MARYLAND | 2,049 | 47 | 6 | 2,430 | 47 | 4 | 2,936 | 49 | 5 | 3,539 | 51 | 6 | 4,026 | 51 | 6 |
| MASSACHUSEITS | 978 | 45 | 4 | 1,001 | 46 | 3 | 221 | 10 | -34 | 185 | 9 | -36 | 242 | 12 | -33 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,711 | 53 | 12 | 6,325 | 63 | 20 | 6,471 | 60 | 16 | 5,789 | 49 | 4 | 6,362 | 49 | 4 |
| MINNESOTA | 3,979 | 70 | 29 | 4,549 | 70 | 27 | 5,168 | 69 | 25 | 5,632 | 68 | 23 | 6,239 | 67 | 22 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 178 | 14 | -27 | 618 | 19 | -24 | 383 | 27 | -17 | 382 | 24 | -21 | 436 | 26 | -19 |
| MISSOURI | 759 | 21 | -20 | 1,424 | 29 | -14 | 3,423 | 56 | 12 | 3,798 | 54 | 9 | 4,404 | 54 | 9 |
| MONTANA | 353 | 49 | 8 | 364 | 46 | 3 | 455 | 48 | 4 | 532 | 49 | 4 | 575 | 47 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,160 | 59 | 18 | 1,222 | 44 | 1 | 1,401 | 39 | -5 | 1,344 | 53 | 8 | 1,665 | 59 | 14 |
| NEVADA | 422 | 49 | 8 | 487 | 46 | 3 | 631 | 50 | 6 | 762 | 51 | 6 | 885 | 52 | 7 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,323 | 52 | 11 | 1,460 | 51 | 8 | 2,570 | 81 | 37 | 2,606 | 75 | 30 | 2,772 | 74 | 29 |
| NEW JERSEY | 615 | 48 | 7 | 647 | 49 | 6 | 976 | 48 | 4 | 2,347 | 49 | 4 | 3,405 | 47 | 2 |
| NEW MEXICO | 550 | 35 | -6 | 713 | 40 | -3 | 768 | 38 | -6 | 642 | 30 | -15 | 831 | 35 | -10 |
| NEW YORK | 8,935 | 54 | 13 | 10,127 | 53 | 10 | 12,021 | 55 | 11 | 13,826 | 57 | 12 | 16,854 | 59 | 14 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5,214 | 59 | 18 | 6,031 | 58 | 15 | 7,095 | 59 | 15 | 8,541 | 60 | 15 | 9,778 | 60 | 15 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 314 | 71 | 30 | 353 | 72 | 29 | 434 | 74 | 30 | 474 | 72 | 27 | 530 | 71 | 26 |
| OHIO | 2,534 | 47 | 6 | 3,039 | 52 | 9 | 3,794 | 58 | 14 | 4,820 | 64 | 19 | 4,378 | 50 | 5 |
| OKLAHOMA | 842 | 57 | 16 | 1,127 | 57 | 14 | 1,459 | 57 | 13 | 1,873 | 58 | 13 | 2,182 | 56 | 11 |
| OREGON | 2,183 | 66 | 25 | 2,366 | 62 | 19 | 3,061 | 67 | 23 | 3,393 | 67 | 22 | 3,723 | 66 | 21 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 514 | 27 | -14 | 595 | 27 | -16 | 701 | 28 | -16 | 922 | 33 | -12 | 1,423 | 40 | -5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 302 | 21 | -20 | 367 | 24 | -19 | 995 | 62 | 18 | 1,182 | 67 | 22 | 1,038 | 51 | 6 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 590 | 47 | 6 | 770 | 49 | 6 | 893 | 47 | 3 | 1,052 | 47 | 2 | 1,178 | 44 | -1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 510 | 22 | -19 | 475 | 17 | -26 | 582 | 18 | -26 | 552 | 15 | -30 | 594 | 14 | -31 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 198 | 62 | 21 | 233 | 63 | 20 | 271 | 64 | 20 | 331 | 62 | 17 | 241 | 49 | 4 |
| TENNESSEE | 4,156 | 44 | 3 | 4,216 | 42 | -1 | 4,029 | 40 | -4 | 3,960 | 41 | -4 | 4,128 | 41 | -4 |
| TEXAS | 5,211 | 17 | -24 | 5,800 | 18 | -25 | 6,977 | 19 | -25 | 8,284 | 21 | -24 | 8,962 | 21 | -24 |
| UTAH | 322 | 37 | -4 | 341 | 35 | -8 | 405 | 37 | -7 | 413 | 35 | -10 | 412 | 31 | -14 |
| VERMONT | 679 | 88 | 47 | 813 | 88 | 45 | 901 | 88 | 44 | 974 | 84 | 39 | 1,085 | 84 | 39 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,791 | 42 | 1 | 3,299 | 39 | -4 | 3,679 | 37 | -7 | 3,613 | 33 | -12 | 4,770 | 32 | -13 |
| WASHINGTON | 6,938 | 45 | 4 | 7,354 | 45 | 2 | 7,781 | 45 | 1 | 7,964 | 44 | -1 | 7,840 | 42 | -3 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 620 | 51 | 10 | 726 | 47 | 4 | 874 | 47 | 3 | 1,036 | 47 | 2 | 1,111 | 44 | -1 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,521 | 42 | 1 | 1,820 | 42 | -1 | 2,036 | 40 | -4 | 2,418 | 39 | -6 | 3,019 | 40 | -5 |
| WYOMING | 434 | 50 | 9 | 395 | 49 | 6 | 406 | 47 | 3 | 400 | 41 | -4 | 421 | 41 | -4 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -41 | 0 | 0 | -43 | 0 |  | . | 0 | 0 | -45 | 2 | 50 | 5 |
| GUAM | 25 | 56 | 15 | 30 | 56 | 13 | 27 | 48 | 4 | 37 | 57 | 12 | 34 | 48 | 3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 8 | 57 | 16 |  |  |  | 9 | 75 | 31 | 16 | 89 | 44 | 2 | 15 | -30 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 18 | 34 | -7 |  |  |  | 11 | 26 | -18 | 29 | 57 | 12 | 12 | 34 | -11 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 39 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 115 | 85 | 41 | 148 | 80 | 35 | 220 | 77 | 32 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 95,952 | 41 |  | 111,145 | 43 |  | 129,106 | 44 |  | 144,401 | 45 |  | 167,145 | 45 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 914 | 38 | 7 | 1,076 | 37 | 7 | 1,220 | 35 | 5 | 1,409 | 36 | 5 | 1,757 | 38 | 6 |
| ALASKA | 162 | 33 | 2 | 289 | 47 | 17 | 214 | 31 | 1 | 214 | 27 | -4 | 288 | 29 | -3 |
| ARIZONA | 381 | 22 | -9 | 457 | 23 | -7 | 502 | 23 | -7 | 633 | 24 | -7 | 735 | 29 | -3 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,514 | 48 | 17 | 1,832 | 49 | 19 | 2,194 | 50 | 20 | 2,548 | 49 | 18 | 2,944 | 51 | 19 |
| CALFORNIA | 3,130 | 13 | -18 | 3,397 | 14 | -16 | 3,826 | 15 | -15 | 4,419 | 16 | -15 | 4,603 | 15 | -17 |
| COLORADO | 481 | 14 | -17 | 599 | 15 | -15 | 707 | 16 | -14 | 764 | 16 | -15 | 981 | 18 | -14 |
| CONNECTICUT | 819 | 19 | -12 | 1,078 | 20 | -10 | 1,273 | 20 | -10 | 1,450 | 21 | -10 | 1,719 | 21 | -11 |
| DELAWARE | 259 | 45 | 14 | 281 | 43 | 13 | 313 | 42 | 12 | 363 | 42 | 11 | 446 | 40 | 8 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 13 | -17 | 48 | 27 | -4 | 45 | 18 | -14 |
| FLORIDA | 1,140 | 16 | -15 | 1,299 | 16 | -14 | 1,657 | 18 | -12 | 2,047 | 19 | -12 | 2,570 | 21 | -11 |
| GEORGIA | 2,674 | 42 | 11 | 3,481 | 42 | 12 | 4,245 | 41 | 11 | 5,404 | 44 | 13 | 6,380 | 43 | 11 |
| HAWAII | 30 | 17 | -14 | 197 | 26 | -4 | 467 | 54 | 24 | 639 | 55 | 24 | 479 | 33 | 1 |
| IDAHO | 202 | 25 | -6 | 263 | 28 | -2 | 307 | 28 | -2 | 353 | 29 | -2 | 350 | 27 | -5 |
| ILINOIS | 1,765 | 26 | -5 | 2,218 | 30 | 0 | 2,792 | 33 | 3 | 3,413 | 34 | 3 | 4,387 | 37 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 5,363 | 70 | 39 | 428 | 14 | -16 | 489 | 14 | -16 | 598 | 15 | -16 | 981 | 20 | -12 |
| IOWA | 237 | 21 | -10 | 297 | 28 | -2 | 289 | 30 | 0 | 277 | 31 | 0 | 266 | 33 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 919 | 28 | -3 | 1,176 | 29 | -1 | 1,280 | 29 | -1 | 1,364 | 28 | -3 | 1,621 | 28 | -4 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,088 | 39 | 8 | 1,763 | 46 | 16 | 2,117 | 43 | 13 | 2,463 | 41 | 10 | 3,073 | 43 | 11 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,857 | 28 | -3 | 2,063 | 28 | -2 | 3,440 | 43 | 13 | 2,790 | 32 | 1 | 2,387 | 26 | -6 |
| MAINE | 488 | 37 | 6 | 522 | 34 | 4 | 607 | 34 | 4 | 701 | 33 | 2 | 893 | 34 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 1,052 | 24 | -7 | 1,282 | 25 | -5 | 1,476 | 25 | -5 | 1,700 | 25 | -6 | 1,956 | 25 | -7 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 162 | 7 | -24 | 168 | 8 | -22 | 981 | 44 | 14 | 990 | 48 | 17 | 879 | 44 | 12 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,993 | 22 | -9 | 1,999 | 20 | -10 | 2,397 | 22 | -8 | 3,277 | 28 | -3 | 3,778 | 29 | -3 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,283 | 23 | -8 | 1,467 | 22 | -8 | 1,756 | 23 | -7 | 2,049 | 25 | -6 | 2,258 | 24 | -8 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 429 | 33 | 2 | 1,329 | 40 | 10 | 390 | 27 | -3 | 470 | 30 | -1 | 517 | 31 | -1 |
| MISSOURI | 2,229 | 61 | 30 | 2,658 | 54 | 24 | 1,742 | 29 | -1 | 2,158 | 31 | 0 | 2,609 | 32 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 265 | 37 | 6 | 288 | 37 | 7 | 357 | 38 | 8 | 410 | 38 | 7 | 486 | 40 | 8 |
| NEBRASKA | 395 | 20 | -11 | 593 | 22 | -8 | 1,518 | 43 | 13 | 611 | 24 | -7 | 673 | 24 | -8 |
| NEVADA | 310 | 36 | 5 | 415 | 39 | 9 | 420 | 33 | 3 | 457 | 31 | 0 | 503 | 29 | -3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 599 | 23 | -8 | 682 | 24 | -6 | 390 | 12 | -18 | 533 | 15 | -16 | 599 | 16 | -16 |
| NEW JERSEY | 241 | 19 | -12 | 270 | 20 | -10 | 431 | 21 | -9 | 1,309 | 27 | -4 | 2,234 | 31 | -1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 415 | 26 | -5 | 450 | 25 | -5 | 489 | 24 | -6 | 558 | 26 | -5 | 674 | 29 | -3 |
| NEW YORK | 2,793 | 17 | -14 | 3,258 | 17 | -13 | 3,554 | 16 | -14 | 4,272 | 17 | -14 | 4,760 | 17 | -15 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,121 | 24 | -7 | 2,640 | 25 | -5 | 3,003 | 25 | -5 | 3,481 | 24 | -7 | 4,040 | 25 | -7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 68 | 15 | -16 | 80 | 16 | -14 | 87 | 15 | -15 | 129 | 20 | -11 | 149 | 20 | -12 |
| OHIO | 770 | 14 | -17 | 814 | 14 | -16 | 896 | 14 | -16 | 1,075 | 14 | -17 | 2,430 | 28 | -4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 392 | 27 | -4 | 554 | 28 | -2 | 719 | 28 | -2 | 963 | 30 | -1 | 1,224 | 31 | -1 |
| OREGON | 662 | 20 | -11 | 780 | 20 | -10 | 700 | 15 | -15 | 784 | 15 | -16 | 895 | 16 | -16 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 386 | 20 | -11 | 516 | 24 | -6 | 649 | 26 | -4 | 689 | 25 | -6 | 945 | 26 | -6 |
| PUERTO RICO | 765 | 53 | 22 | 827 | 53 | 23 | 252 | 16 | -14 | 240 | 14 | -17 | 601 | 30 | -2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 266 | 21 | -10 | 318 | 20 | -10 | 404 | 21 | -9 | 466 | 21 | -10 | 613 | 23 | -9 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,278 | 54 | 23 | 1,644 | 59 | 29 | 1,868 | 58 | 28 | 2,320 | 63 | 32 | 2,618 | 60 | 28 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 91 | 29 | -2 | 107 | 29 | -1 | 112 | 27 | -3 | 155 | 29 | -2 | 200 | 40 | 8 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,686 | 28 | -3 | 3,126 | 31 | 1 | 3,319 | 33 | 3 | 3,318 | 34 | 3 | 3,346 | 34 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 15,402 | 50 | 19 | 17,419 | 53 | 23 | 19,359 | 53 | 23 | 20,861 | 54 | 23 | 22,911 | 55 | 23 |
| UTAH | 237 | 27 | -4 | 271 | 27 | -3 | 297 | 27 | -3 | 320 | 27 | -4 | 395 | 30 | -2 |
| VERMONT | 41 | 5 | -26 | 50 | 5 | -25 | 58 | 6 | -24 | 100 | 9 | -22 | 102 | 8 | -24 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,287 | 34 | 3 | 3,029 | 36 | 6 | 3,801 | 38 | 8 | 4,445 | 40 | 9 | 6,057 | 41 | 9 |
| WASHINGTON | 5,686 | 37 | 6 | 5,908 | 36 | 6 | 6,298 | 37 | 7 | 6,639 | 37 | 6 | 7,228 | 39 | 7 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 471 | 38 | 7 | 639 | 41 | 11 | 783 | 42 | 12 | 935 | 42 | 11 | 1,128 | 45 | 13 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,167 | 33 | 2 | 1,431 | 33 | 3 | 1,781 | 35 | 5 | 2,357 | 38 | 7 | 2,998 | 39 | 7 |
| WYOMING | 292 | 33 | 2 | 273 | 34 | 4 | 324 | 37 | 7 | 334 | 34 | 3 | 396 | 39 | 7 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -31 | 1 | 50 | 20 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 100 | 69 | 0 | 0 | -32 |
| GUAM | 9 | 20 | -11 | 13 | 24 | -6 | 23 | 41 | 11 | 17 | 26 | -5 | 26 | 37 | 5 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 14 | -17 |  | . |  | 2 | 17 | -13 | 2 | 11 | -20 | 0 | 0 | -32 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4 | 8 | -23 |  | . |  | 0 | 0 | -30 | 7 | 14 | -17 | 7 | 20 | -12 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 48 | 51 | 20 | . | . |  | 15 | 11 | -19 | 34 | 18 | -13 | 45 | 16 | -16 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 70,720 | 31 |  | 78,015 | 30 |  | 88,641 | 30 |  | 100,364 | 31 |  | 117,185 | 32 |  |

$\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf).
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

ORTHOPEDIC AND OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS > OUTSIDE REGULAR CLASS > 60\%


