## **Archived Information**

## **Migrant Education - 2002**

CFDA Number: 84.011 - Migrant Education\_State Grant Program

Goal 8: To assist all migrant students in meeting challenging academic standards and achieving graduation from high school (or a GED program) with an education that prepares them for responsible citizenship

Objective 8.1 of 1: Along with other federal programs and state and local reform efforts, the Migrant Education Program will contribute to improved school performance of migrant children.

Indicator 8.1.1 of 4: Inclusion in State Assessments: In an increasing number of states, an increasing percentage of

	8.1.1 of 4: Inclusion in Sta students will be included i				creasing number of states, an ir	creasing percentage of			
	Targets and Perform	ance Dat	ta		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Number	of states meeting performan	ce target		Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source				
Year	Actual Performance					Information: Consolidated State			
	States Percent States that of meetingreported students target results assessed		that reported	Percent of students assessed	not available to report directly on the performance indicator, in 2000, 56, 091 migrant students were reported as tested in 27	Performance Report.  Frequency: Annually. Collection Period:			
2000	50	52	52	50	states. In 2001, 85,729 migrant	2002			
2001	50	52	52	50	students were reported as tested in 26 states. For	Data Available: March 2003			
2002	50	52	52	50	elementary reading: 2000 17,389, 2001-22,759; elementary math: 2000-14,513, 200123,634; middle school reading: 2000-13,542, 2001- 19,623; middle school math: 2000-10,647, 2001-19,713.  Explanation: Some of the data for 2000 and 2001 are missing and not expected. Specifically, although many states did report the numbers of migrant students tested in each grade assessed, most states did not report the number of migrant students enrolled in the grade level(s) tested. Thus, ED was not able to calculate percentages of migrant students tested for reporting on the inclusion of migrant students in state assessments. 2002 data are pending and expected.	Validated By: No Formal Verification. ED Contractor Limitations: Initially,			
						the percentage of migrant students tested will have to be calculated using the total number of migrant students who 'participated' in the MEP during the regular term at the appropriate grade level rather than the total number of migrant children in residence in a state during the regular term in the appropriate grade level.  Improvements: Data on the total number of "resident" migrant			
						students will be requested for inclusion in the next revised version of the Consolidated State Performance Report. However, ED staff plan to delete this indicator from the GPRA plan in 2004 as it focuses on a 'process' indicator (instead of a results indicator).			

Indicator 8.1.2 of 4: Meeting or Exceeding State Performance Standards: In an increasing number of states, an increasing percentage of migrant students will meet or exceed the proficient level on state assessments.

Number of States meeting performance target in reading Elementary.								
Year	Actı	ıal Perfo	rmance	Perfo	ormance	Targets		
		results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient		results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient		
1996	4	10	50	52	52	50		
1997	4	15	50	52	52	50		
1998	7	18	50	52	52	50		
1999	2	19	50	52	52	50		
2000	5	26	50	52	52	50		
2001	6	23	50	52	52	50		
2002				52	52	50		

Targets and Performance Data

Number of States meeting performance target in reading-Middle.

····aa.										
Year	Actu	al Perfo	rmance	Perfo	ormance	Targets				
	States meeting	results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient		results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient				
1996	2	10	50	52	52	50				
1997	3	15	50	52	52	50				
1998	6	18	50	52	52	50				
1999	4	18	50	52	52	50				
2000	2	23	50	52	52	50				
2001	7	21	50	52	52	50				
2002				52	52	50				

Number of States meeting performance target in Math-Elementary.

	511tu. y .					
Year	Actu	al Perfo	rmance	Performance Targets		
	States meeting	results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient	States meeting	results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient
1996	4	10	50	52	52	50

Assessment of Progress

Status: Unable to judge

Progress: Over the six years reported, this indicator shows a general trend increase in the number of states disaggregating migrant students performance in reading at the elementary & middle school level. Measure 1: The number of states reporting that 50% or more of those migrant students tested scored at or above the proficient level on those tests remains relatively flat. Measure 2: The number of states reporting that 50% or more of those migrant students tested at or above proficient on those tests has risen. Measure 3: The number of states reporting that 50% or more of those migrant students tested at or above proficient on those tests has risen. Measure 4: The number of states reporting ths 50% or more of those migrant students tested scored at or above the proficient level on those tests remains relatively flat.

