Archived Information GALLAUDET UNIVERSITY

Goal: To challenge students who are deaf, graduate students who are deaf, and graduate students who are hearing, to achieve their academic goals and obtain productive employment, provide leadership in setting the national standard for best practices in education of the deaf and hard of hearing, and establish a sustainable		Funding (\$ in m	•	
resource base.	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Education of the Deaf Act (EDA) of 1986, as amended by P.L.s 102-421	1985	\$59	2000	\$86
and 103-73.	1990	\$68	2001	\$89
	1995	\$80	2002 (Requested)	\$89

Program Description

Gallaudet University is a federally chartered, private, nonprofit educational institution providing elementary, secondary, undergraduate, and continuing education programs for persons who are deaf. The University offers a traditional liberal arts curriculum for students who are deaf and graduate programs in fields related to deafness for students who are deaf and students who are hearing. Gallaudet also conducts a wide variety of basic and applied deafness research and provides public service programs for persons who are deaf and professionals who work with persons who are deaf. To increase the effectiveness of its instructional programs, the University provides communications training, counseling, speech and audiological services, educational assessment and evaluation, family education, medical services and other support services for its students. This is a current-funded program for which funds are available from October 1 to September 30.

On its campus, Gallaudet operates two federally funded elementary and secondary education programs. The Kendall Demonstration Elementary School (KDES) operates an elementary school for children who are deaf, and the Model Secondary School for the Deaf (MSSD) provides secondary education programs for students who are deaf. These programs support the development, evaluation, and dissemination of model curricula, instructional techniques and strategies, and materials that can be used in a variety of educational environments serving individuals who are deaf and hard of hearing throughout the nation. MSSD and KDES are required to include students preparing for postsecondary education other than college and students with a broad spectrum of needs, such as students who are lower achieving academically, come from non-English speaking homes, have secondary disabilities, are members of minority groups, or are from rural areas. The Act also requires the elementary and secondary education programs to ensure that special education and related services provided to children enrolled in these programs by their parents are in accordance with Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). For children placed by a local educational agency (LEA), that agency is responsible for ensuring that special education and related services are provided to those children in accordance with Part B of IDEA.

The Federal endowment grant program is designed to assist in meeting the future needs of Gallaudet University by producing a growing independent source of revenue for the University. The Secretary makes payments to the endowment program equal to sums contributed to the fund from non-Federal sources. Gallaudet University may retain up to 50 percent of the income of the fund for expenses necessary to the operation of the University such as personnel, construction and renovation, community and student services, technical assistance, and research. The other 50 percent of the interest returns to the corpus. After a period of 10 years from an initial investment, the University may access the entire amount of the interest associated with a year's match. The University has the discretion to determine the amount of funds to be designated for use under the endowment grant program, subject to the availability of appropriations. As of September 30, 2000, the market value of the Federal Endowment Grant program corpus, including Federal, private, and reinvested funds, was \$50.12 million.

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: UNIVERSITY PROGRAMS AND THE MODEL SECONDARY SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND THE KENDALL DEMONSTRATION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WILL OPTIMIZE THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS COMPLETING PROGRAMS OF STUDY.

Indicator 1.1 Engalment of Colleged University Maintain a minimum annulment of 1.250 and argued dusts and 700 graduate at adoptive 70 students in

