Archived Information

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS (NCES) STATISTICS AND ASSESSMENT

Goal: To collect, analyze, and disseminate information on the condition of education in the United States and to provide comparative international statistics.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (20 U.S.C. 9002, beginning	1985*	\$60	2000	\$108
with Sec. 403).	1990**	\$95	2001	\$120
	1995	\$81	2002 (Requested)	\$194

^{*}In FY 1985, funds for Statistics and Assessment were part of the \$60 million appropriated for the Education Research and Statistics program. Estimated funding for Statistics and Assessment is \$13 million.

Program Description

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) is the chief Federal entity engaged in collecting, analyzing, and reporting data related to education in the United States and other nations in order to promote and accelerate the improvement of American education. Its responsibilities are to collect, acquire, compile, and disseminate full and complete statistics on the condition and progress of education in the United States; conduct and publish reports and analyses on the meaning and significance of such statistics; conduct longitudinal studies, as well as regular and special data collection necessary to report on the progress and condition of education; assist public and private educational agencies and organizations to improve their statistical systems; and acquire and disseminate data on education activities and student achievement in the United States compared with foreign nations.

Six programs, each with a set of specific activities, make up the statistics budget: Institutional Census Surveys; Special Purpose Cross-Sectional Surveys; Longitudinal Surveys; International Studies; Statistical Standards and Reporting; and Training, Technical Assistance, and Dissemination. In addition, the budget supports the National Assessment of Educational Progress, a nationally representative assessment of student achievement in core subjects. State-representative assessments are also conducted.

The Assessment portion of the funding includes \$4 million for the National Assessment Governing Board' (NAGB), an independent, bipartisan group whose members include governors, state legislators, local and state school officials, educators, business representatives, and members of the general public. Congress created the 26-member Governing Board in 1988 to set policy for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)—commonly known as the "The Nation's Report Card."

^{**}In FY 1990, funds for Statistics and Assessment were part of the \$95 million appropriated for the Education Research and Statistics program. Estimated funding for the Statistics and Assessment is \$40 million.

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: PROVIDES TIMELY, USEFUL, AND COMPREHENSIVE DATA THAT ARE RELEVANT TO POLICY AND EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENT.

Indicator 1.1 Customer satisfaction: At least 85 percent of surveyed customers in 1999 and 90 percent in 2001 will agree that National Center for Education

	itor 1.1 Custometics (NCES) data			_	•	omers in 1999 and 90 percent in 2001 will ag	ree that National Center for Education
Statist				nance Data	ipi enensive.	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percen publica	tage of customer r				d with NCES	Status: The overall NCES customer satisfaction rating is 90 percent, which exceeds the	Source: NCES 1997 and 1999 Customer Satisfaction Survey (next survey: FY 2002).
Year	A	ctual Perfo	rmance		Performance Targets	performance target. NCES exceeded its target	Frequency: Hence forth, triennially.
	Comprehensive- ness	Timeline	ess	Utility		for NCES Services for both Timeliness and Utility.	Next collection update: Fall FY 2001. Date to be reported: January 2002.
1997:	88%	72%					
1998:	No Data Available	ailable Available Available			Explanation: NCES showed improvement in all areas of its performance data between 1997 and	Validation Procedure: Data was validated by using NCES review procedures and by applying	
1999:	91%	77%		89%	85%	1999. During the period, NCES focused on	NCES statistical standards.
2000:	No Data Available			Continuing Increase	improving the timeliness of its publications and data files. Significant progress was made in the	Limitations of Data and Planned	
2001:					Continuing Increase	Common Core of Data (CCD) Reports and the	Improvements: There are no data limitations.
2002:					90%	National Assessment of Educational Progress	
Percen	tage of customer r	espondents	satisfied o	r very satisfie	d with NCES data files	(NAEP).	
Year		Actual Performance			Performance	The CCD School and Agency Report has shown	
	Comprehensiveness Timeliness		Targets an i	an improvement from 25 months to 15 months			
1997:	82% 52%			from data collection to publication and a 3-			
1998:		No Data Available No Data Available			month improvement in State Nonfiscal.		
1999:	87% 67%		85%				
2000:	No Data Avai	No Data Available No Data Available		Continuing Increase	NAEP has shown dramatic improvements in		
2001:					Continuing Increase	timeliness for many of its major reports.	
2002:					90%	Science has improved from 23 to 12 months;	
				r very satisfie	d with NCES services	long-term trends from 29 to 14 months; reading from 17 to 10 months; and math from 12 to 10	
Year		ctual Perfo	rmance		Performance	months.	
	Comprehensive- ness		Timeliness Utility		Targets	Also, all of NCES has begun the practice of	
1997:	No Data Available	89%		No Data Available		releasing simultaneous Web data files and reports.	
1998:	No Data	No Dat		No Data		reports.	
	Available	Availab	ole .	Available			
1999:	No Data	93%		93%	85%		
	Available						
2000:	No Data Available	No Dat Availab		No Data Available	Continuing Increase		
2001:					Continuing Increase		
			1		90%	7	I .

Indicator 2.1 Customer rating of quality: At least 85 percent of surveyed customers in 1999 and 90 percent in 2001 will agree that NCES data are of high quality in terms of accuracy, reliability, validity, and comprehensiveness.