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 28 | 1.2 | -1.3 | 41 | 1.4 | -0.8 | 49 | 1.4 | -0.9 | 39 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 52 | 1.1 | -1.0 |
| ALASKA | 5 | 1.0 | -1.5 | 4 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 13 | 1.9 | -0.4 | 13 | 1.7 | -0.5 | 16 | 1.6 | -0.5 |
| ARIZONA | 54 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 52 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 63 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 46 | 1.8 | -0.4 | 13 | 0.5 | -1.6 |
| ARKANSAS | 29 | 0.9 | -1.6 | 61 | 1.6 | -0.6 | 75 | 1.7 | -0.6 | 78 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 58 | 1.0 | -1.1 |
| CALFORNIA | 1,491 | 6.4 | 3.9 | 1,469 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 1,435 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 1,617 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 1,715 | 5.7 | 3.6 |
| COLORADO | 36 | 1.0 | -1.5 | 40 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 59 | 1.3 | -1.0 | 57 | 1.2 | -1.0 | 43 | 0.8 | -1.3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 111 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 157 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 191 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 216 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 273 | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| DELAWARE | 55 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 25 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 37 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 21 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 75 | 6.7 | 4.6 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 | 40.1 | 37.8 | 1 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 126 | 50.6 | 48.5 |
| FLORIDA | 223 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 86 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 191 | 2.1 | -0.2 | 159 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 179 | 1.4 | -0.7 |
| GEORGIA | 4 | 0.1 | -2.4 | 8 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 10 | 0.1 | -2.2 | 14 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 19 | 0.1 | -2.0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 | 5 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 13 | 1.5 | -0.8 | 16 | 1.4 | -0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.1 |
| IDAHO | 9 | 1.1 | -1.4 | 7 | 0.8 | -1.4 | 11 | 1.0 | -1.3 | 19 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 13 | 1.0 | -1.1 |
| ILINOIS | 360 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 385 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 414 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 374 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 443 | 3.7 | 1.6 |
| INDIANA | 19 | 0.2 | -2.3 | 22 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 9 | 0.3 | -2.0 | 16 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 22 | 0.4 | -1.7 |
| IOWA | 8 | 0.7 | -1.8 | 5 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 3 | 0.3 | -2.0 | 5 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 7 | 0.9 | -1.2 |
| KANSAS | 22 | 0.7 | -1.8 | 33 | 0.8 | -1.4 | 55 | 1.2 | -1.1 | 56 | 1.2 | -1.0 | 103 | 1.8 | -0.3 |
| KENTUCKY | 6 | 0.2 | -2.3 | 10 | 0.3 | -1.9 | 21 | 0.4 | -1.9 | 23 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 52 | 0.7 | -1.4 |
| LOUISIANA | 37 | 0.6 | -1.9 | 36 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 29 | 0.4 | -1.9 | 42 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 23 | 0.3 | -1.8 |
| MAINE | 8 | 0.6 | -1.9 | 13 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 10 | 0.6 | -1.7 | 19 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 21 | 0.8 | -1.3 |
| MARYLAND | 249 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 230 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 247 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 238 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 286 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 135 | 6.2 | 3.7 | 144 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 148 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 152 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 173 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| MICHIGAN | 181 | 2.0 | -0.5 | 213 | 2.1 | -0.1 | 232 | 2.1 | -0.2 | 517 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 228 | 1.8 | -0.3 |
| MINNESOTA | 116 | 2.0 | -0.5 | 137 | 2.1 | -0.1 | 156 | 2.1 | -0.2 | 174 | 2.1 | -0.1 | 191 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 35 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 49 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 17 | 1.2 | -1.1 | 24 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 18 | 1.1 | -1.0 |
| MISSOURI | 65 | 1.8 | -0.7 | 53 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 80 | 1.3 | -1.0 | 161 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 184 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 | 9 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 11 | 1.2 | -1.1 | 15 | 1.4 | -0.8 | 9 | 0.7 | -1.4 |
| NEBRASKA | 40 | 2.0 | -0.5 | 55 | 2.0 | -0.2 | 27 | 0.8 | -1.5 | 25 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 44 | 1.6 | -0.5 |
| NEVADA | 7 | 0.8 | -1.7 | 19 | 1.8 | -0.4 | 18 | 1.4 | -0.9 | 19 | 1.3 | -0.9 | 18 | 1.1 | -1.0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 112 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 106 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 58 | 1.8 | -0.5 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 109 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
| NEW JERSEY | 131 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 108 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 153 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 250 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 421 | 5.8 | 3.7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 18 | 1.1 | -1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 83 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 12 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 19 | 0.8 | -1.3 |
| NEW YORK | 800 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 809 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 883 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1,017 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 918 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 60 | 0.7 | -1.8 | 76 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 80 | 0.7 | -1.6 | 81 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 101 | 0.6 | -1.5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 7 | 1.6 | -0.9 | 5 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 4 | 0.7 | -1.6 | 3 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 6 | 0.8 | -1.3 |
| $\mathrm{OHIO}$ | 61 | 1.1 | -1.4 | 60 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 76 | 1.2 | -1.1 | 65 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 137 | 1.6 | -0.5 |
| OKLAHOMA | 9 | 0.6 | -1.9 | 14 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 16 | 0.6 | -1.7 | 12 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 27 | 0.7 | -1.4 |
| OREGON | 92 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 106 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 163 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 179 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 193 | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 271 | 14.1 | 11.6 | 266 | 12.2 | 10.0 | 536 | 21.6 | 19.3 | 190 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 446 | 12.4 | 10.3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 114 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 135 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 124 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 127 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 135 | 6.6 | 4.5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 23 | 1.8 | -0.7 | 26 | 1.7 | -0.5 | 51 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 52 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 53 | 2.0 | -0.1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 21 | 0.9 | -1.6 | 24 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 21 | 0.7 | -1.6 | 24 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 25 | 0.6 | -1.5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0.9 | -1.6 | 2 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 3 | 0.7 | -1.6 | 5 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 10 | 2.0 | -0.1 |
| TENNESSEE | 172 | 1.8 | -0.7 | 173 | 1.7 | -0.5 | 140 | 1.4 | -0.9 | 113 | 1.2 | -1.0 | 103 | 1.0 | -1.1 |
| TEXAS | 285 | 0.9 | -1.6 | 230 | 0.7 | -1.5 | 260 | 0.7 | -1.6 | 237 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 234 | 0.6 | -1.5 |
| UTAH | 15 | 1.7 | -0.8 | 50 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 18 | 1.6 | -0.7 | 13 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 23 | 1.7 | -0.4 |
| VERMONT | 9 | 1.2 | -1.3 | 15 | 1.6 | -0.6 | 19 | 1.8 | -0.5 | 28 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 35 | 2.7 | 0.6 |
| VIRGINIA | 35 | 0.5 | -2.0 | 52 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 76 | 0.8 | -1.5 | 126 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 202 | 1.4 | -0.7 |
| WASHINGTON | 155 | 1.0 | -1.5 | 179 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 187 | 1.1 | -1.2 | 209 | 1.2 | -1.0 | 208 | 1.1 | -1.0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 | 3 | 0.2 | -2.0 | 3 | 0.2 | -2.1 | 1 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 2 | 0.1 | -2.0 |
| WISCONSIN | 13 | 0.4 | -2.1 | 18 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 24 | 0.5 | -1.8 | 31 | 0.5 | -1.7 | 62 | 0.8 | -1.3 |
| WYOMING | 4 | 0.5 | -2.0 | 3 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 9 | 1.0 | -1.3 | 39 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 19 | 1.9 | -0.2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.1 |
| GUAM | 1 | 2.2 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 |  | . |  | 0 | 0.0 | -2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 1 | 7.7 | 5.6 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | $-2.2$ | 0 | 0.0 | -2.1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0.0 | -2.5 | . | . |  | . | . |  | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 | 1 | 0.3 | -1.8 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 5,744 | 2.5 |  | 5,828 | 2.2 |  | 6,768 | 2.3 |  | 7,054 | 2.2 |  | 7,894 | 2.1 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div$ \#in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, D uring the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

ORTHOPEDIC AND OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS > PUBLIC/PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY


N umber, Percentage, and Difference From N ational Baseline of C hildren Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments U nder IDEA, Part B, During the 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 School Years

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 45 | 1.9 | -2.4 | 44 | 1.5 | -2.4 | 38 | 1.1 | -1.9 | 43 | 1.1 | -1.3 | 55 | 1.2 | -1.0 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 0.2 | -4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.0 | 5 | 0.6 | -1.8 | 3 | 0.3 | -1.9 |
| ARIZONA | 30 | 1.8 | -2.5 | 30 | 1.5 | -2.4 | 38 | 1.7 | -1.3 | 32 | 1.2 | -1.2 | 40 | 1.6 | -0.6 |
| ARKANSAS | 46 | 1.5 | -2.8 | 35 | 0.9 | -3.0 | 29 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 35 | 0.7 | -1.7 | 29 | 0.5 | -1.7 |
| CALIFORNIA | 484 | 2.1 | -2.2 | 468 | 1.9 | -2.0 | 509 | 1.9 | -1.1 | 455 | 1.6 | -0.8 | 458 | 1.5 | -0.7 |
| COLORADO | 29 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 40 | 1.0 | -2.9 | 44 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 39 | 0.8 | -1.6 | 43 | 0.8 | -1.4 |
| CONNECTICUT | 14 | 0.3 | -4.0 | 16 | 0.3 | -3.6 | 24 | 0.4 | -2.6 | 16 | 0.2 | -2.2 | 10 | 0.1 | -2.1 |
| DELAWARE | 69 | 12.0 | 7.7 | 52 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 52 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 38 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 47 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -3.0 | 2 | 1.1 | -1.3 | 1 | 0.4 | -1.8 |
| FLORIDA | 1,325 | 19.0 | 14.7 | 1,738 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 734 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 808 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 1,432 | 11.4 | 9.2 |
| GEORGIA | 26 | 0.4 | -3.9 | 30 | 0.4 | -3.5 | 50 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 40 | 0.3 | -2.1 | 44 | 0.3 | -1.9 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 1.2 | -3.1 | 23 | 3.1 | -0.8 | 11 | 1.3 | -1.7 | 16 | 1.4 | -1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 |
| IDAHO | 10 | 1.2 | -3.1 | 10 | 1.1 | -2.8 | 8 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 12 | 1.0 | -1.4 | 15 | 1.1 | -1.1 |
| ILINOIS | 875 | 13.1 | 8.8 | 303 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 263 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 199 | 2.0 | -0.4 | 192 | 1.6 | -0.6 |
| INDIANA | 20 | 0.3 | -4.0 | 36 | 1.2 | -2.7 | 28 | 0.8 | -2.2 | 39 | 1.0 | -1.4 | 44 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| IOWA | 13 | 1.1 | -3.2 | 18 | 1.7 | -2.2 | 19 | 2.0 | -1.0 | 20 | 2.3 | -0.1 | 20 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| KANSAS | 31 | 0.9 | -3.4 | 34 | 0.9 | -3.0 | 32 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 25 | 0.5 | -1.9 | 26 | 0.5 | -1.7 |
| KENTUCKY | 30 | 1.1 | -3.2 | 45 | 1.2 | -2.7 | 50 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 60 | 1.0 | -1.4 | 56 | 0.8 | -1.4 |
| LOUISIANA | 116 | 1.7 | -2.6 | 128 | 1.7 | -2.2 | 127 | 1.6 | -1.4 | 148 | 1.7 | -0.7 | 141 | 1.5 | -0.7 |
| MAINE | 11 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 24 | 1.6 | -2.3 | 24 | 1.4 | -1.6 | 21 | 1.0 | -1.4 | 30 | 1.1 | -1.1 |
| MARYLAND | 36 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 31 | 0.6 | -3.3 | 42 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 31 | 0.4 | -2.0 | 44 | 0.6 | -1.6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 601 | 27.4 | 23.1 | 535 | 24.6 | 20.7 | 528 | 23.9 | 20.9 | 386 | 18.8 | 16.4 | 354 | 17.5 | 15.3 |
| MICHIGAN | 69 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 83 | 0.8 | -3.1 | 91 | 0.8 | -2.2 | 52 | 0.4 | -2.0 | 64 | 0.5 | -1.7 |
| MINNESOTA | 34 | 0.6 | -3.7 | 42 | 0.6 | -3.3 | 39 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 33 | 0.4 | -2.0 | 37 | 0.4 | -1.8 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 118 | 9.2 | 4.9 | 135 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 117 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 101 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 84 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| MISSOURI | 34 | 0.9 | -3.4 | 54 | 1.1 | -2.8 | 58 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 74 | 1.1 | -1.3 | 76 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| MONTANA | 17 | 2.3 | -2.0 | 20 | 2.5 | -1.4 | 7 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 8 | 0.7 | -1.7 | 11 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| NEBRASKA | 77 | 3.9 | -0.4 | 527 | 19.1 | 15.2 | 96 | 2.7 | -0.3 | 63 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 70 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| NEVADA | 15 | 1.7 | -2.6 | 12 | 1.1 | -2.8 | 7 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 16 | 1.1 | -1.3 | 17 | 1.0 | -1.2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 12 | 0.5 | -3.8 | 8 | 0.3 | -3.6 | 8 | 0.3 | -2.7 | 12 | 0.3 | -2.1 | 9 | 0.2 | -2.0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 125 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 95 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 169 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 136 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 113 | 1.5 | -0.7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 34 | 2.1 | -2.2 | 30 | 1.7 | -2.2 | 54 | 2.7 | -0.3 | 72 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 68 | 2.9 | 0.7 |
| NEW YORK | 133 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 231 | 1.2 | -2.7 | 220 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 176 | 0.7 | -1.7 | 147 | 0.5 | -1.7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 93 | 1.1 | -3.2 | 100 | 1.0 | -2.9 | 8 | 0.1 | -2.9 | 130 | 0.9 | -1.5 | 139 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5 | 1.1 | -3.2 | 4 | 0.8 | -3.1 | 6 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 3 | 0.5 | -1.9 | 2 | 0.3 | -1.9 |
| OHIO | 1,378 | 25.6 | 21.3 | 1,329 | 22.9 | 19.0 | 1,246 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 1,103 | 14.7 | 12.3 | 837 | 9.5 | 7.3 |
| OKLAHOMA | 21 | 1.4 | -2.9 | 40 | 2.0 | -1.9 | 34 | 1.3 | -1.7 | 24 | 0.7 | -1.7 | 35 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| OREGON | 42 | 1.3 | -3.0 | 39 | 1.0 | -2.9 | 48 | 1.1 | -1.9 | 44 | 0.9 | -1.5 | 46 | 0.8 | -1.4 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 12 | 0.6 | -3.7 | 18 | 0.8 | -3.1 | 15 | 0.6 | -2.4 | 14 | 0.5 | -1.9 | 15 | 0.4 | -1.8 |
| PUERTO RICO | 128 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 112 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 96 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 101 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 113 | 5.6 | 3.4 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 110 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 108 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 125 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 133 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 118 | 4.4 | 2.2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 39 | 1.7 | -2.6 | 30 | 1.1 | -2.8 | 30 | 0.9 | -2.1 | 32 | 0.9 | -1.5 | 46 | 1.1 | -1.1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0.6 | -3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 4 | 1.0 | -2.0 | 3 | 0.6 | -1.8 | 1 | 0.2 | -2.0 |
| TENNESSEE | 998 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 971 | 9.6 | 5.7 | 928 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 654 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 647 | 6.5 | 4.3 |
| TEXAS | 2,364 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 2,213 | 6.8 | 2.9 | 2,427 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 2,183 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 2,098 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| UTAH | 32 | 3.7 | -0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 40 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 26 | 2.2 | -0.2 | 34 | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| VERMONT | 12 | 1.6 | -2.7 | 13 | 1.4 | -2.5 | 14 | 1.4 | -1.6 | 12 | 1.0 | -1.4 | 13 | 1.0 | -1.2 |
| VIRGINIA | 48 | 0.7 | -3.6 | 54 | 0.6 | -3.3 | 51 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 78 | 0.7 | -1.7 | 117 | 0.8 | -1.4 |
| WASHINGTON | 61 | 0.4 | -3.9 | 88 | 0.5 | -3.4 | 68 | 0.4 | -2.6 | 58 | 0.3 | -2.1 | 61 | 0.3 | -1.9 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 8 | 0.7 | -3.6 | 8 | 0.5 | -3.4 | 14 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 14 | 0.6 | -1.8 | 22 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| WISCONSIN | 45 | 1.3 | -3.0 | 44 | 1.0 | -2.9 | 46 | 0.9 | -2.1 | 57 | 0.9 | -1.5 | 72 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| WYOMING | 15 | 1.7 | -2.6 | 14 | 1.7 | -2.2 | 7 | 0.8 | -2.2 | 6 | 0.6 | -1.8 | 6 | 0.6 | -1.6 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.0 | -4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | -2.4 | 1 | 25.0 | 22.8 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.0 | -4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | -3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.0 | -4.3 | . | . |  | 1 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | -2.2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 9 | 17.0 | 12.7 |  |  |  | 3 | 7.1 | $4.1$ | 4 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 1 | 2.9 | 0.7 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 2.1 | -2.2 | . | . |  | 1 | 0.7 | -2.3 | 2 | 1.1 | -1.3 | 5 | 1.7 | -0.5 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 9,906 | 4.3 |  | 10,062 | 3.9 |  | 8,728 | 3.0 |  | 7,894 | 2.4 |  | 8,209 | 2.2 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in environment category $\div \#$ in all environment categories. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 3-9

