NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Dropout Rates in the United States: 1993

U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 94-669

Dropout Rates in the United States: 1993

Marilyn M. McMillen Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division National Center for Education Statistics

Phillip Kaufman MPR Associates, Inc. Berkeley, California

Summer D. Whitener Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division National Center for Education Statistics

> For sale by the U.S. Government Printing Office Superintendent of Documents, Mail Stop: SSOP, Washington, DC 20402-9328 ISBN 0-16-045195-7

FOREWORD

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) collects and publishes information on the condition of education in the United States. The Hawkins-Stafford Elementary and Secondary School Improvement Amendments of 1988 (P.L. 100-297) mandated specifically that NCES collect and publish data about dropping out of school. One of these mandates required NCES annually to report dropout and retention rates for a 12-month period to the appropriate committees of Congress, beginning in 1989. This report continues this series of reports and is NCES' sixth annual report on dropout rates.

This report presents the data for 1993 on high school dropout and retention rates. This report also examines high school completion and graduation rates. At the conclusion of the report is a discussion of new data collection efforts by NCES that have a direct bearing on the issues of high school dropouts and graduates.

The report is based on the best and most current national data available at this time. It utilizes the Current Population Survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census to develop national event and status dropout rates and the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 to develop 8th- through 12th-grade and 10th- through 12th-grade cohort dropout rates. NCES is currently pursuing an extensive, integrated program to expand and improve data collected about dropouts in response to the provisions of P.L. 100-297. These efforts were described in an earlier report, *Activities to Plan and Implement the Reporting of School Dropout and Retention Indicators: Status Report to the United States Congress on Activities Related to Section 406 (G) of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) as Amended by Public Law 100-297, May 1989.* To this end, a dropout statistics collection was initiated in the 1991–92 school year as a component of the NCES Common Core of Data (CCD).

I hope the information in this report will be useful in discussions about this critical national issue.

Emerson J. Elliott Commissioner of Education Statistics

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many individuals made substantial contributions to the preparation of this report. This report was prepared under the direction of Paul Planchon, Associate Commissioner for Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics.

Special recognition is extended to Steven Ingels, Kathy Dowd, Martin Frankel, and Jiahe Qian of the National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago and Christopher Grabler and Paul Siegel at the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Without their assistance, sections of this report based on the HS&B and NELS:88 surveys could not have been prepared. In addition, Robert Kominski, Chief, Education and Social Stratification Branch, Population Division, Bureau of the Census, and Rosalind Bruno of his staff contributed to the preparation of the sections of the report based on the CPS data.

Numerous members of the NCES staff provided assistance in preparing various parts of the report. Nabeel Alsalam and his staff provided invaluable assistance in formulating the definition of event dropouts in CPS. Nabeel also provided the family income data used in the reporting of the event and status rates in this report. Mary Frase provided the detailed Hispanic population status rates from the November 1989 CPS and portions of the analysis of 1992 CPS data on grade retention and dropping out. Ralph Lee provided the tables on the reasons for dropping out from the NELS:88 second followup survey.

Without the assistance of Patty Holmes, Angie Wei, Padma Rathman, Andrea Livingston, and Randy Kim of MPR Associates this report could not have been prepared. They provided invaluable analytical, editorial, graphic, and production assistance.

The report was reviewed by Susan Ahmed, Mary Frase, Lee Hoffman, John Burkett, and Robert Burton of NCES; Robert Kominski, Bureau of the Census; Russell Rumberger of the University of California at Santa Barbara; and Rafael Valdivieso of the Academy for Educational Development. Their efforts and contributions are greatly appreciated.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the sixth annual dropout report to Congress by the National Center for Education Statistics. It presents data for 1993 on high school dropout and retention rates along with time series data for the period from 1972 through 1993. Dropout rates with detailed data on language use, grade attainment, grade retention, and learning disabilities are also included. This report contains 8th- through 12th-grade and 10th- through 12th-grade cohort dropout rates and analyses of reasons for dropping out for the 1988 cohort of eighth graders. Comparisons are drawn between cohort dropout rates for sophomores in 1990 and 1980. In addition, detailed data on demographic and socioeconomic levels are presented for high school completion and graduation rates data.

Types of Dropout Rates

There are many ways to define and calculate dropout rates. Each type of dropout rate measures a different facet of dropping out. Three types of dropout rates are discussed in this report: event rates, status rates, and cohort rates.

- Event rates measure the proportion of students who drop out in a single year without completing high school.
 - Event rates are important because they reveal how many students are leaving high school each year and how each year's rates compare with previous years' rates.
- Status rates measure the proportion of the population who have not completed high school and are not enrolled at one point in time, regardless of when they dropped out.
 - Status dropout rates are important because they reveal the extent of the dropout problem in the population and, therefore, suggest the magnitude of the challenge for further training and education that will permit these individuals to participate more fully in the economy and the life of the nation.
 - The status dropout rate is a cumulative rate. It is much higher than the event rate because it counts as dropouts all individuals who have not completed high school (and are not currently enrolled in school), regardless of when they last attended school.
- Cohort rates measure what happens to a single group (or cohort) of students over a period of time.
 - Cohort rates are important because they reveal how many students in a single age group (or in a specific grade in school) drop out over time.

- Cohort rates also allow the calculation of how many dropouts from the cohort eventually complete high school with a diploma or an alternative credential.

This report updates the data on event and status rates presented in last year's report and presents several cohort rates, including those from the eighth-grade class of 1988.

Event, Status, and Cohort Dropout Rates

National dropout rates have declined over the last 10 to 15 years. The event dropout rate for persons 15 through 24 years old in grades 10 through 12 was 6.7 percent in 1978 and 4.5 percent in 1993. Furthermore, the status dropout rate for persons 16 through 24 years old was 14.2 percent in 1978 and 11.0 percent in 1993.

Analyses of dropout rates by selected demographic characteristics show that regardless of the type of rate—event, status, or cohort—male and female dropout rates are comparable. In contrast, the status and cohort dropout rates for blacks and Hispanics are higher than the rates for whites. Event and status dropout rates presented with data on income levels show a consistent pattern, with dropout rates decreasing markedly as income levels increase. More detailed analyses of the status dropout rates show that within income levels, the rates for blacks and whites were similar. While analyses of status dropout rates show differences across regions and racial—ethnic groups, over-time analysis of the status dropout rates for each racial—ethnic group show that the differential between whites and blacks is narrowing. In addition, analysis of data from two sophomore cohorts a decade apart in time show a 46 percent reduction in the sophomore- to senior-year dropout rates.

Event Rate

- In 1993, some 4.5 percent of 15- to 24-year-olds in grades 10 through 12 dropped out of school. The event dropout rate represents approximately 381,000 students dropping out of school in 1993.
- The school retention rate for 1993—the proportion of students graduating or remaining in school from one year to the next—was 95.5 percent.
- The event dropout rate was highest among 15- through 24-year-olds living in families at the low income level, intermediate at middle income levels, and lowest at high income levels.
- The event rate for 1993 was not statistically different from the rate for 1992, nor were there significant differences between the rate for 1993 and the rate for 1992 for males, females, or members of different racial-ethnic groups.

- The event dropout rate has fallen over the last 10 to 15 years. In the late 1970s, the annual event dropout rate was over 6.5 percent. By 1993, the rate was 4.5 percent (figure A). This decline is also evident in the event dropout rates for white and black students. Grade- and age-specific dropout rates declined over most of the period as well.
- While low income students were more likely to drop out than their peers, only about one-third of all dropouts live in low income families. The majority of students who dropped out over the last year were white, were under 20 years old, and lived in middle income families.

Figure A—Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Status Rate

• In 1993 approximately 3.4 million persons in the United States ages 16 through 24 had not completed high school and were not currently enrolled in school. This represented about 11.0 percent of all persons in this age group.

- The 1993 status rate for all persons ages 16 through 24 is on a par with the 1992 rate, and appears to be lower than the 1991 rate. The 1992 and 1993 rates for males and for whites also appear to be lower than the 1991 rates. Because the definitional changes introduced last year may affect these results, confirmation of these trends must await data for future years.
- The percentage of young persons who are status dropouts has generally declined over the last two decades. Throughout the 1970s, about 14 to 15 percent of persons ages 16 through 24 years were not enrolled and had not completed high school, compared with 11 percent in 1993 (figure B).
- Persons in central cities, the southern or western regions of the country, in low income families, and persons of Hispanic origin were more likely to be status dropouts than were other persons.
- Although the status dropout rates for blacks were higher than the rates for whites, there were no differences between the status dropout rates of white and black 16-through 24-year-olds at each of three income levels.
- In 1989, nearly one-half of all Hispanics ages 16 through 24 years had been born outside of the 50 states and the District of Columbia, and their status dropout rate was over three times the overall rate for that year.¹ In 1992, about three-quarters of all Hispanics ages 16 through 24 years reported speaking Spanish at home.² The status dropout rates for Spanish-speaking Hispanics was three times the overall status dropout rate.³ This rate was higher among Hispanics who reported limited ability to speak English than it was for Hispanics who reported a mastery of English.
- Just over one-half of the Hispanic dropouts, compared with nearly one-third of the white dropouts and one-fourth of the black dropouts, have less than a 10th-grade education.
- The status dropout rate among young adults who were retained at least one time in grades kindergarten through 12 was two times the rate for those who were not retained. The dropout rate for young adults retained in grades 7 through 9 was two times the rate for those retained in grades kindergarten through 6.

¹M. Frase, Are High Hispanic Dropout Rates a Result of Recent Immigration?, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (July 1992).

²These results are consistent with an earlier analysis of Hispanics and Hispanic dropouts ages 14–25 in 1976 where English and non-English language use were considered. L. Steinberg, P. L. Blinde, and K. S. Chan, "Dropping Out Among Minority Youth", *Review of Educational Research* 54 (1984): 113–132.

³M. M. McMillen, P. Kaufman, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1992*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 93-464.



Figure B—Status dropout rates for persons aged 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Cohort Rates

- Some 6.8 percent of the eighth-grade cohort of 1988 dropped out of school between 1988 and 1990; and 7.6 percent dropped out of school between 1990 and 1992. Over this 4-year period, some of those who dropped out between 1988 and 1990 returned to school by 1992. As a result, by the spring of 1992, 11.6 percent of the students who were eighth graders in 1988 had left high school without finishing.
- There were no significant differences in the percentage of male and female eighth graders dropping out. But Hispanic and black students in the 1988 eighth-grade cohort were more likely to drop out than white and Asian students.
- Some of the most common reasons that students cited for dropping out were related to their experiences in the schools they left behind—including a general dislike for school and/or failure in their schoolwork.
- About one-quarter of female dropouts said they left school because they were pregnant. About one-third of the males and 20 percent of the female dropouts said they left because they found a job.

• Over the 10-year period between 1980–82 and 1990–92, there was an increase in the proportion of sophomores living in poverty and from non-intact families—those characteristics traditionally associated with higher dropout rates. However, during this decade, there was a 46 percent reduction in the percentage of sophomores who dropped out of high school.

High School Completion and Graduation

- The high school completion rate, defined as the percentage of all persons ages 21 and 22 who have completed high school by receiving a high school diploma or equivalency certificate, was 86 percent in 1993. This rate has gradually increased over the last 20 years from approximately 82 percent in 1972 to 86 percent in 1993 (figure C).
- The high school completion rate for 29- and 30-year-olds increased markedly from about 78 percent in 1972 to around 87 percent in the early 1980s, and has remained relatively level over the past decade.

Figure C—High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years) unpublished data.

- Trends in the completion rates for white and black 21- through 22-year-olds (figure D) show larger increases for blacks than for whites, narrowing the difference between the two groups. Completion rates for white 21- and 22-year-olds increased from approximately 85 percent in 1972 to approximately 90 percent in 1993. Completion rates for black 21- and 22-year-olds increased from approximately 74 percent in 1972 to just under 84 percent in 1993. Over this time period the rates for Hispanics were lower than those for whites or blacks, but showed no apparent trend.
- High school completion rates were comparable for males and females, but differences were evident for racial-ethnic groups, income levels, and regions of the country. Students who were black or Hispanic, living in families with low income, or living in the South or West were less likely to complete high school.
- In the spring of 1993, 88.4 percent of the 1988 cohort of eighth graders were either enrolled in school working towards high school completion or had already completed high school or passed an equivalency test.