Professional studies 225 students at the Model Secondary School; and 140 students at the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School. Targets and Performance Data	Indicator 1.1 Enrollment at Gallaudet University: Maintain a minimum enrollment of 1,250 undergraduate and 700 graduate students; 70 students in							
Status: Endergraduate enrollment Status: Endergraduate enrollment target Status: Endergraduate enrollment target Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 1998: 1,339 1,250 Model Secondary School fell short of its target. Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2000: 1,318 1,250 Model Secondary School fell short of its target. Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2000: 1,318 1,250 Model Secondary School fell short of its target. Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2000: 1,321 1,250 Sephanation: The number of undergraduate students in professional studies attached in professional studies attached in the fell of 2000 Services, 2001: 1,250 Sephanation: The number of undergraduate students in professional studies attached in the fell of 2000 Services, 2001: 1,250 Sephanation: The number of undergraduate students in professional studies attached in the fell of 2000 Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2000: annual report of undergraduate students are students and students in professional studies attached in the fell of 2000 Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2001: annual fellows a students are professional studies attached in professional studies attached in the fell of 2000 Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2000: annual report of the fell of 2000 Services, and Clerc Center student database, FY 2001: annual fellows a students are professional studies attached in professional studies and students and students and students and students and students and students	professional studies; 225 students at the Model Secondary School; and 140 students at the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School.							
Year Actual Performance Performance Targets enrollment targets were exceeded. Positive FY 1998. 1,339		Targets and Performa	ance Data					
FY 1998	Undergraduate enrollment			Status: Undergraduate and Professional Studies				
FY 1999: 1,300 1,250	Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets					
FY 2000: 1,318 1,250 1	FY 1998:	1,339			,			
FY 2001: 1,321 1,250	FY 1999:	1,300	1,250					
FY 2002 1,250	FY 2000:	1,318	1,250	Model Secondary School fell short of its target.				
Constitute enrollment	FY 2001:	1,321	1,250	」				
have increased beyond their respective targets. There was a significant increase in the number of graduate students reported in the Fall of 2000 indicating an upward trend. This increase, coupled with graduate school reruitment efforts, should result in achieving the 700 target. Enrollment numbers at the Kendall School slightly increased in the Fall of 2000 to slightly increased in the Fall of 2000 to slightly increased in the Fall of 2000 but dropped in the Fall of 2000 to slightly increased in the Fall of 2000 to slightly increased in the Fall of 2000 to the Model Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment	FY 2002:		1,250					
FY 1998	Craduata anno	Um out			Date to be reported: November 2001.			
FY 1999: 628			T		Validation Drogodymas Data gunnlind less			
FY 2000: 541 700 indicating an upward trend. This increase, coupled with graduate school recruitment efforts, coupled with graduate school recruitment efforts, coupled with graduate school recruitment efforts. formal verification procedure applied. FY 2000: 700 should result in achieving the 700 target. Enrollment numbers at the Kendall School slightly increased in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000 for the Model Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment targets and distorical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: None. FY 2000: 86 70 made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. Gallaudet has established minimum enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2001: 148 140			700					
FY 2001: 625 700								
FY 2002: 700 should result in achieving the 700 target. Enrollment numbers at the Kendall School slightly increased in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000 for the Model School enrollment FY 1999: 70 70 70 Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 2002: 235 FY 2001: 215 235 140 FY 2001: 148 140 FY 200					Tormar vermeation procedure applica.			
Enrollment numbers at the Kendall School slightly increased in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000, but dropped in the Fall of 2000 for the Model Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment up toward its target of 225. FY 2001:		023			Limitations of Data and Planned			
Professional studies enrollment	1 1 2002.		700					
FY 1998: 92 dropped in the Fall of 2000 for the Model Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001: Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment up toward its target of 225. FY 2002: 70 Model School enrollment Gallaudet has established minimum enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	Professional st	udies enrollment						
FY 1999: 70 70 Secondary School. Appropriate efforts will be made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment up toward its target of 225. FY 2002: 70 Gallaudet has established minimum enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	FY 1998:	92						
FY 2000: 86 70 made during FY 2001 to bring the Model School enrollment up toward its target of 225. FY 2002: 70 Model School enrollment 70 FY 1998: 224 Gallaudet has established minimum enrollment targets based on longstanding enrollment targets and historical trends recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 FY 200 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 140 140 FY 2001: 148 140		70	70					
FY 2002: Model School enrollment FY 1998: 224 FY 1999: 209 225 FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	FY 2000:	86	1					
Model School enrollment FY 1998: 224 FY 1999: 209 225 FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment 225 FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	FY 2001:	93	70	enrollment up toward its target of 225.				
Model School enrollment FY 1998: 224 FY 1999: 209 225 FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 225 Kendall School enrollment 57 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	FY 2002:		70					
FY 1998: 224 FY 1999: 209 225 FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment 5 225 FY 1998: 137 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140	Model School	purallment						
FY 1999: 209 225 and instorted theirs recognizing that actual figures may vary from year to year. FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140								
FY 2000: 219 225 FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140			225					
FY 2001: 205 225 FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140				figures may vary from year to year.				
FY 2002: 225 Kendall School enrollment 137 FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 FY 2000: 135 FY 2001: 148 140 FY 2001: 148		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Kendall School enrollment FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140		203						
FY 1998: 137 FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140								
FY 1999: 117 140 FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140								
FY 2000: 135 140 FY 2001: 148 140								
FY 2001: 148 140		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			-					
FY 2002: 140		148						
	FY 2002:		140					

