Archived Information

GALLAUDET UNIVERSITY

Goal: To challenge students who are deaf, graduate students who are deaf, and graduate students who are hearing, to achieve their academic goals and obtain productive employment, provide leadership in setting the national standard for best practices in education of the deaf and hard of hearing, and establish a sustainable resource base.

Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives: Gallaudet University's programs and activities, including those at the Model Secondary School for the Deaf and the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School, support Objectives 1.4 (talented and dedicated teachers in every classroom), 2.1 (children ready to learn), 2.2 (every child reads well and independently), 2.3 (every eighth grader masters challenging math), 2.4 (special populations participate in appropriate services and assessments), 3.1 (secondary students get the information, skills, and support needed to prepare for postsecondary education), and 3.4 (through lifelong learning, adults can enhance their skills and earning power).

FY 2000—\$85,980,000

FY 2001—\$87,650,000 (Requested budget)

OBJECTIVE 1: UNIVERSITY PROGRAMS AND THE MODEL SECONDARY SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND THE KENDALL DEMONSTRATION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WILL OPTIMIZE THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS COMPLETING PROGRAMS OF STUDY.

Indicator 1.1 Enrollment at Gallaudet University: Maintain a minimum enrollment of 1,250 undergraduate and 700 graduate students; 70 students in				
professional studies; 225 students at the Model Secondary School; and 140 students at the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School.				
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Undergraduate enrollment		Status: Undergraduate and Professional Studies targets met. Positive movement toward targets	Source: Office of Enrollment Services records, FY 2000 enrollment as of October 1999;	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	in Graduate, Model Secondary School, and	summarized in Gallaudet's annual report,
FY 1998:	1,339		Kendall School enrollments.	submitted in 2000.
FY 1999:	1,300	1,250	Trendam sensor emonments.	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2000:	1,318	1,250	Explanation: The number of undergraduates has	Next Update: Fall 2000.
FY 2001:		1,250	increased beyond the target number, and the	
Graduate enrollment		number of students in professional programs met	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by	
FY 1998:	714		the 1999 target level. Graduate student	Gallaudet University. No formal verification
FY 1999:	628	700	enrollment numbers dropped slightly in both FY 1999 and FY 2000. Enrollment numbers in the	procedure applied.
FY 2000:	541	700	Model School and the Kendall School dropped	Limitations of Data and Planned
FY 2001:		700	in FY 1999, but increased in FY 2000 just	Improvements: None.
Professional studies enrollment			slightly shy of their respective target levels.	Improvements. None.
FY 1998:	92		Gallaudet has established minimum enrollment	
FY 1999:	70	70	targets based on longstanding enrollment targets	
FY 2000:	86	70	and historical trends recognizing that actual	
FY 2001:		70	figures may vary from year to year.	

