Archived Information

Aid for Institutional Development, Title III (Aid for Institutional Development, Titles III and V) - 2002

CFDA Numbers: 84.031 - Higher Education_Institutional Aid

84.031B Strengthening HBCU's and Strengthening Historically Black Graduate Institutions

84.031N Strengthening Alaska Native and Native Hawaaiian-Serving Institutions

84.031T Strengthening Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities

84.120A Minority Science and Engineering Improvement

Goal 8: To assist institutions that have limited resources and that traditionally serve large numbers of low-income and minority students to continue to serve these students, and to improve the capacity of these institutions to provide on going, up-todate quality education in all areas of higher education.

Objective 8.1 of 2: Improve the academic quality of participating institutions.

Indicator 8.1.1 of 1: Graduation Rates: Completion rates for all full-time, degree-seeking students in Title III 4-year and 2-year colleges will increase over time.

Targets and Performance Data					Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
The percentage of full-time, degree-seeking students at Title III institutions completing a 4-year degree within 6 years and a 2-year degree, certificate, or transferring to a 4-year school within 3 years.				years and	Status: Unable to judge Progress: Although graduation rates have improved over 1998	Source: NCES Survey/Assessment Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondary
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets		levels, there is a slight decline (.09%) at 4-year institutions, but an increase (2.04%) at 2-year	Education Data System. References: Graduation Rate Surveys (GRS).
	4-Year 2-Year 4-Year 2-Year institutions compared to the	institutions compared to the	,			
1996	37	18			previous year. This progress F indicator will be eliminated. The C	Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2001
1997	35	18			new progress indicator will be	- 2002
1998	34	21.50			Measurement System, with expected results available in March, 2003. Explanation: These graduation rates understate actual graduation rates, as this analysis only includes the full-time, first- time cohort of students enrolled in degree-seeking (or certificate) programs, and only at the Title III institutions students initially attended. As a result, the graduation rates presented here do not include part-time, transfer, or returning students, which represent a significant portion of the student body at Title III institutions.	Data Available: January 2004
1999	31.80	20.70				Validated By: NCES.
2000	35.40	21.70				Limitations: In 2000-
2001	35.31	23.74				Improvements: ED is currently implementing a new Performance Measurement System that will collect data that is more relevant to the impact that Title III projects have on academic quality at grantee institutions. Title III grantees are reporting to the measurement system for the first time and aggregate 2002 data will be available March,

Objective 8.2 of 2: Improve the fiscal stability	v of participating institutions.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	d Performance Data The pe having a positive fiscal bala		Status: Unable to judge Progress: The 1996-97 data established a baseline for the Title III program with the goal of	Source: NCES Survey/Assessment Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		
	Public Instructions	Public Instructions	continuous improvement. In 2000	References: Finance
1997	62.60	94.30	and 2001 there was a decline from the preceeding years.	Survey. Frequency: Annually. Collection Period: 2001 - 2002 Data Available: January 2004 Validated By: On-Site Monitoring By ED. Data validated by NCES review and NCES statistical standards. Limitations: Data tend to be several years old. Improvements: New Performance Measurement System will provide more relevant data on the impact of Title III grants on fiscal stability.
1998	70.80	89.50	Explanation: The percentage of public institutions has increased, although the percentage of private institutions has decreased. The number of private institutions included in this analysis has decreased significantly over time, which may be account, in part, for the decreased percentage of private institutions having a positive fiscal balance. In addition, the downturn in the national economy has had a profound impact on the fiscal operations at institutions of higher education.	
1999	72.80	87.30		
2000	67.10	83.80		
2001	67.80	58.67		

Indicator 8.2.2 of 2: Endowment: The percentage of Title III institutions having an endowment will increase over time.

				1
	Targets and Performanc	e Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
The percen endowment	tage of Title III institutions h t	aving a positive	Status: Unable to judge	Source: NCES Survey/Assessment Survey/Assessment: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Title III public institutions with a	
	Public Institutions	Public Institutions	increased by 11.53 percentage	References: Finance
1997	47.60		points since 1997.	Survey.
1998	53.20		Explanation: Of the 208 Title III	Frequency: Annually.
1999	54		institutions completing the IPEDS financial survey for public	Collection Period: 2001
2000	59.20		institutions, 123 institutions	Data Available: January
2001	59.13		reported a positive endowment	2004
			and 85 either did not report endowment information, or did not have an endowment. Data has been corrected to only include institutions reporting data to IPEDS and only institutions receiving funds during the reporting year.	Validated By: NCES. Data validated by NCES review and NCES Statistical Standards. Limitations: Data tend to be several years old. Data on endowment

	balances of private institutions is not available at this time.
	Improvements: New Performance Measurement System will provide more relevant data on the impact of Title III grants on fiscal stability.