Figure D—High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword iii Acknowledgments i Executive Summary i List of Tables x List of Figures xvi	ii .v .v .v .v .ii
Introduction	1
Event, Status, and Cohort Dropout Rates Event Rates Event Rate: 1993 Trends Over Time Status Rates Status Rate: 1993 Trends Over Time Status Rate: 1993 Trends Over Time Cohort Rates Status Rate: 1993 Trends Over Time Cohort Rates Status Rate: 1993 Reasons of National Sample Survey Data NCES Longitudinal Studies Rates from NELS:88 1988-1992 Reasons for Dropping Out Comparison of 8th- to 10th-grade and 10th- to 12th-grade Dropouts' Reasons for Leaving School Summary	3339456223557 90777
High School Completion and Graduation Rates 4 What Does it Mean to Graduate? 4 Who Is Included? 4 Completion and Graduation Rates 5 High School Completion Rates: 1993 5 Returning to and/or Completing School 5 Trends Over Time 5 Summary 5	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1
Status of Possible Future NCES Dropout Data Collection and Reporting Efforts 6 Common Core of Data 6 Longitudinal Studies 6	51 51 53

Page

Summary
Dropout Rates
High School Completion and Graduation
New Data Sources
Conclusion
Appendices
A. Standard Error and Time Series Tables
B. Technical Notes
C. Alternative Definitions of High School Completion Rates,
by Age Groups and Race-ethnicity: 1972–1993

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 1	Event dropout and retention rates and number of dropouts ages 15–24 in grades 10–12: October 1990 through October 1993	4
Table 2	Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by sex, race–ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993	6
Table 3	Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by grade level: October 1993	8
Table 4	Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by age group: October 1993	9
Table 5	Event dropout rates, grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by sex and race–ethnicity: October 1978 through October 1993	12
Table 6	Rate and number of status dropouts, ages 16–24: October 1990 through October 1993	15
Table 7	Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by age: October 1993	16
Table 8	Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by sex, race-ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993	17
Table 9	Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income and race–ethnicity: October 1993	18
Table 10	Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by region and race–ethnicity: October 1993	19
Table 11	Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts speaking a non-English language at home, ages 16–24, by ethnicity and English-speaking ability: October 1992	21
Table 12	Percentage distribution of status dropouts, ages 16–24, by level of schooling attained and race–ethnicity: October 1993	22
Table 13	Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, age 16–24, by disabling condition affecting learning: October 1992	23
Table 14	Percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who are dropouts by number of grades repeated and highest grade repeated: 1992	24

Table

Page

Table 15	Status dropout rates for 16- to 24-year-olds by whether retained and background characteristics: 1992	25
Table 16	Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by region: Selected years, October 1975 through October 1993	32
Table 17	Status dropout rates for persons ages 16–24, by cohorts: Selected years, October 1974 through October 1992	33
Table 18	NELS:88 8th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992	36
Table 19	Percentage of NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992	38
Table 20	Demographic characteristics of the sophomore classes of 1980 and 1990	41
Table 21	HS&B and NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by demographic characteristics: 1982 and 1992	43
Table 22	Education outcomes for HS&B and NELS:88 10th-grade cohort: 1980–82 and 1990–92	45
Table 23	Percentage of HS&B 1980 sophomore cohort dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1982	46
Table 24	Percentage of persons in high school by sex, race-ethnicity, and age: October 1993	50
Table 25	High school completion and enrollment status of 21- and 22-year-olds: October 1990 through October 1993	52
Table 26	Completion rates and number and distribution of completers, ages 21 and 22, by sex, race-ethnicity, and region: October 1993	53
Table 27	High school completion rates and method of completion of 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1990 through October 1993	54
Table 28	Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort dropouts, by enrollment and completion status: Spring 1992	56
Table 29	Ninth- to tenth-grade dropouts and rates, by state: 1991-2 school year	63

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure A	Event dropout rates for grades 10-12. ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	vii
Figure B	Status dropout rates for persons aged 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	ix
Figure C	High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993	x
Figure D	High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	xi
Figure 1	Event dropout rates for grades 10-12. ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	10
Figure 2	Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by grade level: October 1972 through October 1993	13
Figure 3	Event dropout rate for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993	14
Figure 4	Status dropout rates for persons aged 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	27
Figure 5	Number of status dropouts, ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	28
Figure 6	Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity and sex: October 1972 through October 1992	29
Figure 7	Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993	30
Figure 8	Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by income and race–ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	31
Figure 9	Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort dropouts, by educational status and educational plans: Spring 1992	55
Figure 10	High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993	57
Figure 11	High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	58
Figure 12	High school completion rates for all 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993	59

INTRODUCTION

Students who drop out of high school face a more difficult road to success than their peers who finish high school or college. The relative earnings of high school dropouts are lower than those for students who complete high school or college.⁴ Similarly, high school dropouts experience more unemployment during their work careers.⁵ Young women who drop out of high school are more likely to become pregnant at young ages and more likely to become single parents.⁶ As a result of these factors, high school dropouts are more likely to end up on welfare.⁷ And, unfortunately, many of our Nation's prisons are heavily populated with high school dropouts.⁸

Concerns over shortcomings in our educational system, including significant dropout rates, led to a national debate over education. Over the past five years, much attention has focused on setting National Education Goals. Most recently, Congress passed and President Clinton signed into law the Goals 2000: Educate America Act. In addition, the School-to-Work Opportunities Act was enacted this spring.

The National Education Goals call for, among other things, safe schools, a high school graduation rate of at least 90 percent, and adult literacy for all Americans. And the School-to-Work Opportunities Act is intended to help build systems that will prepare young people for high skill, high wage jobs. These programs, along with legislation for Head Start and Chapter 1 and ongoing discussions concerning welfare reform, all make evident the need for cooperation across departments and agencies at all levels of government if the education goals of the country are to be achieved.

At a March 1994 conference sponsored by the Housing and Urban Development Department, the Vice President and Secretaries of three Departments (HUD, Labor, and Agriculture) emphasized the need for coordinated and comprehensive solutions to community problems. Vice President Gore included education initiatives ranging from Head Start and Chapter 1 to national service and school to work programs. In April 1994, Secretary Riley (U.S. Department of Education) and Secretary Shalala (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services) issued a "Joint Statement on School Health" in which they declared that "health and education are joined in fundamental ways with each other and the destinies of the Nation's children." In an April 1994 speech at the National School Boards Association conference, Secretary Riley announced that officials in the Education, Health and Human Services, Labor, and Justice Departments are working on a new school-crime task force. Similar discussions and programs are taking place at the state and local levels, with most states working towards implementing the National Education Goals and some 22 state applications submitted for the first round of implementation funding under the School-to-Work Opportunities Act.

⁴U.S. Department of Education, *Condition of Education 1993* (Indicator 32).

⁵U.S. Department of Education, *Condition of Education 1993* (Indicator 34).

⁶In M. McMillen, P. Kaufman, E. Germino-Hausken, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1992*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 93–464.

⁷J. Catterall, "On the Social Costs of Dropping Out of School," The High School Journal, 71 (1987).

⁸In an April 1994 speech to the National School Board Association conference, Secretary Riley cited Justice Department Statistics showing that 80 percent of the nation's prison inmates are high school dropouts.

The goal of all those participating is clear, and is perhaps best summarized by a brief statement from President Clinton's April speech to the American Society of Newspaper Editors, where he said: "Every one of us, every parent, every teacher, every person has to somehow find a way to reach the kids before it is too late."

The monitoring of high school dropout and completion rates provides one measure of our nation's progress in improving the status of our Nation's youth. This report marks the sixth annual report to Congress on dropout and retention rates.⁹ This report contains three main sections. First, it provides an update on data on three measures—event, status, and cohort dropout rates—presented in the first five annual reports. The second section of the report focuses its discussion on the Current Population Survey (CPS) data on high school completion and graduation. In the third section, new NCES data collection efforts related to high school dropouts are described. Technical appendices provide a discussion of the statistical methodology used and also present standard errors for all estimates.

This year's dropout report differs in several ways from previous years' reports to Congress on dropout and retention rates. This year's report includes a more detailed analysis of grade retention. New data that summarize the dropout experiences of the eighth-grade class of 1988 are included, with analyses of reasons for dropping out. In addition, the association of disability status, grade retention and dropout rates is described, and comparisons are drawn between the cohort dropout rates of the tenth-grade class of 1990 and the tenth-grade class of 1980. Report rates for 15 states are also presented from the Common Core of Data (CCD) dropout data collection. Finally, the discussion on high school completion and graduation rates includes detailed demographic and socioeconomic data for high school completion and graduation rates.

⁹In accord with the Hawkins-Stafford Elementary and Secondary School Improvement Amendments (Ph. 100-297) (20 U.S.C. 122e-1), NCES submits this annual report to Congress. M.M. McMillen, P. Kaufman, E. Germino-Hausken, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1992*, NCES 93-464; P. Kaufman, M.M. McMillen, E. Germino-Hausken, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1991*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 92-129; P. Kaufman, M.M. McMillen, and S. Whitener, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1990*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 91-053; P. Kaufman and M. Frase, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1989*, U.S. Department of Education Statistics, NCES 90-659; and M. Frase, *Dropout Rates in the United States in the United States: 1988*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education, National Ce

EVENT, STATUS, AND COHORT DROPOUT RATES

There are a variety of ways to define and calculate dropout rates. Each type of dropout rate measures a different facet of dropping out. Three types of dropout rates are presented and discussed in this section. The first type of rate, event rate, measures the proportion of students who drop out in a single year without completing high school. The second type, status rate, measures the proportion of the population who have not completed high school and are not enrolled at one point in time, regardless of when they dropped out. And the third type, cohort rate, measures what happens to a single group (or cohort) of students over a period of time.

Event Rates

Event dropout rates provide a measure of recent dropout experiences. This rate measures the proportion of individuals who dropped out of school over a specified time interval, such as a 12-month period. The October Current Population Survey (CPS) provides data on the number or proportion of students who were enrolled in high school a year ago, are not enrolled in grades 10–12, and have not completed high school by the fall of the current school year—that is, the number or proportion of students who dropped out in the past year.¹⁰ The CPS does not collect data on last year's enrollment for persons younger than 15 years old. This makes it difficult to calculate dropout rates below grade 10. Using the existing data, event dropout rates can be computed in the aggregate over the grade 10 through grade 12 range, or separately as grade-specific rates for each grade—10, 11, and 12. Similarly, the data can be aggregated over a range of ages, or computed separately for single years of age. The aggregate, grade-specific, and age-specific event dropout and school retention rates are presented for selected demographic groups. Finally, trends in the event dropout rate from 1972–1993 are presented for selected groups.

Event Rate: 1993

Table 1 shows the aggregate event rates for 1990–1993. In 1993, approximately 381,000 students or 4.5 percent of all high school students 15 through 24 years old dropped out of grades 10-12.¹¹ The school retention rate is the converse of the event dropout rate. That is, the event

¹⁰Specifically, the numerator of the event rate for 1993 is the number of persons 15 through 24 years old surveyed in 1993 who were enrolled in high school in October 1992, were not enrolled in high school (grades 10–12) in October 1993, and who also did not complete high school (i.e., had not received a high school diploma or an equivalency certificate) between October 1992 and October 1993. The denominator of the event rate is the sum of the dropouts (i.e., the numerator) and the number of all persons 15 through 24 years old who attended grades 10, 11, and 12 last year who are still enrolled or who graduated or completed high school last year. ¹¹Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992,

¹¹Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992, graduation status was based entirely on an explicit question on high school graduation. Unlike prior years, in 1992 and 1993, students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as dropouts, and students who completed high school before the 12th grade were counted as graduates. The net effect

dropout rate plus the school retention rate sum to 100 percent. The 1993 school retention rate of 95.5 percent reflects the proportion of 15- through 24-year-old students remaining in school from 1992 to 1993 or completing high school in that year. The percentage of students dropping out in 1993 is not significantly different from the annual rates observed in 1990, 1991, and 1992.¹²

Year ending	Event dropout rate (percent)	School retention rate (percent)	Number of dropouts (in thousands)	
1990	4.0	96.0	347	
1991	4.0	96.0	348	
1992,*	4.4	95.6	383	
· 1993 [*]	4.5	95.5	381	

Table 1—Event dropout and retention rates and number of dropouts ages 15–24 in grades 10–12: October 1990 through October 1993

*Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Table 2 shows the 1993 event dropout and school retention rates for demographic characteristics of persons 15 through 24 years old. The event dropout rates were compared across income levels. These income levels are based on the percentile distribution, with low income defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes (approximately \$10,820 or less in 1993), middle income between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes (\$10,821–\$50,649 in 1993), and high income defined as the top 20 percent of all family incomes (\$50,650 or above in 1993). The event dropout rate was highest among 15- through 24-year-olds living in families at the low income level, intermediate at middle income levels, and lowest at high income levels.¹³ Although the dropout rates disaggregated by race–ethnicity appear to follow a pattern—with the dropout rate

¹²Standard errors for all tables are provided in appendix A of this report.

of the change is small, and the increase in the aggregate event dropout rate is not significant. While not significant in the aggregate, the dropout rate for the 12th grade increased. This may be due to 12th-grade completers who do not graduate and are now counted as dropouts. (See appendix B for a detailed description of this change.) ¹²Standard areas for all tables are provided in amoundin A of this enort

¹³While the event of dropping out may have taken place at any time over the previous year, family income is measured for the entire 12-month period. It is therefore possible that the family income of the student at the time the individual dropped out was somewhat different than the current family income. Furthermore, family income is derived from a single question asked of the household respondent in the October CPS. In some cases, there are persons 15 through 24 years old living in the household who are unrelated to the household respondent, yet whose family income is defined as the income of the family of the household respondent. Also, persons may be living in a household without their parents. Family income in this case measures something other than family background. However, an analysis of 1991 dropout rates by family status indicated that the bias introduced by persons not living in households with their parents was not significant. See appendix B for more details.

for black students higher than the rate for white students, and the rate for Hispanic students higher than the rate for black students—the observed differences between racial or ethnic categories in the 1993 event dropout rates were not statistically significant.¹⁴ There were no significant differences between the 1993 event rate of males and females, or between those of residents of different types of communities.