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Model School	l enrollment			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		
FY 1998:	224	1 cromance ranges		
FY 1999:	209	225		
FY 2000:	219	225		
FY 2001:		225		
Kendall Scho	ol enrollment			
FY 1998:	137			
FY 1999:	117	140		
FY 2000:	135	140		
FY 2001:		140		
Indicator 1. School/Ken	dall School.		ntion rate and maintain a minimum retention rate	
	Targets and Perfor	mance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Undergradua	te retention rate		Status: There is positive movement toward the	Source: Office of the Enrollment Services
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	undergraduate retention rate in 1999. Model and	records, summarized in the FY 1999 annual
FY 1998:	72%		Kendall exceeded the 90 percent target in 1999.	report, submitted in 2000.
FY 1999:	73%	75%	E-mlamatiana Tha and denote not not not	Frequency: Annually. Next Update: FY 2000. Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
FY 2000:	70,0	76%	Explanation: The undergraduate retention rate	
FY 2001:		77%	target for FY 1999 was 75 percent, and the actual	
Model School and Kendall School retention rate		rate was 73 percent.	Gallaudet University. No formal verification	
FY 1998:	85%			procedure applied.
FY 1999:	92%	90%		Tital CD 4 1DI 1
FY 2000:		90%		Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Gallaudet plans to analyze studies on factors related to graduation and completion and incorporate appropriate strategies into the university's Retention Improvement Plan.
FY 2001:		90%		
Indicator 1.		The undergraduate graduation	rates at the university will increase. The Mode	el School graduation rate will be
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
			Status: The undergraduate graduation rate target	Source: Office of the Registrar records for
	ndergraduate retention rate		was met. For the Model School, there was a	collegiate enrollments and Office of Exemplary
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	negative trend away from target.	Programs and Research records for Model and
FY 1998:	41%			Kendall students, summarized in the FY 1999
FY 1999:	42%	41%		annual report, submitted in 2000.
FY 2000:		42%		Frequency: Annually.
FY 2001:		43%		Next Update: FY 2000.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Model School retention rate		Explanation: The Model School has implemented a new "fifth year option" for	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by Gallaudet University. No formal verification	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	seniors who are not ready to graduate. This	procedures applied.
FY 1998:	93%		action is the result of a decision to make the	procedures applied.
FY 1999:	88%	94%	Model School graduation requirements more	Limitations of Data and Planned
FY 2000:		94%	rigorous. The graduation rate for FY 1999 is 5	Improvements: None.
FY 2001:		94%	percent lower than for FY 1998 because several	r
			seniors who would have graduated in the past	
			year will instead return for a fifth year. In future	
			years, when calculating graduation rates, the	
			Model School will incorporate a 2-year window	
			(students graduating in the fourth and fifth years)	
			at which time we expect that the graduation rate	
			will again be consistent with the stated targets.	

OBJECTIVE 2: CURRICULUM AND EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES PREPARE STUDENTS TO MEET THE SKILL REQUIREMENTS OF THE WORKPLACE OR TO CONTINUE THEIR STUDIES.

Indicator 2.1 Employment and advanced studies opportunities at the University: Ninety-five percent of Gallaudet's Bachelor Degree graduates will either find employment or attend graduate school during their first year after graduation; 50 percent of the Gallaudet students will apply to and be accepted into programs of advanced study beyond the baccalaureate degree.

Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Graduates in jobs or graduate school during first year after graduation (%)			Status: Target exceeded in 1999.	Source: University studies on the status of graduates' employment, and results of employer
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: The current levels of 98 percent	surveys, February 2000, and the graduate
FY 1998:	95% (1996 grads. in 1997)		and 52 percent, respectively, are quite high for	followup studies, February 2000.
FY 1999:	98% (1997 grads. in 1998)	95% (1997 grads. in 1998)	college graduates. Gallaudet recognizes that	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2000:		95% (1998 grads. in 1999)	there will be variations in the above rates from	Next Update: 2000.
FY 2001:		95% (1999 grads. in 2000)	year to year, but believes that targets of 95	
Graduates accepted into advanced studies programs (%)			percent and 50 percent, respectively, represent	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
FY 1998:	48% (1996 grads. in 1997)		reasonable long-term targets and intends to	Gallaudet University. No formal verification
FY 1999:	52% (1997 grads. in 1998)	50% (1997 grads. in 1998)	maintain these levels during the 2000-2002 period.	procedure applied.
FY 2000:		50% (1998 grads. in 1999)	period.	Limitations of Data and Planned
FY 2001:		50% (1999 grads. in 2000)		Improvements: None.

OBJECTIVE 3: RESEARCH CONDUCTED CONTRIBUTES TO HIGH-QUALITY, STATE-OF-THE-ART EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR DEAF AND HARD-OF-HEARING INDIVIDUALS.

Indicator 3.1 Public input satisfaction: Conduct periodic assessments to determine whether the university and the Model and Kendall Schools are obtaining appropriate public input on all deafness-related research, development, and demonstration activities from infancy through adulthood, and to determine levels of satisfaction for these activities.

