Archived Information

Perkins Vocational and Technology Education (State Grants and Tech-Prep Indicators) - 2002

CFDA Numbers: <u>84.048</u> - Vocational Education_Basic Grants to States <u>84.243</u> - Tech-Prep Education

Goal 8: To increase access to and improve educational programs that strengthen education achievement, workforce preparation and lifelong learning.

Objective 8.1 of 3: Ensure that vocational concentrators, including special populations, will achieve state established academic standards.

Indicator 8.1.1 of 1: Academic Attainment: An increasing percentage of vocational concentrators, including special populations, will meet the core curriculum standards.

Targets and Performance Data Percentage of vocational concentrators meeting core curriculum standards			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality Source: Performance Report	
			Status: Unable to judge		
Year Actual Performance P		Performance Targets	Progress: 1999-2000 school year data were	Grantee Performance Report: 1830-0503 Vocational Technical	
	Percentage of vocational concentrators	Percentage of vocational concentrators	collected as part of the negotiation process with the states to establish a baseline and	Education Annual Performance and Financial Reports.	
1998	33		agreed-upon peformance targets. The 2000- 2001 school year data are the first year of	Program: Perkins Vocational and Technology Education .	
1999	45		performance data and will be used as the		
2000	44		basis for determining eligibility for incentive	Additional Source Information: National Data Bases	
2001	70	55	grants.	National Data Bases	
2002		72	Explanation: Performance reporting has shifted to a reliance on state accountability reports, as specified in the 1998 Perkins Act. Data for 1997-98 came from a small pilot study testing the new provisions. States began using new measurement approaches negotiated with ED in 1999-2000 to report for 2000-01. While states use different strategies for measuring academic attainment, they all use students (concentrators) as the unit of analysis and identify the percentage of	Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2002 - 2003 Data Available: March 2003 Validated By: No Formal Verification. Data quality continues to be a major component of the Data Quality Initiative (DQI) begun last year. A new verification and attestation process was implemented to improve the	
			students meeting state established standards. Performance data developed by states is reported to OVAE 90 days after termination of the grant, i.e., the 2002 data are reported by December 31, 2002. Attestation of data is completed within the following 90 days of States' submissions. Data for the 2002 program year will be available for the public	accuracy of the performance data. OVAE verified data by internal electronic consistency via instrumentation checks, expert sta analysis, and requiring double check and attestation of data by state directors. State data is also checked independently by	

Limitations: There is a substantial lag each year before performance data can be reported. Although state data is collected annually, local data are not received by the states until 4-6 months after completion of the school year. States participated in both a self- evaluation and peer review of their measures, definitions, data collection and reporting with assistance and training by OVAE by using data quality criteria, peer review process, ongoing technical assistance on strategies to improve measurement. The numbers provided in Actual Performance and Performance Targets do not represent a national average nor the results of any single national assessment, rather a composite of the diversity of the states, their measurement approaches and definitions that vary from state to state. Significant vary from state to state.	on or after March 31. Some dates were incorrect on the previous report.	ED/OVAE during onsite monitoring and State audit reviews.
consistency in measurement and reporting approaches.		lag each year before performance data can be reported. Although state data is collected annually, local data are not received by the states until 4-6 months after completion of the school year. States participated in both a self- evaluation and peer review of their measures, definitions, data collection and reporting with assistance and training by OVAE by using data quality criteria, peer review process, ongoing technical assistance on strategies to improve measurement. The numbers provided in Actual Performance and Performance Targets do not represent a national average nor the results of any single national assessment, rather a composite of the diversity of the states, their measures, measurement approaches and definitions that vary from state to state. Significant latitude was given states in the identification and development of baseline data for each of the Core Indicators and thus variability in results. Improvements: ED will work with states through the D/PQI to streamline data collection and verification and promote greater consistency in measurement and

Objective 8.2 of 3: Ensure that institutions, secondary and postsecondary, will offer programs with industry-recognized skill standards so that concentrators, including special populations, can earn skill certificates in these programs.

Indicator 8.2.1 of 1: Skills proficiencies: An increasing proportion of secondary and postsecondary institutions will offer programs in which vocational students can earn industry-recognized skill certificates. (Program measures to be reassessed in 2000 to reflect new law.)

