Archived Information

STATE GRANTS FOR INCARCERATED YOUTH OFFENDERS

Goal: To increase access to and achievement in correctional education programs that will aid in the reintegration of prisoners into their communities.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Higher Education Act (HEA) of 1998, P.L. 105-244 (20 U.S.C. 1151).	1985	\$0	2000	\$14
	1990	\$0	2001	\$17
	1995	\$0	2002 (Requested)	\$17

Program Description

The State Grants for Incarcerated Youth Offenders program provides financial assistance to eligible entities to help them establish, improve, and expand post secondary education and post secondary training programs in correctional facilities serving youth, age 25 or younger. The purpose is to help correctional facilities establish and operate programs designed to reduce recidivism through the development and improvement of literacy and work skills necessary for reintegration into society.

Under this formula grant program, authorized by the Higher Education Act, the U.S. Department of Education awards grants to state correctional education agencies to provide postsecondary educational services to incarcerated youth offenders who are within five years of release or parole; and serving their sentence in a state prison. These programs assist and encourage incarcerated youths to acquire functional literacy, life, and job skills through the pursuit of a postsecondary education certificate or an associates or bachelor's degree while in prison. In addition, employment counseling and other related services may begin during the period of incarceration and continue through prerelease and while on parole.

The program was funded in FY 2000. Forty-five projects were funded at correctional education facilities during the first year. FY 2001 funds will be used for continuation grants for the 45 existing projects and for new grants to eligible entities.

The Incarcerated Youth Offenders grants are monitored by the Department's Office of Correctional Education (OCE) which also provides technical support to state and local education agencies and schools funded by the Bureau of Indian Affairs on correctional education programs and curricula.

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: http://www.ed.gov/offices/OVAE/OCE/index.html

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: GRANTEES WILL DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT IMPROVED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS THAT INCLUDE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION AND VOCATIONAL TRAINING.

Indica	Indicator 1.1 Improved vocational and academic achievement: By Fall 2002, increasing percentages of students participating in vocational and academic				
programs will complete their annual program curriculum.					
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
1	Students completing a postsecondary education certificate, associate of arts or		Status: Positive trend toward target.	Source: Grantee evaluation reports.	
bachelo	bachelor's degree in the facility during the program year			Frequency: Annually.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: Performance targets were not met	Next collection update: 2002 (for 2001 data).	
1999:	No Data Available		due to the recent start up of the programs, with	Date to be reported: January 2002.	
2000:	25.5%	75%	most – if not all – programs requiring more than		
2001:		30%	one year for completion. Moreover, actual	Validation Procedure: The Department will	
2002:		35%	performance data are based on only 18 of 45 funded states and, therefore, may not represent the completion rates of students enrolled in these programs nationally. At the same time,	validate data through the use of National Center for Education Statistics review procedures and Statistical Standards.	
			performance targets were adjusted to better align with annual expectations of progress.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Data are based on students in continuous enrollment. Calculations of completion, therefore, are based on an ever- changing number of students enrolled, rather than a single cohort. Planned improvements for data collection include investigating whether a single cohort of students can be selected and tracked to more effectively calculate completions over time.	

OBJECTIVE 2: IMPROVE ACCESS TO POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION AND JOB PLACEMENT PROGRAMS FOR INCARCERATED PERSONS TO HELP OFFENDERS REINTEGRATE INTO THEIR COMMUNITIES AFTER RELEASE.

Indica	Indicator 2.1 Increased employment rates following release: By Fall 2002, 50 percent of participants will be employed upon their release.				
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percent	Percent of participants entering employment within one month of their release.		Status: No 2000 data.	Source: Grantee evaluation reports.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Frequency: Annually.	
1999:	No Data Available		Explanation: Performance targets are likely,	Next collection update: 2002 (for 2000 data)	
2000:	No Data Available		given the increasing percentages of participants	Date to be reported: January 2002.	
2001: 2002:		50%	that are expected to earn a postsecondary education certificate, associate of arts, or bachelor's degree during their incarceration period (average five years). These credentials are expected to make students more employable	Validation Procedure: The Department will validate data through the use of National Center for Education Statistics review procedures and Statistical Standards.	
			immediately upon their release.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Data will be based on a fluctuating numbers of students being released annually. Calculations of employment, therefore, will be based on an ever-changing number of students released during the year, rather than on a single cohort. Planned	

		Targets an	nd Performan	ce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
		J				improvements for data collection include investigating whether a single cohort of students can be selected and tracked to more effectively calculate employment rates over time. Grantees are reporting technical issues obtaining reliable post release employment data. States are attempting to develop and implement data sharing agreements to resolve this issue.
Indica	Indicator 2.2 Improved recidivism rates: By Fall 2002, recidivism rates for participants will be 10 percent lower than for a comparison group.					
		Targets an	nd Performan	ce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
release.	Percent of participants and non-participants recidivism one year following their release.		Status: No 2000 data.	Source: Correctional Education Study. Frequency: Annually.		
Year	Actual Performance		Performance Targets	Explanation: Performance targets are likely,	Next collection update: 2002 (for 2000 data)	
	Participants	Comparison group	Net difference		given the increasing percentages of participants that are expected to earn a postsecondary	Date to be reported: January 2002.
1999:	No	Data Availab	ble		education certificate, associate of arts, or	Validation Procedure: The Department will
2000:	2000: No Data Available			bachelor's degree during their incarceration	validate data through the use of National Center	
2001:					period (average five years) and then be employed immediately following release. Equipped with a	for Education Statistics review procedures and Statistical Standards.
2002:					credential and job, participants are expected to	Statistical Standards.
					be less likely than non-participants to be re-	Limitations of Data and Planned
					incarcerated.	Improvements: Data will be based on a
						fluctuating numbers of students being released
						annually. Calculations of recidivism, therefore, will be based on an ever-changing number of
						students released during the year, rather than on
						a single cohort. Planned improvements for data
						collection include investigating whether a single
						cohort of students can be selected and tracked to
						more effectively calculate recidivism over time.