

APPENDIX: ENDNOTES

Preface

1. The 2004 and 2006 *Performance Reports* are available on the EPA website, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/performance>.
2. See the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter for a discussion of normalization and for sources of normalizing data for each sector.
3. For more information on MECS, see the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter and <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/>.
4. For more information on NEI, see the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter and <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/trends/>.
5. For more information on TRI, see the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter and <http://www.epa.gov/tri>.
6. For more information on RSEI, see the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter and <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/rsei/>.
7. For more information on BR, see the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter and <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>.

Executive Summary

1. *Number of Facilities*: Census Bureau, County Business Patterns (CBP), 2004, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>, except as noted for sectors such as Cement Manufacturing and Iron & Steel (see Data Guide for more information); *Employment*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2004, except as noted for particular sectors (see Data Guide); *Value of Construction put in place*: Census Bureau, Value of Construction Put in Place, <http://www.census.gov/const/C30/totsa.pdf>; *Revenue for Colleges & Universities*: National Center for Education Statistics, "Postsecondary Institutions, Fall 2005; Graduation Rates, 1999 & 2002 Cohorts; and Financial Statistics, Fiscal Year 2005," <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2007/2007154.pdf>; *Revenue for Ports*: Census Bureau, 1997 and 2002 Economic Census, <http://www.census.gov/econ/census02>, North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes 48831 and 48832; *Energy Use*: Department of Energy (DOE), Energy Information Administration (EIA), Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002; *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, National Emission Inventory (NEI) for Point Sources: Final v3 2002; *Air emissions; water discharges; land disposals; recycling, energy recovery, and treatment*: EPA, Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), 2005 Public Data Release (PDR), freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>; *Global Standing—Food & Beverage Manufacturing Ranking*: Confederation of the food and drink industries of the EU, "Data and Trends of the European Food and Drink Industry," 2006, (citing ABIA; Japanese Ministry of Economy Trade and Industry, Department of Commerce, INEGI, National Bureau of Statistics of China, Canada's business and consumer site, New Zealand's Economic Development Agency, AFFA), page 18, http://www.ciaa.be/documents/brochures/Data_et_Trends_2006_FINAL.pdf; additional information on European Union value of output: E-mail correspondence from E. Dollet, Manager Economic Affairs, Confederation of the Food and Drink Industries of the EU, to D. Kaiser, EPA, May 19, 2008; *Global Standing—Steel*: International Iron and Steel Institute, "World crude steel output increases by 7.5% in 2007," January 23, 2008, <http://www.worldsteel.org/?action=newsdetail&tid=228>; *Global Standing—Cement*: Portland Cement Association, "About the Cement Industry," <http://www.cement.org/manufacture/>; *Global Standing—Forest*

Products sector: AF&PA submission to USTR, December 2005, <http://www.afandpa.org/Template.cfm?Section=international2&template=/ContentManagement/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=12382>; *Global Standing—Construction*: "Construction Services Sector, 2007; U.S. Market Overview", International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, <http://trade.gov/investamerica/construction.asp>; *Global Standing—Chemical Manufacturing*: American Chemistry Council, "essential2economy", http://www.americanchemistry.com/s_acc/sec_topic.asp?CID=5&DID=8; *General Comparisons and Examples of Economic Trends*: see individual sector chapters for examples referenced and data citations.

2. DOE, EIA, Annual Energy Review 2006, Report No. DOE/EIA-0384(2006), <http://www.eia.doe.gov/aer/pdf/perspectives.pdf>.
3. DOE, EIA, World Primary Energy Consumption by Region, 1995–2004, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/aer/txt/stb1103.xls>.
4. DOE, EIA, Annual Energy Review 2006, Report No. DOE/EIA-0384(2006).
5. See also EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/pdf/energy/report.pdf>.
6. 2005 total from: *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2005*, April 2007, p. ES-5, <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads06/07CR.pdf>; 1996 total from: *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2002*, p. ES-4, <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads06/04ES.pdf>.
7. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2005*, p. ES-15.
8. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2005*, EPA 430-R-07-002 April 2007, p. 5, <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads06/07ES.pdf>.
9. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2005*; Production data: 2006 Annual Statistical Report, American Iron and Steel Institute, Washington, DC, p. 3; 1996 data from: EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2002*.
10. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2005*; Production data: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Mineral Commodity Summaries.
11. EPA, NEI, Final v3 2002.
12. Total tonnages for CAPs and VOCs (PM without condensibles); EPA, NEI Air Pollutant Emissions Trends Data, 1970–2006 Average annual emissions, all criteria pollutants (July 2007), Excel file, <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/trends/trends06/nationaltier1upto2006basedon2002finalv2.1.xls>.
13. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR; EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005.

Data Guide

1. Information available on the Census webpage, <http://www.census.gov/naics/>.
2. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Toxicological Profile for Benzene (Draft), Atlanta, GA, 1997.

Cement Manufacturing

1. *Facilities*: Portland Cement Association (PCA), *U.S. and Canadian Portland Cement Industry: Plant Information Summary*, December 31, 2006, Executive Summary, p. 1; *Employment*: PCA, U.S. Labor-Energy Input Survey 2006; *Clinker Production*: USGS Mineral Commodity Summaries, 2007, p. 40-41, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/cement/index.html>.
2. *Energy Use*: PCA, *U.S. and Canadian Labor-Energy Input Survey 2006. TRI releases; Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002; *Releases of Chemicals reported to TRI*: EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>. This sector is defined by a predetermined list of cement manufacturing facilities.
3. USGS, 2005 Minerals Yearbook, February 2007, p. 16.2, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/cement/cemenmyb05.pdf>. The 10 largest companies in 2005 were Holcim (US) Inc.; Lafarge North America, Inc.; CEMEX, Inc.; Buzzi Unicem USA, Inc.; Lehigh Cement Co.; Ash Grove Cement Co.; Essroc Cement Corp.; Texas Industries Inc.; California Portland Cement Co.; and St. Marys Cement, Inc.
4. USGS, Mineral Commodity Summaries—Cement, January 2008, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/cement/mcs-2008-cemen.pdf>.
5. PCA, “Additional Cement Consumption Declines Forecasted” (press release), October 29, 2007, <http://www.cement.org/newsroom/fallforecastWeb102507.asp>. Recent PCA economic projections anticipate reduced cement consumption from a weakened economy (a combination of the subprime mortgage crisis coupled with increased energy costs, leading to a decline in overall nonresidential construction). As a result, PCA predicts that 2007 cement consumption will decline 6.9%, followed by a 2.5% decline in 2008.
6. PCA, *U.S. and Canadian Labor-Energy Input Survey 2006*, December 2006, p. i.
7. PCA, *U.S. and Canadian Labor-Energy Input Survey 2006*, p. 5.
8. PCA, *U.S. and Canadian Labor-Energy Input Survey 2006*, p. i.
9. DOE, *Energy and Emission Reduction Opportunities for the Cement Industry*, December 2003, http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/imf/pdfs/eeroci_dec03a.pdf.
10. USGS, 2005 Mineral Yearbook—Cement, p. 16.3.
11. PCA, *U.S. and Canadian Portland Cement Industry: Plant Information Summary*, 2006, p. 3.
12. PCA, 2007 Report on Sustainable Manufacturing, undated, <http://www.cement.org/smreport07/index.htm>.
13. CEMBUREAU website, Key Facts, <http://www.cembureau.be>.
14. Facility count is by TRI ID. Note that a facility can have more than one TRI ID.
15. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
16. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA’s Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI).
17. EPA, NEI for Point Sources, Final v3 2002. Data compiled from EPA’s facility summary datasets. Includes facilities from a predetermined list of cement manufacturing facilities.
18. Hendrik G. van Oss, USGS, *Background Facts and Issues Concerning Cement and Cement Data*, p. 34, <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1152/2005-1152.pdf>.
19. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, April 2007, p. 4-5, <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html>.
20. Holcim Ltd., *Corporate Sustainable Development Report 2005*, p. 24, http://www.holcim.com/gc/CORP/uploads/CSDR_2005_rev.pdf; Lafarge, *Sustainability Report 2006*, p. 56, http://www.lafarge.com/05032007-publication_sustainable_development-report2006-uk.pdf; and St. Lawrence Cement Group, *Building Value Responsibly: Sustainable Development Report*, February 2006, p. 9, http://www.holcim.com/gc/CA/uploads/SLC_SD_Report_February_2006_FINAL.pdf. Amounts are in metric tons. Holcim reported 658 kg/ton; Lafarge reported 670 kg/ton; and St. Lawrence Cement reported 668 kg/ton.
21. PCA, “Cement Industry Honors California Portland Cement Plant for Energy Efficient Operations” (press release), March 19, 2007, http://www.cement.org/newsroom/CalPortland_MojaveCA.asp.
22. Buzzi Unicem, “Chattanooga Plant Honoured for Reducing Emissions” (press release), June 26, 2007, <http://www.buzziunicem.it/online/BuzziUnicem/en/Home/articolo817.html>.
23. PCA, “Cement Formulation Change Promises Improved Emission Performance” (press release), November 13, 2003, <http://www.cement.org/newsroom/greenbuildrelease20031113.asp>.
24. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
25. PCA, *2008 Report on Sustainable Manufacturing*, http://www.cement.org/smreport08/sec_page3_2.htm.
26. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005.
27. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005.
28. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
29. PCA, *2007 Report on Sustainable Manufacturing*, Chapter 1: Cement, Concrete, & Voluntary Goals; Environmental Performance Measures, http://www.cement.org/smreport08/sec_page1_3_C.htm.