III tel II	is of accuracy, renabili	ity, vandity, and comp	enensiveness.		
Targets and Performance Data				Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percent	Percentage of customer respondents satisfied or very satisfied with NCES			Status: NCES exceeded its 1999 performance	Source: NCES 1999 Customer Satisfaction
publica	tions			goals with an overall quality ranking of 93	Survey.
Year	Year Actual Performance		Performance	percent for publications and 87 percent for data	Frequency: Henceforth, triennially.
	Accuracy Overall quality Targets		Targets	files. NCES did not meet its 1999 performance	Next collection update: Fall 2001.
1997:	No Data Available	90%		goal for accuracy, but was very close with 84	Date to be reported: January 2002.
1998:	No Data Available	No Data Available		percent in publications and 82 percent in data	
1999:	84%	93%	90%	files.	Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES
2000:	No Data Available	No Data Available	Continuing Increase		review procedures and NCES statistical
2001:			Continuing Increase	Explanation: NCES achieved a 90 percent	standards.
2002:			90%	overall customer satisfaction rating in 1997 and	
Percent	Percentage of customer respondents satisfied or very satisfied with NCES data files			that percentage is the performance target for future years.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: No data limitations.
1997:	74%	No data available			
1998:	No Data Available	No data available			
1999:	82%	87%	85%		
2000:	No Data Available	No Data Available	Continuing Increase		
2001:			Continuing Increase		
2002:			90%		

OBJECTIVE 3: DEVELOP PUBLICATIONS THAT ARE EASY TO READ, USEFUL, AND OF HIGH OVERALL QUALITY.

Indicat	or 3.1 Ease of	reading: At leas	st 85 percent of	surveyed customers	in FY 1999 and 90 percent in FY 2002 will a	gree that NCES publications are easy to	
Targets and Performance Data					Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percente	age of customer	respondents satisfi	ed or very satisfie	d	Status: Target exceeded in 1999.	Source: 3.1-3.3 NCES 1997 & 1999 Customer	
Year	ear Actual Performance			Performance		Satisfaction Survey (next survey: 20012).	
	Clarity of Writing	Useful to Work	Overall Quality	Targets	Explanation: NCES improved in performance from 1997 in all areas of this objective and in	Frequency: Henceforth, triennially. Next collection update: Fall 2001.	
1997:	87%	86%	90%		1999 it surpassed its goal of establishing a 90	Date to be reported: January 2002.	
1998:	No Data	No Data	No Data		percent overall quality ranking.		
	Available	Available	Available			In 1999 NCES measured customer satisfaction	
1999:	90%	89%	93%	90%	NCES achieved a 90 percent overall customer	with a follow-up to the 1997 survey that	
2000:	No Data	No Data	No Data	Continuing Increase	satisfaction level in 1997 and has made that	established NCES baseline performance data.	
	Available	Available	Available	_	percentage the performance target for future	Also, in 1999 NCES conducted focus group	
2001:				Continuing Increase	years.	discussions with targeted customers, including	
2002:				90%		policymakers, researchers, and practitioners.	
					Baseline performance data for all NCES	Additional focus groups will be held in 2001.	
					objectives represent results from the NCES 1997	Other sources of feedback: Biannual input from	
					Customer Satisfaction Survey.	NCES Advisory Council; NCES customer surveys in 1997, 1999, and 2001.	
					NCES hopes to continue with its satisfactory		
					performance levels when its next survey data is	Validation Procedure: By NCES review	
					available in January 2002.	procedures and NCES statistical standards.	
						Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: No data limitations.	

	Targets and Perfo	rmance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
NCES customers who responded as satisfied or very satisfied with the usefulness of			Status: NCES exceeded its 1999 performance	Source: NCES Customer Satisfaction Survey
NCES ₁	publications		target for Utility of Publications.	Frequency: Triennially.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Next collection update: Fall 2001.
1997:	86%		Explanation: NCES achieved an 89 percent	Date to be reported: January 2002.
1998:	No Data Available		rating in 1999 and has established a performance	
1999:	999: 89% 85%		target of 90 percent for future years.	Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES
2000:	0: No Data Available Continuing Increase			review procedures and NCES statistical
2001:		Continuing Increase		standards.
2002:		90%		T' '' '' ED ' IDI I
				Limitations of Data and Planned
-		105	1 TT 1000 100 11 TT 1000	Improvements: No data limitations.
		east 85 percent of surveyed custom	iers in FY 1999 and 90 percent in FY 2002 w	ill express satisfaction with the overall
qualit	y of NCES publications.			
	Targets and Perfo		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	0 0	l their overall satisfaction rate with the	Status: Target exceeded for Publications Quality	Source: NCES Customer Satisfaction Survey
overall quality of publications			with 93 percent.	Frequency: Triennially.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Next collection update: Fall 2001.
Year 1997:	90%	Performance Targets	Explanation: NCES has achieved a 90 percent	Date to be reported: January 2002.
1997: 1998:	90% No Data Available	Performance Targets	rating in 1997 and will use that percentage for a	Date to be reported: January 2002.
1997:	90%	85%		Date to be reported: January 2002. Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES
1997: 1998:	90% No Data Available		rating in 1997 and will use that percentage for a future performance target level.	Date to be reported: January 2002. Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES review procedures and NCES statistical
1997: 1998: 1999:	90% No Data Available 93%	85%	rating in 1997 and will use that percentage for a future performance target level. In 1999, NCES surpassed its previous rating by 3	Date to be reported: January 2002. Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES
1997: 1998: 1999: 2000:	90% No Data Available 93%	85% Continuing Increase	rating in 1997 and will use that percentage for a future performance target level.	Date to be reported: January 2002. Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES review procedures and NCES statistical standards.
1997: 1998: 1999: 2000: 2001:	90% No Data Available 93%	85% Continuing Increase Continuing Increase	rating in 1997 and will use that percentage for a future performance target level. In 1999, NCES surpassed its previous rating by 3	Date to be reported: January 2002. Validation Procedure: Data validated by NCES review procedures and NCES statistical