Explanation: 2002 data are pending and expected. Numbers have been corrected since the previous report and an additional column (States that reported results for migrant students) has been added to additional clarity.

Sources and Data Quality

Additional Source Information:
Consolidated State

Performance Report.

Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2001 - 2002 Data Available: March

2003 Validated By: No

Validated By: No Formal Verification. ED contractor

Limitations: The states reporting assessment data for migrant students are fluctuating from on year to the next. As such the indicator does not represent performance on the same states from one year to the next.

Improvements: It is expected that this indicator will become reliable as the state assessment systems become more stable.

1997	5	15	50	52	52	50
1998	9	18	50	52	52	50
1999	6	19	50	52	52	50
2000	7	25	50	52	52	50
2001	10	23	50	52	52	50
2002				52	52	50

Number of States meeting performance target in Math--Middle.

	5, 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3								
Year	Actu	ıal Perfo	rmance	Perf	ormance	Targets			
	States meeting	results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient	States meeting	results for migrant	Percentage of students who test at or above proficient			
1996	3	10	50	52	52	50			
1997	3	15	50	52	52	50			
1998	7	18	50	52	52	50			
1999	4	18	50	52	52	50			
2000	2	22	50	52	52	50			
2001	4	20	50	52	52	50			
2002		•		52	52	50			

Indicator 8.1.3 of 4: Targeting of "Priority for Service" Students: An increasing number of "priority for service" migrant students will receive MEP services in both the regular and summer-terms.

	Targets and Performance	e Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality					
Numbers o	f "Priority for Service" Student	S	Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source					
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Progress:</b> Progress toward target is likely. Under section	Information: Consolidated State Performance Report.					
	Summer- Regular-Term Term	Regular- Summer- Term Term	1304(d), migrant students who are failing, or most at risk of	Frequency: Annually.					
1999	242,138 172,247		failing to meet the states' challenging state content and	Collection Period: 2001 - 2002 Data Available: March					
2000	268,405 196,667		state student performance						
2001	300,197 237,739		standards, and whose education has been interrupted	2003 Experienced					
			during the regular school year (rather than during the summer) have a priority for services under the MEP. The indicator examines whether there is an increase over time in the numbers of such 'priority for services' students receiving either regular-term or summerterm, MEP services. 2001 data are based on an initial draft report and changes to the totals may occur during the data review process.  Explanation: 2002 data are pending and expected.	Public/Private entity. Data and tabulations are validated by internal review procedures of an ED contractor.  Limitations: The percentage of priority students served (by type of service and by the intensity of such services) would provide a much better indication of how effective MEPs are targeting services.  Improvements: In order to calculate the percentage of 'priority					

for service' migrant students who receive services, data on the total number of 'priority for service' migrant students will be requested for inclusion in the next revised version of the Consolidated State Performance Report. However, ED staff plan to delete this indicator from the GPRA plan in 2004 as it focuses on a 'process' indicator (instead of a results indicator).

Indicator 8.1.4 of 4: Coordination with Title 1, Part A, Programs: In an increasing number of states, an increasing percentage of migrant students will receive services in School wide or Targeted Assistance Programs funded in part or wholly by Title 1, Part A.

part c	r wholl	y by Title	1, Part A.							
		Target	ts and Perfo	rmance [	Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
	Number of States meeting Performance Target of Students Served.						Status: Unable to judge	Additional Source Information:		
	States meeting target	States that reported results for g migrant	ormance	Perfo	States that reported results for migrant	<b>Targets</b> Percentage	Progress: This indicator examines the degree to which migrant students recieve Title 1 part A services. The indicator suggests that less than 25% of the states provide Title 1 services to 50 percent or more of their migrant children.  Explanation: 2002 data are pending and expected. Numbers in data fields were corrected since the previous report and an additional column (States that reported results for migrant students) was added for clarity.			
								'process' indicator (instead of a results indicator).		