

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

---

---

File: D2001-079

Date: OCT 29 2001

In re: JOHN ROGER SNOW, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Jennifer J. Barnes, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE: Javier Balasquide, Appellate Counsel

ORDER:

PER CURIAM. On July 9, 1998, the respondent was suspended from the practice of law, effective thirty days thereafter, by the Supreme Court of Florida.

Consequently, on August 29, 2001, the Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review initiated disciplinary proceedings against the respondent and petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. On September 4, 2001, the Immigration and Naturalization Service asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on September 18, 2001, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service pending final disposition of this proceeding.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice recommends that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts, for a period of five years. The Service asks that we extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the recommendation contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that recommendation. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(2). Since the recommendation is appropriate in light of the sanctions imposed by the Supreme Court of Florida, we will honor that recommendation. Accordingly, we hereby suspend the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service for a period of five years. As the respondent is currently under our September 18, 2001, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date. The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

After the five-year suspension period expires, the respondent may petition this Board for

reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and Service. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 3.107(a). In order to be reinstated, the respondent must demonstrate that he meets the definition of an attorney or representative, as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f) and (j). *Id.* Therefore, the respondent must show that he has been reinstated to the State Bar of Florida before he may be reinstated by the Board. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f) (stating that term "attorney" does not include any individual under order suspending him from the practice of law). The respondent may seek earlier reinstatement under appropriate circumstances. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 3.107(b).



---

FOR THE BOARD