## **Archived Information**

## **BILINGUAL AND IMMIGRANT EDUCATION STATE GRANTS**

<b>Goal:</b> To help limited English proficient students learn English and reach high academic standards.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> To be determined.	1985	*	2000	*
	1990	*	2001	*
	1995	*	2002 (Requested)	\$460

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Does not reflect funding levels appropriated for the antecedent programs.

## **Program Description**

This program is designed: 1) To help local education agencies (LEAs) provide high-quality English language instruction to children and youth with limited English proficiency (LEP); and 2) to help such children and youth develop proficiency in English and meet the same challenging state content and performance standards in other curricular areas that all other children and youth are expected to do. The Administration is working with Congress to develop legislation that would streamline Federal assistance for limited English proficient (LEP) and immigrant students, provide for comprehensive planning and services, and ensure accountability. The program would enable States to effectively address the needs of LEP and immigrant students across the State, instead of dealing with these problems piecemeal through discretionary grants to school districts and institutions of higher education. Federal support would enable States to design and implement a State-wide response to the needs of these students.

## **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: IMPROVE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF STUDENTS SERVED BY TITLE VII OF THE BILINGUAL EDUCATION ACT.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		OF STUDENTS SERVED BY TITLE VII OF THE	*
Indicate	or 1.1 States demonstrate that t	the majority of LEP students in the	e State are becoming proficient in Englis	h within three years.
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Qua		
	-		Status: New program.	Source: State reported data.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Frequency: Unknown.
1999:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Explanation: New program.	Next collection update: Unknown.
2000:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Date to be reported: Unknown.
2001:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		
2002:		By 2003, at least 60 percent of		Validation Procedure: Not applicable.
		limited English proficient students		
		who have been enrolled in US		Limitations of Data and Planned
		schools for three or more		Improvements: Limitations: Unknown.
		consecutive academic years will be		Planned Improvements: Unknown.
		proficient in English.		
Indicate	or 1.2 States demonstrate that t	the majority of LEP students and f	formerly LEP students are meeting State	academic performance standards in core
subjects	S.			_
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
			Status: New program.	Source: State reported data.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Frequency: Unknown.
1999:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	<b>Explanation:</b> New program.	Next collection update: Unknown.
2000:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Date to be reported: Unknown.
2001:	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		
2002:		By 2003, at least 60 percent of		Validation Procedure: Not applicable.
		limited English proficient students		
		who have been enrolled in US		Limitations of Data and Planned
		schools for three or more academic		Improvements: Limitations: Unknown.
		years will meet State academic		Planned Improvements: Unknown.
		standards in core subjects.		