Т

	Targets and Perform	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
	ge of secondary vocational concentra c standards, using state adopted appr		Status: Unable to judge	Source: Performance Report Grantee Performance Report:	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Progress: 1999-2000 school year data were collected as part of the negotiation process	1830-0503 Vocational Technical Education Annual Performance a	
1998	National or National or State Program Other AssessmentCompletionApproaches AssessmentCompletionApproaches		with the states to establish a baseline and agreed-upon performance targets. The 2000- 01 school year data are the first year performance data and were used as the basis	Financial Reports. Program: Perkins Vocational and Technology Education .	
1998	61.33 63.40 29.80 84.10		for determining eligibility for incentive grants.	Additional Source Information: National Skills Data Bases	
1555	00.40 20.00 04.10		Explanation: Performance reporting has		
	ge of secondary vocational concentra c standards, using state adopted appr		shifted to a reliance on state accountability reports, as specified in the 1998 Perkins Act. Data for 1998 came from a small pilot study	Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2002 - 2003 Data Available: March 2003	
Yea	r Actual Performance	Performance Targets	testing the new provisions. Data for 1999	Validated By: No Formal	
2000	0 39		were transitional, with states using data sources and approaches that existed before	Verification. Data quality continues to be a	
200 ⁻	1 61		the 1998 law. States began using new	major component of the Data	
2002	2	63	Beducation Department to report for 2000.	Quality Initiative (DQI) begun last year. A new verification and	
	ge of postsecondary vocational conce skill standards, using state recognized		Performance data developed by states is reported to OVAE 90 days after termination of the grant, i.e., the 2002 data is reported by	attestation process was implemented to improve the accuracy of the performance data	
Year	r Actual Performance	Performance Targets	December 31, 2002. Attestation of data is completed within the following 90 days of	OVAE verified data by internal electronic consistency via	
	State Assessment Completion Ot	State her AssessmentCompletionOthe	States' submissions. Data for the 2002 program year will be available for the public	instrumentation checks, expert sta analysis, and requiring double	
1998	8 59.30 87.30 65	.10	on or after March 31. Data for 1998 and 1999 have been revised and updated since the	check and attestation of data by State directors. State data are als	
1999	9 73.90 76.70 62	60	previous report. States can update and revise	checked independently by	
	ge of postsecondary vocational conce skill standards, using state recognized		their performance information when more data become available.	ED/OVAE during onsite monitorin and state audit reviews.	
Yea	r Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Limitations: There is a substantial lag each year before performance	
2000	0 76			data can be reported. Although	
2002	1 76			state data is collected annually, local data are not received by the	
2002	2	76		states until 4 to 6 months after	
				completion of the school year. Th Education Department will work with states through the DQI to streamline data collection and verification and to promote great	

	Performance and Performance Targets do not represent a national average nor the results of any single national assessment. Rather a composite of the diversity of the states, their measures, measurement approaches and definitions that vary from state to state. Significant latitude was given states in the identification and development of baseline data for each of the Core Indicators and thus variability in results.
--	---

Objective 8.3 of 3: Ensure that concentrators, including special populations, make transitions to continuing education, work or other career options.

aipiomas	s, enter po	stsecondary pro	grains, or alla	in empic	byment.			
Targets and Performance Data						Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percentage of vocational concentrators who have completed high school and transitioned to postsecondary education or employment						Status: Unable to judge	Source: Performance Report	
Year	High School Completio	chool Adm. Record Employment		Performance Targets Placement in Postsecondary Placement in Education and Postsecondary or/ Education High Employment and/or School Adm. Record Employment Completion Exchange Survey		n ry Placement in Id Postsecondary Education t and/or d Employment	Progress: 1999-2000 school data were collected as part of the negotiation process with the states to establish a baseline and agreed-upon performance targets. The 2000-01 school year data are the first year of performance data and will be used as the basis for determining eligibility for incentive grants.	Grantee Performance Report: 1810-0503 Annual Performance Reporting Format for OIE Formula Grants to LEAs. Additional Source Information: National Data Bases
1998 1999	83.80 77.40					Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2002 -		
Percentage of vocational concentrators who have completed high school and transitioned to postsecondary education or employment					transitioned to	accountability reports, as specified in the 1998 Perkins Act. Data for 1997-98 came from a small pilot study testing the new provisions. Data for 1998-99 are transitional, with states using data	2003 Data Available: March 2003 Validated By: No Formal Verification. Attestation and Audit Data	
Year Actual Performance Performance Targets		nce Targets						
		High School Completion	Placeme Postseco Education Employr	ndary and/or	High School Completion	Placement in Postsecondary Education and/or Employment	sources and approaches that existed before the 1998 law. Data collected for 1999-2000 will be the first year the data will be reported based on the Education Department-negotiated measures. Performance data developed by States	quality continues to be a major component of the Data Quality Initiative (DQI) begun last year. A new verification and attestation process was implemented to improve the
20	00	80	79				is reported to OVAE 90 days after	accuracy of the performance

Indicator 8.3.1 of 2: Secondary student outcomes: An increasing proportion of vocational concentrators, including special populations, will attain high school diplomas, enter postsecondary programs, or attain employment.