Chemical Manufacturing

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, County Business Patterns (CBP), 2005, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Employment*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Value of shipments*: Department of Commerce (DOC), Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA); *Industry Economic Accounts*, 2005, http://www.bea.gov/industry/xls/GDPbyInd_SHIP_NAICS_1998-2005.xls. Sector defined by NAICS code 325 or SIC code 28 (Specialty-batch facilities defined by NAICS code 271).
2. *Energy Use*: DOE, EIA, Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002 Data Tables, Table 3.1, Energy Consumption as a Fuel, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>; *Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. Data compiled from EPA’s facility-summary datasets. Specialty-batch CAPs reported were 118,800 tons; *Releases of Chemicals Reported to TRI*: EPA TRI, 2005 PDR, <http://www.epa.gov/tri/tridata/tri05/index.htm>; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>; Specialty-batch releases of chemicals reported to TRI: air emissions, 9.1 million lbs.; water discharges, 2.7 million lbs; waste disposals, 2.8 million lbs.; recycling, energy recovery, or treatment, 1.2 billion lbs.
3. This sector is defined by SIC 28, and the corresponding NAICS 325. The specialty-batch subsector is characterized by a facility list based on the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturers Association (SOCMA) membership as of February 2007. This list includes 271 facilities.
4. Membership includes 271 facilities as of February 2007. The SOCMA membership list is available at the SOCMA website, <http://www.socma.com/MemberList/>.
5. American Chemical Council (ACC), *Guide to the Business of Chemistry 2006*, p. 103-105.
6. EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors, 2007*, footnote 21 on p. 2-12, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/energy/index.html>. The implementation of these opportunities depends on

- market factors, such as the price of natural gas, and there are many technical, regulatory, and supply constraints on fuel switching.
7. EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors, 2007*. The report cites Interlaboratory Working Group, Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, *Scenarios for a Clean Energy Future*, 2000, <http://www.ornl.gov/sci/eere/cef/>.
 8. SOCMA, "2006 Performance Improvement Awards Best Practices," <http://www.socma.com/chemStewards/index.cfm?subSec=23&articleID=142>.
 9. DOE, EIA; MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 3.1, Energy Consumption as a Fuel (physical units) and Table 11.3, Components of Onsite Generation of Electricity, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
 10. ACC, Responsible Care 2006 Energy Efficiency Awards Program, April 19, 2007.
 11. ACC, Responsible Care 2006 Energy Efficiency Awards Program, April 19, 2007.
 12. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 13. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's Risk Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI).
 14. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI.
 15. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI. Specialty-batch chemicals sector trends are presented in absolute values (rather than values that are normalized for subsector growth) due to the lack of data on the subsector growth or production over the time period presented.
 16. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI.
 17. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 18. EPA, NEI for Point Sources, Final v3 2002.
 19. ACC, Responsible Care 2006 Energy Efficiency Awards Program, April 19, 2007.
 20. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, April 2007, p. 4-1, <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html>.
 21. Climate VISION mission statement, <http://www.climatevision.gov/mission.html>.
 22. ACC, *Guide to the Business of Chemistry 2006*, p. 110.
 23. ACC, Performance Through Responsible Care, http://www.americanchemistry.com/s_responsiblecare/doc.asp?CID=1298&DID=5084.
 24. CH₂M HILL, "Water Use in Industries of the Future," prepared for DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Industrial Technologies Program, July 2003, p. 26.
 25. Byers, W., G. Lindgren, C. Noling, D. Peters. *Industrial Water Management: A Systems Approach*. CH₂M Hill, Inc., New York, 2003.
 26. Byers, W., G. Lindgren, C. Noling, D. Peters. *Industrial Water Management: A Systems Approach*. CH₂M Hill, Inc., New York, 2003.
 27. Personal correspondence, Sarah Mazur, EPA, with David DiMarcello, BASF, October 19, 2007.
 28. EPA, Integrated Data for Enforcement Analysis (IDEA) system extracts of both the Permit Compliance System (PCS) and ICIS-NPDES (October 2007).
 29. 40 CFR §§ 414, 415, 417, 418, 422, 428, 439, 446, 447, 454, 455, 457, 458, and 463[0].
 30. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 31. Personal correspondence, Richard Lee, Environmental Specialist, Arizona Chemical, Port St. Joe Plant, with Warren Hixenbaugh, ICF International, August 27, 2007.
 32. CH₂M HILL, "Water Use in Industries of the Future," prepared for DOE, p. 26-33.
 33. EPA, RCRA Hazardous Waste Report.
 34. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 35. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 36. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 37. ACC, *Responsible Care 2006 Energy Efficiency Awards Program, April 19, 2007*.
 38. SOCMA, "2006 Performance Improvement Awards Best Practices," <http://www.socma.com/chemStewards/index.cfm?subSec=23&articleID=142>.
 39. SOCMA, ChemStewards® program, <http://www.socma.com/chemstewards/index.cfm?subSec+16>.
 40. American Chemistry Council, Responsible Care, http://www.americanchemistry.com/s_responsiblecare/sec.asp?CID=1298&DID=4841.

Colleges & Universities

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2004; <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Employees*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2004; <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Revenue*: National Center for Education Statistics, "Postsecondary Institutions, Fall 2005; Graduation Rates, 1999 & 2002 Cohorts; and Financial Statistics, Fiscal Year 2005," <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2007/2007154.pdf>. County Business Patterns shifted from the use of SIC to NAICS codes in 1998, potentially causing the apparent dip shown in facilities at that time.
2. *Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, NEI for Point Sources: Final V2 2002. Data compiled from EPA's facility-summary datasets. Includes facilities with NAICS code 61131 or SIC code 8221. (The sector definition differs from the 2006 Performance Report in that it excludes junior colleges.) *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005*, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>.
3. Blaine Collison, EPA Green Power Partnership, personal communication with Sector Strategies Division staff, Sept. 20, 2007.
4. For further information, visit http://www.epa.gov/chp/public-recognition/current_winners.html.
5. EPA NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. Data were compiled from EPA's facility-summary datasets. Includes facilities with NAICS code 61131 or SIC code 8221. (The sector definition differs from the 2006 Performance Report in that it excludes junior colleges.)
6. Tom Frankiewicz, Program Manager, EPA Combined Heat and Power Partnership, personal communication with Sector Strategies Division staff, October 4, 2007.
7. EPA, Office of Water, Industrial Water Pollution Controls, "Effluent Guidelines," May 8, 2007, <http://www.epa.gov/guide/>; and EPA, "Technical Support Document for the 2006 Effluent Guidelines Program Plan," Dec 2006, p. 19-14, <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/guide/304m/2006-TSD-whole.pdf>.
8. EPA, Office of Water, Wastewater Management, "Sectors of Industrial Activity that Require Permit Coverage," <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/swcats.cfm>; and EPA, Office of Water, Wastewater Management, "Factsheet: Proposed MSGP 2006," p. 6, http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2006_factsheet-proposed.pdf.
9. "Adam Joseph Center for Environmental Studies" website, <http://www.oberlin.edu/ajlc/ajlcHome.html>.
10. Currently, colleges and universities do not report data to TRI.
11. Recyclemania "General Overview" webpage, <http://www.recyclemaniacs.org/overview.htm>; see also National Recycling Coalition RecycleMania 2007 news release, April 19, 2007.

12. Charles Heizenroth, EPA, personal communication with Sector Strategies Division staff, Sept. 5, 2007.
13. WasteWise is a free, voluntary, EPA program through which organizations eliminate costly municipal solid waste and select industrial wastes, benefiting their budget and the environment. Partners design their own waste reduction programs tailored to their needs. Colleges and universities can save money through reduced purchasing and waste disposal costs. WasteWise provides free technical assistance to help develop, implement, and measure waste reduction activities. In addition to standard WasteWise Benefits, there are many college and university-specific resources to help reduce the amount of waste produced and disposed of. Some of these benefits include assistance with waste reduction efforts, eligibility for the WasteWise College and University Award, access to standardized goals and objectives for colleges and universities, and coordinated enrollment process with RecycleMania. For more information, visit EPA's website for WasteWise, <http://www.epa.gov/wastewise/targeted/colleges/benefits.htm>.
14. Charles Heizenroth, EPA, personal communication with Sector Strategies Division staff, Sept. 5, 2007.
15. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005.
16. Sustainable Endowments Institute, *College Sustainability Report Card 2008*, <http://www.endowmentinstitute.org/>.