ς

. č<u>*</u>

j.

¹⁴The statistical significance of these comparisons was assessed with Student's t test with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. For a full discussion of the statistical methods used in this report, see appendix B.

Characteristics	Event dropout rate (percent)	School retention rate (percent)	Number of dropouts (thousands)	Percent of all dropouts
Total	4.5	95.5	381	100.0
Sex				
Male	4.6	95.4	199	52.2
Female	4.3	95.7	182	47.8
Race-ethnicity ¹				
White, non-Hispanic	3.9	96.1	237	62.2
Black, non-Hispanic	5.8	94.2	76	19.9
Hispanic	6.7	93.3	59	15.5
Family income ²				
Low income level	12.3	87.7	137	36.0
Middle income level	4.3	95.7	210	55.1
High income level	1.3	98.7	35	9.2
Region				
Northeast	3.1	96.9	49	12.9
Midwest	4.2	95.8	92	24.1
South	6.1	93.9	176	46.2
West	3.4	96.6	65	17.1
Metropolitan status				
Central city	5.2	94.8	131	34.4
Suburban	3.3	96.7	143	37.5
Nonmetropolitan	4.9	95.1	108	28.3

Table 2—Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by sex, race–ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

While there were no apparent regional differences between the 1993 rates of 3.1 percent in the Northeast, 3.4 percent in the West, and 4.2 percent in the Midwest, the event dropout rate

.

of 6.1 percent in the South is in excess of the rates observed in both the Northeast and West.¹⁵ There were also no significant differences between the 1993 event dropout rates of males and females. The event rate for 1993 was not statistically different from the rate for 1992, nor were there significant differences between the rates for 1992 and 1993 in the rates for males, females, members of different racial or ethnic groups, persons at different income levels, or persons living in different geographic regions. While 10th- through 12th-grade students living in low income families were more likely to drop out than their peers, only about one-third of all dropouts live in low income families. The majority of students who dropped out over the year lived in middle income families. In addition, more than one-half of the 1993 dropouts were white, and nearly one-half of the 1993 dropouts resided in the South.

Grade-specific event dropout and school retention rates for persons 15 through 24 years old in grades 10–12 in 1993 are shown in table 3. In 1993, some 8.7 percent or 201,000 students dropped out of the 12th grade. This rate may reflect the net effect of a change introduced in 1992 in the procedures used to identify high school graduation or completion.¹⁶ As a result, the 12thgrade rate is higher than either the 11th-grade rate of 3.2 percent or the 10th-grade rate of 2.6 percent.

¹⁵There are four Census regions used in this report: Northeast, Midwest, South, and West. The Northeast consists of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. The Midwest consists of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. The South consists of Delaware, Maryland, Washington, D.C., Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas. The West consists of Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, and Hawaii.

¹⁶Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992, graduation status was based entirely on an explicit question on high school graduation. Unlike prior years, in 1992 and 1993, students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as dropouts, and students who completed high school before the 12th grade were counted as graduates. The net effect of the change is small, and the increase in the aggregate event dropout rate is not significant. While not significant in the aggregate, the dropout rate for the 12th grade increased. This may be due to 12th-grade completers who do not graduate and are now counted as dropouts. (See appendix B for a detailed description of this change.)

Grade	Event dropout rate (percent)	School retention rate (percent)	Number of dropouts (thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	
Total	4.5	95.5	381	100.0	
Grade [*]					
10th grade	2.6	97.4	81	21.3	
11th grade	3.2	96.8	100	26.2	
12th grade	8.7	91.3	201	52.8	

Table 3—Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by grade level: October 1993

* Dropouts were assumed to have dropped out in the next grade higher than the highest grade they actually completed; therefore, summer dropouts are assigned to the next highest grade.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Age-specific event dropout and school retention rates for persons ages 15–24 years old in grades 10 through 12 in 1993 are shown in table 4. The dropout rate for 19-year-old students is higher than the rates observed at ages 15 and 16 or age 17; and the event dropout rate for students aged 20 through 24 years is higher than the rates observed for ages 15 and 16, 17, 18, or 19.

Age	Event dropout rate (percent)	School retention rate (percent)	Number of dropouts (thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	
Total	4.5	95.5	381	100.0	
Age [*]					
15–16	2.7	97.3	64	16.8	
17	3.2	96.8	90	23.6	
18	5.1	94.9	122	32.0	
19	8.5	91.5	62	16.3	
20–24	23.8	76.2	43	11.3	

Table 4—Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts fromgrades 10–12, ages 15–24, by age group: October 1993

^{*}Age when a person dropped out may be one year younger, because the dropout event could occur at any time over a 12-month period.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Trends Over Time

Regression analysis was used to test for trends across age groups and over time. Figure 1 shows the aggregate event rates for the years 1972–1993.¹⁷ Before interpreting the trend results, a word of caution is in order. The 1992 change in the method of determining graduation status resulted in a small, but not significant, increase in the aggregate event dropout rate from 1991 to 1992, and the rates for 1993 and 1992 are comparable.¹⁸ While these changes are small in the aggregate, they may affect some subgroups more than others and could have an impact on the trend analysis. To the extent that previously identified trends continue, the findings can be accepted with caution. Although emerging trends can be noted, any firm conclusions about a substantive change must await additional data in future years.

¹⁷Supporting data and standard errors for all figures are provided in appendix A of this report.

¹⁸Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992, graduation status was based entirely on an explicit question on high school graduation. Unlike prior years, in 1992 and 1993, students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as dropouts, and students who completed high school before the 12th grade were counted as graduates. The net effect of the change is small, and the increase in the aggregate event dropout rate is not significant. While not significant in the aggregate, the dropout rate for the 12th grade increased. This may be due to 12th-grade completers who do not graduate and are now counted as dropouts. (See appendix B for a detailed description of this change.)



Figure 1—Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

The event rates indicate that the incidence of dropping out has fallen over the last 10 to 15 years.¹⁹ Specifically, in the late 1970s, the event rate was over 6.5 percent. By 1990 and 1991, it had dropped to 4.0 percent, and the 1993 rate of 4.5 percent was comparable to the 1992 rate of 4.4 percent.²⁰ Furthermore, dropout rates for white and black students have generally fallen.²¹ For example, in the late 1970s, the rate for black students 15 through 24 years old was close to 10 percent; by 1991 the rate was down to 6.0 percent, and the 1993 rate indicates that 5.8 percent of this age group dropped out of school during the year. For white students, the percent of 15-

¹⁹Beginning with 1986, in order to improve the quality of the data, the Bureau of the Census instituted new editing procedures for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. The effect of the editing changes lowered the event dropout rate by about 0.4 percent, thus confounding the actual size of the decline in the dropout rates in the late 1980s. However, the effect of these editing changes was held constant when the tests of trend were conducted. See appendix B for further details.

²⁰The statistical significance of the trends presented in this section was assessed using weighted least squares regression. For a full discussion of the statistical methods used in this report, see appendix B. The comparison of the recent year-toyear event rates resulted in the conclusion that the rates for 1992 and 1993 are not significantly different from those for 1990 and 1991.

²¹These findings are consistent with analyses reported by G. Natriello, A. M. Pallas, and E. L. McDill, "Taking Stock: Renewing Our Research Agenda on the Causes and Consequences of Dropping Out," in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, ed. G. Natriello (New York, NY: Teachers College Press, 1989): 168–178.

through 24-year-olds who dropped out of high school was around 6 percent in the late 1970s; it dropped to 3.2 percent by 1991 and was 3.9 percent in 1993. Estimates of the Hispanic dropout rate evidence no apparent statistical trend but, on average, were higher than comparable rates for whites and blacks over this period.²² (The erratic nature of the Hispanic event rate reflects, in part, the small sample size of Hispanics in CPS.)

Event dropout rates declined for both black and white male students (table 5).²³ While there are year-to-year fluctuations, the rate for black males declined from event rates in the late 1970s of 8 to 11 percent to rates of 3 to 6 percent in the early 1990s. The white male rate declined from slightly over 6 percent in the late 1970s to rates of 3 to 4 percent in the early 1990s. The event dropout rates for black and white females have also decreased. The rate for black females fluctuated around 10 percent during the late 1970s and was between 5 and 7 percent in the early 1990s. The rate for white females fluctuated between 5 and 6 percent during the late 1970s and has fluctuated between 3 and 4 percent since 1983. As is the case in the aggregate, the rates for Hispanic males and females evidence no apparent statistical trend.

²²However, the finding of a higher dropout rate for Hispanics, compared with blacks and whites, is consistent with previous research reported by G. H. Brown, N. L. Rose, S. T. Hill, and M. A. Olivas, in *The Condition of Education for Hispanic Americans*, U.S. Department of Education (1980), as well as by R. W. Rumberger in "Dropping Out of High School: The Influence of Race, Sex, and Family Background," *American Educational Research Journal* 20 (1983): 199–220.

 $^{^{23}}$ While table 5 displays biennial data for selected years between 1978 and 1993, data for all of the years 1972 through 1993 were used in the statistical analysis of the trends. Data for the years 1972 through 1993 are presented in table A5 in appendix A.

	W. non-H	hite, Iispanic	B non-1	lack, Hispanic	Hi	spanic	
Year	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
			(pe	ercent)			
1978	6.4	5.1	11.0	9.5	15.9	8.5	
1980	5.7	4.8	7.7	8.7	17.6	6.7	
1982	4.9	4.6	8.9	6.6	9.5	8.8	
1984	4.8	4.1	6.0	5.5	12.3	10.2	
1986	3.8	3.7	5.1	5.7	12.4	11.3	
1988 ¹	4.3	4.1	6.3	5.6	12.3	8.2	
1990 ¹	3.5	3.1	4.2	5.7	8.7	7.2	
1992 ^{1,2}	3.5	4.0	3.3	6.7	7.6	9.0	
1993 ^{1,2}	4.1	3.7	6.4	5.3	5.1	8.0	

Table 5—Event dropout rates, grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by sex and race-ethnicity: October 1978 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

NOTE: Some figures are revised from those previously published.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Figure 2 shows the grade-specific event rates for 15- through 24-year-olds in grades 10-12 for the years 1972 through 1993.²⁴ The decline observed in the aggregate dropout rate is evident at grades 10 and 11. More specifically, the event rate for 10th-grade dropouts fell from 6.4 percent in 1978 to 3.3 percent in 1991 and 2.6 percent in 1993. The rate for 11th-grade dropouts declined from about 6 percent in the late 1970s to 3.2 percent in 1991 and 3.4 percent in 1993. The 12thgrade rate dropped from about 8 percent in the late 1970s to 4.7 percent in 1991. Then, the change in procedures that led to the identification of 12th-grade completers who did not graduate resulted in event dropout rates for 12th graders of 7.5 percent in 1992 and 9.5 percent in 1993.

²⁴Students who last attended the 9th, 10th, or 11th grades are assumed to have dropped out in the next grade after the highest grade they reported completing.





SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Figure 3 shows the age-specific event rates for 15- through 24-year-olds for the years 1972–1993. The declines observed in the aggregate and grade-specific rates are apparent in the age-specific rates as well. There were measurable decreases in the event dropout rates over the last 10 to 15 years for persons ages 15–16, 17, and 18. While the event dropout rates for age 19 showed evidence of decreasing from the late 1970s through 1991 and ages 20–24 showed evidence of decreasing from the mid-1980s through 1991, these trends did not continue into 1993. The rates for these ages may be affected by the change in the procedures used to identify high school graduation or completion. The event dropout rate for 19-year-olds was 5.8 percent in 1991 and 8.5 percent in 1993. The event dropout rate for 20- through 24-year-olds went from 10.3 percent in 1991 to 23.2 percent in 1992 to 23.8 percent in 1993.²⁵

²⁵This recent increase may be due, at least in part, to procedures used in the reporting of special education students. In principle, efforts are made by the Bureau of the Census to identify special education students in special schools and treat them as not enrolled. However, if special education students are not identified, then they may be reported as completing 12th grade with no diploma. They will, by definition, be counted as dropouts.





SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Status Rates

In addition to measuring recent dropout experiences, it is also important to know how many individuals share the status of dropout, regardless of when they dropped out. CPS data can be used to calculate the number or proportion of individuals who, as of October of any given year, have not completed high school and are not currently enrolled in school.²⁶ Those persons who are still in school and those who have completed high school after dropping out are not dropouts. The aggregate rates and numbers of status dropouts for 16- through 24-year-olds are presented for the most recent years. Current year status rates are presented for age, sex, race–ethnicity, and a number of other demographic characteristics. Finally, trends in the status dropout rate from 1972–93 are presented for selected groups.

Roughly speaking, the status dropout rate is a composite of the event rates summed over several years. The count of all dropouts includes status dropouts from the previous year, plus new

 $^{^{26}}$ The numerator of this rate is the number of individuals ages 16 through 24 who, as of October of any given year, have not completed high school and are not currently enrolled in school. The denominator is the number of persons in that age group in October of that year.

dropouts in the most current year, less those dropouts who returned to school or completed high school during the current year. (See appendix B for a more detailed discussion.)

Status Rate: 1993

In 1993 about 3.4 million persons in the United States ages 16 through 24 were high school dropouts, representing approximately 11.0 percent of all persons in this age group (table 6). Both the count and the proportion of dropouts in 1993 were comparable to those in 1992. The data from both 1992 and 1993 were lower than the estimates reported in 1990 and 1991.²⁷

	October				
	1990	1991	1992*	1993*	
Status dropout rate (percent)	12.1	12.5	11.0	11.0	
Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	3,797	3,881	3,410	3,396	
Population (in thousands)	31,443	31,171	30,944	30,845	

Table 6—Rate and number of status dropouts, ages 16–24: October 1990 through October 1993

^{*}Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Table 7 shows age-specific status dropout rates for 16- through 24-year-olds. Examination of the data shows that the rate increases from age 16 through age 19, when numbers of the young adult population are enrolled in the grades where they are most likely to drop out. After age 19, the rates are fairly comparable for each year of age. These trends differ somewhat from the patterns observed in earlier years, in that the increases with age continue through age 19 rather than age 18. As was the case in 1992, the age-specific rates for 1993 are at a lower level than the levels observed in 1991.

²⁷Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992, graduation status was based on an explicit question in the CPS about high school graduation. Unlike prior years, in 1992 and 1993, students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as dropouts. And, students who completed high school before the 12th grade, and students who left school before the 12th grade, never completed the 12th grade, but completed high school by an alternative means, are counted as graduates. This may result in an increase in the number of high school completers. Conversely, both the number of status dropouts and the related rate may decrease (see appendix B).

Age	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts	Population (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	11.0	3,396	30,845	100.0	100.0
Age					
<u>1</u> 6	3.5	120	3,465	3.5	11.2
17	6.3	206	3,280	6.1	10.6
18	10.1	334	3,295	9.8	10.7
19	13.5	444	3,299	13.1	10.7
20	13.5	428	3,169	12.6	10.3
21	13.3	453	3,406	13.3	11.0
22	13.2	458	3,460	13.5	11.2
23	13.9	531	3,819	15.6	12.4
24	11.6	422	3,651	12.4	11.8

Table 7-Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by age: October 1993

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Table 8 shows the 1993 status dropout rates for persons ages 16 through 24 with different demographic characteristics. In October 1993, there were similar numbers of male and female dropouts. Income differentials in the status dropout rate for 16- through 24-year-olds mirror those observed for the event dropout rates.²⁸ When dropouts living in families with low, middle, and high incomes are compared, the status dropout rate decreases as income increases.²⁹ Persons in central cities and in the southern or western regions of the country were more likely than others

²⁸The patterns observed in the dropout rates by income levels are consistent with previous analyses of dropout rates among young adults from varying socioeconomic levels. See R. B. Eckstrom, M. E. Goertz, J. M. Pollack, and D. A. Rock, "Who Drops Out of High School and Why? Findings from a National Study," in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, ed. G. Natriello (New York: Teachers College Press, 1989): 52–69; R. D. Mare, "Social Background and School Continuation Decisions," *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 75 (1980): 295–305; J. Combs and W. W. Cooley, "Dropouts in High School and After School," *American Educational Research Journal* 5 (1986): 343–364; and R. W. Rumberger, *American Educational Research Journal* 20 (1983): 199–220.

²⁹While the event of dropping out for the status rate may have taken place at any time in the past, family income is measured for the entire 12-month period. It is therefore possible that the family income of the student at the time the individual dropped out was somewhat different than the current family income. Furthermore, family income is derived from a single question asked of the household respondent in the October CPS. In some cases, there are persons 16 through 24 years old living in the household who are unrelated to the household respondent, yet whose family income is defined as the income of the family of the household respondent. Also, persons may be living in a household without their parents. Family income in this case measures something other than family background. However, an analysis of 1991 dropout rates by family status indicated that the bias introduced by persons not living in households with their parents was not significant. See appendix B for more details.

to be status dropouts.³⁰ The status dropout rate for black 16- through 24-year-olds was higher than the rate for whites, and the rate for Hispanics was higher than the rates for blacks and whites.

Characteristics	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	Population (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	11.0	3,396	30,845	100.0	100.0
Sex Male	11.2	1,715	15,355	50.5	49.8
Female	10.9	1,681	15,490	49.5	50.2
Race-ethnicity ¹					
White, non-Hispanic	7.9	1,707	21,499	50.3	69.7
Black, non-Hispanic	13.6	615	4,536	18.1	14.7
Hispanic	27.5	989	3,595	29.1	11.7
Family income ²					
Low income level	23.9	1,442	6,041	42.5	19.6
Middle income level	9.9	1,764	17,809	51.9	57.7
High income level	2.7	190	6,995	5.6	22.7
Region					
Northeast	8.5	488	5,761	14.4	18.7
Midwest	8.8	674	7,651	19.8	24.8
South	13.0	1,424	10,930	41.9	35.4
West	12.5	809	6,504	23.8	21.1
Metropolitan status					
Central city	13.4	1,314	9,810	38.7	31.8
Suburban	9.3	1,340	14,348	39.5	46.5
Nonmetropolitan	11.1	742	6,689	21.8	21.7

Table 8—Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by sex, race-ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

³⁰These findings are corroborated in research reported by G. Stice and R. B. Eckstrom, *High School Attrition*, Research Bulletin, No. RB-64-53 (Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service, 1964); R. B. Eckstrom, M. E. Goertz, J. M. Pollack, and D. A. Rock, in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*: 52–69; and R. Calitri, *Minority Secondary Education*. *in New York* (New York, NY: Aspiria of New York, Inc., 1983).

As is the case in the aggregate status dropout rate, the sex- and race-specific status rates from 1993 are comparable to those from 1992.³¹ Similarly, the status dropout rates within geographic regions and within income levels did not change substantively between 1992 and 1993.

Analysis of status dropout rates for racial-ethnic groups by income level shows that the status dropout rates for all three groups were highest in the low income group, and the rates in middle income families were higher than the rates in high income families (table 9). In addition, when comparisons are drawn across racial-ethnic groups within each income level, there were no significant differences in status dropout rates of white and black 16- through 24-year-olds.³² The rates for Hispanic 16- through 24-year-olds were, however, higher than for whites and blacks within the low and middle income levels.

		Race-ethnicity ¹			
Family income	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Total	11.0	7.9	13.6	27.5	
Family income ²					
Low income level	23.9	19.1	24.5	41.3	
Middle income level	9.9	7.7	8.6	23.9	
High income level	2.7	2.5	3.9	6.0	

Table 9-Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by income and race-ethnicity: October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Table 10 includes status dropout rates for racial-ethnic groups within each region of the country. In each region, the rates for Hispanics were higher than the rates for whites and blacks. The status dropout rates for blacks were higher than those for whites in the Northeast and Midwest.

³¹Race-specific data for 1993 are presented in table A38 of appendix A, and sex-specific data in table A42.

³²In a similar vein, R. W. Rumberger (1983, 211) concluded that racial-ethnic differences in dropout rates "... can be explained mostly by differences in family origins." G. G. Wehlage and R. A. Rutter also concluded that race is not predictive of dropout status when family background is controlled in "Dropping Out: How Much do Schools Contribute to the Problem?" in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, ed. G. Natriello (New York, NY: Teachers College Press, 1989): 70-88.

Region		Race-ethnicity*			
	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Total	11.0	7.9	13.6	27.5	
Region					
Northeast	8.5	5.6	13.8	25.3	
Midwest	8.8	6.4	17.6	32.3	
South	13.0	11.2	12.7	26.3	
West	12.5	7.2	8.1	28.2	

Table 10-Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by region and race-ethnicity: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Several factors have been identified as possible contributors to these status dropout rates. For example, a language barrier is one possible explanation for higher status dropout rates among Hispanic young adults. In 1989, nearly one-half of all Hispanics ages 16 through 24 years were born outside of the 50 states and the District of Columbia (45 percent), and their status dropout rate (43.0 percent) was over three times the overall rate for that year (12.5 percent). These data raised the question of the role of language use, recency of immigration, and dropout status.

Data available for the first time in 1992 provided the information needed to explore some of these factors. In 1992, about three-quarters (73.9 percent) of all Hispanics ages 16 through 24 years reported speaking Spanish at home (table 11).³³ The status dropout rate for Spanish-speaking Hispanics (32.1 percent) was three times the overall status dropout rate (11.0 percent).³⁴ This rate increased as reported English-speaking ability decreased—from 17 percent among Hispanics who report speaking English very well to 83 percent among Hispanics who report no ability to speak English. This rate was higher among Hispanics who reported speaking English not well or not at all (61.8 and 83.2 percent respectively), than the rate for Hispanics who reported speaking English very well or well (16.6 and 30.4 percent respectively).

³³M. Frase, Are High Hispanic Dropout Rates a Result of Recent Immigration?, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (July 1992). These results are also consistent with an earlier analysis of Hispanics and Hispanic dropouts ages 14–25 in 1976 where English and non-English language use were considered. L. Steinberg, P. L. Blinde, and K. S. Chan, "Dropping Out Among Minority Youth," *Review of Educational Research*, 54 (1984): 113–132.

³⁴M. M. McMillen, P. Kaufman, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1992*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 93-464.

Previous analyses showed that, in 1989, nearly half (45 percent) of all Hispanics in the 16through 24-year-old age group were not born in the 50 states or the District of Columbia.³⁵ It is not clear, and, unfortunately, there are no available data for Hispanics on school enrollment status in the United States, thus it may be the case that a number of Hispanics in the 16-24 age range come to the United States for employment and never enter the U.S. education system. The status dropout rate for this group of recent Hispanic immigrants was in excess of the levels reported for recent non-Hispanic immigrants and for the general population.³⁶

³⁵M. Frase, Are High Hispanic Dropout Rates a Result of Recent Immigration?, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (July 1992).

³⁶Similar patterns are observed for Hispanics whose parents migrated to the United States and for Hispanics whose families had been in the country for longer periods of time.
Characteristics	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts (in thousand	f Population (in thousands	Percent) of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	11.0	3,410	30,944	100.0	100.0
Hispanics	29.4	1,022	3,476	100.0	100.0
Language at home ¹					
English	14.1	80	573	7.8	16.5
Spanish	32.1	823	2,568	80.5	73.9
English-speaking ability ²					
Very well	16.6	249	1.495	30.2	58.2
Well	30.4	131	430	15.9	16.7
Not well	61.8	263	425	32.0	16.5
Not at all	83.2	178	214	21.6	8.3
Non-Hispanics	8.7	2,388	27,468	100.0	100.0
Language at home ³					
English	8.6	2.047	23,746	85.7	86.4
Spanish	6.1	121	1,832	5.1	6.7
English-speaking ability ⁴					
Verv well	5.2	68	1.321	56.2	72.1
Well	4.5	15	342	12.4	18.7
Not well	17.0	23	133	19.0	7.3
Not at all ⁵					

Table 11—Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts speaking a non-English language at home, ages 16–24, by ethnicity and English-speaking ability: October 1992

¹These figures reflect responses on two items, "Does . . . speak a language other than English at home?" and "What is this language?" Not shown separately are a small number of Hispanics speaking a non-English language other than Spanish at home or those who did not respond to the items. ²These figures reflect only those Hispanics speaking Spanish in their homes and responding to the item "How well

does . . . speak English?" ³These figures reflect responses on the item "Does...speak a language other than English at home?" Not shown separately

are those who did not respond to this item. ⁴These figures reflect only those non-Hispanics speaking a non-English language in their homes, and responding to

the item "How well does...speak English?" Sample size too small for a reliable estimate.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding, or to missing responses.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992, unpublished data.

