Archived Information

Eisenhower Professional Development Program - 2002

CFDA Number: 84.281 - Eisenhower Professional Development State Grants

Goal 8: To improve the quality of classroom teaching through professional development.

Objective 8.1 of 4: Classroom instruction is improved through effective professional development.

easing percentages of teachers will show evidence that pment improved their knowledge and skills.

submitted.

			-		
		chers' knowle wer-assisted			
	Targets ar	nd Performanc	e Data		
Subject Are	a Content				
Year	Actual Pe	erformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	48	68	50	50	
2000			60	60	
Instructiona	l Methods				
Year	Actual Pe	erformance		mance gets	
	District	SAHE Grantees	District	SAHE Grantees	
1998	63	79	50	50	
2000			60	80	
Curriculum					
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets		
	District	SAHE Grantees	District	SAHE Grantees	
1998	56	64	50	50	
2000	64		60	68	
Approaches	to Assessme	ent			
Year	Actual Pe	erformance		mance gets	
	District	SAHE Grantees	District	SAHE Grantees	
1998	46	48	50	50	
2000			60	60	
Use of Tech	nology				
Year	Actual Pe	erformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	24	50	50	50	
2000			60	60	

Additional Source Status: Unable to judge **Information:** These data **Explanation:** These data were are collected by the **ESEA Consolidated State** to have been provided on the ESEA Consolidated Performance Performance Report for Report for School Year 2001-School Year 2001-2002. 2002. The report has yet to be

Assessment of Progress

Frequency: Annually. **Collection Period: 2002**

Sources and Data Quality

- 2003

Data Available: 2004 Validated By: No Formal

Verification.

Approaches to Diversity					
Year	Actual Performance			mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	26	35	50	50	
2000			60	60	

Indicator 8.1.2 of 2: Teachers' classroom instruction:: Teachers who receive high quality professional development focused on higher order teaching strategies are more likely to change their teaching practices.

				T
	Targets and Perf	ormance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	ing strategy: Use of calcu p models.	ators or computers to	Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: The ESEA
Year	Extent teachers Extent who teachers participated who did no in participate professional profession developmentdevelopme used used teaching teaching	n in participate in professional professional developmentdevelopment used teaching		Consolidated State Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002. Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2002 - 2003 Data Available: 2004 Validated By: No Formal Verification.
	strategy in strategy in classroom classr	strategy in strategy in classroom classr		
1999	ĺ	50 50	1	
Teachi Year	ing strategy: Use of probl	ems with no obvious solution Performance Targets		
	Extent teachers Extent who teachers participated who did no in participate professional profession developmentdevelopme used used teaching teaching strategy in strategy in classroom classr	n in participate in professional professional developmentdevelopment used teaching		
1999		50 50	1	
Teachi to dete	ermine student grades.	ematics and science projects	1	
	- No D		1	
			- 1	I

Objective 8.2 of 4: Professional development is sustained, intensive, and high quality and has a lasting impact on classroom instruction.

Indicator 8.2.1 of 2: High quality: Increasing percentages of teachers will participate in Eisenhower-assisted professional development activities that reflect best practices.				
Targets and Performance Data Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Quality				
Major emphasis on academic content	Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: The ESEA		

Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees
1998	51	68	50	50
2000			56	72

Involves all teachers in grade, department, or school				
Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees
1998	39		50	50
2000			56	56

Is followed up with other activities					
Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	53	70	50	50	
2000			56	75	

Involves: a) Planning classroom implementation					
Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	66	83	50	50	
2000			56	86	

b) Presenting, leading, and writing					
Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	40	67	50	50	
2000			56	70	

c) Observing and being observed					
Year	Actual Pe	rformance		mance gets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	
1998	19	35	50	50	
2000	36		56	56	

d) Reviewing student work				
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees

Explanation: These data were to have been provided on the ESEA Consolidated Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002. The report has yet to be submitted.

Consolidated State Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002.

Frequency: Annually.
Collection Period: 2002
- 2003
Data Available: 2004
Validated By: No Formal
Verification.

1998	30	38	50	50
2000	43		56	56

Indicator 8.2.2 of 2: Sustained professional development: Increasing percentages of teachers participating in Eisenhower-assisted activities will participate in activities that span 6 months or longer.

Targets and Performance Data				•	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of teachers in activities that span 6 months or longer					Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: The ESEA
Year	r Actual Performance Performance Targets			Explanation: These data were to have been provided on the ESEA Consolidated Performance	Consolidated State Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002.	
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Report for School Year 2001- 2002. The report has yet to be	Frequency: Annually.
1998	20	46	35	35	submitted.	Collection Period: 2002 - 2003
2000			39	50		Data Available: 2004 Validated By: No Formal Verification.

Objective 8.3 of 4: High-quality professional development is provided to teachers who work with disadvantaged populations.

Indicator 8.3.1 of 1: High-poverty schools: The proportion of teachers participating in Eisenhower-assisted activities who teach in high-poverty schools will exceed the proportion of the national teacher pool who teach in high-poverty schools.

nign-pover	ty schools.					
Targets and Performance Data					Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of Eisenhower participants who teach in high poverty* schools					Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: The ESEA
Year	Actual Pe	Actual Performance		rmance gets	Explanation: These data were to have been provided on the ESEA Consolidated Performance	Consolidated State Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002.
	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Districts	SAHE Grantees	Report for School Year 2001- 2002. The report has yet to be	Frequency: Annually.
1998	23	13	23	23	submitted.	Collection Period: 2002 - 2003
1999			25	25		Data Available: 2004
2000	22		27	27		Validated By: No Formal Verification.
2001			29	29		Verification.
2002			31	31		
of the stude 96, 21 perc	erty schools ar ents are eligible ent of teacher nools. Targets Actual Perf	e for free lu s in the Nat are based o	nches. **In F ion taught in	Y 1995- high- ne.		

Objective 8.4 of 4: Measurement of integrated planning and collaboration.

Indicator 8.4.1 of 1: Increasing percentages of states will adopt performance indicators for professional development, demonstrate a technical understanding of such indicators, and have data (or plans to collect data) for their indicators.

Targets and Performance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality				
	Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: The ESEA				
Increasing percentages of states will adopt performance indicators for professional development, demonstrate a	Explanation: These data were	Consolidated State				

	nderstanding of such indicate collect data) for their indicat		to have been provided on the ESEA Consolidated Performance	Performance Report for School Year 2001-2002.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Report for School Year 2001- 2002. The report has yet to be submitted.	Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2002	
1998		50		- 2003	
1999	72	70		Data Available: 2004 Validated By: No Formal	
2000		90		Verification.	
2001		100			
2002		100			