Targets and Performance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Actual Performance:	Status: Unable to judge at this time.	Source: Report on Assessment of public input,
Baseline to be determined in FY 2000.		summarized in the FY 2000 Annual Report,
	Explanation: The amendments to the	submitted in 2001.
Performance Targets:	Education of the Deaf Act (October 1998)	Frequency: Periodically.
Target will be established upon receipt of baseline data.	included a new requirement for Gallaudet	Next Update: To be determined.
	University to seek public input on its deafness-	
	related research priorities. The University is	Validation Procedure: To be determined.
	working on a mechanism to assess information	
	gleaned from the field. Baseline information	Limitations of Data and Planned
	will be available in FY 2000 at which time a	Improvements: To be determined.
	target will be established.	

OBJECTIVE 4: GALLAUDET WORKS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH OTHERS TO DEVELOP AND DISSEMINATE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND MATERIALS FOR DEAF AND HARD-OF-HEARING STUDENTS.

Indicator 4.1 Use of the Demonstration Schools' expertise: Other programs and/or institutions adopting innovative curricula and other products, or modifying

their strategies as a result of Model and Kendall's leadership, will be maintained or increased. Targets and Performance Data Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Quality Programs adopting Model/Kendall Innovative strategies/curricula Status: Target exceeded in 1999. **Source:** FY 2000 Demonstration Schools Annual Actual Performance Report, submitted in 2001. Year **Performance Targets Explanation:** Although the target was Frequency: Annually. FY 1998: 41 exceeded in FY 1999, we have retained the Next Update: FY 2001. FY 1999: 52 No target set previously stated performance targets for FY FY 2000: 41 2000 and FY 2001 until we have more Validation Procedure: Data supplied by 41 FY 2001: experience with actual performance in this area. Gallaudet University. No formal verification procedure applied.

Limitations of Data and Planned

Improvements: None.

KEY STRATEGIES

Strategies Continued from 1999

- To address enrollment goals, the Model Secondary School for the Deaf and the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School will establish annual enrollment goals intended to achieve the requirements of the Education of the Deaf Act related to composition of the student body.
- ❖ In an effort to address retention issues, the University will incorporate strategies from studies conducted by Gallaudet into its Retention Improvement Plan and determine factors related to graduation completion rates.
- The University will disseminate information on employment status and additional education obtained by graduates to all academic and support departments to enhance their internal program reviews.
- The Model Secondary School and the Kendall Demonstration School will develop a comprehensive plan to provide students with transition skills, instruction, and exposure to workplace environments.
- The University will increase its support for implementation of research results on campus and at other universities.
- To address the research issue, the University and the Demonstration Schools will develop a strategy for determining field satisfaction related to its mechanisms for obtaining public input on research, development, and demonstration activities.

KEY STRATEGIES (CONTINUED)

Strategies Continued from 1999

- To further address the research issue, the Demonstration Schools will obtain public input on research related to best practices in the priority areas of family involvement, transition, and literacy.
- The Demonstration Schools will support research on their priorities by university faculty, Model and Kendall teachers, and staff through Gallaudet's internal "Request For Proposal" process.
- The Demonstration Schools will develop partnerships and collaborations with a wide variety of other school programs serving deaf and hard-of-hearing children to identify, develop, test, and disseminate information about best practices and effective educational innovations.
- * The Demonstration Schools will develop and implement a national communications network in collaboration with the Gallaudet University Regional Centers.
- Gallaudet will expand programs that best meet the needs of deaf and hard-of-hearing persons, their families, and the professionals who serve them.

New or Strengthened Strategies

N/A.

HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

- Gallaudet University coordinates with the Department of Education's (Office of Special Education Program) Postsecondary Education Programs Network to provide technical assistance and outreach services to institutions of higher education that serve students who are deaf and hard of hearing.
- The president of Gallaudet University is a member of the President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities.

CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

None.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

Adjusted

• Indicators 1.1 and 1.2 were combined; Indicators 3.1 and 3.2 were combined; and Indicator 4.2 expanded the research public input measure to also include the University research. Dropped

• Objective 2 and its Indicators 2.1 and 2.2, and, 3.3, 4.1, 5.1, 5.3, and 6.1 have been retained for internal management purposes and are no longer a part of this report.

From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year's)

Adjusted

The performance target for "percentage of graduates accepted into advanced studies programs" for FY 2000 changed from 25 percent to 50 percent.

Dropped—None.

New—None.