Construction

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, 2005, <http://censtats.census.gov/cgi-bin/cbnpnaic/cbpsel.pl>; *Employment*: Census Bureau; verified for 2005, <http://censtats.census.gov/cgi-bin/cbnpnaic/cbpsel.pl>; *Value of Construction*: Census Bureau, Construction spending, <http://www.census.gov/const/www/c30index.html>, and Value of Construction put in place, <http://www.census.gov/const/C30/totsa.pdf>.
2. *Energy Use*: EPA, Sector Strategies Division estimate of energy consumption was estimated based on reported dollars spent on distillate fuel, natural gas, and gasoline for construction activities, provided by the Census Bureau's *Industry Series Report for Construction*; and Census Bureau, 1997 Economic Census Industry Series Reports Construction, Jan. 2000, <http://www.census.gov/prod/ec97/97c23-is.pdf>; *Construction & Demolition Debris*: Franklin Associates, for EPA, *Characterization of Building-Related Construction and Demolition Debris in the United States and Characterization of Road and Bridge Related Construction and Demolition Debris in the United States*, 2005, representing disposals in 2003 (preliminary estimate).
3. McGraw-Hill Construction data (value of construction, number of projects) were used to normalize several performance measures in this chapter (in addition to Census data). The McGraw-Hill data are more comprehensive than Census Bureau data, and they are updated quarterly, available through 2006, and available by state. A construction "establishment" is generally the fixed place of business where construction activities are managed. Establishments are not construction projects, <http://www.census.gov/econ/census02/naics/sector23/23.htm>.
4. Census Bureau, *Construction Spending (Value Put in Place)*, <http://www.census.gov/const/www/totpage.html>.
5. Associated General Contractors of America (AGC), *Construction Economics*, http://www.agc.org/cs/industry_topics/construction_economics, (see also Recommendations for Reducing Emissions from the Legacy Diesel Fleet, p. E-vii October 7, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/cleandiesel/documents/caaac-apr06.pdf>) (showing figure of 92%).
6. EPA, *Measuring Construction Industry Environmental Performance*, September 2007, p. 35, <http://www.epa.gov/ispd/construction/perfmeasures.pdf>.
7. ICF Consulting, *Emission Reduction Incentives for Off-Road Diesel Equipment Used in the Port and Construction Sectors*, 2005, available at http://www.epa.gov/sustainableindustry/pdf/emission_20050519.pdf, p. 1.
8. EPA, Sector Strategies Division estimate of energy consumption was estimated based on reported dollars spent on distillate fuel, natural gas, and gasoline for construction activities, provided by the Census Bureau's *Industry Series Report for Construction*; and Census Bureau, 1997 Economic Census Industry Series Reports Construction, Jan. 2000, <http://www.census.gov/prod/ec97/97c23-is.pdf>.
9. EPA, *Cleaner Diesels: Low Cost Ways to Reduce Emissions from Construction Equipment*, March 2007.
10. Census Bureau, *Annual Value of Construction Put in Place*, <http://www.census.gov/const/C30/total.pdf>.
11. Truitt Degeare, EPA, Office of Solid Waste, communication with Peter Truitt, EPA.
12. The NCDRC compiles information on emissions reductions associated with voluntary diesel retrofits. Went, J., EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality, communication Peter Truitt, EPA, August 2007. Fewer retrofit technologies are available for NO_x than for PM_{2.5}, but the tonnage reduced is greater because NO_x emissions are heavier than PM_{2.5} emissions. The NCDRC database includes 85 projects, but emissions data are available for only 40 of them.
13. Associated General Contractors Survey, as reported in *2008 Associated Equipment Manufacturers Outlook*, p. 11, November 2007, http://www.aem.org/Trends/Reports/IndustriesOutlook/PDF/2008-Industries_Outlook.pdf. In sum, 17,596 AGC general contractor and specialty contractor member companies were surveyed by email.
14. EPA, *Measuring Construction Industry Environmental Performance*, September 2007, p. 35, <http://www.epa.gov/ispd/construction/perfmeasures.pdf>.
15. Science Applications International Corporation, prepared for EPA, *Economic Analysis of the Final Phase II Storm Water Rule*, October 1999, p. 2-2: "When land is disturbed by construction activities, surface erosion increases 10-fold on sites formerly used for crop agriculture, 200 times on sites formerly under pasture, and 2,000 times on sites formerly forested."
16. EPA and authorized states establish general National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits that codify specific site management practices and reporting requirements. Further information is available at the EPA website <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes>. Additional information on construction stormwater is available at the Construction Industry Compliance Assistance Center website, <http://www.cicacenter.org>.
17. Data in the figure were adjusted to account for multiple NOI submissions for the same construction project and for projects not requiring an NOI because of acreage thresholds or waivers. However, the denominator (number of projects) overestimates the number of projects requiring an NOI because (1) a single construction site may be counted multiple times if it happens to include multiple project types, and (2) the number of projects includes renovations and additions, which may not require an NOI.
18. Colorado Department of Public Health, *Colorado Stormwater Excellence Program—Pilot Stage 1—Final Report*, <http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/wq/PermitsUnit/stormwater/CSEStage1.pdf>.
19. Currently, there is no centralized source of data on quantities of C&D materials generated or recycled. Source of estimates: EPA's Municipal and Industrial Solid Waste Division, Office of Solid Waste, *Characterization of Building-Related Construction and Demolition Debris Materials in the United States (DRAFT)*, July 2006. Considerable uncertainties are associated with these estimates; EPA is seeking to develop a methodology for more accurate measurement.
20. Kim Cochran, EPA Office of Solid Waste, communication with Peter Truitt, EPA.

21. EPA's Office of Solid Waste. *Characterization of Road and Bridge Related Construction and Demolition Debris Materials in the United States (DRAFT)*, October 2005.
22. Turner Construction Company, Green Buildings website, <http://www.turnerconstruction.com/greenbuildings/content.asp?d=2199>.
23. These examples are state-specific, and further research must address the variation among the states and the reasons for short-term anomalies, such as the sudden drop in recycling in Maryland in 2003. Additional information on C&D recycling is available on EPA's website, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/non-hw/debris-new/index.htm>.
24. *Recycling Construction Materials—An Important Part of the Construction Process*, by Kimberly Cochran and Nicole Villamizar, in *Construction Business Owner* magazine, July 2007, <http://www.constructionbusinessowner.com/topics/environment-and-compliance/recycling-construction-materials-an-important-part-of-the-construction-process.html>.
25. *Recycling Construction Materials—An Important Part of the Construction Process*, by Kimberly Cochran and Nicole Villamizar, in *Construction Business Owner* magazine, July 2007, <http://www.constructionbusinessowner.com/topics/environment-and-compliance/recycling-construction-materials-an-important-part-of-the-construction-process.html>.
26. Excerpted from "Recycling Construction Materials—An Important Part of the Construction Process," by Kimberly Cochran and Nicole Villamizar, in *Construction Business Owner* magazine, July 2007, <http://www.constructionbusinessowner.com/topics/environment-and-compliance/recycling-construction-materials-an-important-part-of-the-construction-process.html>.
27. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>.
28. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005.
29. "Green building" in this chapter focuses on measuring performance only during the construction phase of the built environment. It does not address activities prior to construction such as siting and design, or post-construction activities such as the operation of structures.
30. Green Building Council, LEED Rating Systems, <http://www.usgbc.org/LEED>. See also, <https://www.usgbc.org/ShowFile.aspx?DocumentID=1095>. Other programs include the Green Building Initiative's Green Globes system and the National Association of Homebuilders' Model Green Home Building Guidelines.
31. EPA, Sector Strategies Program, *Measuring Construction Industry Environmental Performance*, September 2007, <http://www.epa.gov/sustainableindustry/construction/perfmeasures.pdf>.
32. EPA Sector Strategies, *Measuring Construction Industry Environmental Performance*, September 2007, p. 10, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/construction/>.
33. Adapted from paper submitted by Oscar J. Boldt Construction Company to Melinda Tomaino, AGC of America, for a future publication on green construction.
34. The LEED Professional Accreditation program is now managed by the Green Building Certification Institute (GBCI), <http://www.gbci.org/>.
35. Melinda Tomaino, AGC of America, personal communication with Peter Truitt, EPA.
3. DOE, BEA, Industry Economic Accounts, <http://www.bea.gov/Industry/Index.htm>. This sector is defined by SIC codes 20 and 5461; NAICS codes 311 and 3121.
4. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, as discussed in EPA Sector Strategies Program, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007, p. 3-31, 3-32.
5. Willis Sneed, Project Manager, HDR Engineering, Inc., personal communication with Daniel Kaiser, EPA, January 4, 2008.
6. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
7. Walt Tunnessen, EPA, Climate Protection Partnership Division, personal communication with Daniel Kaiser, EPA, October 4, 2007.
8. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI. Includes facilities that report primary SIC codes 20 and 5461 on their Form R.
9. EPA's NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. Data compiled from EPA's facility summary datasets. Includes facilities with NAICS codes 311 and 3121 or SIC codes 20 and 5461.
10. EPA Sector Strategies Program, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007, p. 3-32.
11. Bella Tonkonogy, EPA Climate Leaders program manager, personal communication with Daniel Kaiser, EPA, October 10, 2007.
12. EPA, Climate Leaders program, <http://www.epa.gov/climateleaders>.
13. Smithfield, *Smithfield Corporate Social Responsibility Report 2006/2007*, <http://www.smithfieldfoods.com/responsibility/reports.aspx>.
14. Coca-Cola, 2006 Corporate Responsibility Review, "Water Stewardship," http://www.thecoca-colacompany.com/citizenship/pdf/corporate_responsibility_review2006.pdf.
15. Nestlé Purina Corporation, personal communication with Daniel Kaiser, EPA, October 2, 2007.
16. 40 CFR §414.
17. TRI water discharges include direct discharges to waterways of any TRI chemical and discharges of metals to publicly owned treatment works.
18. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
19. EPA, Office of Water, Wastewater Management, "Factsheet: Proposed MSGP 2006," p. 6, http://www.epa.gov/npdess/pubs/msgp2006_factsheet-proposed.pdf.
20. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
21. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
22. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
23. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
24. Unilever Corporation, personal communication with Daniel Kaiser, EPA, October 2, 2007.
25. SYSCO Sustainable/Integrated Pest Management Initiative, *Environmental Indicator Report Summary for the 2006 Processing Season*. For more information, visit the SYSCO website, http://www.sysco.com/aboutus/aboutus_pestm.html.