There is also prior evidence that suggests that Hispanic students who drop out leave school earlier than non-Hispanic students who drop out. For example, data from 1989 showed that 46 percent of the Hispanic dropouts completed less than 9th grade, compared with 19 percent of the non-Hispanic dropouts.³⁷ Data for 1993 show that 40 percent of Hispanic status dropouts left school with less than a 9th-grade education, compared with 13 percent of the white students and 11 percent of the black students (table 12).³⁸ Further analysis of the 1993 data reveals that an additional 15 to 20 percent of the status dropouts in each racial–ethnic group completed the 9th grade, but left school prior to the completion of the 10th grade. As a result, over one-half (58 percent) of the Hispanic status dropouts, compared with 29 percent of the white status dropouts and about one-fourth of the black status dropouts, have less than a 10th-grade education.³⁹

			Race-ethnicity*	•
Level of schooling attained	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Level of schooling attained				
Less than 1st grade	1.2	1.4	1.3	0.3
1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	1.9	0.2	1.3	5.5
5th or 6th grade	7.1	0.8	1.8	21.4
7th or 8th grade	10.2	10.3	6.5	12.7
9th grade	16.6	16.0	15.3	18.2
10th grade	24.1	29.2	26.7	14.0
11th grade	28.1	30.1	35.0	20.1
12th grade, without diploma	10.7	12.0	12.2	7.8

Table 12—Percentage distribution of status dropouts, ages 16–24, by level of schooling attained and race-ethnicity: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

The proportion of 16- through 24-year-olds who left school without completing high school is higher among Hispanic students than it is for white or black students. However, the fact remains

³⁷P. Kaufman, M. M. McMillen, E. Germino-Hausken, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1991*, NCES 92-129.

³⁸The patterns observed among non-Hispanics are consistent with the report that students are more likely to drop out after reaching grade nine. See E. L. McDill, G. Natriello, and A. M. Pallas, "A Population at Risk: Potential Consequences of Tougher School Standards for Student Dropouts," in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, ed. G. Natriello (New York, NY: Teachers College Press, 1989): 168–178.

³⁹It is not clear, and unfortunately, there are no available data for Hispanics on school enrollment status in the United States, thus it may be the case that a number of Hispanics in the 16-24 age range come to the United States for employment and never enter the U.S. education system.

that when dropouts are taken as a group, about one-half of all status dropouts are white (50.3 percent).

Describing the composition of the dropout population is one element in analyzing dropouts, but there is a need to better understand the complex factors that contribute to each student's decision to leave school. The 1992 CPS data included new data on disabling conditions and on grade retention, both of which can be examined within the dropout population. In addition data on reasons for leaving school are available from longitudinal studies of selected cohorts of students and will be analyzed along with cohort dropout rates for those students.

The 1992 data on disability status considered alone show that only students with both a learning disability and another disability have elevated dropout rates relative to students without a reported disability (table 13).⁴⁰ Students with a learning disability only or students with other types of disabilities have dropout rates on par with the dropout rate for students without any reported disabilities.⁴¹

Disability	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts	Population (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	11.0	3,410	30,944	100.0	100.0
No disability	10.6	3,018	28,439	88.5	91.9
Learning disability only	15.7	96	611	2.8	2.0
LD and other	22.2	121	545	3.5	1.8
Other only	13.1	176	1,349	5.2	4.4

Table 13—Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, age 16–24, by disabling condition affecting learning: October 1992

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding, or to missing responses; percentages may also total more than 100 percent, due to the existence of multiple conditions.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992, unpublished data.

⁴⁰Disabling conditions have been considered both directly, as factors that place students at increased risk of dropping out, and indirectly, as factors that can contribute to lower achievement levels and an increased risk of school failure. See, for example, D. L. Speece and D. H. Cooper, "Ontogeny of School Failure: Classification of First-Grade Children," *American Educational Research Journal* 27 (1990): 119–140; R. B. Cairns, B. D. Cairns, and H. J. Neckerman, "Early School Dropout Configurations and Determinants," *Child Development* 60 (1989): 1437–1452; R. B. Eckstrom, M. E. Goertz, J. M. Pollack, and D. A. Rock, in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, 52–69; and P. Kaufman and D. Bradby, *Characteristics of At-Risk Students in NELS:88*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 92-042.

⁴¹In principle, efforts are made by the Bureau of the Census to identify special education students and treat them as not enrolled. However, if special education students are not identified, then they may be reported as completing the 12th grade, but not graduating. They will, by definition, be counted as dropouts.

When the 1992 data on grade retention are considered alone, they show that young adults who repeated one or more grades are two times more likely to drop out than young adults who never repeated a grade (19.8 percent versus 9.4 percent) (table 14).⁴² And the dropout rates for students who repeated more than one grade are four times the rates for students who did not repeat any grades (40.9 percent versus 9.4 percent).

		Number of grades repeated			Highest grade repeated			
	Never retained	One or more ¹	One	More than one	K–2	36	7–10	11–12
Dropout rate ²	9.4	19.8	16.8	40.9	11.5	17.4	33.0	11.8

 Table 14—Percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who are dropouts by number of grades repeated and highest grade repeated: 1992

Included in the total but not shown separately are some for whom the number of grades repeated is unknown.

²The percentage who are not enrolled in school and do not have a high school diploma or an equivalency credential.

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992.

When it comes to understanding the relationship of grade retention and a decision to drop out, the picture is not clear. Students with particular characteristics may be more or less likely than similar students to drop out after being retained. Additional analyses of the 1992 data show that higher rates of dropping out among retained students persist for male and female students, white and black students, and students at each income level (table 15).⁴³ In the aggregate, the dropout rate for disabled students who were retained was higher than the dropout rate for disabled students who were not retained. However, when type of disability is considered along with retention status, only retained students with other disabilities were more likely to drop out than their peers who were not retained. Even though one-third of the students with both learning disabilities and other disabled students with learning disabilities are comparable to the dropout rates for learning disabled students with learning disabilities are comparable to the dropout rates for learning disabled students who were not retained students with learning disabilities are comparable to the dropout rates for learning disabled students who were not retained. However, who were not retained to the dropout rates for retained disabled students with learning disabilities are comparable to the dropout rates for learning disabled students who were not retained.

⁴²Increased dropout rates associated with grade retention have also been reported by F. M. Howell and W. Frase, "Early Transitions into Adult Roles: Some Antecedents and Outcomes," *American Educational Research Journal* 19 (1982): 51–73; and R. B. Cairns, B. D. Cairns, and H. J. Neckerman, "Early School Dropout Configurations and Determinants," *Child Development* 60 (1989): 1437–1452.

⁴³M.J. Frase, *Grade Retention and Dropping Out: Evidence from the October 1992 Current Population Survey*. National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, March 1994, prepared for presentation at the 1994 meetings of the American Educational Research Association, April 1994. See also P. Kaufman and D. Bradby, *Characteristics of At-Risk Students in NECS:88*, National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education (1992).

⁴⁴The percentage of disabled students who were retained exceeds the percentage observed for other subgroups.

Characteristics	Total ²	Never retained	Retained
Total	11.0	9.4	19.8
Sex			
Male	11.3	9.5	18.5
Female	10.7	9.3	21.9
Race-ethnicity ³			
White, non-Hispanic	7.7	6.0	18.8
Black, non-Hispanic	13.7	12.0	20.1
Hispanic	29.4	29.2	24.1
Family income ⁴			
Low	24.6	22.6	33.2
Middle	10.1	8.6	16.6
High	2.3	1.5	8.5
Disability status			
No disability	10.6	9.1	19.4
Disability ⁵	15.7	13.3	21.0
Learning disability only	15.6	15.0	16.8
Learning disability and			
other disability	22.2	20.2	26.9
Other disability only	13.1	10.1	22.1

Table 15—Status dropout rates for 16- to 24-year-olds by whether retained and background characteristics: 1992

¹The percentage who are not enrolled in school and do not have a high school diploma or an equivalency credential. ²Included in the total but not shown separately are some for whom whether they repeated is unknown.

³Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither white nor black.

⁴Low income is the lowest 20 percent of family incomes; high income is the highest 20 percent of family incomes; and middle income is between the 20th and 80th percentiles of family incomes.

⁵Reported to have had at least one of the following disabling conditions: learning disability, mental retardation, speech impairment, serious emotional impairment, and other health impairment (lasting more than six months).

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992.

The grade level at the time of retention is another aspect of grade retention that may be related to the decision to drop out (table 14). When the highest grade repeated was in the K-2 grade range or on the other end of the spectrum at grades 11 and 12, the dropout rates were similar to the dropout rates for students who were never retained. In contrast, when the highest grade repeated included grades 7–10, the dropout rate was three times the rate for students who were retained at the lowest (K-2) and highest (11–12) grades.

Trends Over Time

The percentage of young persons who are status dropouts has generally declined over the last two decades (figure 4). During the 1970s, the percent of 16- through 24-year-olds who had not completed high school and were not currently enrolled fluctuated between about 14.0 and 14.5 percent; by 1991 the rate was 12.5 percent.⁴⁵ The 1992 and 1993 rates were both 11.0 percent.⁴⁶ The same cautions that were discussed in the analysis of trends in the event dropout rate must be applied here. In this case, the change in the method of determining graduation status contributed to decreases in both the number of status dropouts and the resulting rate. Because this decrease is likely to affect the trend analysis for status dropout rates, firm conclusions about the trends reported this year must await additional data in future years.

⁴⁵Previous analyses relied on the 12th-grade completion status to identify high school graduates. Starting in 1992, graduation status was based on an explicit question in the CPS about high school graduation. Unlike prior years, in 1992 and 1993, students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as dropouts. And, students who completed high school before the 12th grade, and students who left school before the 12th grade, never completed the 12th grade, but completed high school by an alternative means, are counted as graduates. This may result in an increase in the number of high school completers. Conversely, both the number of status dropouts and the related rate may decrease (see appendix B).

⁴⁶The statistical significance of the trends presented in this section was assessed using weighted least squares regression analyses. For a full discussion of the statistical methods used, see appendix B.



Figure 4—Status dropout rates for persons aged 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Figure 4 shows that the percentage of blacks who were status dropouts has decreased substantially since the early 1970s (from around 20 percent in the 1970s to 13.6 percent in 1991 and 1993). The status dropout rate for whites shows less of a decline (from about 12 percent in the 1970s to about 9 percent in 1990 and 1991 and then 7.9 percent in 1993), thus narrowing the gap between white and black. Although the year-to-year estimates fluctuate, the Hispanic status rate showed no trend and has been consistently higher than the status rate for whites and blacks.⁴⁷ Hispanics make up an increasing proportion of all dropouts, due mainly to the changing composition of the population ages 16 through 24 (figure 5). While the population of whites ages 16 through 24 decreased from approximately 28 million in 1980 to around 21.5 million in 1993, the population of Hispanics ages 16 through 24 increased from approximately 2.5 million in 1980 to around 3.6 million in 1993. The black population of this age range has held constant at approximately 5 million persons. Because Hispanics now make up a larger proportion of the population, Hispanics would constitute a larger proportion of status dropouts even without the decreases in the status dropout rates for whites and blacks.⁴⁸

⁴⁷The erratic nature of the Hispanic status rate reflects, in part, the small sample size of Hispanics in CPS.

⁴⁸The impact of an increase in the relative size of the Hispanic population on dropout rates was also discussed by A. M. Pallas, G. Natriello, and E. L. McDill, "The Changing Nature of the Disadvantaged Population: Current Dimensions and Future Trends," *Educational Researcher* 18 (1989): 16–22.





SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

White female status rates declined from about 12 percent in the early 1970s to about 9 percent in 1990 and 1991 and to 7.7 percent in 1993 (figure 6). White male rates remained fairly constant, fluctuating around 12.0 percent from 1972 to 1984, but have declined since, from 12.0 percent in 1984 to 8.9 percent in 1991 and 8.2 percent in 1993. The status rates of both black males and black females also declined. As was noted for white males, the rate for black males fluctuated during the 1970s and early 1980s, in this case between 20 and 23 percent, with a decline from 20.0 percent in 1983 to 13.5 percent in 1991 and 12.6 percent in 1993. The rate for black females fluctuated between 20 and 23 percent during the 1970s, declined from that level to 13 to 14 percent in the late 1980s, and then was 16.7 percent in 1991 and 14.8 percent in 1992 and 14.4 percent in 1993.

⁴⁹The status dropout rate for black females appears to have increased in recent years, but the observed differences are not statistically significant.



Figure 6—Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity and sex: October 1972 through October 1992

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

The decline observed in the aggregate status dropout rate over the last two decades was evident at each age as well (figure 7). In addition, the patterns observed between the ages in the 1993 data are apparent over time as well, with a higher rate at each age from 16 to 17 to 18 and 19. This pattern reflects the experiences of the young adult population as they pass through the years when dropping out is most likely to occur.





SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

The 1993 income differentials in dropout rates were evident over the last decade (figure 8). More specifically, within each income group there were no differences between the status dropout rates of white and black 16- through 24-year-olds. The rates for Hispanic 16- through 24-year-olds appear to be higher than the rates for whites and blacks, especially at the low and middle income levels, but as is the case in other comparisons, the small sample sizes for Hispanics lead to erratic results.





*Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for the relevant year; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Table 16 shows the trends over time for status rates for persons 16–24 years old residing in different regions of the country. While the status rate decreased in the Northeast, South, and Midwest from 1975 to 1993, there was no evidence of a trend in the West. The rate declined by about 25 percent in the Northeast, 19 percent in the Midwest, and 31 percent in the South.

				October			
Region	1975	1980	1985	1990 ¹	1991 ¹	1992 ^{1,2}	1993 ^{1,2}
			(pe	ercent)			
Total	13.9	14.1	12.6	12.1	12.5	11.0	11.0
Region Northeast Midwest South West	11.3 10.9 18.9 13.0	10.4 11.5 18.2 14.9	9.9 9.8 15.2 14.6	8.7 9.1 14.5 14.7	9.1 9.7 14.1 15.9	8.6 7.9 12.4 14.4	8.5 8.8 13.0 12.5

Table 16—Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by region: Selected years, October 1975 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.

²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "School Enrollment-Social and Economic Characteristics of Students, October (various years)," *Current Population Reports*, Series P-20, and unpublished tabulations.

Cohort Rates

Longitudinal or cohort analyses are based on repeated measures of a group of individuals with a set of shared experiences. The initial experience that is used to define the group can be date of birth, age at a particular point in time, entry into school, grade level in school, entry into the military, marriage, or any one of a number of other specific events. These analyses can be done in one of two ways. Consecutive ages or grades taken from existing cross-sectional data across a series of years can be linked together to portray the experiences of an age or grade cohort. This approach can be operationalized using CPS data on enrollments and dropouts. Alternatively, a prospective study can be used to follow the same group of individuals over a number of years. This approach has been used by NCES, where particular grades in school have been selected as the starting points for longitudinal studies of educational processes and experiences.

Cohort Analysis of National Sample Survey Data

Table 17 provides an illustration of cohort dropout rates based on cross-sectional CPS data. In 1986, 8 percent of the 16- through 18-year-old age group were identified as status dropouts. Three years later in 1989 this group was 19, 20, and 21 years old; by that time most of these individuals would have completed high school. The dropout rate increased at ages 19 through 21 (15.2 percent). By 1992, at ages 22 through 24, the dropout rate for this group had declined to about 12.5 percent; this decrease was most likely a result of delayed decisions to complete high school or an equivalent program.

		Age groups		
Year	16–18	19–21	22–24	
1974	11.6	16.4	15.3	
1977	11.1	16.3	15.2	
1980	11.0	16.0	15.2	
1983	9.4	15.6	15.7	
19861	8.0	14.1	14.3	
19891	8.8	▶15.2	13.7	
1992 ^{1,2}	7.3	13.1	12.5	

Table 17—Status dropout rates for persons ages 16–24, by cohorts: Selected years, October 1974 through October 1992

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.

²Number for this year reflects new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

The cross-sectional variations noted earlier across age groups and over time are also evident in this table. Comparisons across age groups in each year (rows) show higher rates at ages 19–21 than at ages 16–18. This increase was then followed by a leveling off between ages 19–21 and ages 22–24. The difference here between the cohort and cross-sectional patterns from ages 16 through 24 reflects a fundamental difference between cross-sectional and cohort rates. The cohort approach shows the experience of an age group as the members age. The cross-sectional approach compares the members of several different age groups. Insofar as the experiences of these separate age groups may vary, patterns evident in a cohort (especially emerging patterns) may not be evident in the cross-sectional rates. Time trends for these age groups (columns) reflect an overall tendency towards decreasing dropout rates over time, consistent with the downward trends observed in the aggregate status dropout rate for 16- through 24-year-olds.⁵⁰

NCES Longitudinal Studies

In the NCES elementary/secondary longitudinal collections, cohort dropout rates are computed that describe the portion of a grade cohort that drops out over a period of successive years. Longitudinal data offer the additional advantage of tracing individual students who drop out and

⁵⁰Tests of the trends in these data were conducted on all years 1972 through 1993, not just the years presented here.

re-enter to provide measures of returning and late high school completion. In addition, to the extent that the previous experiences and behaviors affect individual students' decisions to drop out, a longitudinal data base provides the data necessary to describe the dropouts' background characteristics and educational experiences in a way that is not possible with the cross-sectional CPS data used in the computation of the event and status dropout rates.

The High School and Beyond (HS&B) study began in the spring of 1980 with cohorts of seniors and sophomores. A nationally representative sample of approximately 30,000 sophomores participated in the base-year survey, and subsamples of this cohort were re-surveyed in three spring followups in 1982, 1984, and 1986. In addition, high school transcripts were obtained in 1982 for over half of the sophomore cohort, including all who were identified as dropouts at the time of the spring 1982 followup. Data from this study provide a baseline for comparisons with subsequent longitudinal studies of students and dropouts from American high schools.⁵¹

The most recent NCES elementary/secondary longitudinal study, the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88), is the first NCES longitudinal education study to begin surveying students as early as eighth grade. NELS:88 is based on a nationally representative sample of 24,599 eighth graders and thus provides the unprecedented opportunity to study young dropouts on a national scale. NELS:88 also provides a basis for examining the contextual factors associated with dropping out, especially those related to the school. In addition, it provides data needed to profile the movement of students in and out of school, including alternative high school programs.

Follow-up interviews of eighth-grade base-year students were conducted in the spring of 1990 and again in the spring of 1992. Each student's enrollment status was used to identify enrolled students and dropouts at the time of each followup. In addition, high school transcripts were collected in the Fall of 1992. Data from the base year and the first and second followups are used in this report in the computation of 1988–90, 1990–92, and 1988–92 cohort dropout rates for the 1988 cohort of eighth graders. In addition, these data are supplemented with data on students' completion status from available transcripts. (see appendix B for a more complete description of the sample and study design.)

In addition, at the time of the first followup in 1990, the NELS:88 sample was freshened to represent the sophomore class of 1990.⁵² As a result, cohort rates calculated from the freshened 10th-grade sample from NELS:88 can be used in comparisons with cohort dropout rates from the HS&B sophomore class of 1980.⁵³

⁵¹See M. Frase, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1988*, NCES 89-609, for a full discussion of the cohort dropout rate from the High School and Beyond study.

 $^{^{52}}$ Additional sample members were selected from individuals who were 1989–90 sophomores but had no chance of selection in the base-year sample either because they were not in the United States or not in the eighth grade at that time. This process of "freshening" provided the NELS:88 first followup with a nationally representative sample of sophomores in 1990.

⁵³In both HS&B and NELS:88, a subset of students who were not considered capable of completing the questionnaire were deemed ineligible for participation in the study. Inasmuch as no attempt was made to identify and include data from students deemed ineligible in the 1980 HS&B cohort, analyses that compare NELS:88 sophomores with HS&B sophomores should not include data reflecting the experiences of the ineligible students in NELS:88.

This process of tracking the education outcomes of a national sample of students will be continued with future followups; at the time of the third followup in 1994, most of the students in this cohort had completed high school. When these longitudinal data are available for analysis, they will provide an opportunity to study the progress of these students as they continue on to postsecondary education or enter the labor force.

Rates from NELS:88 1988–1992

Table 18 shows the cohort dropout rates for the eighth-grade class of 1988 for the spring of 1990 and the spring of 1992.⁵⁴ Approximately 12 percent of this cohort dropped out of school between the 8th grade and spring of the 12th grade.⁵⁵ Data from Spring 1990 show that between 1988 and 1990, 6.8 percent of eighth graders in 1988 dropped out of school. And data from Spring 1992 show that 7.6 percent of the eighth graders who were still enrolled in the Spring of 1990 dropped out between 1990 and 1992.⁵⁶

 ⁵⁴Presentation and analyses of the dropout data from 1988–1990 are included in *Eighth- to Tenth-Grade Dropouts*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES 92-006; P. Kaufman, M. M. McMillen, E. Germino-Hausken, and D. Bradby, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1991*, NCES 92-129; P. Kaufman, M.M. McMillen, and S. Whitener, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1990*, NCES 91-053; and P. Kaufman and D. Bradby, *Characteristics of At-Risk Students in NELS:88*, NCES 92-042.
 ⁵⁵ Table 19 is based on the full NELS:88 sample plus the sample of base-year ineligibles. Tables 20 and 21 are based

⁵⁵ Table 19 is based on the full NELS:88 sample plus the sample of base-year ineligibles. Tables 20 and 21 are based solely on the 10th- to 12th-grade freshened sample of the first followup 10th graders who were interviewed in the NELS:88 second followup. See appendix B for details.

⁵⁶Logically, the reader might expect a straightforward arithmetic relationship between the aggregate 1988–1992 rate and the 1988–1990 and 1990–1992 rates. However, because each rate is confounded by migrations and deaths, these rates cannot be used directly to estimate the percentage of dropouts who return. See appendix B for details.

	Cohort dropout rate				
Characteristics	1988–90	1990–92 ¹	1988–92		
Total	6.8	7.6	11.6		
Sex					
Male	7.2	7.6	11.6		
Female	6.5	7.6	11.6		
Race-ethnicity ²					
Asian/Pacific Islander	4.0	5.5	7.0		
Hispanic	9.6	12.7	18.3		
Black, non-Hispanic	10.2	9.6	14.5		
White, non-Hispanic	5.2	6.1	9.4		
Native American	9.2	19.9	25.4		

Table 18—NELS:88 8th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992

¹The denominator for this rate includes the members of the 1988 8th-grade cohort who were still enrolled in school in the spring of 1990; excluded are students who dropped out between 1988 and 1990 and students who migrated out of the country or died.

²Not shown separately are 434 persons (approximately 2 percent of the unweighted sample) whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Base-Year, First, and Second Followup Survey and 1988, 1990, and 1992, unpublished data.

Male and female students were equally likely to leave school, regardless of the grade intervals considered.⁵⁷ Racial and ethnic differences persist. In general, dropout rates for Hispanics and blacks are higher than those for whites and Asians.⁵⁸

The status of the members of the 8th-grade cohort can be further clarified by using high school transcripts to determine the student's enrollment status at the end of the 1991-92 school year (as of July 1992). Unfortunately, transcripts were not obtained for 27.9 percent of the cohort, and the cases missing transcripts are concentrated in the dropout, re-enrolled and alternative completion groups (47 percent, 37 percent and 39 percent respectively) compared to the continuing

⁵⁷The apparent difference in the male and female rates for 1988–90 is not statistically significant.

⁵⁸Although the Hispanic cohort dropout rates for 1990–92 and 1988–92 seem to exceed the cohort dropout rates for black students, these differences are not statistically significant. While the estimates for Native Americans appear to be higher, the differences between the Native American rate and those for the other groups were not statistically significant, due to the relatively small sample size of Native Americans in the NELS:88 survey. In addition, further analyses of the NELS:88 data indicate that dropout rates are similar for white, black, and Hispanic students within socioeconomic levels. See J. Owings and S. Peng, *Transitions Experienced by 1988 Eighth Graders*, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (April 1992).

student groups (24 percent).⁵⁹ As a result, any new estimate of the dropout rate based on transcripts for this cohort will be biased. Thus, the dropout rate computed using the transcript data is likely to be an underestimate. Keeping this caveat in mind, the transcript data do show that an additional 3.3 percent of the students dropped out between the spring of 1992 and the end of the school year and 1.0 percent of the cohort returned to school during the same time interval; combining these data with the spring dropout rate of 11.6 results in an estimated end of the year dropout rate of 13.9 for the 1988 and 1992 period (remember that for the reasons cited above, this rate is probably an underestimate).

Reasons for Dropping Out

The reasons for leaving school reported by dropouts in the NELS:88 second followup were more often school-related than job-related or family-related concerns (table 19).⁶⁰ Students who left school between the 10th and 12th grades were just as likely to report dropping out because they "did not like school" (43 percent) as they were because they were failing school (39 percent). Just as many female dropouts as male dropouts said they left because they "could not get along with teachers." However, male dropouts were more likely than female dropouts to report leaving because of school expulsion and suspension.⁶¹

⁵⁹Data from the soon to be released Third Followup of NELS:88 will provide additional data on the completion status of NELS:88 students and dropouts.

