## **Archived Information**

## Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program--State Grants Program and National Programs - 2002

**CFDA Numbers:** <u>84.184</u> - Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities\_National Programs

84.186 - Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants

Goal 8: To help ensure that all schools are safe, disciplined, and drug free by promoting implementation of high-quality drug and violence prevention programs.

Objective 8.1 of 4: Reduce the use and availablility of alcohol and drugs in schools.

Indicator 8.1.1 of 1: Drug use in schools: By 2001, rates of alcohol use in schools will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders, and rates of annualmarijuana use in schools for the same time period will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

Targets and Performance Data					
Rate of annual use of alcohol in school (in percentage)					
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets		
	8th Graders	12th Graders	8th Graders	12th Graders	
1994	5	8			
1995	5	7			
1996	6	8			
1997	5	8			
1998	5	8			
1999	4	7	5	8	
2000			5	8	
2001			4	7	
2002			4	7	
•					

Rate of annual use of marijuana and other drugs in school (in percentage)

(III percentage)				
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets	
	8th Graders 12th Graders		8th Graders	12th Graders
1994	4	8		·
1995	5	9		·
1996	6	10		·
1997	5	10		·
1998	5	8		·
1999	4	8	5	10
2000			4	8
2001			3	7
2002			3	7

Status: Unable to judge

**Progress:** Data for 2000, 2001, and 2002 are not available because no special analysis has been conducted of the Monitoring the Future Survey in those years.

Assessment of Progress

Explanation: Rates of substance use in school generally parallel but are much lower than overall rates of substance abuse by youth. Rates of alcohol use for all grade levels have remained relatively steady for many years and are, therefore, unlikely to decline in the near future. Marijuana use rates increased in the midnineties, but recently have been relatively steady and may have leveled off. No 2000, 2001, or 2002 are available as a special analysis of the Monitoring the Future Survey was not conducted for those years. In future years a new data source will be used that provides regularly collected data and the indicator will be redefined.

Sources and Data Quality

Additional Source Information: Monitoring the Future, 1999.

Frequency: Annually.

Validated By: NCES.

Limitations: According to NCES calculations, from 1976 to 1996 the total annual response rate for this survey varied between 46 percent and 67 percent. MTF does not release its data on inschool use: special runs for these data are generally not available until the spring of the year following the December release of other MTF data. MTF does not collect data for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders on drug use in school in a way that allows data to be compared across the three grades.

Objective 8.2 of 4: Reduce number of criminal and violent incidents in schools.

Indicator 8.2.1 of 1: Violent incidents in schools: By 2003, the proportion of high school students in a physical fight on school property will decrease, and the annual rate of students agest 12 to 18 who report experiencing serious violent crime, in school or going to and from school, will decrease.

	Targets and Performanc	e Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of high school students who reported being involved in a physical fight on school property in the past year		Status: Unable to judge  Progress: Target was met for	Additional Source Information: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS),	
Year 1995 1997 1999 2001	Actual Performance  16 15 14 12.50  dents ages 12 to 18 who rep	Performance Targets  12	2001. Data for the next reporting period (2003) will not be available until December 2003.  Explanation: Measure 1: The percentage of students reporting being in a fight at school had declined since 1995. Standard error for 2001 data is +/- 1%.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2001, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2000.  Frequency: Biennially. Collection Period: 2003 Data Available: December 2003 Validated By: Federal Statistical Agencies.
serious vio	lent crim in schools or going			Statistical Agencies.
	lent crim in schools or going			Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially
serious vio (per 1000 s	lent crim in schools or going students)	to and from schools  Performance		Statistical Agencies. <b>Limitations:</b> YRBS data
serious vio (per 1000 s <b>Year</b>	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance	to and from schools  Performance		Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in
year 1994	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13	to and from schools  Performance		Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003
year 1994 1995	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13 9	to and from schools  Performance		Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in 2004. While most NCVS data are reported the year after collection, in-
year 1994 1996	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13  9  9	to and from schools  Performance		Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in 2004. While most NCVS data are reported the year after collection, inschool victimization data
year 1994 1995 1996	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13  9  9  8	to and from schools  Performance		Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in 2004. While most NCVS data are reported the year after collection, inschool victimization data is a special analysis with a delayed release. The
year 1994 1995 1996 1997	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13  9  9  8  9	Performance Targets		Statistical Agencies.  Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in 2004. While most NCVS data are reported the year after collection, inschool victimization data is a special analysis with a delayed release. The
year 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998	lent crim in schools or going students)  Actual Performance  13  9  9  8  9  7	Performance Targets		Limitations: YRBS data are collected biennially and reported in the year after collection; the 2003 data will be reported in 2004. While most NCVS data are reported the year after collection, inschool victimization data is a special analysis with a delayed release. The data collected in 2001 will

Objective 8.3 of 4: Increase the percentage of safe and drug free schools and communities grantees that achieve results-based goals.

Indicator 8.3.1 of 1: Grantee progress: By 2002, National Programs grantees will demonstrate substantial progress toward achieving their results based-goals and objectives established in their applications				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of grantees meeting their measurable goals and objectives		Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information: Review of	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Requirements for measuring progress toward goals and objectives have been incorporated into all applications	Frequency: Other. Collection Period: 2002 Data Available: January 2003
2001	84	75		
2002		85	for National Programs direct grants. No data are currently	
			available for 2002.	Validated By: On-Site Monitoring By ED.

## Objective 8.4 of 4: Provide crisis intervention assistance to school districts.

Indicator 8.4.1 of 1: Crisis intervention: By 2001, the Department will implement policies and procedures necessary to ensure rapid response to school districts seriously affected by crises that interfere with learning.			
Targets and Performance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
- No Targets And Performance Data -	Status: Unable to judge  Progress: Funding was approved by congress for this	Source: Other Other: Record/File. Additional Source	

	initiative in December 2000. Additional funding provided in FY 2002 appropriation. In 2001, ED initiated responses to eligible requests within 48 hours in 8 of 9 instances. No 2002 data are available.	Information: Review of program files and organizational plans  Frequency: Other. Collection Period: 2002 Data Available: January 2003 Validated By: On-Site Monitoring By ED.
--	--	---