Food & Beverage Manufacturing

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005 (*Facilities*: Primary commodity processing (PCP)=800, Animal production (AP)=5,000, Other agribusiness (OT)=24,000); *Employment*: PCP=45,000, AP=545,000, OT=1 million.); *Value of shipments*: DOC, BEA: Industry Economic Accounts, <http://www.bea.gov/industry/> (*Value of shipments*: PCP=\$43.4 billion, AP=\$171.9 billion, OT=\$394 billion).
2. *Energy Use*: DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002, Table 3.1, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/contents.html>; *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA's NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002; *Releases of Chemicals reported to TRI*: EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.

Forest Products

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Employment*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005; *Value of shipments*: DOC, BEA: Industry Economic Accounts, 2005, http://www.bea.gov/industry/xls/GDPbyInd_SHIP_NAICS_1998-2005.xls. Forest (Wood) Products defined by NAICS codes 3211, 3212, 32191, 32192, 321999 or SIC codes 242, 243, 244, 249; and Forest (Paper) Products defined by NAICS codes 3221, 32221, 322221-322224, 322226, 32223, 32229 or SIC code 26.
2. *Energy use*: DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 3.2, Energy Consumption as a Fuel, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>; *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA's NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. *Releases of Chemicals reported to TRI: Air Emissions*, EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
3. Sector defined by SIC code 26 (pulp, paper, and packaging) and SIC codes 242, 243, 244, 249 (wood products).
4. EPA, Sector Strategies Program, Forest Products, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/forest/index.html>.
5. EPA, Sector Strategies Program, Forest Products, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/forest/index.html>.
6. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 3.2, Energy Consumption as a Fuel, and Table 6.1, Ratios of Manufacturing Fuel Consumption to Economic Characteristics, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
7. DOE, *Forest Products Industry of the Future: Fiscal Year 2004 Annual Report*, February 2005, p. 2, <http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/forest/tools.html>.
8. AF&PA, Agenda 2020 Technology Alliance, <http://www.agenda2020.org>.
9. DOE, *Forest Products Industry of the Future: Fiscal Year 2004 Annual Report*, February 2005, <http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/forest/tools.html>.
10. AF&PA, Environmental, Health, & Safety (EHS) Verification Program, p. 23, http://www.afandpa.org/Content/NavigationMenu/Environment_and_Recycling/Environment_Health_and_Safety/EHS2004Final.pdf.
11. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 10.2, Capability to Switch From Natural Gas to Alternative Energy, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>. Summarized in EPA Sector Strategies Program, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2000, p. 3-41.
12. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
13. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
14. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI.
15. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI.
16. Prior to the 1997 clarification, most mills would not have reported these metals to TRI based on the "de minimis" exemption. For additional information, please see the final Federal Register notice, published May 1, 1997, 62 FR 23834.
17. AF&PA, Environmental, Health, & Safety Verification Program, Biennial Report, 2006, p. 2, <http://www.afandpa.org>.
18. Loren Blosser, AF&PA, "AF&PA Members Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions," press release, October 29, 2007.
19. AF&PA member companies manufacture more than 84% of the paper and 62% of the wood products made in the United States. These numbers were developed under the protocol developed by AF&PA and the forest industry's environmental research organization, the National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI) for DOE's Climate VISION program.
20. Loren Blosser, AF&PA, "AF&PA Members Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions," press release, October 29, 2007.
21. These numbers were developed under the protocol developed by AF&PA and NCASI for the Climate VISION program.
22. Includes direct discharges to waterways of any TRI chemical and discharges of metals to POTWs.
23. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
24. 40 CFR 401.12(i) and 40 CFR 122.28(b)(3)(ii).
25. AF&PA, EHS Verification Program, BR, 2006.
26. EPA, *Final Report: Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Detailed Study* (EPA-821-R-06-016), November 2006, p. 5-4, <http://www.epa.gov/guide/304m/pulp-final.pdf>.
27. EPA, Office of Water, Industrial Water Pollution Controls, Effluent Limitation Guidelines, <http://www.epa.gov/guide>.
28. Diane Gobin, Stora Enso Duluth Paper Mill and Recycled Pulp Mill, personal communication with Paula VanLare, EPA, September 19, 2007.
29. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>. This sector is defined by NAICS codes: 3211, 3212, 32191, 32192, 321999, 3221, 32221, 322221-322224, 322226, 32223, and 32229.
30. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
31. AF&PA, AF&PA Environmental, Health, & Safety Verification Program, Biennial Report, 2006, <http://www.afandpa.org>.
32. AF&PA, AF&PA Environmental, Health, & Safety Verification Program, Biennial Report, 2006, <http://www.afandpa.org>.
33. AF&PA, Environment & Recycling, http://www.afandpa.org/Content/NavigationMenu/Environment_and_Recycling/Recycling/Recycling.htm.
34. AF&PA, Agenda 2020 Technology Alliance.
35. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Hemicellulose Bioconversion, http://www.ars.usda.gov/research/publications/publications.htm?SEQ_NO_115=142871.

Iron & Steel

1. *Facilities*: Facility trend information is from U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel, 1996-2005, http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/iron_et_steel/. Some apparent shifts in facility totals over time are attributable to changes in data sources and in methodology used for estimation. The reported facility total for 2004, which was apparently anomalous, is not included in the trend line presented in this report. *Employment*: Census Bureau, County Business Patterns (CBP), 2005, defined by NAICS code 331111, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Production*: USGS, Mineral Commodity Summaries, http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/iron_et_steel/index.html.
2. *Energy Use*: DOE, EIA, Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002, Table 3.1, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/contents.html>; *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, National Emission Inventory (NEI) for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. *Chemicals Reported to TRI*: EPA, TRI, 2005 Public Data Release (PDR), freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, 2005 National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
3. USGS, Iron and Steel, January 2007, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/>