	dall School			
School/Keno	Targets and Perform	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Undergraduat	te retention rate	ance Bata	Status: There was a slight decrease in the	Source: Collegiate Office of the Registrar and
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	undergraduate retention rate and a decrease in	Clerc Center (Model and Kendall Schools)
FY 1998:	72%	1 errormance rargets	the Clerc Center (Model and Kendall) rate in FY	Office of Exemplary Programs and Research
FY 1999:	73%	75%	2000.	records, summarized in the FY 2000 annual
FY 2000:	72%	75% 76%		report, submitted in 2001.
FY 2001:	1270	76%	Explanation: The undergraduate retention rate	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2001:		76%	for FY 2000 decreased slightly. Movement	Next collection update: Fall 2001.
F I 2002:		/6%	towards this target has been understandably	Date to be reported: November 2001.
Clerc Center:	Model School and Kendall Schoo	l retention rate	slow. Many students enter Gallaudet "at risk"	r
FY 1998:	85%		and leave to pursue other life goals. The	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
FY 1999:	92%	90%	University is developing a literacy action plan	Gallaudet University and the Clerc Center. No
FY 2000:	82%	90%	which should improve its ability to retain this "at	formal verification procedure applied.
FY 2001:		90%	risk" group. New strategic action plans aimed at	
FY2002:		90%	retention and developmental students will	Limitations of Data and Planned
			increase the retention rate gradually. Therefore,	Improvements: Gallaudet plans to develop
			the retention target for FY 2001 has been	action plans aimed at literacy for development
			changed to 76 percent and will be maintained	students to help improve retention.
			through FY 2002.	
			The Clerc Center Schools retention rate also	
			decreased in FY 2000. Efforts at increasing	
			enrollment resulted in admitting students with	
			more challenges. The Clerc Center plans to	
			focus on increased support and retention efforts	
			for students with special challenges.	
	9	he undergraduate graduation	rates at the university will increase. The Mod	el School graduation rate will be
maintained.				
	Targets and Perform	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	te graduation rate		Status: The Undergraduate graduation rate and	Source: Collegiate Office of the Registrar and
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	the Model Secondary School graduation rate	the Clerc Center Office of Exemplary Program
FY 1998:	41%		targets were both exceeded.	and Research records, summarized in the FY
FY 1999:	42%	41%		2000 annual report, submitted in 2001.
FY 2000:	44%	42%	Explanation: In FY 1999, the Model School	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2001:		43%	implemented a new "fifth year option" for	Next collection update: Fall 2001.
FY 2002:		44%	seniors who are not ready to graduate after four	Date to be reported: November 2001.
M 1101 1	7	·	years. This action was the result of a decision to	.
	graduation rate	T	make the Model School graduation requirements	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
FY 1998:	93%	0.121	more rigorous. The Model School graduation	Gallaudet University and the Clerc Center. No
FY 1999:	88%	94%	rate is especially high this year because it	formal verification procedures applied.
FY 2000:	98%	94%	includes several FY 1999 seniors who stayed an	T 6D . IDI
FY 2001:		94%	additional year, then graduated in June 2000,	Limitations of Data and Planned
FY 2002:		94%	thus boosting the overall graduation rate.	Improvements: None.

OBJECTIVE 2: CURRICULUM AND EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES PREPARE STUDENTS TO MEET THE SKILL REQUIREMENTS OF THE WORKPLACE OR TO CONTINUE THEIR STUDIES.

Indicator 2.1 Employment and advanced studies opportunities at the University: Ninety-five percent of Gallaudet's Bachelor Degree graduates will either find employment or attend graduate school during their first year after graduation; 50 percent of the Gallaudet students will apply to and be accepted into programs of advanced study beyond the baccalaureate degree.

or advance.	a stady begond the baccaida	reace acgrees		
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Graduates in jobs or graduate school during first year after graduation (%)			Status: Target met for Bachelor degree graduates employed and/or in graduate	Source: University studies on the status of graduates' employment and advanced studies,
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	programs their first year after graduation.	February 2000, and the graduate follow-up
FY 1998:	95% (1996 grads. in 1997)	<u> </u>	Negative trend away from target for students	studies, February 2000.
FY 1999:	98% (1997 grads. in 1998)	95% (1997 grads. in 1998)	who apply to and are accepted into programs of	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2000:	97% (1998 grads.in 1999)	95% (1998 grads. in 1999)	advanced study beyond the baccalaureate	Next collection update: Fall 2001.
FY 2001:		95% (1999 grads. in 2000)	degree.	Date to be reported: November 2001.
FY 2002:		95% (2000 grads. in 2001)		
Graduates ac	ccepted into advanced studies pro	grams (%)	Explanation: There was a decline in the number of graduates accepted into advanced	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by Gallaudet University. No formal verification
FY 1998:	48% (1996 grads. in 1997)		studies programs in FY 2000. The 1999 levels	procedure applied.
FY 1999:	52% (1997 grads. in 1998)	50% (1997 grads. in 1998)	of 98 percent and 52 percent, respectively, are	procedure applied.
FY 2000:	38% (1998 grads in 1999)	50% (1998 grads. in 1999)	quite high for college graduates. Gallaudet	Limitations of Data and Planned
FY 2001:		50% (1999 grads. in 2000)	recognizes that there will be variations in the	Improvements: None.
FY 2002:		50% (2000 grads. in 2001)	above rates from year to year but believes that	improvements. I tone.
			targets of 95 and 50 percent, respectively,	
			represent reasonable long-term targets. The FY	
			2000 actual performance of 38 percent of	
			students accepted into advanced studies reflects	
			the natural variability from cohort to cohort.	
			Enrollment into advanced studies is also linked	
			to general economic conditions. When the	
			economy is strong, graduates tend to be	
			attracted to the job market.	

