Archived Information Demonstration and Training Programs

Goal: To expand, improve, or further the purposes of activities authorized under the Act.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Section 303 of the Rehabilitation Act, as amended by PL 105-220 (29	1985	\$34	2000	\$22
USC 773).	1990	\$32	2001	\$21
	1995	\$31	2002 (Requested)	\$16

Program Description

Demonstration and Training programs provide competitive grants or contracts to expand and improve services authorized under the Act or that further the purposes and policies of the Rehabilitation Act. The program supports activities that increase the provision, extent, availability, scope and quality of rehabilitation services, including related research and evaluation activities.

Section 303(b) of the Rehabilitation Act authorizes the support of activities to demonstrate methods of service delivery to individuals with disabilities, as well as activities such as technical assistance, systems change, special studies and evaluation, and dissemination and utilization of project findings.

The majority of projects currently supported are designed to increase employment opportunities for individuals with disabilities by expanding and improving the availability of services.

Entities eligible for grants under this section include state VR agencies, community rehabilitation programs, Native American tribes or tribal organizations, or other public or nonprofit and for-profit agencies or organizations. Competitions may be limited to one or more type of entity.

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: EXPAND AND IMPROVE THE PROVISION OF REHABILITATION SERVICES THAT LEAD TO EMPLOYMENT OUTCOMES.

Indicator 1.1 Expansion: Eighty percent of projects will be judged to have successfully implemented strategies or yielded results that can contribute to the expansion of services for or the employment of individuals with disabilities.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge. Data from FY2000	Source: Final reports, which will be reviewed by	
1999:	95.6%	N/A	will be used to establish a baseline.	external peer review panels.	
2000:	No Data Available	No specific target set		Frequency: Annually.	
2001:		Baseline	Explanation: RSA conducted the external peer	Next collection update: Final reports, submitted	
2002:		Maintain or exceed baseline	review panels in Jan. 2001, and participants	in FY 2001.	
			reported that final project reports reviewed and	Date to be reported: December 2001	
			analyzed verify that nearly 100 percent of project		
			objectives have been met by all grantees.	Validation Procedure: No formal validation.	
			Another year of data is needed in order to		
			establish a baseline and to set targets.	Limitations of Data and Planned	
				Improvements: Grantees will begin to report	
				using a new uniform data collection instrument.	
				See 1.2.	

Indicator 1.2 Impact: The percentage of projects reporting an impact on rehabilitation service providers including state VR agencies, community rehabilitation service providers, and other providers of rehabilitation services will increase.

Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge.	Source: FY 1999 task order will develop and
1999:	No Data Available	No specific target set		field test a uniform data collection instrument.
2000:	No Data Available	No specific target set	Explanation: RSA, through an external	Findings will be used to establish baseline.
2001:		No specific target set	contractor, is currently working to develop a	Frequency: Annually, once in use.
2002:		Maintain or exceed baseline	web-based uniform data collection instrument for	Next collection update: FY 2001.
			use by these grantees. Instrument is currently at	Date to be reported: November 15, 2001
			OMB for review.	
				Validation Procedure: Data will be supplied by
				grantees through uniform reporting. No formal
				verification procedure applied.
				Limitations of Data and Dlanned
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: Grantees may have difficulty in
				reporting on their impact to an external agency.
				Numerous external factors may change the
				provision or methods of rehabilitation services,
				and grantees may not be able to pinpoint their
				impact in the process.

OBJECTIVE 2: DISSEMINATE INFORMATION ABOUT SUCCESSFUL NEW TYPES OR PATTERNS OF SERVICES OR DEVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AND REPORT THE IMPACT OF THE PROJECTS.

related	organizations v	will increase and	the number of presentations will	increase.	
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Grantee	S			Status: Unable to judge.	Source: FY 1999 task order will develop and
Year	Year Actual Performance		Performance Targets		field test a uniform data collection instrument.
	Grantees	Presentations		Explanation: RSA, through an external	Findings will be used to establish baseline.
1998:	42	1,131		contractor, has worked to develop a uniform data	Frequency: Annually, once in use.
1999:	1999: No Data Available		No specific target set	collection instrument for use by these grantees.	Next collection update: FY 2001.
2000:	2000: No Data Available		No specific target set	RSA will obtain accurate data from a uniform	Date to be reported: November 15, 2001.
2001:			No specific target set	instrument.	
2002:			Maintain or exceed baseline		Validation Procedure: Data will be supplied by
Presente	ations			-	grantees through uniform reporting. No formal verification procedure applied.
Year	Actual Pe	erformance	Performance Targets		
1998:	1,	131			Limitations of Data and Planned
1999:	No Data	Available	No specific target set		Improvements: RSA, through an external
2000:	No Data	Available	No specific target set		contractor, is currently working to develop a
2001:			No specific target set		uniform data collection instrument for use by
2002:			Maintain or exceed baseline		these grantees. Grantees and their activities are
					extremely diverse. Uniform data collection will
					be a great improvement over the current method
					of grantee self-reporting.

Indicator 2.1 Dissemination: The percentage of funded projects that disseminate information to state VR agencies and other funded projects and disabilityrelated organizations will increase and the number of presentations will increase.