N umber, Percentage (Based on 2001 Population Estimates), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services, D ecember 1, 2001


N umber (Excluding Children at R isk), Percentage (Based on 2001 Population Estimates), and Difference From National Baseline of Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services, December 1, 2001

| STATE | 0-1 | 1-2 | 2-3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BIRIH } \\ \text { THROUGH } 2 \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{array}$ | POPULATION BIRTH THROUGH 2 | PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION | DIF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,088 | 3,836 | 6,563 | 12,487 | 232,859 | 5.36 | 3.31 |
| NEW YORK | 2,313 | 7,854 | 20,250 | 30,417 | 737,850 | 4.12 | 2.07 |
| HAWAII | 378 | 519 | 793 | 1,690 | 50,015 | 3.38 | 1.33 |
| INDIANA | 1,501 | 2,808 | 4,336 | 8,645 | 256,569 | 3.37 | 1.32 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 177 | 309 | 602 | 1,088 | 36,398 | 2.99 | 0.94 |
| CONNECTICUT | 442 | 1,094 | 2,343 | 3,879 | 131,525 | 2.95 | 0.90 |
| WYOMING | 81 | 172 | 278 | 531 | 18,050 | 2.94 | 0.89 |
| DELAWARE | 176 | 305 | 422 | 903 | 30,959 | 2.92 | 0.87 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 154 | 358 | 643 | 1,155 | 43,027 | 2.68 | 0.63 |
| WISCONSIN | 680 | 1,492 | 3,040 | 5,212 | 202,876 | 2.57 | 0.52 |
| ARKANSAS | 413 | 962 | 1,399 | 2,774 | 110,230 | 2.52 | 0.47 |
| VERMONT | 60 | 140 | 271 | 471 | 18,740 | 2.51 | 0.46 |
| KENTUCKY | 458 | 1,314 | 2,038 | 3,810 | 155,455 | 2.45 | 0.40 |
| MAINE | 69 | 266 | 612 | 947 | 39,006 | 2.43 | 0.38 |
| KANSAS | 439 | 852 | 1,447 | 2,738 | 113,343 | 2.42 | 0.37 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,644 | 3,383 | 5,164 | 10,191 | 424,917 | 2.40 | 0.35 |
| FLORIDA | 2,874 | 4,455 | 7,113 | 14,442 | 607,141 | 2.38 | 0.33 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 236 | 469 | 662 | 1,367 | 58,472 | 2.34 | 0.29 |
| MARYLAND | 563 | 1,479 | 2,858 | 4,900 | 217,282 | 2.26 | 0.21 |
| ALASKA | 90 | 195 | 339 | 624 | 29,133 | 2.14 | 0.09 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 82 | 201 | 372 | 655 | 30,599 | 2.14 | 0.09 |
| COLORADO | 696 | 1,307 | 2,041 | 4,044 | 191,417 | 2.11 | 0.06 |
| IDAHO | 216 | 393 | 648 | 1,257 | 59,853 | 2.10 | 0.05 |
| TENNESSEE | 820 | 1,590 | 2,291 | 4,701 | 229,382 | 2.05 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 550 | 1,688 | 3,476 | 5,714 | 289,022 | 1.98 | -0.07 |
| NEW JERSEY | 679 | 1,952 | 3,781 | 6,412 | 336,792 | 1.90 | -0.15 |
| MONTANA | 164 | 220 | 216 | 600 | 31,555 | 1.90 | -0.15 |
| ILINOIS | 998 | 3,365 | 5,658 | 10,021 | 532,477 | 1.88 | -0.17 |
| UTAH | 433 | 820 | 1,241 | 2,494 | 133,465 | 1.87 | -0.18 |
| OKLAHOMA | 577 | 899 | 1,151 | 2,627 | 143,132 | 1.84 | -0.21 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,226 | 2,346 | 3,522 | 7,094 | 395,321 | 1.79 | -0.26 |
| TEXAS | 2,767 | 5,918 | 9,486 | 18,171 | 1,029,126 | 1.77 | -0.28 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 63 | 142 | 166 | 371 | 21,946 | 1.69 | -0.36 |
| OHIO | 1,103 | 2,708 | 3,801 | 7,612 | 454,768 | 1.67 | -0.38 |
| CALFORNIA | 4,967 | 8,407 | 11,051 | 24,425 | 1,516,221 | 1.61 | -0.44 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 336 | 660 | 1,034 | 2,030 | 126,228 | 1.61 | -0.44 |
| MINNESOTA | 388 | 871 | 1,793 | 3,052 | 191,935 | 1.59 | -0.46 |
| IOWA | 241 | 508 | 888 | 1,637 | 109,366 | 1.50 | -0.55 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 533 | 1,753 | 2,646 | 4,932 | 348,519 | 1.42 | -0.63 |
| OREGON | 217 | 602 | 1,068 | 1,887 | 134,696 | 1.40 | -0.65 |
| NEW MEXICO | 114 | 342 | 650 | 1,106 | 79,113 | 1.40 | -0.65 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 19 | 101 | 159 | 279 | 20,458 | 1.36 | -0.69 |
| NEBRASKA | 117 | 296 | 540 | 953 | 70,763 | 1.35 | -0.70 |
| WASHINGTON | 340 | 1,038 | 1,741 | 3,119 | 235,689 | 1.32 | -0.73 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 289 | 695 | 1,109 | 2,093 | 161,394 | 1.30 | -0.75 |
| MISSOURI | 309 | 873 | 1,643 | 2,825 | 220,024 | 1.28 | -0.77 |
| LOUISIANA | 319 | 750 | 1,242 | 2,311 | 195,660 | 1.18 | -0.87 |
| ARIZONA | 417 | 1,035 | 1,472 | 2,924 | 248,693 | 1.18 | -0.87 |
| ALABAMA | 239 | 740 | 1,107 | 2,086 | 179,784 | 1.16 | -0.89 |
| NEVADA | 116 | 306 | 473 | 895 | 94,239 | 0.95 | -1.10 |
| GEORGIA | $485$ | $1,151$ | 1,876 | 3,512 | 385,925 | 0.91 | -1.14 |
| PUERTO RICO | 222 | 855 | 1,906 | 2,983 |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 2 | 12 | 36 | 50 |  | . |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 7 |  | 26 | $48$ |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 65 | 69 | 73 | 207 | . | . |  |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 34,952 | 76,890 | 131,556 | 243,398 | 11,711,409 | 2.05 |  |
| PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION = BIRTH THROUGH 2 TOTAL $\div$ POPULATION BIRTH THROUGH 2. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> 971 children were added to Virginia's count of 2 year olds to adjust for children under the age of 3 who were served under IDEA, Part B. <br> Population estimates are from the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. <br> Estimates are for July 1, 2001 released October 2003. <br> Because criteria for Part C eligibility vary widely across states, differences in identification rates on this table should be interpreted with caution. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported <br> (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/cdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2001 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N umber (Excluding C hildren at R isk), Percentage (Based on 2001 Population Estimates), and Difference From National Baseline of Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services, December 1, 2001
GROUPED BY ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA


N umber (Excluding Children at R isk), Percentage Based on Population Estimates, and D ifference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers R eceiving Early Intervention Services, During 1997 T hrough 2001

| STATE | 1996-1997 |  |  | 1997-1998 |  |  | 1998-1999 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  | 2000-2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1,607 | 0.9 | -0.78 | 1,726 | 1.0 | -0.49 | 1,825 | 1.0 | $-0.59$ | 1,996 | 1.1 | -0.69 | 2,086 | 1.2 | -0.89 |
| ALASKA | 466 | 1.6 | -0.10 | 499 | 1.7 | 0.24 | 585 | 2.0 | 0.36 | 651 | 2.3 | 0.48 | 624 | 2.1 | 0.09 |
| ARIZONA | 1,575 | 0.7 | -1.00 | 2,281 | 1.0 | -0.44 | 2,520 | 1.1 | -0.54 | 2,941 | 1.2 | -0.59 | 2,924 | 1.2 | -0.87 |
| ARKANSAS | 2,348 | 2.2 | 0.51 | 2,011 | 1.9 | 0.44 | 2,020 | 1.9 | 0.26 | 2,337 | 2.1 | 0.33 | 2,774 | 2.5 | 0.47 |
| CALFORNIA | 16,696 | 1.1 | -0.63 | 5,684 | 0.4 | -1.09 | 5,784 | 0.4 | -1.24 | 5,637 | 0.4 | -1.44 | 24,425 | 1.6 | -0.44 |
| COLORADO | 2,794 | 1.7 | 0.00 | 3,194 | 1.9 | 0.44 | 2,998 | 1.7 | 0.10 | 4,151 | 2.2 | 0.43 | 4,044 | 2.1 | 0.06 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,865 | 2.2 | 0.53 | 3,427 | 2.7 | 1.27 | 3,354 | 2.6 | 0.97 | 3,794 | 2.8 | 1.03 | 3,879 | 2.9 | 0.90 |
| DELAWARE | 847 | 2.9 | 1.19 | 812 | 2.8 | 1.28 | 933 | 3.1 | 1.45 | 1,003 | 3.3 | 1.48 | 903 | 2.9 | 0.87 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 316 | 1.6 | -0.06 | 249 | 1.4 | -0.07 | 212 | 1.3 | -0.34 | 206 | 1.1 | -0.72 | 279 | 1.4 | -0.69 |
| FLORIDA | 11,265 | 2.0 | 0.31 | 11,783 | 2.1 | 0.61 | 11,546 | 2.0 | 0.39 | 14,247 | 2.4 | 0.62 | 14,442 | 2.4 | 0.33 |
| GEORGIA | 3,372 | 1.0 | -0.69 | 3,590 | 1.0 | -0.42 | 3,731 | 1.1 | -0.57 | 3,427 | 0.9 | -0.88 | 3,512 | 0.9 | -1.14 |
| HAWAII | 3,135 | 6.0 | 4.31 | 1,139 | 2.3 | 0.84 | 1,464 | 3.0 | 1.41 | 1,630 | 3.5 | 1.69 | 1,690 | 3.4 | 1.33 |
| IDAHO | 903 | 1.6 | -0.05 | 1,056 | 1.9 | 0.46 | 1,204 | 2.2 | 0.53 | 1,274 | 2.1 | 0.32 | 1,257 | 2.1 | 0.05 |
| ILINOIS | 7,758 | 1.4 | -0.25 | 5,355 | 1.0 | -0.45 | 8,104 | 1.6 | -0.08 | 11,506 | 2.2 | 0.39 | 10,021 | 1.9 | -0.17 |
| INDIANA | 4,785 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 4,864 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 6,741 | 2.7 | 1.09 | 7,707 | 3.0 | 1.19 | 8,645 | 3.4 | 1.32 |
| IOWA | 1,032 | 0.9 | -0.76 | 964 | 0.9 | -0.58 | 1,114 | 1.0 | -0.61 | 1,420 | 1.3 | -0.53 | 1,637 | 1.5 | -0.55 |
| KANSAS | 1,649 | 1.5 | -0.16 | 1,884 | 1.7 | 0.26 | 2,187 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 2,485 | 2.2 | 0.39 | 2,738 | 2.4 | 0.37 |
| KENTUCKY | 2,715 | 1.8 | 0.07 | 3,373 | 2.2 | 0.68 | 2,885 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 3,510 | 2.3 | 0.46 | 3,810 | 2.5 | 0.40 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,763 | 0.9 | -0.75 | 1,712 | 0.9 | -0.56 | 1,965 | 1.0 | -0.60 | 2,167 | 1.1 | -0.68 | 2,311 | 1.2 | -0.87 |
| MAINE | 648 | 1.6 | -0.10 | 761 | 1.9 | 0.45 | 748 | 1.9 | 0.24 | 842 | 2.1 | 0.29 | 947 | 2.4 | 0.38 |
| MARYLAND | 3,837 | 1.9 | 0.17 | 4,118 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 4,285 | 2.1 | 0.44 | 4,815 | 2.3 | 0.46 | 4,900 | 2.3 | 0.21 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 9,645 | 4.1 | 2.39 | 9,803 | 4.2 | 2.74 | 10,516 | 4.5 | 2.85 | 11,691 | 5.0 | 3.22 | 12,487 | 5.4 | 3.31 |
| MICHIGAN | 5,597 | 1.5 | -0.25 | 5,918 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 6,845 | 1.8 | 0.13 | 7,267 | 1.8 | 0.01 | 7,094 | 1.8 | -0.26 |
| MINNESOTA | 2,806 | 1.5 | -0.20 | 2,757 | 1.5 | -0.01 | 2,852 | 1.5 | -0.14 | 2,948 | 1.5 | -0.31 | 3,052 | 1.6 | -0.46 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2,268 | 1.9 | 0.19 | 794 | 0.7 | -0.81 | 2,272 | 1.9 | 0.23 | 2,450 | 2.0 | 0.19 | 2,030 | 1.6 | -0.44 |
| MISSOURI | 2,167 | 1.0 | -0.70 | 2,503 | 1.2 | -0.31 | 2,666 | 1.2 | -0.40 | 3,039 | 1.4 | -0.43 | 2,825 | 1.3 | -0.77 |
| MONTANA | 531 | 1.7 | -0.04 | 580 | 1.9 | 0.38 | 628 | 2.0 | 0.37 | 574 | 1.8 | -0.02 | 600 | 1.9 | -0.15 |
| NEBRASKA | 885 | 1.3 | -0.41 | 828 | 1.2 | -0.26 | 952 | 1.4 | -0.24 | 1,185 | 1.7 | -0.12 | 953 | 1.3 | -0.70 |
| NEVADA | 944 | 1.2 | -0.49 | 1,066 | 1.3 | -0.16 | 1,040 | 1.2 | -0.42 | 947 | 1.0 | -0.79 | 895 | 0.9 | -1.10 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 810 | 1.9 | 0.18 | 870 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 959 | 2.2 | 0.57 | 1,196 | 2.7 | 0.90 | 1,155 | 2.7 | 0.63 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4,012 | 1.2 | -0.47 | 4,396 | 1.4 | -0.11 | 4,743 | 1.5 | -0.16 | 5,470 | 1.6 | -0.19 | 6,412 | 1.9 | -0.15 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,927 | 2.4 | 0.73 | 1,156 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 888 | 1.1 | -0.51 | 1,052 | 1.4 | -0.47 | 1,106 | 1.4 | -0.65 |
| NEW YORK | 17,950 | 2.3 | 0.60 | 20,592 | 2.8 | 1.32 | 23,499 | 3.3 | 1.63 | 26,934 | 3.7 | 1.89 | 30,417 | 4.1 | 2.07 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 4,952 | 1.6 | -0.09 | 3,652 | 1.2 | -0.31 | 3,791 | 1.2 | -0.46 | 3,731 | 1.1 | -0.70 | 4,932 | 1.4 | -0.63 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 326 | 1.3 | -0.36 | 298 | 1.2 | -0.23 | 328 | 1.4 | -0.22 | 363 | 1.6 | -0.19 | 371 | 1.7 | -0.36 |
| OHIO | 22,917 | 5.2 | 3.46 | 5,161 | 1.2 | -0.30 | 7,115 | 1.6 | -0.02 | 7,973 | 1.8 | -0.04 | 7,612 | 1.7 | -0.38 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,929 | 1.4 | -0.27 | 2,103 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 2,218 | 1.6 | -0.07 | 2,465 | 1.7 | -0.08 | 2,627 | 1.8 | -0.21 |
| OREGON | 1,805 | 1.4 | -0.29 | 1,625 | 1.3 | -0.22 | 1,785 | 1.4 | -0.27 | 1,833 | 1.4 | -0.46 | 1,887 | 1.4 | -0.65 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6,944 | 1.6 | -0.09 | 7,385 | 1.8 | 0.28 | 8,189 | 1.9 | 0.32 | 9,400 | 2.2 | 0.39 | 10,191 | 2.4 | 0.35 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3,485 | 1.8 | 0.13 | 2,592 | 1.4 | -0.11 | 2,976 | 1.6 | -0.02 | 3,230 |  |  | 2,983 |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 853 | 2.3 | 0.64 | 987 | 2.7 | 1.22 | 1,019 | 2.8 | 1.14 | 951 | 2.6 | 0.74 | 1,088 | 3.0 | 0.94 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2,020 | 1.3 | -0.35 | 2,194 | 1.4 | -0.02 | 2,404 | 1.6 | -0.06 | 2,289 | 1.5 | -0.35 | 2,093 | 1.3 | -0.75 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 482 | 1.6 | -0.07 | 595 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 611 | 2.1 | 0.43 | 645 | 2.1 | 0.31 | 655 | 2.1 | 0.09 |
| TENNESSEE | 3,334 | 1.5 | -0.15 | 3,367 | 1.6 | 0.09 | 3,757 | 1.7 | 0.06 | 4,250 | 1.9 | 0.07 | 4,701 | 2.0 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 11,861 | 1.2 | -0.47 | 12,877 | 1.3 | -0.15 | 14,361 | 1.4 | -0.18 | 16,132 | 1.6 | -0.20 | 18,171 | 1.8 | -0.28 |
| UTAH | 1,934 | 1.6 | -0.09 | 1,828 | 1.5 | -0.01 | 2,013 | 1.6 | -0.07 | 2,263 | 1.7 | -0.08 | 2,494 | 1.9 | -0.18 |
| VERMONT | 324 | 1.6 | -0.08 | 381 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 409 | 2.2 | 0.53 | 438 | 2.3 | 0.45 | 471 | 2.5 | 0.46 |
| VIRGINIA | 3,246 | 1.2 | -0.49 | 3,538 | 1.3 | -0.14 | 3,943 | 1.5 | -0.17 | 4,081 | 1.5 | -0.36 | 5,714 | 2.0 | -0.07 |
| WASHINGTON | 2,284 | 1.0 | -0.70 | 2,443 | 1.1 | -0.41 | 2,781 | 1.2 | -0.44 | 2,900 | 1.2 | -0.59 | 3,119 | 1.3 | -0.73 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,875 | 3.1 | 1.38 | 1,625 | 2.8 | 1.37 | 703 | 1.2 | -0.44 | 1,254 | 2.1 | 0.26 | 1,367 | 2.3 | 0.29 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,887 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 3,953 | 2.0 | 0.54 | 4,629 | 2.4 | 0.73 | 5,157 | 2.5 | 0.72 | 5,212 | 2.6 | 0.52 |
| WYOMING | 431 | 2.4 | 0.65 | 396 | 2.2 | 0.69 | 401 | 2.2 | 0.59 | 457 | 2.5 | 0.69 | 531 | 2.9 | 0.89 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 48 | 0.9 | -0.77 | 43 | 0.9 | -0.62 | -39-0.8 | $-2.40$ | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 231 | 1.9 | 0.24 | 221 | 1.9 | 0.46 | 229 | 1.8 | 0.21 | 226 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 37 | 1.0 | -0.73 | 36 | 0.9 | -0.54 | 40 | 1.0 | -0.63 | 42 |  |  | 48 |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 67 | 1.1 | -0.61 | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 2,107 \end{array}$ | 1.6 | 0.10 | 101 | 1.6 | 0.01 | 87 |  |  | 207 |  |  |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 197,190 | 1.7 |  | 171,243 | 1.5 |  | 187,829 | 1.6 |  | 212,733 | 1.8 |  | 243,398 | 2.1 |  |
| PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION = BIRTH THROUGH 2 TOTAL $\div$ POPULATION BIRTH THROUGH 2. <br> DIF = Difference from National Baseline. <br> For Virginia, 853 children were added for 1997, 887 children were added for 1998, 933 children were added for 1999, 971 children were added for 2000, and 971 children were added for 2001 to adjust for children under the age of 3 who were served under IDEA, Part B. <br> Population estimates are from the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. <br> Estimates are for July 1, 2001 released October 2003. <br> Because criteria for Part C eligibility vary widely across states, differences in identification rates on this table should be interpreted with caution. <br> Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/cdatanotes2001.pdf). <br> Data based on the December 1, 2001 count, updated as of August 30, 2002. <br> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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HOME
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N umber, Percentage (Based on the Total for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough A ge 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D ecember 1, 2000