⁶⁰Analyses of earlier data from HS&B and from the National Longitudinal Survey–Labor Force Experience in Youth Cohort (NLS–Youth) provide additional evidence of the role of school-related problems, work decisions, and family status in students' decisions to leave school early. See, for example, D. Mann, "Can We Help Dropouts? Thinking About the Undoable," in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, ed. G. Natriello (New York, NY: Teachers College Press, 1989): 3–19; G. G. Wehlage and R. R. Rutter, in *School Dropouts: Patterns and Policies*, 70–88; R. W. Rumberger, *American Educational Research Journal* 20 (1983): 199–220; R.W. Rumberger, "High School Dropouts: A Review of Issues and Evidence," *Review of Educational Research* 57 (1987): 107–121; and S. M. Barro and A. Kolstad, *Who Drops Out of High School: Findings from High School and Beyond*, U.S. Department of Education, Center for Education Statistics (1987).

⁶¹Although there appear to be other differences in the reasons for dropping out, none of these are statistically significant due to the relatively small samples of dropouts in the NELS:88 survey.

				R	ace-ethnic	ity
					Black,	White,
		S	ex		non-	non-
Reasons for dropping out	Total	Male	Female	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
School-related:						
Did not like school	42.9	43.6	42.2	48.0	28.8	45.5
with teachers	77 8	24.6	21.1	24.6	27.8	21.5
Could not get along	22.0	24.0	21.1	24.0	27.0	21,5
with students	14.5	17.7	11.6	15.6	18.4	13.6
Did not feel safe at school	6.0	7.0	5.1	8.3	8.5	4.8
Felt I didn't belong	24.2	25.8	22.7	16.0	25.9	26.6
Could not keep up with						
schoolwork	31.3	32.7	29.9	35.0	25.6	30.3
Was failing school	38.7	43.4	34.5	40.6	39.5	36.6
Changed school and did						
not like new school	10.6	10.5	10.7	12.3	9.1	10.2
Was suspended/expelled						
from school	15.5	21.6	10.0	10.1	24.4	15.4
Job-related:						
Could not work and go to						
school at same time	22.8	26.9	19.1	20.4	15.4	24.6
Found a job	28.5	35.9	21.8	34.1	19.1	27.5
Family-related:						
Had to support family	11.2	10.4	11.9	15.8	11.8	9.9
Wanted to have family	7.5	6.4	8.4	9.1	4.6	8.2
Was pregnant [*]	26.8		26.8	30.6	34.5	25.6
Became parent	14.7	7.7	21.0	19.6	21.0	12.4
Got married	12.1	3.7	19.7	13.4	2.0	15.1
Had to care for family						
member	11.9	9.5	14.0	8.5	14.7	10.7
Other:						
Wanted to travel	8.1	8.2	8.0	6.6	7.3	7.1
Friends dropped out	8.0	8.5	7.5	7.6	6.7	8.6
Had a drug and/or alcohol						
problem	4.4	6.1	2.8	1.8	2.1	5.9

Table 19—Percentage of NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992

---Not applicable.

*Females only.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

In general, dropouts cited school-related reasons over other reasons for leaving school, but female dropouts were more likely than male dropouts to report family-related reasons. Some 21

percent of female dropouts left because they became a parent, compared with only 8 percent of male dropouts. About 27 percent of female dropouts said they left school because they were pregnant—31 percent of Hispanics, 34 percent of blacks, and 26 percent of whites. Black dropouts were far less likely than their peers to have reported "got married" as a reason for dropping out—2 percent compared with 13 percent of Hispanic and 15 percent of white dropouts.

Over one-fourth of the dropouts between the 10th and 12th grades cited job-related reasons for leaving school. About 28 percent reported "found a job" as a reason for leaving school. About 36 percent of male dropouts said they left school because they "found a job" compared with 22 percent of female dropouts.

Comparison of 8th- to 10th-grade and 10th- to 12th-grade Dropouts' Reasons for Leaving School

Examination of the reasons reported for leaving school from the 8th- to 10th-grade dropout followup and the 10th- to 12th-grade dropout followup showed few differences between the two groups.⁶² In general, dropouts from both groups cited school related reasons over other reasons for dropping out (tables 19 and A22). Comparable proportions of dropouts from each group reported leaving school for specific school-related reasons, with one exception. Students leaving school between the 10th and 12th grades were less likely to report "couldn't get along with teachers" as a reason for leaving school compared with students who left school between the 8th and 10th grades.

Dropouts between the 10th and 12th grades were more likely than dropouts between the 8th and 10th grades to report leaving school because of job-related reasons. More dropouts between the 10th and 12th grades reported leaving school because they "found a job" (28 percent versus 15 percent for 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts) and "could not work and go to school at the same time" (23 percent versus 14 percent). In addition, female dropouts between the 10th and 12th grades were more likely than females who left school between the 8th and 10th grades to report "could not work and go to school at the same time" and "found a job" as reasons for leaving school.

Family-related reasons for leaving school between the 10th and 12th grades continue as a major factor for female students, with 27 percent citing pregnancy and 20 percent citing marriage as reasons for dropping out. Although still not as important as school- or job-related reasons, male 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts were also more likely than male 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts to report leaving school to support their family.

Another difference between the two periods was in the proportion of students who reported dropping out because of a desire to travel. Students who left school between the 10th and 12th grades were more likely to report "wanted to travel" as a reason for leaving compared with students who left between the 8th and 10th grades. While about 8 percent of the 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts

⁶²The reasons for leaving school reported by the 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts are found in table A22 in appendix A. While the 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts were not asked if they had to leave school because they "had to get a job," they were asked if they left because they "had a drug or alcohol problem."

indicated a desire to travel as a reason for leaving school, about 2 percent of the 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts reported travel as a reason for leaving school.

Comparison of 10th- to 12th-grade Dropouts a Decade Apart

The HS&B sophomore cohort dropout rate for 1980-82 can be compared to the 1990-92 cohort rate for 1990 sophomores in NELS:88 to determine whether there has been a change in the percentage of students who leave school between the sophomore and senior years without completing high school or an equivalent program.⁶³ Recent shifts in the demographic characteristics of the school-aged population lead to an expectation of increasing dropout rates between 1980 and 1990. That is, over the last decade a greater proportion of students most likely to be "at-risk" of dropping out assumed a larger share of the student population. For example, the data from HS&B and NELS:88 show that the nation's sophomores in 1990 were more likely than their peers in 1980 to be from poor, minority, and non-intact families-those characteristics traditionally associated with higher dropout rates (table 20).⁶⁴ During the past decade there was a 36 percent increase in the proportion of sophomores living in families below the poverty line—from approximately 13 percent in 1980 to approximately 18 percent in 1990.⁶⁵ During that same time period there was a 20 percent increase in the proportion of sophomores from nonintact families-from 30.4 percent in 1980 to 36.5 percent in 1990. Furthermore, there were increases in the proportion of the class of 1990 who were from Hispanic or Asian backgrounds---increasing the language and cultural diversity of the sophomore class. Asian students nearly tripled their representation in the sophomore class from 1.4 percent in 1980 to 4.0 percent in 1990. Hispanic students increased from 7.8 percent of the population to 10.7 percent.⁶⁶

⁶³The NELS:88 sophomore data appropriate to this analysis are from the freshened cohort described earlier in this section.

⁶⁴Census data from 1980 and 1990 also show that an increasing number of the nation's school aged children were "poor, more racially and ethnically diverse, and at risk for school failure." General Accounting Office, *School-age children: Poverty and diversity challenge school nationwide*. GAO.HEHS-94-132 April 1994.

⁶⁵A student was considered living below the poverty line if their family income was below that established for families of a particular size.

⁶⁶Both High School and Beyond and NELS:88 systematically excluded students from the sample who were deemed by the school coordinator not able to complete the questionnaire. This led to exclusion of an unknown number of language minority (LM) and limited English proficient (LEP) students in High School and Beyond. In NELS:88 however, a Spanish language questionnaire was administered to those members of the sophomore cohort who preferred to take this version of the questionnaire.

Status in 10th grade	HS&B 1980-82	NELS:88 1990-92
Total	100.0	100.0
Race-ethnicity*		
White non-Hispanic	75.8	71.7
Minority	24.2	28.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.4	4.0
Hispanic	7.8	10.7
Black, non-Hispanic	13.4	12.5
Native American	1.6	1.1
Below poverty level		
Yes	13.0	17.6
No	87.1	82.4
Family composition		
Intact family	69.6	63.5
Non-intact family	30.4	36.5
Two adults/step-parents	8.9	15.2
Single parent	17.2	18.1
Other	4.3	3.1
Own children living in home		
Yes	0.6	2.5
No	99.4	97.5

Table 20—Demogra	bic charact	teristics of th	e sophomore	classes of	f 1980 a	and 1990
------------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------	------------	----------	----------

*Not shown separately are those included in the total whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

NOTE: See the technical appendix for the definitions of poverty and family composition used in these tables.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data.

In addition to these demographic changes, one of the most significant changes in the lives of young peoples over the last decade was the increase in the proportion of young teenagers having children of their own. Birth rates for females 15- to 19-years old increased from 31 per thousand in 1985 to 38 per thousand in 1990.⁶⁷ Consequently, less than 1 percent of sophomores in 1980 had a child of their own living in their home. In 1990, almost 2 and a half percent had children living in the home.

⁶⁷National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1991, Vol. 1, Natality, 1993.

However, despite the increase in the proportion of sophomores with "at-risk" characteristics, 10th- to 12th- grade dropout rates were lower in 1990-92 than in 1980-82 (table 21). HS&B data from the spring of 1982 show that 11.4 percent of the sophomores in 1980 left school without completing high school or its equivalent by the spring of 1982.⁶⁸ The comparable NELS:88 rate for the sophomore cohort of 1990 is 6.2 percent. Over the decade there has been a 5.2 percentage point decline in the dropout rate. This amounts to a 46 percent reduction in the cohort dropout rate from the sophomore to the senior years between 1980 to 1982 and 1990 to 1992.

⁶⁸Previous analyses of HS&B data from the spring 1982 follow-up counted students who had enrolled in alternative programs to prepare for a high school equivalency test or who had completed high school by an alternative means as dropouts. See S. M. Barro and A. Kolstad, *Who Drops Out of High School? Findings from High School and Beyond* (1987); and A. Pallas, "School Dropouts in the United States," *Issue Paper*, U.S. Department of Education, Center for Education Statistics (1987). The analysis presented here treats them as students or completers. Furthermore, the rate for HS&B sophomores presented here treat alternative program participants in a somewhat different manner than the rate reported last year. The rate in this year's report considers an alternative program participant as a completer or a student only if the participant was either still enrolled or had completed the program as of the time of the second follow-up survey.

	Cohort dropout rate				
	HS&B ¹	NELS:88			
Status in 10th grade	1980-82	1990–92			
Total	11.4	6.2			
Sex					
Male	12.4	5.7			
Female	10.4	6.7			
Race-ethnicity ²					
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.8	4.2			
Hispanic	19.2	12.1			
Black, non-Hispanic	13.5	7.9			
White, non-Hispanic	10.2	5.0			
Native American	26.9	17.0			
Family below poverty level					
Yes	14.5	12.9			
No	7.0	3.9			
Family composition					
Intact family	6.4	4.6			
Two adults/step-parents	14.5	8.2			
Single parent	12.5	8.8			
Other	21.5	10.9			
Own child in home					
Yes	30.8	14.5			
Male	15.9	7.7			
Female	37.8	18.5			
No	8.7	5.9			
Male	9.3	5.5			
Female	8.1	6.3			

Table 21—HS&B and NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by demographic characteristics: 1982 and 1992

¹Rates for HS&B are revised from previously published data.

²Not shown separately are those included in the total whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

NOTE: See the technical appendix for the definitions of poverty and family composition used in these tables.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data. In the HS&B rates, the difference between males (12.4 percent) and females (10.4 percent) is significant.⁶⁹ However, the difference between the NELS:88 1992 rates of 5.7 percent for males and 6.7 percent for females is not significant.

In both 1982 and 1992, the dropout rates for Hispanics were higher than those for whites and Asians. The rates for blacks fall between those of Hispanics and whites, but are not significantly different from the rates for either group. The relative rankings of the racial and ethnic groups did not change over the decade. Each group, except the Asian/Pacific Islanders, appears to have shared in the overall pattern of decline. These declines were statistically significant only for whites, blacks, and Hispanics.

Dropout rates for students in all types of families also dropped during the decade. However, dropout rates were higher in both 1982 and 1992 for students living in non-intact families—that is, families with one or more of the original parents missing.⁷⁰ Dropout rates for students from intact families were 40 to 50 percent lower than students in other types of families in both 1982 and 1992.