Indicator 3.1 Public input satisfaction: Conduct periodic assessments to determine whether the university and the Clerc Center are obtaining appropriate public input on all deafness-related research, development, and demonstration activities from infancy through adulthood, and to determine levels of satisfaction for these activities.

ioi these a	CUTTUICST	Targets a	nd Performa	ince Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
University F	Programs Res				n Activities			
University Programs Research, Development, and Demonstration Activities Actual Performance: Baseline to be determined in FY 2001. Performance Targets: Target will be established upon receipt of baseline data.				University Programs. Baseline and targets now determined for Clerc Center Programs. Assessment o 2001 Annual Frequency: Power Collection	Source: University Programs: Report on Assessment of public input, summarized in the FY 2001 Annual Report, submitted in 2002. Frequency: Periodically. Next collection update: To be determined.			
			and Demonst				Explanation: Plans for determining	Date to be reported: Unknown.
Year	Respondents satisfied with products, training, or services	Viewers satisfied with website	Professionals agree public input process is appropriate	Respondents satisfied with products, training, or services	Formance Ta Viewers satisfied with website	Professionals agree public input process is appropriate	baseline data and setting targets on research priorities for the University has been designed and implemented. After gathering and analyzing data, targets will be set in FY 2001.	Validation Procedure: To be determined. Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: To be determined.
FY 2000:	96%	69%	96%					
FY 2001: FY 2002:				96% 96%	69% 69%		Clerc Center is measuring performance in three categories: 1) Percentage of survey respondents (n=704) who said they were satisfied with Clerc Center products, training, or services; 2) Percentage of web site viewers (1,390 on-line survey respondents) who said the Clerc Center web pages met their needs; 3) Percentage of 56 professionals in the field who reviewed a paper describing the Clerc Center public input process and agree the process is appropriate.	Source: Clerc Center: Report on Assessment of public input, summarized in the FY 2000 Annual Report, submitted in 2001. Frequency: Annually for categories 1 and 2; every five years for category 3. Next collection update: Ongoing for categories 1 and 2; FY 2005 for category 3. Date to be reported: November 2001 for categories 1 and 2; November 2005 for category 3. Validation Procedure: Data supplied by Gallaudet's Clerc Center. No formal verification procedure applied. Limitation of Data and Planned Improvements: None

OBJECTIVE 4: GALLAUDET WORKS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH OTHERS TO DEVELOP AND DISSEMINATE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND MATERIALS FOR DEAF AND HARD-OF-HEARING STUDENTS.

Indicator 4.1 Use of the Demonstration Schools' expertise: Other programs and/or institutions adopting innovative curricula and other products, or modifying their strategies as a result of Model and Kendall's leadership, will be maintained or increased.

then strate	then strategies as a result of frioder and Kendan's feddership, win be maintained of increased.							
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality				
Programs adopting Model/Kendall Innovative strategies/curricula		Status: Target exceeded in 2000.	Source: Records of the Clerc Center Office of					
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Training and Professional Development,				
FY 1998:	41		Explanation: Although the target was	summarized in the FY 2000 Annual Report,				
FY 1999:	52	41	exceeded in FY 2000, we have retained the	submitted in 2001.				
FY 2000:	62	41	previously stated performance targets for FY	Frequency: Annually.				
FY 2001:		41	2001 and FY 2002 until we have more	Next collection update: Ongoing.				
FY 2002:		41	experience with actual performance in this area.	Date to be reported: November 2001.				
			Data are based on the number of programs with					
			whom the Clerc Center has ongoing	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by				
			collaborative relationships, and the number of	Gallaudet's Clerc Center. No formal verification				
			programs that invested a substantial amount of	procedure applied.				
			money and staff time to send their staff to the					
			Clerc Center for training in the use of its	Limitations of Data and Planned				
			curricula and strategies.	Improvements: None.				