HOSPITAL (INPATIENT)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| STATE |  |  |  |
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N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough A ge 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D ecember 1, 2000

## SERVICE PROVIDER LOCATION

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| STATE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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N umber, Percentage (Based on the Total for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, December 1, 2000

## TYPICALLY DEVELOPING PROGRAMS



N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, December 1, 2000

RESIDENTIAL FACILITY PROGRAMS


## Table 3-13 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$

N umber, Percentage (Based on the Total for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D ecember 1, 2000

## OTHER SETTINGS


$\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ total \#in all setting categories.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/cdatanotes2001.pdf)
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)
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N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, December 1, 2000

SETTINGS TYPICAL FOR CHILDREN WITHOUT DISABIபTIES


## Table 3-14

N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, During 1997T hrough 2000

PROGRAMS FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY

|  | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 758 | 48 | 27 | 812 | 47 | 29 | 594 | 32 | 18 | 295 | 15 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 18 | 5 | -16 | 38 | 8 | -10 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 2 | 0 | -11 |
| ARIZONA | 497 | 28 | 7 |  |  |  | 95 | 4 | -10 | 61 | 2 | -9 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,235 | 53 | 32 | 1,166 | 58 | 40 | 1,151 | 57 | 43 | 971 | 42 | 31 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -18 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| COLORADO | 532 | 23 | 2 | 481 | 20 | 2 | 193 | 10 | -4 | 175 | 8 | -3 |
| CONNECTICUT |  |  |  | 62 | 2 | -16 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| DELAWARE | 150 | 18 | -3 | 119 | 15 | -3 | 90 | 10 | -4 | 39 | 4 | -7 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 264 | 84 | 63 | 191 | 77 | 59 | 97 | 46 | 32 | 105 | 51 | 40 |
| FLORIDA | 1,250 | 11 | -10 | 1,272 | 11 | -7 | 1,081 | 9 | -5 | 1,958 | 14 | 3 |
| GEORGIA | 402 | 12 | -9 | 7 | 4 | -14 | 100 | 3 | -11 | 62 | 1 | -10 |
| HAWAII | 495 | 16 | -5 | 709 | 23 | 5 | 631 | 20 | 6 | 560 | 16 | 5 |
| IDAHO | 280 | 31 | 10 | 279 | 26 | 8 | 263 | 22 | 8 | 178 | 14 | 3 |
| ILUNOIS | 3,741 | 48 | 27 | 2,289 | 48 | 30 | 2,526 | 33 | 19 | 2,038 | 19 | 8 |
| INDIANA | 1,105 | 23 | 2 | 970 | 18 | 0 | 291 | 4 | -10 | 400 | 5 | -6 |
| IOWA | 145 | 13 | -8 | 92 | 10 | -8 | 134 | 12 | -2 | 75 | 6 | -5 |
| KANSAS | 307 | 19 | -2 | 236 | 13 | -5 | 224 | 10 | -4 | 162 | 7 | -4 |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 249 | 8 | -6 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| LOUISIANA | 118 | 7 | -14 | 137 | 8 | -10 | 132 | 7 | -7 | 84 | 4 | -7 |
| MAINE | 19 | 3 | -18 | 23 | 3 | -15 | 21 | 3 | -11 | 29 | 3 | -8 |
| MARYLAND | 1,240 | 32 | 11 | 1,392 | 34 | 16 | 1,403 | 33 | 19 | 1,243 | 26 | 15 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,311 | 23 | 2 | 1,237 | 21 | 3 | 1,266 | 18 | 4 | 1,116 | 15 | 4 |
| MINNESOTA | 622 | 22 | 1 | 547 | 20 | 2 | 436 | 15 | 1 | 483 | 16 | 5 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | -21 | 0 | 0 | -18 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| MISSOURI | 516 | 24 | 3 | 594 | 24 | 6 | 194 | 7 | -7 | 201 | 7 | -4 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 1 | -20 | 8 | 1 | -17 | 4 | 1 | -13 | 2 | 0 | -11 |
| NEBRASKA | 180 | 22 | 1 | 150 | 20 | 2 | 127 | 19 | 5 | 104 | 9 | -2 |
| NEVADA | 664 | 70 | 49 | 239 | 22 | 4 | 187 | 18 | 4 | 137 | 14 | 3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 40 | 5 | -16 | 30 | 3 | -15 | 1 | 0 | -14 |  |  |  |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,441 | 36 | 15 | 580 | 13 | -5 | 132 | 3 | -11 | 76 | 1 | -10 |
| NEW MEXICO | 442 | 26 | 5 | 326 | 28 | 10 | 383 | 27 | 13 | 476 | 27 | 16 |
| NEW YORK | 5,182 | 29 | 8 | 5,378 | 26 | 8 | 4,770 | 20 | 6 | 3,634 | 13 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 420 | 8 | -13 |  |  |  | 190 | 4 | -10 | 215 | 5 | -6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | -21 | 7 | 2 | -16 | 11 | 3 | -11 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| OHIO | 877 | 31 | 10 | 1,348 | 36 | 18 | 2,654 | 41 | 27 | 2,744 | 38 | 27 |
| OKLAHOMA | 33 | 2 | -19 | 17 | 1 | -17 | 6 | 0 | -14 | 10 | 0 | -11 |
| OREGON | 459 | 32 | 11 | 650 | 40 | 22 | 698 | 40 | 26 | 644 | 35 | 24 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,278 | 18 | -3 | 352 | 4 | -14 | 352 | 4 | -10 | 218 | 2 | -9 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  | 2,065 | 80 | 62 | 2,368 | 80 | 66 | 1,860 | 58 | 47 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 230 | 27 | 6 | 224 | 23 | 5 | 202 | 20 | 6 | 53 | 6 | -5 |
| SOUTH CAROLNA | 57 | 3 | -18 | 45 | 2 | -16 | 39 | 2 | -12 | 55 | 2 | -9 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 160 | 33 | 12 | 104 | 17 | -1 | 23 | 4 | -10 | 11 | 2 | -9 |
| TENNESSEE | 808 | 24 | 3 | 722 | 21 | 3 | 516 | 14 | 0 | 373 | 9 | -2 |
| TEXAS | 108 | 1 | -20 | 61 | 0 | -18 | 53 | 0 | -14 | 41 | 0 | -11 |
| UTAH | 744 | 38 | 17 | 553 | 30 | 12 | 312 | 15 | 1 | 399 | 18 | 7 |
| VERMONT |  |  |  | 81 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 12 | 3 | -8 |
| VIRGINIA | 450 | 19 | -2 | 603 | 23 | 5 | 662 | 22 | 8 | 428 | 14 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,272 | 52 | 31 | 1,317 | 55 | 37 | 1,463 | 54 | 40 | 1,211 | 42 | 31 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 592 | 32 | 11 | 274 | 16 | -2 | 3 | 0 | -14 | 48 | 3 | -8 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,698 | 44 | 23 | 1,367 | 35 | 17 | 1,066 | 23 | 9 | 628 | 12 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 114 | 28 | 7 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 37 | 8 | -6 | 33 | 6 | -5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 42 | 88 | 67 | 26 | 5 | -13 | 36 | 100 | 86 | 29 | 100 | 89 |
| GUAM | 64 | 28 | 7 | 73 | 32 | 14 | 75 | 31 | 17 | 20 | 9 | -2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 21 | 49 | 28 | 4 | 11 | -7 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 8 | 12 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -18 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 32,392 | 21 |  | 29,331 | 18 |  | 27,547 | 14 |  | 23,703 | 11 |  |

$\%=$ \#in setting category $\div$ total \#in all setting categories.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
The category Family Child Care was eliminated in 1998. It contributes to the denominator in 1997.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/cdatanotes2001.pdf),
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table 3-14 continued
N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth Through Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D uring 1997T hrough 2000
HOME

| STATE | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | IF |
| ALABAMA | 489 | 31 | -28 | 523 | 30 | -33 | 850 | 46 | -22 | 1,366 | 68 | -4 |
| ALASKA | 332 | 91 | 32 | 445 | 89 | 26 | 531 | 91 | 23 | 596 | 92 | 20 |
| ARIZONA | 1,140 | 65 | 6 |  |  |  | 1,606 | 64 | -4 | 2,086 | 71 | -1 |
| ARKANSAS | 850 | 36 | -23 | 669 | 33 | -30 | 556 | 28 | -40 | 604 | 26 | -46 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 4,581 | 68 | 5 | 5,021 | 57 | -11 | 5,709 | 58 | -14 |
| COLORADO | 1,174 | 50 | -9 | 1,233 | 50 | -13 | 1,136 | 61 | -7 | 1,299 | 63 | -9 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,177 | 76 | 17 | 2,824 | 82 | 19 | 3,056 | 91 | 23 | 3,660 | 96 | 24 |
| DELAWARE | 454 | 54 | -5 | 248 | 31 | -32 | 315 | 33 | -35 | 322 | 32 | -40 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 25 | 8 | -51 | 28 | 11 | -52 | 30 | 14 | -54 | 34 | 17 | -55 |
| FLORIDA | 2,880 | 26 | -33 | 3,432 | 29 | -34 | 4,671 | 40 | -28 | 3,484 | 24 | -48 |
| GEORGIA | 1,313 | 39 | -20 | 82 | 52 | -11 | 2,594 | 71 | 3 | 3,030 | 65 | -7 |
| HAWAII | 2,302 | 73 | 14 | 2,045 | 66 | 3 | 2,114 | 69 | 1 | 2,721 | 76 | 4 |
| IDAHO | 550 | 61 | 2 | 668 | 63 | 0 | 841 | 70 | 2 | 951 | 75 | 3 |
| ILINOIS | 3,467 | 45 | -14 | 1,555 | 32 | -31 | 3,536 | 46 | -22 | 7,084 | 65 | -7 |
| INDIANA | 2,128 | 44 | -15 | 3,253 | 59 | -4 | 5,574 | 78 | 10 | 6,934 | 84 | 12 |
| IOWA | 748 | 68 | 9 | 771 | 80 | 17 | 928 | 83 | 15 | 1,016 | 85 | 13 |
| KANSAS | 1,078 | 65 | 6 | 1,429 | 76 | 13 | 1,698 | 78 | 10 | 2,042 | 82 | 10 |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  | 3,272 | 46 | -17 | 2,514 | 78 | 10 | 2,766 | 92 | 20 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,173 | 67 | 8 | 1,161 | 68 | 5 | 1,462 | 74 | 6 | 1,909 | 88 | 16 |
| MAINE | 207 | 35 | -24 | 168 | 22 | -41 | 215 | 29 | -39 | 253 | 30 | -42 |
| MARYLAND | 2,344 | 61 | 2 | 2,468 | 60 | -3 | 2,635 | 61 | -7 | 3,331 | 69 | -3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 9,645 | 100 | 41 | 9,803 | 100 | 37 | 10,998 | 100 | 32 | 12,145 | 100 | 28 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,772 | 67 | 8 | 4,212 | 71 | 8 | 4,941 | 72 | 4 | 5,564 | 77 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,903 | 68 | 9 | 2,085 | 77 | 14 | 2,263 | 79 | 11 | 2,284 | 77 | 5 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1,816 | 49 | -10 | 1,155 | 51 | -12 | 1,155 | 51 | -17 | 1,128 | 51 | -21 |
| MISSOURI | 1,021 | 47 | -12 | 1,250 | 50 | -13 | 1,895 | 71 | 3 | 2,396 | 79 | 7 |
| MONTANA | 490 | 92 | 33 | 525 | 91 | 28 | 599 | 95 | 27 | 520 | 91 | 19 |
| NEBRASKA | 612 | 75 | 16 | 578 | 78 | 15 | 515 | 76 | 8 | 803 | 68 | -4 |
| NEVADA | 256 | 27 | -32 | 312 | 29 | -34 | 406 | 38 | -30 | 426 | 44 | -28 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 798 | 94 | 35 | 873 | 89 | 26 | 921 | 94 | 26 | 1,137 | 94 | 22 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,871 | 47 | -12 | 3,326 | 76 | 13 | 4,261 | 90 | 22 | 5,011 | 92 | 20 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,149 | 69 | 10 | 726 | 62 | -1 | 844 | 60 | -8 | 1,137 | 65 | -7 |
| NEW YORK | 11,848 | 66 | 7 | 14,402 | 70 | 7 | 17,261 | 73 | 5 | 20,362 | 76 | 4 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,439 | 69 | 10 |  |  |  | 3,354 | 77 | 9 | 3,607 | 84 | 12 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 317 | 97 | 38 | 278 | 91 | 28 | 294 | 90 | 22 | 334 | 92 | 20 |
| OHIO | 1,649 | 59 | 0 | 2,028 | 54 | -9 | 3,431 | 53 | -15 | 3,964 | 55 | -17 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,697 | 88 | 29 | 1,920 | 91 | 28 | 2,098 | 95 | 27 | 2,296 | 93 | 21 |
| OREGON | 759 | 53 | -6 | 850 | 52 | -11 | 942 | 53 | -15 | 994 | 54 | -18 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4,678 | 67 | 8 | 6,457 | 79 | 16 | 6,457 | 79 | 11 | 8,558 | 91 | 19 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  | 37 | 1 | -62 | 387 | 13 | -55 | 1,116 | 35 | -37 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 350 | 41 | -18 | 495 | 52 | -11 | 538 | 53 | -15 | 644 | 68 | -4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,275 | 63 | 4 | 1,323 | 60 | -3 | 1,607 | 67 | -1 | 1,519 | 66 | -6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 222 | 46 | -13 | 338 | 57 | -6 | 475 | 78 | 10 | 496 | 77 | 5 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,350 | 40 | -19 | 1,568 | 47 | -16 | 1,911 | 51 | -17 | 2,561 | 60 | -12 |
| TEXAS | 10,044 | 85 | 26 | 11,395 | 88 | 25 | 12,738 | 89 | 21 | 14,316 | 89 | 17 |
| UTAH | 1,168 | 60 | 1 | 1,151 | 63 | 0 | 1,578 | 78 | 10 | 1,727 | 76 | 4 |
| VERMONT | 238 | 73 | 14 | 286 | 75 | 12 | 333 | 81 | 13 | 322 | 74 | 2 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,171 | 49 | -10 | 993 | 39 | -24 | 1,789 | 59 | -9 | 2,263 | 73 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 728 | 30 | -29 | 673 | 28 | -35 | 752 | 28 | -40 | 1,063 | 37 | -35 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,252 | 67 | 8 | 1,213 | 71 | 8 | 1,206 | 94 | 26 | 1,463 | 96 | 24 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,711 | 44 | -15 | 2,163 | 55 | -8 | 3,095 | 67 | -1 | 4,016 | 78 | 6 |
| WYOMING | 231 | 57 | -2 | 277 | 69 | 6 | 355 | 78 | 10 | 409 | 80 | 8 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 | 13 | -46 | 442 | 84 | 21 | 0 | 0 | -68 | 0 | 0 | -72 |
| GUAM | 158 | 68 | 9 | 151 | 65 | 2 | 150 | 62 | -6 | 206 | 88 | 16 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 22 | 51 | -8 | 27 | 75 | 12 | 27 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 76 | 4 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 34 | 51 | -8 | 32 | 35 | -28 | 64 | 63 | -5 | 41 | 47 | -25 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 92,511 | 59 |  | 104,199 | 63 |  | 131,523 | 68 |  | 156,057 | 72 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ to DIF = Difference from Nation The category Family Child Car Please see Data Notes for an Data based on the December U.S. Department of Education | g categori <br> in 1998. <br> dividual sta <br> odated as <br> Educatio | contrib <br> diffe <br> Augu <br> Progra | es to ces on 3, 200 , Data | denominato ow data are <br> nalysis Syste | in 199 eporte <br> (DANS | http:// | ww.IDEAdata | rg/do | datan | es2001.pdf). |  |  |