- minerals/pubs/commodity/iron_&t_steel/festemcs07.pdf; see 2006 report for comparable narrative and sources.
4. All facilities in the sector fall within the scope of NAICS 331111, but the sector does not include all businesses within that code. For instance, the sector does not include facilities that make products from steel without making new steel from either iron ore or steel scrap. For more information, visit the Census website, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/ec97/def/331111.htm>.
 5. DOE, EIA, MECS, 1998 Energy Consumption by Manufacturers, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs98/datatables/contents.html#fuel>.
 6. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 3.2, Energy Consumption as a Fuel, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
 7. EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007, p. 3-53, <http://www.epa.gov/opispdwb/energy/index.html>.
 8. EPA, *Environmental Benefits of Recycle on the Go*, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/osw/conserva/onthego/benefits/index.htm#steel>.
 9. AISI, personal communication with Tom Tyler, EPA, February 4, 2008.
 10. EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, p. 3-55. Fractions based on 1998 MECS data.
 11. DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Industrial Technologies Program; *Steel Industry Marginal Opportunity Study*, September 2005, p. 8, <http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/steel/pdfs/steelmarginalopportunity.pdf>.
 12. AISI, *Saving One Barrel of Oil per Ton, A New Roadmap for Transformation of Steelmaking Process*, October 2005, <http://www.steel.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Articles7&TEMPLATE=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&CONTENTID=12358>.
 13. J. Stubbles, *Energy Use in the U.S. Steel Industry: An Historical Perspective and Future Opportunities, September 2000*. AISI, *Saving One Barrel of Oil per Ton (SOBOT): A New Roadmap for Transformation of the Steelmaking Process, October 2005*. Both cited in EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007. Additional process description for continuous casting from Metals Processing Advisor, Southern California Gas Company, http://www.energysolutionscenter.org/heat/treat/metalsadvisor/iron_and_steel/process_descriptions/raw_metals_preparation/steelmaking/primary_finishing/continuous_casting/continuous_casting_process_description.htm.
 14. DOE, Interlaboratory Working Group, Scenarios for a Clean Energy Future (Oak Ridge, TN, Oak Ridge National Laboratory; and Berkeley, CA, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), ORNL/CON-476 and LBNL-44029, November 2000. CEF projects' energy intensity will decline by 1.4% per year through 2020, though gains will be incremental without some new, more energy efficient steel production technique. Concerning research into transformational methods of steelmaking, see "North American Steel Industry Searches for Steelmaking Breakthrough," Lou Schorsch, AISI, April 2008, http://www.steel.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Meta_Forum&TEMPLATE=/CM/HTMLDisplay.cfm&CONTENTID=23373.
 15. EPA Combined Heat and Power Partnership, past winners of CHP Award, http://www.epa.gov/CHP/public-recognition/current_winners.html.
 16. Facility count is by TRI ID. A facility can have more than one TRI ID.
 17. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 18. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's RSEI.
 19. EPA, "Profile of the Iron and Steel Industry," September 1995, <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/publications/assistance/sectors/notebooks/iron&stl.pdf>. See also AISI, *The Steel Industry Technology Roadmap for Automotive*, <http://www.steel.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=PDFs&CONTENTFILEID=937&TEMPLATE=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm>.
 20. EPA, *Profile of the Iron and Steel Industry*, September 1995, <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/publications/assistance/sectors/notebooks/iron.html>.
 21. USGS, Manganese; *Statistics and Information*, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/manganese>.
 22. Federal Register, September 20, 2007 (Volume 72, Number 182) p. 53814.
 23. Information current as of June 26, 2008; see End of Life Vehicle Solutions Corporation, <http://www.elvsolutions.org>.
 24. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, p. 4-7.
 25. According to the *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, GHG emissions from all coking coal used to produce metallurgical coke are attributed to the Iron & Steel sector. However, this includes emissions from coke ovens that are not located at iron and steel facilities, the coke from which is predominantly used by steel mills.
 26. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, p. 4-7.
 27. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, p. 4-6.
 28. For more information, see EPA, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, March 2007, p. 3-53.
 29. AISI letter to Honorable Spencer Abraham, Secretary of Energy, http://www.climatevision.gov/sectors/steel/pdfs/aisi_letter.pdf.
 30. AISI, Climate VISION Work Plan, http://www.climatevision.gov/sectors/steel/work_plans.html.
 31. AISI, communication with Tom Tyler, EPA, February 4, 2008.
 32. Testimony of Jim Slattery, Climate Change: Competitiveness Concerns for Engaging Developing Countries, before the Energy and Air Quality Subcommittee, Energy and Commerce Committee, U.S. House of Representatives, March 5, 2008.
 33. 2006 Annual Statistical Report, American Iron and Steel Institute, Washington, DC, p. 3.
 34. DOE, Industrial Water Use and Its Energy Implications, http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/steel/printable_versions/news_detail.html?news_id=7885, citing AISI, *Public Policy Statements—1999-2000, 106th Congress*, Washington, DC: AISI, 1999, p. 21.
 35. AISI, *Public Policy Statements—1999-2000, 106th Congress*, p. 21.
 36. DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Industrial Technologies Program, *Water Use in Industries of the Future*, 2003, http://www.ana.gov.br/Destaque/d179-docs/PublicacoesEspecificas/Metalurgia/Steel_water_use.pdf.
 37. AISI, *Public Policy Statements—1999-2000, 106th Congress*, p. 21.
 38. DOE, Industrial Technologies Program, *Steel Industry of the Future Report on Water Use in the Industries of the Future: Steel Industry*, July 2003 (citing: Wakelin, David H. ed. 1999. *The Making, Shaping and Treating of Steel: Ironmaking Volume, 11th ed.* Pittsburgh, PA, p. 386-93; and Yamada, Louise. 1998. *Market Magic: Riding the Greatest Bull Market of the Century*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., at 160); AISI, communication with Tom Tyler, EPA, February 4, 2008.
 39. Great Lakes Commission's Transportation and Economic Development Program, *Liquid Asset: Great Lakes Water Quality and Industry Needs*, October 1992, <http://www.glc.org/docs/liqasset/liqasset.html>.

40. Includes direct discharges to waterways of any TRI chemical and discharges of metals to POTWs.
41. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
42. EPA, "Profile of the Iron and Steel Industry," September 1995, p. 17.
43. EPA, "Profile of the Iron and Steel Industry," September 1995. Wet scrubbers/venturi scrubbers use about 1,000 gallons of water per ton of steel processed. Water treatment plant sludge from the scrubbers is processed by sintering to be fed back into the blast furnace or is disposed of as waste.
44. Steel Recycling Institute, "Steel Recycling in the U.S. Continues Its Record Pace In 2005" (press release), April 26, 2006, <http://www.recycle-steel.org/PDFs/2005Release.pdf>.
45. Jennifer R. Kaduck, Georgia Environmental Protection Division, *Innovative Solutions Towards the Elimination of Land Disposal of Electric Arc Furnace Emission Control Dust (Hazardous Waste K061)*, <http://www.p2pays.org/ref/21/20282.pdf>.
46. EPA, 2005 RCRA Hazardous Waste Report.
47. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>. This sector is defined by a pre-determined list of iron and steel mills.
48. Mini Steel Mills, Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook, WORLD BANK GROUP, July 1998, p. 341, [http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/gui_ministeel_WB/\\$FILE/ministeel_PPAH.pdf](http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/gui_ministeel_WB/$FILE/ministeel_PPAH.pdf).
49. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through RSEL.
50. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
51. Tom Tyler, EPA Sector Strategies Division.
52. Eric Stuart, SMA, personal communication to Tom Tyler, EPA, May 9, 2007.

Metal Casting

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, County Business Patterns (CBP), 2004, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Employment*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2004, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>; *Ferrous and Nonferrous Shipments*: American Foundry Society (AFS), Metal Casting Forecast & Trends; Stratecasts, Inc., Demand & Supply Forecast.
2. *Energy Use*: DOE, EIA, Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002; *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA, National Emission Inventory (NEI) for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. *Chemicals Reported to TRI*: EPA, TRI, 2005 Public Data Release (PDR), freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
3. The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes for this sector are 33151 and 33152.
4. DOE, *Metal Casting Industry of the Future: Fiscal Year 2004 Annual Report*, http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/about/pdfs/metalcasting_fy2004.pdf.
5. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 1.2, Consumption of Energy for All Purposes (First Use), <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
6. DOE, *Theoretical/Best Practice Energy Use In Metalcasting Operations*, Analysis prepared by KERAMIDA Environmental, Inc., Schifo, J.F., and Radia, J.T., May 2004, p. 13.
7. DOE, EIA, MECS, 2002 Data Tables, Table 1.2, Consumption of Energy for All Purposes (First Use), <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
8. DOE, *Theoretical/Best Practice Energy Use In Metalcasting Operations*, Analysis prepared by KERAMIDA Environmental, Inc., Schifo, J.F., and Radia, J.T., May 2004, p. 31.
9. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, modeled through EPA's Risk Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI).
10. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
11. Steve Lewallen, Gregg Industries, a subsidiary of Neenah Enterprises Inc., personal communication with Jeffrey Kohn, EPA, January 31, 2008.
12. 40 CFR §421.
13. Includes direct discharges to waterways of any TRI chemical and discharges of metals to POTWs.
14. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
15. EPA Sector Notebook, Profile of the Metal Casting Industry, 1998, <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/publications/assistance/sectors/notebooks/casting.html>.
16. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
17. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
18. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
19. Diane Kurtzman, Kurtz Bros., Inc., communication with Jeffrey Kohn, EPA, January 18, 2008.
20. AFS, *Industry Practices Regarding the Disposal and Beneficial Reuse of Foundry Sands: Results and Analysis*, August 2007.
21. Daniel Twarog, NADCA, communication with Jeffrey Kohn, EPA, August 14, 2007.

