## **Archived Information**

## Developing Hispanic-Serving Institutions, Title V (Aid for Institutional Development, Titles III and V) - 2002

CFDA Number: 84.031S Strengthening Hispanic-Serving Institutions

Goal 8: To assist Hispanic-serving insitutions that have limited resources and that traditionally serve large numbers of low-income and Hispanic students to continue to serve these students, and to improve the capacity of these insititutions to provide ongoing, up-to-date quality education in all areas of higher education.

Objective 8.1 of 2: Improve the academic quality of participating institutions.

Indicator 8.1.1 of 1: Graduation rates: Completion rates for all full-time, degree-seeking students in Title V 4-year and 2-year colleges will increase over time.						
Targets and Performance Data				Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
The percentage of full-time, degree-seeking students at Title V institutions completing a 4-year degree within 6 years and a 2-year degree, certificate, or transferring to a 4-year school within 3 years			years and	Status: Unable to judge  Progress: Although graduation rates have improved over 1998  Source: NCES Survey/Assessment Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondary		
Year	Actual Pe	Actual Performance Performance 4-yea	evels, there is a decline (4%) at 4-year institutions, but an increase (4.66%) at 2-year	Education Data System. References: 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000		
	4-year	2-year	4-year	2-year	institutions compared to the previous year. This progress	Graduation Rate Surveys (GRS).
1998	32.80	19.20			indicator will be eliminated. The	(GNG).
1999	36.90	17.70			new progress indicator will be based on the Performance	Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2001
2000	37.40	17.70			Measurement System, with	- 2002
2001	33.40	22.36			expected results available in	<b>Data Available:</b> January 2004
			March, 2003.  Explanation representative 2000 cohort of Although prior that grantees funds in 1998 rates for provided for regraduation rates actual graduation rates actual graduation rates for provided for remaining series and provided for returning series and provided for retu	Explanation: The data is representative of the 1999 and 2000 cohort of Title V grantees. Although prior to the time period that grantees actually received funds in 1999, the graduation rates for proceeding years are provided for reference. These graduation rates understate actual graduation rates, as this analysis only includes the full-time, first-time cohort of students enrolled in degree-seeking (or certificate) programs, and only at the Title V institutions students initially attended. As a result, the graduation rates presented here do not include part-time, transfer, or returning students, which represent a significant portion of the student body at Title V	Validated By: NCES.  Limitations: In 2000-2001 data were voluntarily submitted by 70% of 4-year Title V institutions and 98% of 2-year Title V institutions. In addition, the data tend to be several years old.  Improvements: ED is currently implementing a new Performance Measurement System that will collect data that is more relevant to the impact that Title V projects have on academic quality at grantee institutions. Title V grantees are reporting to the measurement system for the first time and aggregate 2002 data will be available March, 2003.	

Objective 8.2 of 2: Improve the fiscal stability of participating institutions.

I	Indicator 8.2.1 of 2: Fiscal balance: The percentage of Title V institutions having a positive fiscal balance will
ı	increase over time.

increase over time.					
	Targets and Performan	ce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
The percei	ntage of Title V institutions v	vith a positive fiscal	Status: Unable to judge Progress: The 1999-00 data established a baseline for the Title V program with the goal of  Source: NCES Survey/Assessment: Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondar Education Data System.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Integrated Postsecondary	
	Public Private Institutions Institutions	Public Private InstitutionsInstitutions	continuous improvement. The percentage of public institutions	References: Finance Survey.	
2000	53.60 87.50		having a positive fiscal balance has increased, although the	Frequency: Annually.	
2001	70.51 75		percentage of private institutions	Collection Period: 2001	
			has decreased. The number of private institutions included in this analysis increased from 9 institutions to 24, which may account for the decreased percentage of private institutions having a positive fiscal balance. In addition, the downturn in the national economy has had a profound impact on the fiscal operations at institutions of higher education.    Explanation: 108 Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI) received funding under the Title V program in 1999 and 2000. 84	Data Available: January 2004 Validated By: NCES. Data validated by NCES review and NCES statistical standards.  Limitations: Data tend to be several years old.  Improvements: New Performance Measurement System will provide more relevant data on the impact of Title V grants on fiscal stability.	

Indicator 8.2.2 of 2: Endowment: The percentage of Title V institutions having an endowment will increase over time.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
The percentage of Title V institutions with a positive endowment.			Status: Unable to judge	Source: NCES Survey/Assessment
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	year, the percentage of Title V public institutions with a positive endowment has increased by	Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. References: Finance Survey.
	Public Institutions	Public Institutions		
2000	46.70			
2001	49.40		Explanation: Of the 83 public	survey conducted as part of the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS).  Frequency: Annually.
			Title V institutions completing the IPEDS financial survey, 41 institutions reported a positive endowment and 42 either did not report endowment information, or did not have an endowment. Data has been corrected to only include institutions reporting data	
			include institutions reporting data to IPEDS and only institutions receiving funds during the	Collection Period: 2001 - 2002 Data Available: January

reporting year.	2004  Validated By: NCES.  Data validated by NCES review and NCES  Statistical Standards.
	Limitations: Data tend to be several years old. Data on endowment balances of private institutions is not available at this time.