N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D uring 1997 T hrough 2000
HOSPITAL (INPATIENT)


Table 3-14 ${ }_{\text {continued }}$
N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D uring 1997 T hrough 2000
SERVICE PROVIDER LOCATION

| STATE | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 319 | 20 | 8 | 326 | 19 | 6 | 260 | 14 | 5 | 113 | 6 | -4 |
| ALASKA | 6 | 2 | -10 | 2 | 0 | -13 | 19 | 3 | -6 | 21 | 3 | -7 |
| ARIZONA | 84 | 5 | -7 |  |  |  | 752 | 30 | 21 | 766 | 26 | 16 |
| ARKANSAS | 152 | 6 | -6 | 63 | 3 | -10 | 146 | 7 | -2 | 13 | 1 | -9 |
| CALFORNIA |  |  |  | 2,113 | 32 | 19 | 3,832 | 43 | 34 | 4,103 | 42 | 32 |
| COLORADO | 331 | 14 | 2 | 378 | 15 | 2 | 162 | 9 | 0 | 270 | 13 | 3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 183 | 6 | -6 | 95 | 3 | -10 | 40 | 1 | -8 | 5 | 0 | -10 |
| DELAWARE | 28 | 3 | -9 | 107 | 13 | 0 | 106 | 11 | 2 | 141 | 14 | 4 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 26 | 8 | -4 | 18 | 7 | -6 | 49 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 4 | -6 |
| FLORIDA | 6,390 | 57 | 45 | 6,896 | 58 | 45 | 2,983 | 26 | 17 | 7,267 | 51 | 41 |
| GEORGIA | 1,217 | 36 | 24 | 66 | 42 | 29 | 311 | 9 | 0 | 197 | 4 | -6 |
| HAWAII | 158 | 5 | -7 | 59 | 2 | -11 | 271 | 9 | 0 | 187 | 5 | -5 |
| IDAHO | 37 | 4 | -8 | 97 | 9 | -4 | 66 | 5 | -4 | 82 | 6 | -4 |
| ILINOIS | 29 | 0 | -12 | 557 | 12 | -1 | 1,009 | 13 | 4 | 1,280 | 12 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 846 | 18 | 6 | 942 | 17 | 4 | 712 | 10 | 1 | 471 | 6 | -4 |
| IOWA | 5 | 0 | -12 | 12 | 1 | -12 | 16 | 1 | -8 | 33 | 3 | -7 |
| KANSAS | 124 | 8 | -4 | 107 | 6 | -7 | 111 | 5 | -4 | 81 | 3 | -7 |
| KENTUCKY | 3,323 | 47 | 34 | 401 | 12 | 3 | 234 | 8 | -2 |  |  |  |
| LOUISIANA | 350 | 20 | 8 | 306 | 18 | 5 | 256 | 13 | 4 | 90 | 4 | -6 |
| MAINE | 187 | 31 | 19 | 268 | 35 | 22 | 277 | 37 | 28 | 306 | 36 | 26 |
| MARYLAND | 135 | 4 | -8 | 133 | 3 | -10 | 118 | 3 | -6 | 63 | 1 | -9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| MICHIGAN | 165 | 3 | -9 | 251 | 4 | -9 | 430 | 6 | -3 | 431 | 6 | -4 |
| MINNESOTA | 66 | 2 | -10 | 61 | 2 | -11 | 32 | 1 | -8 | 38 | 1 | -9 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 453 | 12 | 0 | 278 | 12 | -1 | 278 | 12 | 3 | 278 | 12 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 168 | 8 | -4 | 480 | 19 | 6 | 240 | 9 | 0 | 112 | 4 | -6 |
| MONTANA | 4 | 1 | -11 | 31 | 5 | -8 | 2 | 0 | -9 | 15 | 3 | -7 |
| NEBRASKA | 4 | 0 | -12 | 3 | 0 | -13 | 1 | 0 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | -12 | 467 | 44 | 31 | 428 | 40 | 31 | 360 | 37 | 27 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | -12 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | -9 | 4 | 0 | -10 |
| NEW JERSEY | 439 | 11 | -1 | 88 | 2 | -11 | 36 | 1 | -8 | 45 | 1 | -9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 36 | 2 | -10 | 74 | 6 | -7 | 122 | 9 | 0 | 96 | 5 | -5 |
| NEW YORK | 151 | 1 | -11 | 47 | 0 | -13 | 565 | 2 | -7 | 1,462 | 5 | -5 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | -12 |  |  |  | 16 | 0 | -9 | 53 | 1 | -9 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5 | 2 | -10 | 7 | 2 | -11 | 8 | 2 | -7 | 4 | 1 | -9 |
| OHIO | 185 | 7 | -5 | 197 | 5 | -8 | 244 | 4 | -5 | 284 | 4 | -6 |
| OKLAHOMA | 51 | 3 | -9 | 36 | 2 | -11 | 17 | 1 | -8 | 27 | 1 | -9 |
| OREGON | 67 | 5 | -7 | 54 | 3 | -10 | 43 | 2 | -7 | 116 | 6 | -4 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 235 | 3 | -9 | 108 | 1 | -12 | 108 | 1 | -8 | 77 | 1 | -9 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3,485 | 100 | 88 | 484 | 19 | 6 | 197 | 7 | -2 | 172 | 5 | -5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 43 | 5 | -7 | 56 | 6 | -7 | 44 | 4 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 659 | 33 | 21 | 799 | 36 | 23 | 729 | 30 | 21 | 675 | 29 | 19 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 37 | 8 | -4 | 43 | 7 | -6 | 4 | 1 | -8 | 4 | 1 | -9 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,062 | 32 | 20 | 829 | 25 | 12 | 1,043 | 28 | 19 | 834 | 20 | 10 |
| TEXAS | 167 | 1 | -11 | 76 | 1 | -12 | 38 | 0 | -9 | 31 | 0 | -10 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | -12 | 95 | 5 | -8 | 89 | 4 | -5 | 106 | 5 | -5 |
| VERMONT | 9 | 3 | -9 | 14 | 4 | -9 | 8 | 2 | -7 | 20 | 5 | -5 |
| VIRGINIA | 702 | 29 | 17 | 476 | 19 | 6 | 351 | 12 | 3 | 266 | 9 | -1 |
| WASHINGTON | 333 | 14 | 2 | 202 | 8 | -5 | 271 | 10 | 1 | 329 | 11 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 13 | 1 | -11 | 221 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 5 | -4 | 4 | 0 | -10 |
| WISCONSIN | 343 | 9 | -3 | 278 | 7 | -6 | 226 | 5 | -4 | 198 | 4 | -6 |
| WYOMING | 7 | 2 | -10 | 20 | 5 | -8 | 6 | 1 | -8 | 12 | 2 | -8 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | -12 | 26 | 5 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -9 | 2 | 1 | -9 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | -12 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | -6 | 2 | 5 | -5 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 12 | 18 | 6 | 55 | 60 | 47 | 36 | 36 | 27 | 36 | 41 | 31 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 19,508 | 12 |  | 21,729 | 13 |  | 17,580 | 9 |  | 21,825 | 10 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ to DIF = Difference from Nationa The category Family Child Car Please see Data Notes for an exp Data based on the December U.S. Department of Education | categori <br> in 1998. <br> dividual st dated as Educatio | contrib <br> diffe <br> Augus <br> Progra | es to ces on 30, 200 , Data | denominat w data are <br> alysis Syste | 199 <br> ported <br> DANS | http://N | w.IDEAdat | g/do | datan | s2001.pdf). |  |  |

N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, D uring 1997T hrough 2000

## RESIDENTIAL FACILITY PROGRAMS

| STATE | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 18 | 1.04 | 0.90 | 7 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| ARIZONA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| ARKANSAS | 2 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 35 | 1.74 | 1.60 | 8 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| COLORADO | 1 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 1 | 0.04 | -0.10 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 2 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| CONNECTICUT |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 0.03 | -0.04 |
| DELAWARE | 2 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| FLORIDA | 12 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 12 | 0.10 | -0.04 | 2 | 0.02 | -0.07 | 3 | 0.02 | -0.05 |
| GEORGIA | 2 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 4 | 0.09 | 0.02 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 1 | 0.03 | -0.06 | 5 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| ILINOIS | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 20 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| INDIANA | 3 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 5 | 0.09 | -0.05 | 3 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 3 | 0.04 | -0.03 |
| IOWA | 1 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 1 | 0.10 | -0.04 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 0.04 | -0.03 |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| LOUISIANA | 3 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 3 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.05 | -0.02 |
| MAINE | 2 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 52 | 6.83 | 6.69 | 31 | 4.14 | 4.05 | 25 | 2.97 | 2.90 |
| MARYLAND | 2 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 1 | 0.02 | -0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 0.02 | -0.05 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| MICHIGAN | 5 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 7 | 0.02 | -0.12 | 6 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.06 | -0.01 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  | 7 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 4 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.03 | -0.04 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| MISSOURI | 1 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 5 | 0.16 | 0.09 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| NEBRASKA | 4 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 5 | 0.68 | 0.54 | 2 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| NEVADA | 2 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 1 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW JERSEY | 10 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 11 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 14 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 17 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 1 | 0.07 | -0.02 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| NEW YORK | 16 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 7 | 0.03 | -0.11 | 3 | 0.01 | -0.08 | 2 | 0.01 | -0.06 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.02 | -0.07 | 10 | 0.23 | 0.16 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 4 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 3 | 0.04 | -0.03 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.10 | -0.04 | 1 | 0.05 | -0.04 | 1 | 0.04 | -0.03 |
| OREGON | 1 | 0.07 | -0.01 | 14 | 0.86 | 0.72 | 10 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 12 | 0.65 | 0.58 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 11 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 4 | 0.05 | -0.09 | 4 | 0.05 | -0.04 | 1 | 0.01 | -0.06 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.09 |
| TENNESSEE | 1 | 0.03 | -0.05 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| TEXAS | 22 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 18 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 18 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| VERMONT |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| VIRGINIA | 6 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 23 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 47 | 1.56 | 1.47 | 7 | 0.23 | 0.16 |
| WASHINGTON | 3 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 4 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 1 | 0.02 | -0.07 | 2 | 0.04 | -0.03 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.07 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 2.99 | 2.91 | 1 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.08 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 126 | 0.08 |  | 233 | 0.14 |  | 169 | 0.09 |  | 161 | 0.07 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ to DIF = Difference from Nationa The category Family Child Car Please see Data Notes for an Data based on the December U.S. Department of Education | tegorie <br> 1998. <br> dual sta <br> ted as <br> ducatio | contrib differ <br> Augus <br> Progra | utes to th ences on 30, 2002 ms, Data | minat ata are is Syster | in 199 reported <br> (DANS) | (http://w | EAdat | org/doc | s/cdatan | 1.pdf) |  |  |