Oil & Gas

1. *Wells*: World Oil Magazine, Producing Oil Wells, February 2007 (Total 2005), at http://www.worldoil.com/WO_MAG/Feb-2007/07-02_US_Oil_Wells_tab1.htm; *Employment*: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, NAICS codes 211111, 211112, 213111, and 213112; *Production*: Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Production in Btu derived from Crude Oil Field Production (Barrels) and Natural Gas Gross Withdrawals and Production (MMcf), http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_crd_crpdn_adc_mbb1_m.htm; and http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/ng_prod_sum_dcu_NUS_m.htm.
2. *Refineries*: DOE, EIA, Refinery Capacity Report, Table 1, http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/data_publications/refinery_capacity_data/refcapacity.html, trend data for 1996 and 1998 were not available; *Employment*: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; *Crude Oil Inputs into Refineries*: DOE, EIA, Petroleum Refining & Processing, Weekly Inputs, Utilization & Production http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_pnp_wiup_dcu_nus_w.htm. Although EIA data indicate 148 refineries, the number of facilities in SIC 2911 (Petroleum Refineries) including the TRI and BR databases exceed this count. This could be the result of numerous factors, such as: (1) there are differences in how EIA defines the sector and how the sector is defined by SIC code 2911, and (2) database counts reflect the number of IDs in the data system; some facilities may inadvertently report under multiple IDs within a data system. 2005 barrels of crude oil inputs into refineries were estimated by multiplying the average weekly inputs (barrels/day) by seven (days/week), and summing all weeks in the calendar year.
3. The relevant NAICS categories (and codes) are Petroleum and Natural Gas Extraction (211111), Natural Gas Liquid Extraction (211112), Drilling Oil and Gas Wells (213111), Oil and Gas Operations Support Activities (213112), and Petroleum Refineries (32411).
4. World Oil Magazine, Producing Oil Wells, February 2007 (Total 2005), http://www.worldoil.com/WO_MAG/Feb-2007/07-02_US_Oil_Wells_tab1.htm; World Oil Magazine, Producing Gas Wells, February 2007 (Total 2005), http://www.worldoil.com/magazine/MAGAZINE_DETAIL.asp?ART_ID=3115&MONTH_YEAR=Feb-2007;

- DOE, EIA, *U.S. Crude Oil and Natural Gas Rotary Rigs in Operation (Count)*, 2005, available at http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_crd_drill_s1_a.htm.
5. The EIA website contains further information on global production, http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/topworldtables1_2.htm and <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/international/RecentNaturalGasProductionTCF.xls>.
 6. The Exploration and Production overview of this chapter was written based upon the EPA sector lead's knowledge base that was informed by various governmental, industry, and non-governmental information sources including the following: EPA Office of Policy, Economics and Innovation (OPEI) Sector Strategies Program Report Review Draft: *Environmental Impacts from Oil and Gas Production in EPA Region 8*, May 2008; DOE report to Congress, *Energy Demands on Water Resources: Report to Congress on the Interdependency of Energy and Water*, December 2006; and EPA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA), *Industry Sector Compliance Assistance Notebook: Profile of the Oil and Gas Extraction Industry*, 1999.
 7. API, *Energy Efficiency Primer for the U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Exploration and Production Industry*, based on research and analysis conducted by Advanced Resources International Inc., p. 2, January 2008.
 8. WRAP is a collaborative effort and voluntary organization of tribal governments, state governments, and various federal agencies. Formed in 1997, WRAP works to improve visibility in western areas by providing the technical expertise and policy tools needed by states and tribes to implement the federal Regional Haze Rule (RHR).
 9. EPA, Technical Support Document for the 2004 Effluent Guidelines Program Plan, EPA-821-R-04-014, August 2004.
 10. Devon, Natural Gas STAR Partner Newsletter, http://www.epa.gov/gasstar/pdf/devon_newsletter_1005.pdf.
 11. DOE, National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) by Argonne National Laboratory, *A White Paper Describing Produced Water from Production of Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Coal Bed Methane*. January 2004; See also: DOE, report to Congress, *Energy Demands on Water Resources: Report to Congress on the Interdependency of Energy and Water*, p. 47, December 2006.
 12. The main sources of water data are from Lasser and HIS, privately managed databases containing data reported by industry to the states for taxation and royalty purposes. They are widely used by industry and government to help characterize oil and gas exploration and production activity. The Lasser data provide information on the number of wells drilled and amount of oil, gas, and water produced. These data were used to estimate the amount of produced water resulting from oil and gas operations as well as well-count and oil and gas production. The HIS database was used to identify the CBM wells and to help disaggregate the well data, including produced water, by well type.
 13. DOE, report to Congress, *Energy Demands on Water Resources: Report to Congress on the Interdependency of Energy and Water*, December 2006.
 14. International Finance Corporation, World Bank Group, *Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines: Onshore Oil and Gas Development*, April 30, 2007.
 15. Devon, Corporate Responsibility Achievements, www.devonenergy.com/CorpResp/Pages/achievements.aspx.
 16. Drilling waste estimates are based on the API report, *Overview of Exploration and Production Waste Volumes and Waste Management Practices in the United States*. This API report provides emission factors for drilling wastes based on production. The Draft EPA Sector Strategies report used those emission factors with operating data from the year of estimate. These emission factors have been used by API for many years and are believed to be the best available.
 17. *Energy Use*: DOE, EIA, Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002, Table 3.1, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/contents.html>. *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA's National Emission Inventory (NEI) for Point Sources: Final v3 2002. *Releases of Chemicals Reported to TRI*: EPA, TRI, Public Data Release (PDR), 2005, freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
 18. EPA, Sector Strategies Program (SSP), *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, 2007, p. 2-10 and 3-11.
 19. DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Industrial Technologies Program. *Energy Use, Loss, and Opportunities Analysis: U.S. Manufacturing and Mining*, December 2004.
 20. API, *Fuel Choices for Advanced Vehicles*, p. 14, September 2006.
 21. EPA, SSP, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, p. 3-11, Petroleum Refining Section, Table 52.
 22. EPA, SSP, *Energy Trends in Selected Manufacturing Sectors: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmentally Preferable Energy Outcomes*, p. 3-11, Petroleum Refining Section, p. 3-88.
 23. DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Energy and Environmental Profile of the U.S. Petroleum Refining Industry, November 2007, http://www1.eere.energy.gov/industry/petroleum_refining/analysis.html.
 24. EPA, ENERGY STAR, News Room Content, <http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/>.
 25. See Endnote 2, above, discussing number of facilities reporting various releases to TRI.
 26. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 27. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 28. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*.
 29. EPA, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2005*, p. 3-45.
 30. DOE, report to Congress, *Energy Demands on Water Resources: Report to Congress on the Interdependency of Energy and Water*, December 2006.
 31. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 32. EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR.
 33. EPA, Natural Gas STAR, *Partner Update*, fall 2005.
 34. Performance Track recognizes and drives environmental excellence by encouraging facilities with strong environmental records to go beyond their legal requirements.
 35. EPA, Performance Track, Feature Stories, <http://www.epa.gov/perftrac/members/news/mar08/feature.htm>.

Paint & Coatings

1. *Facilities*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005; available at <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>, defined by NAICS code 32551 or SIC code 2851; *Employment*: Census Bureau, CBP, 2005; *Production*: Census Bureau, Current Industrial Reports (CIR), 2006; <http://www.census.gov/industry/1/ma325f06.pdf>; *Value of Shipments*: DOC, BEA: Industry Economic Accounts; <http://bea.gov/Industry/Index.htm>.
2. *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA's NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002 (includes facilities with NAICS code 32551 or SIC code 2851, data compiled from EPA's facility-summary datasets); *Chemicals Reported to TRI*: EPA Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) 2006