2001	84	84			termination of the grant, i.e., the 2002	data. OVAE verified data by
2002			84	84	data will be reported by December 31, 2002. Attestation of data is completed within the following 90 days of States' submissions. Data for the 2002 program year will be available for the public on or after March 31.	internal electronic consister via instrumentation checks, expert staff analysis, and requiring double check and attestation of data by State directors. State data is also checked independently by ED/OVAE during onsite monitoring and State audit reviews.
						Limitations: There is a substantial lag each year before performance data ca be reported. In addition, states collect placement da from 6 months to 1 year aft the school year resulting in further lag in data reporting Limited access to federal d bases (e.g. military/defense and issues related to FERF and use of social security numbers is also a great barrier to both accurate
						reporting and completeness of data. The numbers provided in Actual Performance and Performance Targets do nor represent a national average nor the results of any single national assessment. Rath a composite of the diversite the states, their measures, measurement approaches and definitions that vary from state to state. Significant
						latitude was given states in the identification and development of baseline d for each of the Core Indicators and thus variabi in results. Improvements: Ongoing technical assistance is bein provided through the DQI t

address these challenges. These include but are not limited to in-state cooperative agreements and national resources such as the Peer Collaborative Resource Network(PCRN) for sharing of methods, techniques, and research.

Indicator 8.3.2 of 2: Postsecondary student outcomes: Increasing proportions of postsecondary vocational students, including special populations, will have a positive placement in one or more of the following categories of outcomes: retention in and completion of a postsecondary degree or certificate, placement in military service, or placement or retention in employment. Targets and Performance Data Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Quality Status: Unable to judge Source: Performance Percentage of postsecondary vocational concentrators who have completed postsecondary Report education and have a positive placement in military or employment Progress: 1999-2000 school data were **Grantee Performance** Actual Performance Year Performance Targets collected as part of the negotiation Report: 1810-0503 Annual Placement process with the states to establish a Performance Reporting Placement Format for OIE Formula Postsecondary in Military or baseline and agreed-upon performance Postsecondary in Military or Degree/CertificateEmployment Placement targets. The 2000-01 school year data Degree/CertificateEmployment Placement Grants to LEAs. Adm. in Military or Adm. in Military or are the first year of performance data Completion Completion Employment and will be used as the basis for Administrative Record Employment Administrative Record Additional Source Data Survey Data Exchange Survey determining eligibility for incentive Information: National Data Exchange grants. Bases 1998 55.90 81.90 87.70 32.80 86.20 78.10 1999 Explanation: States used various Frequency: Annually. measurement approaches for Collection Period: 2002 postsecondary completion and 2003 Percentage of postsecondary vocational concentrators who have completed postsecondary placement, i.e. wage record exchanges, Data Available: June education and have a positive placement in military or employment. administrative record exchanges and Validated By: No Formal **Actual Performance** surveys to indicate completion and Year **Performance Targets** Verification. placement performance. Results were Data quality continues to be a Postsecondary Placement in Placement collected through the CAR instrument major component of the Data Degree/ Military or Postsecondary in Military or on current performance and matched to Quality Initiative (DQI) begun Certificate/Completion Employment Degree/Certificate/CompletionEmployment previously identified targets. State actual last year. A new verification 32 82 2000 and target differences were matched and attestation process was and aggregated. Performance data implemented to improve the 37 84 2001 developed by States is reported to accuracy of the performance 39 84 2002 OVAE 90 days after termination of the data. OVAE verified data by grant, i.e., the 2002 data will be reported internal electronic consistency by December 31, 2002. Attestation of via instrumentation checks. data is completed within the following 90 expert staff analysis, and days of States' submissions. Data for requiring double check and the 2002 program year will be available attestation of data by State

for the public on or after March 31. Military data has been dropped for the 2001-2002 program year. Previous data years have been corrected to reflect end of full year.directors. State data is also checked independently by ED/OVAE during onsite monitoring and State audit reviews.Limitations: There is a substantial lag each year before performance data can be reported. In addition, states collect placement data from 6 months to 1 year after the school year resulting in a further lag in data reporting. Limited access to federal data bases (e.g. military/defense) and use of social security numbers is also a great barrier to both accurate reporting and completeness of data. The numbers provided in Actual Performance and Performance and Performance and sysingie national assessment, rather a composches and definitions that vary from state to state. Significant latitude was given states in the identification and development of baseline data for each of the Core indicators and thus variability in results.
--