N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, During 1997T hrough 2000

## OTHER SETTINGS


$\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ total $\#$ in all setting categories.
DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
The category Family Child Care was eliminated in 1998. It contributes to the denominator in 1997.
Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/cdatanotes2001.pdf)
Data based on the December 1, 2000 count, updated as of August 30, 2002.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Spedial Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table 3-14
N umber, Percentage (Based on theTotal for All Settings), and Difference From N ational Baseline of Infants and Toddlers Birth T hrough Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings U nder Part C, During 1997T hrough 2000
SETTINGS TYPICAL FOR CHILDREN WITHOUT DISABILTIES

| STATE | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF | \# | \% | DIF |
| ALABAMA | 491 | 31 | -31 | 539 | 31 | -35 | 946 | 51 | -22 | 1,578 | 79 | 3 |
| ALASKA | 333 | 91 | 29 | 450 | 90 | 24 | 554 | 95 | 22 | 616 | 95 | 19 |
| ARIZONA | 1,141 | 65 | 3 |  |  |  | 1,606 | 64 | -9 | 2,086 | 71 | -5 |
| ARKANSAS | 859 | 37 | -25 | 746 | 37 | -29 | 687 | 34 | -39 | 1,347 | 58 | -18 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 4,581 | 68 | 2 | 5,021 | 57 | -16 | 5,709 | 58 | -18 |
| COLORADO | 1,219 | 52 | -10 | 1,428 | 58 | -8 | 1,213 | 66 | -7 | 1,411 | 68 | -8 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,682 | 94 | 32 | 3,269 | 95 | 29 | 3,314 | 99 | 26 | 3,777 | 100 | 24 |
| DELAWARE | 467 | 55 | -7 | 275 | 34 | -32 | 356 | 38 | -35 | 353 | 35 | -41 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 26 | 8 | -54 | 40 | 16 | -50 | 66 | 31 | -42 | 70 | 34 | -42 |
| FLORIDA | 2,919 | 26 | -36 | 3,443 | 29 | -37 | 6,561 | 57 | -16 | 3,975 | 28 | -48 |
| GEORGIA | 1,586 | 47 | -15 | 85 | 53 | -13 | 3,210 | 88 | 15 | 3,814 | 82 | 6 |
| HAWAII | 2,319 | 74 | 12 | 2,339 | 75 | 9 | 2,171 | 70 | -3 | 2,806 | 79 | 3 |
| IDAHO | 560 | 62 | 0 | 678 | 64 | -2 | 865 | 72 | -1 | 1,006 | 79 | 3 |
| ILINOIS | 3,525 | 45 | -17 | 1,601 | 33 | -33 | 3,626 | 47 | -26 | 7,242 | 66 | -10 |
| INDIANA | 2,225 | 46 | -16 | 3,442 | 62 | -4 | 5,684 | 79 | 6 | 7,151 | 87 | 11 |
| IOWA | 798 | 73 | 11 | 854 | 89 | 23 | 964 | 87 | 14 | 1,079 | 90 | 14 |
| KANSAS | 1,137 | 69 | 7 | 1,531 | 81 | 15 | 1,825 | 83 | 10 | 2,192 | 88 | 12 |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  | 3,272 | 46 | -20 | 2,514 | 78 | 5 | 2,766 | 92 | 16 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,200 | 68 | 6 | 1,186 | 69 | 3 | 1,503 | 76 | 3 | 1,927 | 89 | 13 |
| MAINE | 271 | 45 | -17 | 300 | 39 | -27 | 332 | 44 | -29 | 390 | 46 | -30 |
| MARYLAND | 2,392 | 62 | 0 | 2,583 | 63 | -3 | 2,762 | 64 | -9 | 3,505 | 73 | -3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 9,645 | 100 | 38 | 9,803 | 100 | 34 | 10,998 | 100 | 27 | 12,145 | 100 | 24 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,785 | 68 | 6 | 4,228 | 71 | 5 | 5,006 | 73 | 0 | 5,598 | 77 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | 2,110 | 75 | 13 | 2,085 | 77 | 11 | 2,362 | 83 | 10 | 2,418 | 82 | 6 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2,046 | 55 | -7 | 1,296 | 57 | -9 | 1,296 | 57 | -16 | 1,269 | 57 | -19 |
| MISSOURI | 1,076 | 50 | -12 | 1,402 | 56 | -10 | 2,159 | 81 | 8 | 2,637 | 87 | 11 |
| MONTANA | 498 | 94 | 32 | 538 | 93 | 27 | 609 | 97 | 24 | 550 | 96 | 20 |
| NEBRASKA | 614 | 76 | 14 | 578 | 78 | 12 | 544 | 80 | 7 | 931 | 79 | 3 |
| NEVADA | 271 | 29 | -33 | 356 | 33 | -33 | 446 | 42 | -31 | 478 | 49 | -27 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 806 | 95 | 33 | 900 | 91 | 25 | 966 | 99 | 26 | 1,201 | 99 | 23 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,997 | 50 | -12 | 3,586 | 82 | 16 | 4,525 | 95 | 22 | 5,275 | 96 | 20 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,171 | 70 | 8 | 762 | 65 | -1 | 863 | 61 | -12 | 1,154 | 66 | -10 |
| NEW YORK | 12,340 | 69 | 7 | 14,964 | 73 | 7 | 17,767 | 76 | 3 | 20,742 | 77 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 4,318 | 87 | 25 |  |  |  | 4,121 | 95 | 22 | 4,023 | 93 | 17 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 320 | 98 | 36 | 285 | 94 | 28 | 309 | 94 | 21 | 359 | 99 | 23 |
| OHIO | 1,660 | 59 | -3 | 2,100 | 56 | -10 | 3,537 | 54 | -19 | 4,111 | 57 | -19 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,728 | 90 | 28 | 1,988 | 95 | 29 | 2,168 | 98 | 25 | 2,297 | 93 | 17 |
| OREGON | 887 | 62 | 0 | 901 | 55 | -11 | 1,000 | 57 | -16 | 1,056 | 58 | -18 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4,802 | 69 | 7 | 6,719 | 82 | 16 | 6,719 | 82 | 9 | 9,076 | 97 | 21 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  | 43 | 2 | -64 | 398 | 13 | -60 | 1,187 | 37 | -39 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 449 | 52 | -10 | 574 | 60 | -6 | 642 | 64 | -9 | , 664 | 70 | -6 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,277 | 63 | 1 | 1,332 | 61 | -5 | 1,630 | 68 | -5 | 1,557 | 68 | -8 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 238 | 49 | -13 | 400 | 67 | 1 | 556 | 91 | 18 | 623 | 97 | 21 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,385 | 42 | -20 | 1,725 | 51 | -15 | 2,128 | 57 | -16 | 2,967 | 70 | -6 |
| TEXAS | 11,167 | 94 | 32 | 12,631 | 98 | 32 | 14,088 | 98 | 25 | 15,958 | 99 | 23 |
| UTAH | 1,168 | 60 | -2 | 1,172 | 64 | -2 | 1,605 | 80 | 7 | 1,757 | 78 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 287 | 89 | 27 | 286 | 75 | 9 | 401 | 98 | 25 | 405 | 92 | 16 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,175 | 49 | -13 | 1,443 | 56 | -10 | 1,914 | 64 | -9 | 2,358 | 76 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 784 | 32 | -30 | 864 | 36 | -30 | 957 | 35 | -38 | 1,311 | 45 | -31 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,254 | 67 | 5 | 1,221 | 71 | 5 | 1,206 | 94 | 21 | 1,476 | 97 | 21 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,788 | 46 | -16 | 2,272 | 57 | -9 | 3,283 | 71 | -2 | 4,285 | 83 | 7 |
| WYOMING | 262 | 65 | 3 | 307 | 77 | 11 | 406 | 89 | 16 | 464 | 91 | 15 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 | 13 | -49 | 442 | 84 | 18 | 0 | 0 | -73 | 0 | 0 | -76 |
| GUAM | 167 | 72 | 10 | 158 | 68 | 2 | 166 | 69 | -4 | 212 | 91 | 15 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 22 | 51 | -11 | 27 | 75 | 9 | 32 | 80 | 7 | 34 | 81 | 5 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 40 | 60 | -2 | 35 | 38 | -28 | 65 | 64 | -9 | 50 | 57 | -19 |
| NATIONAL BASELINE | 97,693 | 62 |  | 110,065 | 66 |  | 140,652 | 73 |  | 165,428 | 76 |  |
| $\%=\#$ in setting category $\div$ to DIF = Difference from Nationa The category Family Child Car Natural Environments is a con Please see Data Notes for an Data based on the December U.S. Department of Education |  | contrib nes th diffe Augu Progra | es to th <br> early in ces on <br> 30, 200 <br> , Data | denominato vention setti w data are <br> nalysis System | in 199 gs, Ho eporte <br> (DANS | and <br> http://w | pically Devel ww.IDEAdata | ing Pro | rams. <br> datan | es2001.pdf). |  |  |

## Data N otes for IDEA, Part B

These data notes contain information on the ways in which states collected and reported data differently from the OSEP data formats and instructions. The notes refer to the tables in volumes 1 and 2 . In addition, the notes provide explanations of significant changes in the data from the previous year. The chart below summarizes differences in collecting and reporting data for 12 states. T hese variations affected the way data were reported for the IDEA, Part B child count and the educational environment, exiting, and discipline collections. Additional notes on how states reported data for specific data collections follow this table.

Table 1 State Reporting Patterns for IDEA, Part B Child Count Data 2001, Other Data 2000-01

|  | Differences from OSEP reporting categories Where <br> H Reported in the hearing impairments category <br> $\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { Reported in the orthopedic impairments category } \\ \text { P Reported in the primary disability category }\end{array}$ <br> Reported in other disability categories |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States | Multiple | Other health <br> impairments | Deaf- | Traumatic <br> brain injury |
| Colorado |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Delaware Florida | P | - |  |  |
| Forida Georgia | P |  |  |  |
| Geergia Ilinoist | $p$ |  |  |  |
| Michigan |  | $\bigcirc$ | н | R |
| Misisppi |  | - |  |  |
| Minnesta' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p |  |  |  |
| Notrt Dakota | p |  |  |  |
| Oregon | p |  |  |  |
| West Virginia Wisconsin | ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  |  |  |

N ote:Table numbers refer to tables in vol. 2 of this report.

## Tables AA1-AA17: Child Count

Alabama-The state attributed the increase in the number of children reported in the developmental delay category to a change in the state's upper age limit for this category. The 2000 child count is the first year that children over age 6 were reported in this category.

> Alaska-The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 with developmental delay to a change in state policy. U ntil recently, the state did not have "defined and established eligibility criteria" for developmental delay. T his is only the second year that Alaska has reported children in its child count using the developmental delay category. Students currently reported under developmental delay were previousy reported in other categories.

Arizona-The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with other health impairmentsto an increase in the number of children diagnosed with attention deficit disorder (ADD) or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

1 Illinois and M innesota reported children in the multiple disabilities category for the 2001 child count, but reported children according to primary disability for the 2000-01 educational environments, exiting, and discipline tables (see vol. 2).

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to an increased public awareness of the condition and improvements within school systems in identifying children with autism.

California- The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 and 6 through 21 with autism to an improved awareness of the condition as well as to a seady increase in enrollment of special education students.

Connecticut-The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to an increase in professional and parental awareness and the growth of professional organizations advocating services for children with autism. In addition, the state identifies students at a younger age so more children are reaching age 6 with the identification already in place. In some cases, the sate is reclassifying older students with autism. Furthermore, children with autism are not exiting from special education to return to regular education.

District of Columbia-The District of Columbia attributed the increase in the number of children reported in many categories of the child count data to the addition of 528 students served in charter schools.T his is the first year these students have been counted.

Illinois-The 2001 child count is the first time that the state reported children in the multiple disabilities category. In previous years, the state reported students with multiple disabilities according to their primary disability.

Indiana- The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 with developmental delay to the fact that this is only the second year that Indiana reported students in this category. M ost of the students who could have been reported with developmental delay in last year's child count were reported in other categories

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to an increased awareness and identification of the condition.

Iowa- Iowa does not collect disability data for all 3 - through 5 -year-olds. In 2000, the state used the disability distribution among children for whom these data were known to assign disability to the count of children without a specific disability. In 2001, the state assigned disability based on incidence data collected several years ago. As a result of this change in methodology, the disability distribution changed substantially between 2000 and 2001. In particular, the reported count of children with speech or language impairments dramatically declined and the count of children with specific learning disabilities or mental retardation dramatically increased. T he state is considering resubmitting their data. They have undertaken a study to update the incidence data they use to assign disability to the child count. N ew data based on the study will be available in future reports.

Kentucky-T he state uses the developmental delay category to classify children ages 3 through 5 unless an alternative disability category is clearly more appropriate. The state attributed the high
number of students (compared to the national total) ages 6 through 9 with developmental delay to the high number of children identified during their preschool years. The number of students identified with developmental delay peaks at age 4 and declines thereafter, resulting in gradually decreasing counts as children matriculate through the system. In addition, the state increased the upper age limit for developmental delay from age 5 to age 8 . This resulted in a greater number of children in this category.

Maryland-The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to an increased awareness of the condition and to improvements within the school system in identifying children with autism. In addition, the increase may be due to families with autistic children moving into $M$ aryland due to its exemplary programs and services for children with autism.

Massachusetts- The state is in the first year of a 3-year transition to a new data collection system. Disability counts in prior years were based on a formula. Beginning in 2001, the disability counts are based on actual individual student data. Because the identification of individual students by disability is not required until they either undergo an initial eligibility determination or a 3-year re-evaluation, some of the disability determinations for this school year were based on the professional judgment of the school districts providing the data, rather than representing an IEP team determination. Although this means that the 2001 child count does not fully reflect team decisionmaking, $M$ assachusetts deems that these data are more accurate than the formula-based reporting used in the past.

M assachusetts altributed the high number of children (compared to the national total) ages 3 through 5 with traumatic brain injury (TBI), the high number of children ages 6 through 21 with TBI, and the high number of children ages 6 through 21 with deaf-blindness to changes in how the state tracks and counts children using individual data.

Michigan-T he state altributed the decrease in the total number of Asian/Pacific Islanders served to correcting an error that was made in reporting these children in previous years. Several local districts erroneously were overreporting Asian/ Pacific Islanders because of a coding error. The state is still working with some districts to correct this problem.

Minnesota-T he 2001 child count is the first time that M innesota reported children in the multiple disabilities category. In previous years, the state reported students with multiple disabilities according to their primary disability.

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 and 6 through 21 with autism to more staff resources, continued outreach programs, better diagnosis and identification of the disorder, and improved training methods and assessments.

Missouri- The state reported that the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 with speech and language impairments is due to a change in eligibility under the state plan. Districts now choose a categorical diagnosis for children ages 3 and 4 in addition to using the category "young child with a developmental delay."

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to better diagnosis and identification of the disorder by school personnel.

Montana-The state changed its method of reporting disability categories for children ages 3 through 5. M ontana has a state statute that allows school districts to identify children ages 3 through 5 under "child with disabilities" without specifying a disability category. Because federal reporting requirements now require states to report students ages 3 through 5 by disability, M ontana encouraged school districts to report specific disability categories for this age group. T his year about 40 percent of the students in this age group were reported by disability.T he state imputed disability for the remaining 60 percent using the data reported for the 40 percent. In previous years, the state imputed disability for 3 - to 5 -year-olds using the disability distribution for 6 -year-olds.

Nevada- The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to a change in data collection methodology. Some students previously counted in the mental retardation category are now reported in the autism category. T he state also attributed the increase to better diagnosis and identification of autism by school personnel and physicians and improved training methods and assessments.

New Jersey-In 1997-98, New Jersey changed its definition of neurologically impaired (NI). Students previously defined as N I were grandfathered into the TBI category until they could be reevaluated. T he sate attributed the large number of children (compared to the national total) reported with $T B I$ to the continuing reevaluation of the students who were reclassified from NI to $T \mathrm{BI}$.

New Mexico-T he state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 9 with developmental delay to a change in the state definition of this category 3 years ago. The change resulted in more children being reported in the developmental delay category.

New York-N ew York collects data on race/ ethnicity of all school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) but does not separately collect race/ ethnicity data for students with disabilities who are ages 6-21.T he reported race/ ethnicity for 6 - to 21 -year-olds was estimated using race/ ethnicity data from students ages 4 through 21 with disabilities

N ew York reported that it collects disability data only for 4- and 5-year-olds in school-age environments (e.g., kindergarten).T he state does not collect disability data for 3 - through 5 -year-olds in preschool environments. Children with disabilities in preschool environments are all reported in the developmental delay category.

North Dakota- The sate is currently piloting the category of developmental delay for children ages 6 through 9. Children reported in this category are representative of pilot projects only.

O regon-The state noted that its age ranges are different from the O SEP definitions C hildren who are 5 years old on September 1 are considered to be school age and are included in the counts of 6 through 21 -year-olds rather than the count of 3 - through 5 -year-olds.

The state attributed the increase in the number of American Indian and Asian/Pacific Islanders ages 3 through 5 who were served under IDEA to the changing demographics of 0 regon, a trend also observed in previous years.

South Carolina-In South Carolina, children ages 3 through 5 with disabilities are served noncategorically. W hen the state reported these children on the child count, 2,281 could not be categorized with a specific disability. The state reported these students in the other health impairments category, which led to an increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 reported in this category.

The state has criteria for reporting developmental delay but has not fully implemented the developmental delay category into its data collection. For the past 2 years, the sate collected the category under a pilot program. T he results have not been stable.

Texas-T he state attributed the relatively high number of children with other health impairments (compared to the national total) to the fact that Texas does not use the developmental delay category to describe young children with disabilities. C hildren who would otherwise be reported with developmental delay may be reported in other categories, including, but not limited to, other health impairments.

Texas attributed the high number of children ages 3 through 5 in the visual impairments category (compared to the national total) to three factors. First, the definition of visual impairments inTexas is a functional definition, based on educational need and not on an acuity number (e.g., some states include only children with acuities of $20 / 200$ or less). U sing a functional definition may lead to higher identification of students. Second, because it isthe local school districts, in conjunction with the state's early intervention agency, that serve children with visual impairments from birth, these children are already part of the education sytem when they reach age 3.T his may positively affect child-find efforts.T hird, the state feels it has a strong networked service delivery system with effective technical assistance and training to districts in regard to identifying and serving young children with visual impairments. W ith this support, districts may be more able (and willing) to identify children with visual impairments.