- Public Data Release (PDR) (includes facilities that report primary SIC code 2851 on their Form R); *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed: EPA, National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005*, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>.
3. The sector is defined by SIC code 2851 or NAICS code 32551.
 4. Census Bureau, Economic Census, Paint and Coating Manufacturing: 2002, issued June 2005, Table 3, <http://www.census.gov/prod/2002/ec02/ec02311325510.pdf>. Census Bureau, Economic Census, General Summary: 2002, issued October 2005, Table 4, <http://www.census.gov/econ/census02/guide>.
 5. EPA TRI, 2006 PDR, modeled through EPA's Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI).
 6. EPA TRI, 2006 PDR, modeled through RSEI.
 7. EPA NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002.
 8. EPA NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 2002.
 9. Includes direct discharges to waterways of any TRI chemical and discharges of metals to POTWs.
 10. EPA TRI, 2006 PDR.
 11. Federal Register, February 13, 2001, p. 10060; the survey covered manufacturers of architectural, original equipment manufacturers, and special purpose paints/coatings, but did not include the other categories, such as miscellaneous allied products and artist paint.
 12. In responding to public comment in the final rule (Federal Register, April 4, 2002, p. 16262) EPA examined the impact of revising its statistical analysis somewhat, which had the effect of reducing the total wastewater volume slightly to about 14.5 million gallons.
 13. Due to an apparent reporting error, a non-paint and coatings facility is included in the hazardous waste generation total of 146,000 tons and constitutes 12% of this total. If removed, the industry's total hazardous waste generation would be reduced to 129,000 tons. Industry classification for Haros Anodizing Specialists Inc. from Dun & Bradstreet, accessed via EPA's Integrated Data for Enforcement Analysis (IDEA), April 2008.
 14. EPA, *Exploring Opportunities to Improve Environmental Performance Related to Hazardous Waste Generation and Management at Paint and Coatings Facilities*.
 15. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005*,: <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>. This sector is defined by NAICS code 32551.
 16. EPA TRI, 2006 PDR.
 17. EPA TRI, 2006 PDR.
 18. For more information on Coatings Care, visit: <http://www.paint.org/cc/>.
 19. Product Stewardship Institute, "Paint Product Stewardship Initiative Background Summary," October 29, 2004 (revised April 1, 2005), <http://www.productstewardship.us/associations/6596/files/PaintMOUBkggrdSummary.doc>.
 20. EPA, "Quantifying the Disposal of Post-Consumer Architectural Paint," final report prepared for EPA's Sector Strategies Division by Abt Associates, Inc., April 2007.
 21. Product Stewardship Institute, "PSI Paint Project-National Dialogue," <http://www.productstewardship.us/displaycommon.cfm?an=1&subarticlenbr=117>.
- American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes 48831 and 48832.
2. In the 2005 survey, 48 ports responded, representing a 57% response rate.
 3. Meredith Martino, AAPA, personal correspondence with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, regarding unpublished surveys conducted in 2005 and 2007.
 4. *Number of Ports*, U.S. Public Port Facts, AAPA, <http://www.aapa-ports.org>; *Contribution of port activity to GDP: Trade & Economic Growth - Port Industry Information*, <http://www.aapa-ports.org>; *Customs Revenue*: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, http://www.nemo.cbp.gov/of/customs_report.pdf.
 5. AAPA, "U.S. Port Industry," <http://www.aapa-ports.org/Industry/content.cfm?ItemNumber=1022&navItemNumber=901>.
 6. Department of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, *Pocket Guide to Transportation 2007*, Tables 5-5 and 5-6, http://www.bts.gov/publications/pocket_guide_to_transportation/2007.
 7. Cruise Lines International Association, *The Cruise Industry: A \$35.7 Billion Partner in U.S. Economic Growth*, undated, <http://www.cruising.org/press/research/2006.CLIA.EconomicSummary.pdf>.
 8. Testimony of Jean Godwin, AAPA, before the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission, March 19, 2007, http://www.transportationfortomorrow.org/pdfs/commission_meetings/0307_field_hearing_washington/031907_fh_aapa_testimony.pdf.
 9. Port of Oakland, "Port of Oakland Mobile Shoreside Power Test Is a Success" (press release), August 27, 2007, <http://www.portofoakland.com/newsroom/pressrel/view.asp?id=74>.
 10. Port of Long Beach, *Cold Ironing Cost Effectiveness Study, Volume I Report*, March 2004, p. 79, <http://www.polb.com/civica/filebank/blobload.asp?BlobID=2157>.
 11. For information on a "green" lease that the Port of Los Angeles signed with P&O Nedlloyd in January 2006, please visit http://www.portoflosangeles.org/Press/REL_BHC Approves 206-209 EIR.pdf.
 12. In January 2006, EPA published a guide outlining methodologies and best practices for emissions inventories at ports. The guide is titled *Current Methodologies and Best Practices in Preparing Port Emission Inventories*, http://www.epa.gov/sectors/ports/bp_portemissionsfinal.pdf.
 13. Meredith Martino, AAPA, communication with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, January 31, 2008.
 14. Port of Seattle, "Port of Seattle, SSA Switch to Biodiesel" (press release), December 23, 2005, http://www.portseattle.org/news/press/2005/12_23_2005_36.shtml; "EPA Presents Port of Seattle with Clean Air Excellence Award" (press release), April 5, 2006, http://www.portseattle.org/news/press/2006/04_05_2006_70.shtml.
 15. This figure is an internal EPA estimate of emissions savings. While biodiesel produces lower emissions of some air pollutants compared to petroleum-based diesel, it does produce higher emissions of NO_x, a precursor to smog.
 16. Port of Los Angeles, "Los Angeles Harbor Commission Certifies EIR and Approves Berth 136-147 TraPac Container Terminal Expansion Project" (press release), December 6, 2007, http://www.portoflosangeles.org/News/news_120607trapac.htm. Port of Los Angeles, Final Environmental Impact Statement/Final Environmental Impact Report for Berths 136-147 (TraPac) Container Terminal Project, November 14, 2007, http://www.portoflosangeles.org/EIR/TraPacFIER/feir_111407trapac.htm.
 17. Port of Oakland, "Port of Oakland and SunEdison Flip the Switch" (press release), November 8, 2007, http://www.oaklandairport.com/press_releases_detail.cfm?ID=500.

Ports

1. *Number of Ports*: AAPA, U.S. Public Port Facts, <http://www.aapa-ports.org>; *Direct Jobs*: AAPA, The Local and Regional Economic Impacts of the U.S. Deepwater Port System, 2006, p.7, prepared by Martin Associates, September 5, 2007, <http://www.aapa-ports.org/Press/PRdetail.cfm?itemnumber3485>; *Revenue*: Census Bureau, 1997 and 2002 Economic Census, <http://www.census.gov/econ/census02>, North


18. See 2006 Sector Strategies Performance Report, p. 68, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/performance.html>.
19. This list includes ports for which emission inventories are still under development. These inventories do not necessarily cover the same universe of emissions sources; most do not estimate GHG emissions.
20. For more information on EPA's funding for clean diesel projects at ports, visit the EPA website, <http://www.epa.gov/cleandiesel/ports/grants.htm>.
21. EPA SmartWay Transport Partnership, "A Glance at Clean Freight Strategies: Common Chassis Pools for Drayage," <http://www.epa.gov/smartway/documents/420f06002.pdf>.
22. Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, *Final 2006 San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan*, http://www.polb.com/environment/air_quality/clean_air_action_plan.asp. Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, "San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan Fact Sheet," undated, <http://www.polb.com/civica/filebank/blobload.asp?BlobID=3432>. While the immediate purpose of the Clean Air Action Plan is to address emissions that affect public health on a local basis, some of the proposed measures will result in a decrease in GHG emissions.
23. Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, "San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan Fact Sheet," undated, <http://www.polb.com/civica/filebank/blobload.asp?BlobID=3432>.
24. Port of Los Angeles, *First Quarter 2007 Clean Air Action Plan Implementation—Milestone Status Report*, http://www.portoflosangeles.org/environment_air.htm.
25. Port of Los Angeles, Port of Los Angeles Inventory of Air Emissions 2005, September 2007, p. 15, http://www.portoflosangeles.org/DOC/2005_Air_Emissions_Inventory_Full_Doc.pdf. Between 2001 and 2005, container volume at the port increased by 44%, while the actual quantities of PM and NO_x emissions increased by 13% and 9%, respectively, and actual SO_x emissions fell by 4%.
26. SCSPA, "Corps Issues Permits for New Charleston Container Terminal" (press release), April 26, 2007, http://www.port-of-charleston.com/community/press_room/pressroom.asp?PressRelease=172.
27. SCSPA, "Port of Charleston Switches to Cleaner Fuel" (press release), September 24, 2007, http://www.scspace.com/community/press_room/pressroom.asp?PressRelease=186.
28. EPA estimate of emissions savings based on diesel consumption of one million gallons annually.
29. Meredith Martino, AAPA, communication with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, January 31, 2008. For more information, see Northwest Ports Clean Air Strategy, May 16, 2007, adopted by the Port of Tacoma Commission on January 17, 2008, and by the Port of Seattle Commission on January 22, 2008, http://www.portseattle.org/downloads/community/environment/NWcleanAirStrat_200712.pdf.
30. AAPA, "U.S. Ports Advocate for Reducing Emissions from Ships" (press release), October 10, 2007, <http://www.aapa-ports.org/Press/PRdetail.cfm?itemnumber=3995>. EPA has proposed setting new international standards for both new engines and those built before 2000. These new standards would apply to emissions of NO_x, SO_x, and PM. For more information on the proposal, see the EPA website, <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/oceanvessels.htm#imo>.
31. Puget Sound Maritime Air Forum, "Puget Sound Maritime Air Emissions Inventory," p. 2, http://www.maritimeairforum.org/EI/PSEL_Overview.pdf.
32. Port of San Diego, *The Port of San Diego 2006 Emissions Inventory*, September 2007, p. ES-2, http://www.portofsandiego.org/sandiego_environment/documents/2006_air_emissions_inventory-september_2007.pdf.
33. For more information on the SmartWay Transport Partnership, see the EPA website, <http://www.epa.gov/smartway/financing.htm>.
34. Port of Portland, "'Thirsty' Asphalt Wins Environmental Sustainability Award" (press release), October 18, 2006, http://www.flypdx.com/NewsRelease.aspx?newsContent=A_2006101810282RMAPWAawardNR94.ascx&topic=Marine News Release; Oregon Chapter of the American Public Works Association, "Local Agencies Recognized For Sustainability" (press release), October 12, 2006, <http://www.oregonapwa.org/Awards/release.julian2006.doc>; "Portland's Porous Pavement a Prize," *Public Works Online*, January 1, 2007, <http://www.pwmag.com/industry-news.asp?sectionID=760&articleID=438448>.
35. Port of Everett, "Beach Enhancement for Mount Baker Terminal" (successful application for AAPA Environmental Award), June 15, 2007, <http://aapa.files.cms-plus.com/PDFs/EnvironmentalAwards/2007/2007%5FEnviroAwards%5FEverett.pdf>.
36. St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation, "Ballast Water Management in the Great Lakes St. Lawrence Seaway System," May 2007, http://www.greatlakes-seaway.com/en/navigation/ballast_water.html.
37. AAPA, "Ballast Water," <http://www.aapa-ports.org/Issues/USGovRelDetail.cfm?itemnumber=880>.
38. For more information on the research effort to end the problem of ship-borne invasive species in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway System, see the Great Ships Initiative website, <http://www.nemw.org/GSI/index.htm>.
39. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Deep Water Ports and Harbors: Value to the Nation," undated, <http://www.vtn.iwr.usace.army.mil/pdfs/DeepWaterPorts.pdf>.
40. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Navigation: Economic Impact, Environmental Benefits, Recent Activities," <http://www.vtn.iwr.usace.army.mil/navigation/default.htm>.
41. AAPA's member surveys found that the percentage of ports with dredged material management plans increased from 50% in 2005 to 68% in 2007. The percentage of ports with provisions for beneficial reuse of dredged materials (e.g., wetland creation) grew from 38% in 2005 to 45% in 2007.
42. Port Fourchon, "Maritime Forest Ridge and March Recreation Project" (application for AAPA Environmental Award), June 1, 2006, <http://aapa.files.cms-plus.com/PDFs/EnvironmentalAwards/2006/2006%5FEnviroAward%5FFourchon.pdf>.
43. Meredith Martino, AAPA, personal communication with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, regarding unpublished surveys conducted in 2005 and 2007.
44. For more information, see the EPA website, http://www.epa.gov/owow/oceans/cruise_ships/disch_assess.html.
45. EPA, *Profile of the Water Transportation Industry (Shipping and Barging)*, 1997, <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/publications/assistance/sectors/notebooks/water.html>.
46. Meredith Martino, AAPA, personal communication with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, regarding unpublished surveys conducted in 2005 and 2007.
47. Public Entity EMS Resource Center, 1st Ports EMS/SMS Assistance Project: Final Report, May 30, 2006, <http://www.peercenter.net/ewebeditpro/items/073F8587.pdf>. The eight ports that worked on EMSs during the first EMS Assistance Project were the Virginia Port Authority; Port of Corpus Christi Authority; Port of Portland, OR; Port Authority of New York and New Jersey; Port of Los Angeles; Port of New Orleans; Port Everglades; and Port of Vancouver, WA. In the second round of the project, the five ports working on EMSs were the Maryland Port Administration, Port of Cleveland, Port of Everett, Port of Long Beach, and Port of Oakland. Five other participating ports worked on security management systems, in which the EMS framework is used to manage security risks and vulnerabilities.
48. To assist other ports in developing EMSs, AAPA, and EPA partnered on development of a publication titled EMS Primer for Ports:

- Advancing Port Sustainability, January 2008, http://www.epa.gov/sectors/ports/ems_primer.pdf.
49. Meredith Martino, AAPA, personal communication with Kathleen Bailey, EPA, regarding unpublished surveys conducted in 2005 and 2007. For examples of the ports' annual environmental reports, please visit the port websites, http://www.polb.com/news/pub/green_port_annual.asp, http://www.portofportland.com/PDFPOP/Env_06_07_ObjTrgts.pdf, and <http://www.portofhouston.com/publicrelations/environment.html>.
 50. EMS primer available on EPA website, <http://www.epa.gov/sectors/ports>.
 51. More information on Green Marine can be found on the Green Marine website, <http://www.green-marine.org>.
 52. To read AAPA's sustainability resolution and principles, see the AAPA website, http://aapa.files.cms-plus.com/PDFs/sustainability_resolutions.pdf.
 53. Port of Portland, "Environmental Outreach and Communication Program" (successful application for AAPA Environmental Award), June 15, 2007, http://aapa.files.cms-plus.com/PDFs/EnvironmentalAwards/2007/2007_EnviroAwards_Portland.pdf. For more information on the Port of Portland's Terminal 4 sediment cleanup project, see the Port of Portland website, http://www.portofportlandor.com/T4_EA_Home.aspx.
 8. EPA TRI 2006 PDR.
 9. EPA TRI 2006 PDR, modeled through RSEL.
 10. Shipbuilding Council of America, personal correspondence with Shana Harbour, EPA Sector Strategies Division.
 11. EPA TRI 2006 PDR, modeled through RSEL.
 12. EPA NEI for Point Sources: Final v3 1999 and Final v3 2002.
 13. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, *Abrasive Blasting Hazards In Shipyard Employment*, December 2006, http://www.osha.gov/dts/maritime/standards/guidance/shipyard_guidance.html#Background.
 14. EPA TRI 2006 PDR; and DOC, BEA.
 15. Shaun Halvax, BAE Systems, personal communication with Shana Harbour, EPA, October 5, 2007.
 16. EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005*, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/>. Sector is defined by NAICS code 336611.
 17. EPA TRI 2006 PDR.

Shipbuilding & Ship Repair

1. *Facilities*: Beth Gearhart, U.S. Maritime Administration, personal communication with Shana Harbour, EPA, December 2005. *Employees*: Census Bureau, County Business Patterns (CBP), 2005, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/cbp/view/cbpview.html>, defined by NAICS code 336611 or SIC code 3731; *Value of Shipments*: Department of Commerce (DOC), Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA); Industry Economic Accounts, 2005, http://www.bea.gov/industry/xls/GDPBYIND_SHIP_NAICS_1998-2005.xls, defined by NAICS code 336611 or SIC code 3731.
2. *Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants*: EPA's National Emission Inventory (NEI) for Point Sources: Final v3 2002 (data compiled from EPA's facility-summary datasets. Includes facilities with NAICS code 336611 or SIC code 3731); Releases of chemicals reported to TRI: EPA, TRI, 2005 PDR, freeze date: December 19, 2006; *Hazardous Waste Generated and Managed*: EPA, *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report, 2005*, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport>. MECS does not contain sector-level data for shipbuilding and ship repair. This number is for the larger NAICS category of transportation equipment (NAICS 336), which also contains motor vehicle manufacturing).
3. Website of Maritime Business Strategies, LLC, citing Lloyd's Register's *World Fleet Statistics*, <http://www.coltoncompany.com/shipbldg/statistics/world.htm>.
4. DOE, EIA. *Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey, 2002 Data Tables*, Table 3.2, Energy Consumption as a Fuel, and Table 6.1., Ratios of Manufacturing Fuel Consumption to Economic Characteristics, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html>.
5. Census Bureau. *Statistics for Industry Groups and Industries: 2004, Annual Survey of Manufacturers* (December 2005), <http://www.census.gov/prod/2005pubs/am0431gs1.pdf>.
6. Census Bureau. *Statistics for Industry Groups and Industries: 2001, Annual Survey of Manufacturers* (January 2003), <http://www.census.gov/prod/2003pubs/m01as-1.pdf>; Census Bureau. *Statistics for Industry Groups and Industries: 2004, Annual Survey of Manufacturers* (December 2005), <http://www.census.gov/prod/2005pubs/am0431gs1.pdf>.
7. Hal Jones, Atlantic Marine, personal correspondence with Shana Harbour, EPA Sector Strategies Division, October 5, 2007.
1. See EIA website: <http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/>.
2. Federal Register notice 71 FR 32464 (June 6, 2006). The rule became effective for reporting forms due to EPA by July 1, 2007.
3. See Article 4(1)(a) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change <http://www.unfccc.int>. Under decision 3/CP.5 of the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties, national inventories for UNFCCC Annex I parties should be provided to the UNFCCC Secretariat each year by April 15. Parties to the Convention, by ratifying, "shall develop, periodically update, publish and make available...national inventories of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of all greenhouse gases not controlled by the *Montreal Protocol*, using comparable methodologies..." Article 4(1)(a) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (also identified in Article 12). Subsequent decisions by the Conference of the Parties elaborated the role of Annex I Parties in preparing national inventories. See <http://unfccc.int>.
4. See <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/2006/June/Day-01/w8496.htm>.

Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations



United States Environmental Protection Agency
Sector Strategies Division
Office of Cross Media Programs (1807T)
EPA 100-R-08-002
September 2008



Recycled/Recyclable
Printed on paper that contains
at least 50% post consumer fiber.