Utah-The sate altributed the decrease in the number of children ages 3 through 5 with visual impairments to the reclassification of many children previously reported in the visual impairments category as having multiple disabilities.

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 9 with developmental delay to the fact that the category has only been used by the state for 2 years, and it has not had time to stabilize.

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to better diagnosis and identification of the disorder by school personnel. In addition, the state hired an autism specialist who has extensively trained school personnel across the state. Each district now has a training team for autism.

Washington-T he state altributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to continued outreach programs, better diagnosis and identification of the disorder by school personnel and physicians, and improved training methods and assessments.

The sate attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 9 with a developmental delay to an increase in the state's upper age limit for this category from age 6 to age 9.

Wisconsin-The state altributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 with autism to better diagnosis and identification of the disorder by school personnel and physicians and improved training methods and assessments.

## Tables AB1-AB10: Educational Environments

Alabama- The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 3 through 5 served in the part-time early childhood/ part-time early childhood special education category to district-level improvements in the transtion of children from Part C to Part B.

The state attributed the decrease in the number of students ages 6 through 21 served in public residential facilities to a concerted effort to place students with disabilities in regular classrooms.

California- The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 who received special education outside the regular class less than 21 percent of the day to an increase in the number of special education students being placed in less restrictive environments.

Illinois - The state noted that some of its definitions do not match federal definitions for time outside the regular classroom. Illinois tracks time outside the classroom in two categories from 1 to 49 percent of the school day and more than 50 percent of the school day. Illinois did not provide a crosswalk of how they report these data.

Kentucky-The state attributed the decreases in both the early childhood setting and the early childhood special education setting and the increase in the part-time early childhood/ part-time early childhood special education setting to district training on educational environments. Districts have been trained to report students who have any amount of time in both programs in the part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education category. Previousy, many districts reported students as either full-time early childhood or full-time early childhood special education setting based on percentages similar to those used in the placement categories for students ages 6 through 21.

The state attributed the increase in the separate school environment to three specific districts, two of which had new special education directors.

Missouri- T he state reported that the increase in part-time early childhood special education is due to a change in the crosswalk from the school-age educational environment categories to the early childhood categories used for kindergarten students.

Montana- The state has a satute that allows school districts to identify children ages 3 through 5 under the category "child with disabilities," without specifying a disability category. This year about 72 percent of the students in this age group were reported by disability.T he state used the reported disability for the 72 percent to impute disability for the remaining 28 percent. In previous years, the state imputed disability for 3 - to 5 -year-olds using the disability distribution for 6 -year-olds.

M ontana provided unduplicated, rather than duplicated, counts of children with disabilities served in correctional facilities and enrolled in private schools not placed or referred by public agencies. The state will correct this error for the 2001-02 educational environments data.

Nebraska-The state reported that 67 students served in private residential facilities were counted in other educational environments.

New York-The state reported that school-age (kindergarten) students with disabilities who are 4 to 5 years old are not reported on the educational environments table.

North Carolina-The state does not collect race/ ethnicity data for children enrolled in private schools, not placed or referred by public agencies.

Ohio- The sate increased the number of placement options from the 10 used during the 19992000 school year to 23 for the 2000-01 school year. T he state attributed the changes in the number of children served in some of the educational environments for 6 - through 21 -year-olds to this change in reporting categories.

Oregon-The state considers children who are 5-years old on September 1 to be school age and includes them in the count of 6 - through 21 -year-olds. The sate counts children who turn 5 after September 1 in the 3 -through- 5 age group.

The state altributed the decrease in the number of children ages 3 through 5 in part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings to one program in the state that changed how it coded children.T his program had 171 students in this category during the previous year, and none in the category for 2000-01. T he program increased the number of children reported in early childhood special education. The state is providing additional training to all contractors to improve data quality in the upcoming year.

The state attributed the increase in the number of children ages 6 through 21 in public residential facilities to the expanded Youth Correction Education Program in $O$ regon. W ithin the past 3 years, five youth correctional facilities and one "boot camp" opened, bringing the state total to 13 facilities. The overall student population served in these facilities, previousy capped at 513 students, was raised to around 1,100 statewide. The cap is increased gradually as facilities fill. M any students in O regonYouth Authority have been previously determined IDEA eligible and were served while in public school (estimates range from 40 percent to 64 percent). In addition, the state reported that 73 students in this category were most likely miscoded by LEAs. T he state is working with LEAs to correctly code students in the future.

Puerto Rico-The state attributed the changes in the number of students served in various educational environments to population growth and to the state's special education policies. Educational environments are based on children's individual needs and are reevaluated every year. Therefore, the same child may move in and out of different educational environments each year based on need.

Texas-T he state noted that some of its definitions do not match federal definitions for the amount of time spent outside the regular classroom. W hen Texas cross-walked state categories into federal categories, many students were counted as spending more time outside the regular classroom than they actually did. The following categories were affected: (1) special education outside regular class less than 21 percent of day, (2) special education outside regular class at least 21 percent of day and no more than 60 percent of day, and (3) special education outside regular class more than 60 percent of day. The definition of the mainstream instructional arrangement in Texas includes only those students who receive their full instructional day in a general education setting with special education support. Specific data about students receiving "pull-out" services for less than 21 percent of the day are unavailable; therefore, many students who could be reported in category 1 were reported in category 2.T heTexas definition of self-contained classroom includes students who spend 50 percent or more of their school day outside the regular classroom, whereas the federal definitions use 60 percent as the cutoff. Students in Texas who are outside the regular classroom for 50 percent to 60 percent of their instructional day were included in category 3. Texas revised its data collection system and will more accurately capture data related to federal categories for the 2001-02 school year.

Texas state law mandated a change in the collection of data in several environments. Three state categories - self-contained, separate campus, multidistrict class, and community class-were collapsed into one "off home campus" environment. Students served in these environments were previousy reported in the public separate facility and separate class environments. In the 2000-01 count, these students were all reported to O SEP in the public separate facility category. As a result, the number of children reported in public separate facilities is higher than the number of students actually served in this environment.

The state does not collect race/ ethnicity data for children enrolled in private schools, not placed or referred by public agencies.

WestVirginia- The state attributed the decrease in the number of children ages 3 through 5 served in part-time early childhood/ part-time early childhood special education environments to a change in data collection methodology. The 1999-2000 data collection was the first year that districts used the new definitions and codes for reporting children ages 3 through 5; however, some districts did not update the definitions and codes until 2000-01. T he state believes that data collected this year are more accurate.

## Tables AC1-AC3: Personnel

Alabama-The state attributed the decrease in the number of counselors to a drop in school enrollment.

Arizona- The state attributed the increase in LEA supervisors/ administrators to an increase in population at charter schools.

A rizona attributed the increase in the number of physical therapists to LEAs that contract with private companies to provide the service.

The state altributed the increase in the number of not fully certified interpreters to a shortage of fully certified interpreters. Due to the shortage, the state has hired more interpreters who are not fully certified.

Arkansas-The sate counts personnel who provide speech services as special education teachers rather than related services personnel.

California-The state attributed the increase in nonprofessional staff to a change in the data collection. R ecent state legisation has resulted in general policy changes in the state educational system and has changed the way some personnel data are collected and reported.

Connecticut-The state changed how it reports kindergarten personnel. For the 2000-01 educational environments table, it reported kindergarten teachers in the count of teachers serving children ages 3 through 5. Last year, the state reported kindergarten teachers in the count of teachers serving ages 6 through 21.

The state attributed the decrease in the number of teacher aides to a decrease in the special education population, budget cuts, and aides obtaining teaching certification.

C onnecticut did not report physical education or vocational education teachers because it was unable to distinguish staff serving special education students from staff serving general education students. However, the state provided data for school psychologists and social workers serving both populations.

Illinois- This is the first year that the state reported school psychology interns as fully certified, based on state requirements.

Illinois does not collect personnel data for staff in nonpublic schools.
Illinois does not collect personnel data by ages served. Data reported for children ages 3 through 5 include personnel who only serve early childhood or preschool students. T he state reported other personnel serving ages 3 through 5 as serving children ages 6 through 21.

Illinois does not collect full-time equivalency data for personnel working in home or hospital environments, and therefore these personnel have been omitted from the data. Local school districts reported 3,095 people working in home and hospital settings.

Kentucky-T he state attributed the increase in the number of fully certified interpreters to a new certification credential rather than an increase in the number of interpreters.

The state attributed the increase in other professional staff to increased federal funds that provided districts the opportunity to enhance services in many areas and to employ greater numbers of certified professionals to deliver these services.

Maine-T he sate counts personnel who provide speech services as special education teachers rather than related services personnel. The decrease in the number of personnel who provide speech services is due to an error on last year's count, when the state double counted these personnel.

Minnesota- The state attributed the increase in the number of occupational therapists to a change in how the state counts C ertified $O$ ccupational Therapy Assistants (COTAs). In 2000-01, COTAs were included in the occupational therapists category. Previously, they were counted in the other professionals category.

The state attributed the increase in the number of supervisors/administrators to districts counting coordinators and due process facilitators in this category. Previousy, these personnel had been counted as lead teachers.

Minnesota noted an increase in the number of charter schools but reported a high level of noncompliance with reporting data for these schools.

Missouri-T he state attributed the increase in the number of speech pathologists to a movement from speech/language therapists as the primary provider for early childhood special education to speech/ language services being provided as a related service.

The state reported that the increase in other professional staff may be due to a change in the reporting method used to count full-time equivalents in the professional staff categories.

New Mexico- The state reported professional personnel from the N ew M exico Department of Education for the first time in this year's personnel data.

The state reported that the N ew M exico Department of Education is no longer the licensing authority for speech pathologists and audiologits. D ata were not provided for these categories before data were finalized for the annual report to Congress,

North Carolina-The state attributed the decreases in many personnel categories to budget deficits during the 2000-01 school year. N orth C arolina school systems failed to fund a significant number of special education personnel. In addition, changes from the previous year's count in five categories (work study coordinators, recreation therapists, physical therapists, other professional staff, and nonprofessional staff) are due to a database error in last year's count.

The state attributed the increase in the number of physical therapists to contracts with school systems that have resulted in full-time positions across N orth C arolina.

N orth Carolina counts speech pathologists as special education teachers rather than related services personnel.

Oregon-O regon was unable to explain the year-to-year increases in the number of physical education, occupational therapy, diagnostic and evaluation, and other professional staff on the personnel table but reported that they are consistent with preliminary data for 2001-02.

Virgin Islands-The Virgin Isands attributed the increase in the number of fully certified counselors in 2000-01 to an error in last year's table. Last year, St. Croix district counselors were erroneously omitted from the personnel table.

Virginia-The state reported speech pathologists only in the count of special education teachers. No speech pathologists were counted in the related services personnel count.

Wyoming- The sate reported that data for the personnel count were from the 0 ctober count.T he previous year's data were from end-of- year counts.

## Tables AD1-AD4: Exiting

Alabama-T he state attributed the increases in the number of students exiting special education in the moved, known to be continuing category and the decrease in the reached maximum age category to improvements in its data collection methodology (see vol. 2).

Arizona-The state attributed the increase in the number of students reported in the moved, not known to be continuing category to incorrect data. The state noted that it is difficult to collect and report clean data in this category but believes this will change in 1 to 2 years when the new student accountability information system is in place (see vol. 2).

A rizona does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
California-T he state attributed the decrease in the number of children reported in the moved, not known to be continuing category to a change in the data collection methodology. T he state is now forcing school districts to do a better job of tracking students in the two moved categories.

Colorado- D ata reported for school year 2000-01 are actually data for students exiting between December 1999 and December 2000.

Connecticut-In the past few years, many students were counted in the no longer receives special education category because of a change in the state eligibility guidelines. T his change meant that many students were no longer eligible for special education. These new eligibility guidelines particularly affected students with specific learning disabilities. T his year, there was a decrease in the total number of students who left special education services, as well as a decrease in the number of students with specific learning disabilities who left special education services. The state believes this is because the data have begun to stabilize.

District of Columbia- The District of Columbia reported that it did not report any students in the no longer receives special education services exit category because it does not collect these data.

Georgia- The state attributed the increase in the number of students in the moved, known to be continuing category to better tracking of transient students in its database.

Guam-Guam does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.

Hawaii- The state altributed the increase in the number of students with speech or language impairments who are no longer receiving special education services to better training of teachers regarding eligibility for this category under IDEA. As a result of thistraining, sudents were identified differently, and many were taken out of all special education services and are now served under Section 504.The state reported that the change in how students are identified also resulted in an overall increase in the number of students exiting special education and an increase in the number of Asian/ Pacific Isanders exiting. M any of the students now served under 504 rather than IDEA are of Asian/ Pacific Islander descent.

T he state attributed the decrease in the number of students with specific learning disabilities who received a certificate to the large number of students from this category who exited special education due to state efforts to place students in the least restrictive environments or to mainstream them.

H awaii reported that its data were captured from the Integrated Special Education Database (ISPED ), a fairly new system. As improvements are made in ISPED, the state expects the data to become increasingly accurate. The Special Education Section also plans to resume the practice of verifying data with districts.T his practice was curtailed this past year due to difficulties with matching information from different databases.

Idaho- Data reported for school year 2000-01 are actually data for students exiting between December 1999 and December 2000.

The state reported that it awards the same diploma to all students, regardless of whether the diploma is earned by meeting regular graduation requirements or IEP requirements.

Kansas-The state does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
Massachusetts-The state does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
New Jersey - The sate does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
Ohio- The state noted that the number of children reported as reached maximum age is incorrect. M ost of the students reported have clearly not reached maximum age pursuant to state law because they are under 21 years old.

The sate does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
O klahoma-The state does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.
Texas-Each fall, the state collects exiting data for the previous year. D ata reported for school year 2000-01 are actually for students exiting in 1999-2000. Due to a different timeframe for the
collection of disability data and exiting data, 5,912 records did not have disability data for exiting. Disability was imputed for these students using the disability distribution for known cases. Disability information for the entire school year will be available for the exiting report of 2000-01.

Texas does not use the exit category received a certificate-of-completion.

Vermont- Data reported for school year 2000-01 are actually data for students exiting between December 1999 and December 2000.

Wisconsin- D ata reported for school year 2000-01 are actually data for students exiting between December 1999 and December 2000.

The state reported that the number of A sian/ Pacific Islanders collected by one school district is incorrect.

## Tables AE1-AE4: Discipline

Alabama- T he state attributed the increases in the unduplicated count of children and the number of children subject to unilateral removal by school personnel for drug and weapon offenses to improvements in data collection and reporting.

C alifornia-T he state attributed the increase in the unduplicated count of children removed for any reason (subject to unilateral removal for drug or weapon offenses and/ or removal by hearing officer determination regarding likely injury and/ or long-term suspension/ expulsion) to a coding error in the data reported last year (1999-2000 table). This error resulted in an undercount of children. T he state made changes to the data system this year to correct the problem.

Connecticut-T he state noted that there has been an overall increase in the reporting of short- and long-term suspensions for students in both regular and special education from 1999-2000 to 200001. The state attributed this increase to improved data reporting and accuracy and schools more consistently following state requirements for reporting disciplinary offense information.

District of Columbia-T he state reported that it did not report any students in the removal based on a hearing officer determination of likely injury because it does not collect these data.

The District of C olumbia also noted that its unduplicated count of children is incorrect. It is in the process of collecting the correct numbers and will resubmit a corrected revision in the near future.

Georgia-T he state attributed this year's increase in the unduplicated count of students to errors in the 1999-2000 data.

The state attributed the decrease in the number of children subject to unilateral removal by school personnel to a change in disciplinary policy.T he sate makes a concerted effort to only remove students when the student's conduct calls for it.

Idaho-The sate attributed the decrease in the number of acts pertaining to hearing officer removals to a change in data collection methodology. In the past, the data collection differed from the O SEP reporting instructions. This year, the state followed OSEP instructions and reported only the number of acts leading to the $11^{\text {th }}$ day of suspension, rather than reporting all accumulated acts throughout the year (as some districts had in the previous year).

Maine-The state attributed the decrease in the number of children subject to unilateral removal by school personnel for drug and weapons offenses to an overall decline in offenses for the entire school population. M any schools in M aine now have police officers on duty during the school day. D rug and weapons checks are randomly conducted by police officers, police dogs, and school staff.

The state attributes the decline in the number of students removed by a hearing officer to a change in data collection methodology.T he state has emphasized that only a hearing officer trained in special education law should remove a student. In addition, this is only the second year that the state has collected the data, and some of the LEAs are sill confused by the form.

Michigan- The state reported that anew department, the C enter for Educational Performance and Information, was responsible for collecting discipline data for the first time during 2000-01. Due to the transition to a new department, Michigan notes that it is now most likely underreporting suspension data.

Minnesota- The state attributed the increase in unduplicated count of students removed for any reason (subject to unilateral removal for drug or weapon offenses and/ or removal by hearing officer regarding likely injury and/or long-term suspension/expulsion) to more accurate data and additional data checks of individual student records. M ost of this increase was in the short-term suspension category.

Missouri-T he state attributed the significant year-to-year decreases in several discipline categories to a change in reporting methods. This year, M issouri districts reported all suspensions and expulsions on an incident basis, and the data were then compiled at the state level. In the past, each district compiled its own data for the O SEP report.

Montana-The state attributed the substantial increase in the number of students subject to unilateral removal by school personnel for drug or weapons offenses to more accurate data collection and interpretation. The way the state analyzes and interprets the data was revised.

Nevada-The state altributed the increase in the number of students subject to long-term suspensions to districts increasingly adopting"zero tolerance" policies for student conduct. In addition, districts are becoming more knowledgeable about compliance with federal laws and regulations.

New Jersey-T he state attributed the significant increases in many discipline categories from 19992000 to 2000-01 to a change to a new web-based application in 1999-2000. T his year the data are more complete. Last year, the reporting districts were unfamiliar with the system. The state expects less variation from year to year in the future.

R hode Island- The state was unable to report some disability information on the discipline table because of the way the state collects these data. R hode Island uses separate databases for its child count and discipline data and does not have a unique student identification number that links the two. Disability information is not part of the discipline data collection system.

Utah-T he state attributed the increases in the number of students subject to short-term suspensions and removalsby school personnel for drug and weapons offenses to the state's"zero tolerance" policies.

Vermont-The state reported that the unduplicated count of children removed for any reason (subject to unilateral removal for drug or weapon offenses and/ or removal by hearing officer regarding likely injury and/ or long-term suspension/ expulsion) on the discipline table is incorrect. The state will be unable to provide a correct unduplicated count for this year.

West Virginia-The state attributed the decrease in the number of students subject to unilateral removal by school personnel for drug or weapons offenses to mistakes in last year's data. In the past, districts reported students as unilaterally removed for drugs and weapons offenses when they were actually removed for other reasons. This was corrected on the 2000-01 report.

Wisconsin-The state noted that this was the first year that information on the number of acts pertaining to hearing officer removals was collected. T herefore, comparisons between this year's data and last year's data are meaningless.

## D ata N otes for IDEA, Part C

$N$ ote:Table numbers given below refer to tables in vol. 2 of this report.

## Table AH1: Counts of Infants and Toddlers Served

Alaska-R ace/ ethnicity was imputed for 99 children. T he child count for 2- to 3 -year-olds includes 49 children over the age of 3.

California-Although the state serves at-risk children, it did not submit data on the number of at-risk children served in the 2001 child count. Due to the time lag between when a delay is identified and when this information is updated in the state's data system, the state is no longer able to distinguish the at-risk population from other Early Start consumers.

Indiana-The reported child count is not complete. The state expects to revise the count in the future.

Iowa- The state reported a 15 percent increase in the child count as a result of improved C hild Find and improved data reporting as a result of modifications to the computerized information system.

Nevada-The state attributes the decrease in the number of children served to unfilled direct service positions and/ or frozen positions for direct service personnel. T hese staff shortages have resulted in a waiting list. $N$ evada is unable to serve all of the children with disabilities that it has identified. In addition, as a result of a change in state policy, N evada no longer serves children who are at-risk.

New Hampshire-The slight decline in the child count reflects a change in reporting methodology. Last year, the count was based on survey information that was not completely accurate. T he state believes this year's data are correct.

R hode Isand- The state imputed race/ ethnicity for 122 infants and toddlers using the known distribution. They also counted some children ( 2.6 percent of total count) who had turned age 3 in the 2-to-3 age category.

Washington-The state did not report race/ ethnicity for 214 children whose race/ ethnicity was unknown.

## Table AH3: Early Intervention Service Settings

Alabama- The decline in the number of infants and toddlers in programs designed for children with developmental delays or disabilities, the decline in the service provider location, and the increase in the number reported in the home setting category are the result of Alabama's move to serve children in more natural environments.

Florida-T he change in the number of children reported in the settings categories for 2000 is a result of a change in how the state classifies a child who receives services in a variety of settings. Prior to 2000, Florida assigned the child's setting/ location based on the initial service location data in the Florida Early Intervention Program data system. For the D ecember 2000 data, each child's service setting was determined based on a hierarchy of settings.

Illinois- The increase in the number of children served in almost all the settings is the result of caseload growth during the 2000-01 reporting period. This was reflected in the 2000 child count. The state continued implementation of a new front-end data system, so the data are also clean.

Kentucky- Kentucky only determines whether the program setting is home or community based versus office or center based. Because all children may receive services in multiple settings, when the state reports data to O SEP it assigns the service provider location to all children not also served in the home or community setting.

Missouri- The decrease in the other settings category is a result of better identification of children's primary settings by the state. These improvements allow the state to assign the applicable O SEP settings category.

New York-The increase in children served primarily in the home environment is the result of the state's emphasis on the delivery of services in natural environments. This is also the explanation for the decrease in the number of children served in programs designed for children with developmental delays or disabilities.

The increase in the number of children served primarily at a service provider location or other setting is a result of guidance the state gave to counties regarding how to code specific settings into the OSEP data collection categories.

Oklahoma-The state attributes the increase in the other settings category to a mistake in the assignment of settings categories. T hrough technical assistance, the sate encouraged data collectors to use the other settings category when serving children in natural environment settings other than the child's home or child care environments. T he state is providing further assistance to data collectors so that they better understand each program settings category.

Oregon-The state reported that the bulk of the number of infants and toddlers served in the service provider location setting occurred in two regions of the state.T hese two regions account for most of the decrease in the number of children ( $\mathrm{N}=-54$ ) in the programs for developmental delay category. According to $O$ regon, because of the similarity in the definitions of these two settings (either can serve a group of children with disabilities), they believe there was a clarification/ interpretation made for these two sites. This accounted for the increase in the service provider location setting. They will train service providers in the accurate interpretation of these definitions this coming year.

R hode Island- The sate reported that the increase in the other settings category is related to how service settings are classified into this setting. In R hode Island, the individualized family service plan (IFSP) form does not provide a space to define other locations. Providers define other on a servicerendered form (SR F) at the time the services are provided. H owever, the SR F has a different set of location codes that do not correspond with those on the IFSP. In the future, these codes will match, and providers will be asked to define other location on the IFSP. U ntil then, the other settings category is inflated (e.g., daycare was entered into an SR F under other location. It should be counted as a program designed for typically developing children). The location codes will be revisted and more clearly defined within the next 5 months. The state expects that the data for 2002 will be clearer.

## Table AH4: Early Intervention Program Exiting

Alabama- Because the state's definition of Part B eligibility does not match O SEP's definition, it was unable to distinguish between children determined to be Part B eligible with an IEP in place and children who had been referred to Part B. As a result, these children were reported in the eligibility not determined category.

The state also reports that the increase in the attempts to contact unsuccesful category is a result of more accurate reporting.

Arizona-A rizona has changed its data collection method for the information reported to OSEP. In previous years, the state retrospectively collected data for the previous year counts. N ot all agencies collected the necessary information, or they were unable to submit data for the appropriate time period. Improved data collection efforts for reporting year 2000-01 resulted in better reporting of table counts.

California- The change in the number of children in the different basis of exit categories is the result of a revised consumer data system implemented in April 2000. C alifornia can now distinguish between children exiting early intervention because:

- the case was closed during eligibility determination (284);

■ they moved out of state (147);

- they were withdrawn by parent (620); and
- attempts to contact were unsuccesful (583).

Previousy, all of these reasons for exiting were counted in the completion of an IFSP prior to maximum age exit category.

The revised data system also reduces data reporting time lags and permits more comprehensive and timely identification of children exiting Early Start who are not Part B eligible and those who exit to other programs.

Florida- T he increase in the number of children exiting from the Florida Part C program between 1999 and 2000 is the result of improvements in its reporting requirements beginning in 2000. The number of children reported as exiting Part C services in 1999 represents an underreporting of children. N ow, because this information is a critical monitoring factor, the local agencies comply with the data reporting requirements.

Idaho-The decline in the number of children reported in the Part B eligibility not determined category is the result of Idaho's dedicating a considerable amount of the 2000 data collection year's effort to cleaning up this category.

Due to the lag time in paperwork catching up with the data entry process, the state reported that it will always have a small number of children whose exit status is undetermined. The state plans to keep that number down to 1 percent or 2 percent of the total exited count. It believes that the large number of children whose exit status is Part B eligibility not determined is an indication of a larger sytemic problem concerning the child's transtion process in the state.

Missouri- M issouri reports that the increase in the number of children exiting with no referral is because caseloads have increased. In addition, Part C personnel were not as successul in referring children ineligible for Part B to other programs.

Nebraska-N ebraska does not collect data for the following exit categories not eligible for Part B, exit with no referrals, moved out of state, and attempts to contact unsuccessful.

Nevada- $N$ evada altributes the increase in the number of children in the Part B eligibility not determined category to the fact that no data tracking system accurately collects Part C to Part B transition information. The state's Part C program plans to provide technical assistance to programs to ensure correct coding for children transitioning to Part B.

Pennsylvania-The state attributes the increase in the category completion of IFSP prior to reaching maximum age to the state's now serving more children and increasing its public awareness program for early intervention.

It reports that the decrease in Part B eligibility not determined is a result of increased coordination efforts with the Part B program so that the state is able to establish eligibility earlier.

R hode Isand- W hen R hode Island initiated a new data collection system in 2000, the discharge codes did not clearly reflect the OSEP reporting categories. Exit with referral and exit with no referral were not separate categories. As a result, all of these children were reported in the exit with no referral category. Late in 2000, the discharge codes were updated to break out the categories. Because $R$ hode Isand mandates that all children exiting the system without completing IFSP goals must be referred, the state expects the number of exits with no referral to decline in the next reporting period.

## Table AH5: Early Intervention Services

Arizona- The state of A rizona changed its methods for collecting Part C data. In previous years, the state collected historical data from service agencies. Not all agencies could provide the information or they were unable to submit data for the appropriate time period. R evised data collection efforts for the reporting year 2000-01 resulted in better reporting of counts.

Florida-T he change in the number and type of services provided to children reflects the variation in service needs of a cohort of children from year to year. The greatest change, in the other category, is a result of the state's including evaluations and assessments as services in 1999 and not including them as services in the count for 2000.

Illinois-T he increase in the number of services provided in Illinois is the result of caseload growth during the 2000-01 reporting period. The state continued implementation of a new front- end data system, so the data are also cleaner.

## Minnesota-The state does not collect services data by race/ ethnicity.

Missouri-T he state attributes the decrease in the family training category to improved staff training and to providing staff with a clearer definition of the service category. In the past, any informal directives or instruction provided to parents were counted under the family training category. Family training is now defined as a formal instructional course or training, and informal instruction to parents is no longer counted in the category.

There was also a change in the data reporting method for the 2000-01 data collection. An electronic collection was used, resulting in more timely and improved reporting.T his in turn resulted in different and more accurate categorization of services This is especially noticeable in the health services category, which shows a large decrease from last year. Services previousy reported as health services are now reported in other categories.

The sate no longer includes service coordination in the other services category as was incorrectly done in previous submissions. T his accounts for the decrease in the other services category.

Changes have also been made to the methods of reimbursement for services provided in a natural environment.T his change resulted in a decrease in reported transportation costs.

Vision services data have decreased because the state no longer counts vision screening services provided prior to Part C eligibility determination.

Oklahoma-In 2000, 0 klahoma experienced a large increase in other early intervention services. This increase reflects a change in where the state reports child development specialists. In 1999, they were counted in the special instruction category. In 2000, they were counted in the other early intervention services category.

Oregon- O regon reports that the increase in the number of other early intervention services provided is the result of collecting data on an increased range of other early intervention services for state use. Prior to 2000-01 the state reported relatively small numbers (approximately 20) of other early intervention services (e.g., orientation and mobility and autism services), and categories and definitions were changed. T he 2000-01 data appear stable and represent an accurate count of other early intervention services from the state.

## Table AH6: Early Intervention Personnel Employed

Alabama-Alabama is unable to account for the decrease in total staff. T hese data are as reported from providers.

Florida-Changes in the number of providers enrolled in the Early Intervention Program reflect the changing array of individuals providing services to the birth through 3 -year-old population. O verall, the Florida Early Intervention Program has made an effort to encourage and enroll more professionals as service providers.

Illinois-Illinois reported that the increase in the number of personnel employed is the result of caseload growth during the 2000-01 reporting period. T he state also continued implementation of a new front-end data system, so the data are cleaner.

Missouri- The state reported that the decrease in number of other professional staff is a result of excluding service coordination from the count. In previous years, service coordinators were incorrectly included in the count of personnel.

Nebraska- $N$ ebraska reports that the decline in the total number of full-time equivalent personnel reported by the state may be because they are now able to prorate the full-time equivalency based on caseload. This enables them to collect more accurate full-time equivalency data.

New York-The state explained that the increase in number of full-time equivalent personnel providing services is due to a change in the requirements for individuals providing services under contract to a provider agency. The New York City Early Intervention Program received approximately 6,500 applications from individuals for approval as an individual provider. If these individuals subcontract with or are employed by a provider agency, they may also be listed as a fulltime equivalent on the agency's application or information updates.

Ohio-O hio reported that the decline in the number of personnel is because these data are not representative of service providers across the state. 0 hio is instituting a reporting tool to be used by all agencies/ organizations providing services to the early intervention population. T his survey will provide a more comprehensive report of personnel who provide services to early intervention children in 0 hio.

O regon- O regon reported an increase in the number of paraprofessionals; the number of special educators and speech and language pathologists also increased. The state explained that the increases are not the result of a mistake or specific anomaly. H owever, they were unable to provide a specific explanation.

South Dakota-The state explained that the decrease in the total number of full-time-equivalent personnel employed is the result of newly established criteria for determining billable travel time. This change in criteria reduced the number of hours contracted and thereby reduced the number of full-time equivalents. T he state is working on implementing changes to its data system that will help it distinguish between hours contracted and hours reimbursed. These changes should result in more accurate counts of full-time equivalents employed.


[^0]:    a/ Other activities included duties such as reading background materials, sharing expertise with other staff, and communicating with parents.

[^1]:    a/ SEELS uses the acronym AD/HD for these students.
    b/ SEELS did not sample students with developmental delay.
    c) Total does not equal 100 due to rounding.

[^2]:    Source: SEELS Direct Assessment.

[^3]:    Source: SEELS Direct Assessment.

[^4]:    Source: SEELS Direct Assessment.

[^5]:    *Includes public facility, private facility, public residential facility, private residential facility, and home/hospital environment.

[^6]:    *Includes public facility, private facility, public residential facility, private residential facility, and home/hospital environment.
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[^53]:    *Includes public facility, private facility, public residential facility, private residential facility, and home/hospital environment.
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[^57]:    $\%=$ \#dropping out $\div$ \#leaving school.
    "Dropping out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category indudes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, moved - not known to be continuing, and other exiters.
    Students leaving school includes students who graduated with a diploma, received a certificate, dropped out, died, reached maximum age, and moved - not known to be continuing
    DIF = Difference from National Baseline.
    \% Change $=((2000-2001$ drop out rate - 1996-1997 drop out rate) / 1996-1997 drop out rate) $)$ 100 $)$.
    Differences in state dropout rates should be interpreted with caution.
    Standards for graduation and student tracking systems vary widely across states
    Please see Data Notes for an explanation of individual state differences on how data are reported (http://www.IDEAdata.org/docs/bdatanotes2001.pdf).
    Data as of August 30, 2002.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