Over a third of female sophomores in 1980 who had children at home dropped out by the spring of 1982. In 1990–92 only about 19 percent had done so. These declines, while substantial, were still only proportional to the overall declines in dropout rates. Furthermore, even though the dropout rate for females with children declined by 50 percent, 19 percent is still a sizable dropout rate. While official attendance policies concerning teenage childbearing have changed dramatically over the last decade (Title IX now prevents districts from expelling students with children), it appears as though the added burden of caring for a child of her own can still make attending school difficult for some teenagers.⁷¹

Not only were dropout rates lower for the 10th grade class in 1992, but this class of sophomores was somewhat better prepared academically than the class of 1980 (table 22). Their overall achievement levels were higher, and they had earned more credits toward graduation than the class of 1980. The 10th grade class of 1990 answered about 12 percent more items correctly on a test of mathematics skills in 1990 than 10th graders did in 1980. Sophomores in 1990 answered 37 out of 40 items correctly compared with 33 out of 40 for 1980 10th graders. Furthermore, on average, the sophomore class of 1990 had earned 11.8 credits by the end of their sophomore year (8.8 credits in academic subjects), compared with 10.6 credits for the class of 1980 (7.4 credits in academic subjects).

 $^{^{69}}$ The analysis of the HS&B data presented in last year's report found that between the 10th and 12th grades, males were not more likely to drop out than females. When the GED program participation and completion is taken into consideration, males drop out at higher rates than females.

⁷⁰Parents may be biological or adoptive.

⁷¹Gary Wehlage, R. Rutter, G. Smith, N. Lesko & R. Fernandez, *Reducing the Risk: Schools as Communities of Support*, The Falmer Press (1989).

		NELS:88	HS&B		
10th grade credits and scores	Total	Dropouts only	Total	Dropouts only	
Total credits ¹ earned					
while in high school	22.3	10.6	19.7	10.0	
Academic credits ² earned					
while in high school	16.3	7.1	13.1	6.4	
Total credits by 10th grade	11.8	8.7	10.6	7.9	
Academic credits by					
10th grade	8.8	6.0	7.4	5.2	
Mathematics test score in					
10th grade					
(number correct)	36.5	25.0	33.4	24.4	

Table 22—Education outcomes for HS&B and NELS:88 10th-grade cohort: 1980–82 and 1990–92

¹One credit refers to one Carnegie unit, representing the completion of a high school course that meets some period per day for one year. ²Courses in the high school curriculum traditionally have been classified into academic, vocational, and personal use

²Courses in the high school curriculum traditionally have been classified into academic, vocational, and personal use areas of study. Academic credits refer to courses earned in the academic curriculum, whereas total credits refer to all credits earned.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data.

Even the academic backgrounds of the dropouts from the class of 1990 compared favorably with the dropouts from the class of 1980. Their achievement levels were comparable and they had earned more academic credits towards graduation before they left school. As of the time they dropped out of school, the 1990–92 dropouts also had accumulated 10.6 credits compared with 10.0 credits for dropouts in 1980–82. Nevertheless, even though the NELS:88 dropouts had accumulated slightly more credits than the HS&B dropouts, they still left school with only about half the amount of credits they need to graduate.

Student reports of reasons for leaving are one tool administrators and policymakers can use in developing effective policies and practices for preventing students from dropping out and drawing dropouts back to complete their educations. In 1992, school-related problems were identified over other reasons by the most dropouts. Of the 1992 students who dropped out between the 10th and 12th grades, 43 percent gave "not liking school" and 39 percent reported "failing school" as reasons for leaving school (table 19).⁷²

In 1982, about 30 percent of the 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts identified not liking school, and 31 percent reported failing school (or having poor grades) as reasons for dropping out (table 23). This amounts to a 43 percent increase between 1982 and 1992 for not liking school and a 23 percent increase for failing school in the percent of dropouts reporting these reasons among

 $^{^{72}}$ While not all of the items on the 1992 list of reasons were replicated from the 1982 list, most of the school-related reasons were on both lists.

the factors contributing to their decisions. Several other school-related reasons—"could not get along with other students," "could not get along with their teachers," and "expelled or suspended"—increased in importance over the decade as well.

				Race-ethnicity		
Reasons for dropping out	Total Male Female		Hispanic	Black, Hispanic	White, non- Hispanic	
School-related:						
Did not like school	30.0	30.2	28.5	24.7	14.9	34.8
Could not get along						
with teachers	15.4	19.2	10.9	14.7	13.7	16.1
Could not get along						
with students	6.4	6.3	6.5	6.5	5.5	6.5
Was suspended/expelled						
from school	10.7	16.1	4.5	11.1	14.0	10.0
Had poor grades/was						
failing school	31.4	35.0	27.1	37.8	25.8	32.1
Family-related:						
Was pregnant [*]	22.9		22.9	25.2	34.4	19.8
Got married	19.9	7.3	34.7	20.2	5.0	22.8

Table 23—Percentage of HS&B 1980 sophomore cohort dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1982

-Not applicable.

* Females only.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond study, sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data.

Given the importance among females of family-related reasons for leaving school, the significance of pregnancy and marriage were compared over the decade. In 1992, about 27 percent of the female dropouts included pregnancy on the list of reasons for leaving school, and 20 percent of them included marriage (table 19). Ten years earlier, 23 percent of the female dropouts listed pregnancy and 35 percent included marriage on their lists of reasons. Over the decade, leaving school because of marriage decreased by about 40 percent; the apparent increase in pregnancy does not represent a statistically significant change.⁷³

⁷³Since the students identified all reasons contributing to their dropout decision, changes in the percentage reporting an individual item or any group of items does not necessarily reflect a change in the dropout rates. More than likely, the increases here reflect that individual students report more reasons as contributing factors.

Discussion

Just under 12 percent of the 8th-grade class of 1988 dropped out of school between 1988 and 1992. When asked why they left school early, some of the most common reasons cited for dropping out were related to their experiences in the schools they left behind. In addition, from 1990–92 about one-quarter of the female dropouts said they left school because they were pregnant. And 36 percent of the male and 22 percent of the female dropouts left school because they found a job.

The proportion of students who left school without finishing was not significantly different between the 8th- to 10th-grade (6.8 percent) and the 10th- to 12th-grade (7.6 percent) intervals. After re-enrollments, alternative completers, and early graduates were taken into account, the cohort dropout rate for the spring of 1992 amounted to 11.6 percent of the eighth-grade cohort of 1988. Regardless of the time intervals considered, the dropout rates for males and females from the 1988 eighth-grade cohort were comparable. In contrast, race–ethnicity differences were evident in each set of cohort dropout rates—with higher rates for Hispanics and blacks and lower rates for whites and Asians.

Comparisons drawn between the 1990 sophomore cohort and the 1980 sophomore cohort show that some improvements have occurred over the last decade. While the proportion of "at risk" students grew during the decade, the cohort dropout rate decreased by 46 percent. Furthermore, dropouts in 1990–92 left school with more academic credits than did dropouts in 1980–82. However, the fact remains: a significant number of American students continue to leave school without completing a high school education. The longitudinal aspect of these data provide the information needed to follow the progress of these youth—to monitor how many of them eventually complete high school, continue their education, and assume productive roles in American society.

Summary

The rate at which students drop out each year and the proportion of dropouts within the young adult population declined over the last 10 to 15 years. The event rate, measured as the percentage of students ages 15–24 dropping out of grades 10–12 each year, declined almost three percentage points—from 6.7 percent in 1978 to around 4.0 percent in the 1990s. Grade- and age-specific event dropout rates declined over most of the period. The status rate, measured as the percentage of all 16- through 24-year-olds who left school without completing high school, fell from approximately 14 percent in the 1970s to 11 percent in 1993.

Analyses of dropout rates by selected demographic characteristics reveal generally consistent patterns in 1993 across the three types of national dropout rates—event, status, and cohort. In particular, male and female dropout rates were comparable and dropout rates decrease markedly as family income goes up, with a tenfold difference between the dropout rates of students from families with low as compared with high incomes. The status dropout rates were the same for whites and blacks within each income level, and Hispanic rates were higher than the rates of whites and blacks. Persons in the southern or western regions were more likely to be status dropouts.

One inconsistency in the pattern of dropout rates was the differences in rates for black, white and Hispanics. While there were no significant differences in event dropout rates among persons from different racial-ethnic groups, there were differences in status and cohort rates among these groups. For example, black and Hispanic event rates were similar to white rates, while black status rates were nearly 72 percent higher than white status rates and Hispanic status rates were 400 percent higher. The fact that different rates show different results may be due to several factors. One factor may be that after dropping out, whites more quickly return and complete high school than either blacks or Hispanic.⁷⁴ Another factor inflating the Hispanic status rate may be substantial numbers of Hispanic immigrants to this country who come without completing high school and, in fact, are never enrolled in the U.S. school system. There is also a cumulative effect of the decreases over the last decade in the event rates. As these year to year changes accumulate over the years, further decreases in the status rates may also occur.

Even though the data indicate that dropout rates declined over the last decade, it is important to emphasize that the dropout problem continues, and important subgroup differences persist. During 1993, students in large numbers continued to drop out of high school without obtaining a diploma or an alternative credential. About 12 percent of the entire eighth-grade class of 1988 dropped out of school by the spring of 1992, while about 15 percent of black and 18 percent of Hispanic eighth graders dropped out. The number of dropouts is increased multifold when the combined effect of successive years' annual event rates are translated into a status dropout rate. For example, in 1993 there were 381,000 "new" dropout events among 15- through 24-year-olds in grades 10–12, and approximately 3.4 million 16- through 24-year-olds did not have a high school diploma and were not enrolled in school. Black and Hispanic youth are disproportionately represented in the dropout population. Eighty percent of the Hispanic dropouts speak Spanish at home. For those who speak limited English or none at all, the outlook is especially bleak—with dropout rates over 60 percent.

⁷⁴A. Kolstad and P. Kaufman, *Dropouts who complete high school with a diploma or GED*, Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, March 24-27, 1989.

High School Completion and Graduation Rates

It is important to know what proportion of young people are finishing high school, inasmuch as it is generally agreed that a high school education is a necessary prerequisite to assuming an entry level position in the workforce or military or to continuing on in some formal postsecondary educational program. Over the last 20 years the event dropout rate ranged from a high of 6.7 percent at several points during the 1970s to recent rates around 4.0 percent. These data indicate that in each of the last 20 years, between 93 and 96 percent of the 15- through 24-year-olds enrolled in grades 10, 11, or 12 remained in school each year with the goal of continuing their progress toward high school completion (figure 1, appendix table A32). How many students attain that goal?

What Does it Mean to Graduate?

There are two major paths to high school completion. Most students receive a regular high school diploma after completing the requisite secondary school coursework. However, other students, regardless of the number of high school courses they have completed, receive an alternative credential such as a General Educational Development (GED) certificate, certificate of completion, or certificate of attendance. Data from the High School and Beyond study show that a substantial number of high school completers hold alternative credentials. For example, in 1986 almost 7 percent of the high school completers from the high school class of 1982 held alternative credentials.⁷⁵ Strictly speaking, a high school graduation rate is based solely on students receiving regular high school diplomas. Alternatively, a high school completion rate can be calculated by combining data for students receiving regular high school diplomas with data for students receiving alternative credentials.

Who Is Included?

There is also a question of whom to include in the base population. Since there are persons well into their 30s and 40s working to complete high school, the age group that is chosen will affect the graduation or completion rate for two reasons. First, students may take longer than the norm to finish high school because they repeat courses or grades, because of illness or injury, or because they started school at an older age than other students. Second, over time, some subset of the dropouts will complete their high school educations. Using an older rather than a younger age group as a base will generally produce higher graduation and completion rates.

The proportion of high school students who were older than traditional graduation age varied by sex and race-ethnicity (table 24). In 1993, about 27 percent of all 18-year-olds were still enrolled in high school. Within the 18-year-old population, males and blacks were more

⁷⁵U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond study, sophomore cohort, Third Followup, 1986, unpublished data.

likely to be in high school than females and whites.⁷⁶ By age 19, only 8 percent of the population were enrolled in high school, and at that age blacks were more likely to be in high school than were whites.

	Age					
Characteristics	18	19	20	21	22	
Total	26.9	7.6	1.5	0.8	0.5	
Sex						
Male	33.7	9.0	2.1	0.9	0.5	
Female	20.0	6.2	0.9	0.8	0.4	
Race-ethnicity*						
White, non-Hispanic	24.0	4.4	1.3	0.4	0.3	
Black, non-Hispanic	38.3	16.8	1.1	1.0	1.0	
Hispanic	32.0	12.2	1.4	3.1	0.4	

Table 24—Percentage of persons attending high school or below by sex, race-ethnicity, and age: October 1993

Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

High school completion rates computed as a percent of an entire age group include students who are still enrolled in school in the denominator of the rate. The difference between 100 percent and the completion rate is the percent of students who have not completed high school. But, in this case, some of the noncompleters are still enrolled, working toward high school completion. This can be taken into account by computing the rate as a percent of the population not currently enrolled. This second set of completion rates tends to be higher.

The larger the size of the enrolled population, the larger the difference between rates computed with each of the two denominators. For example, the 1993 completion rate for 17- and 18-year-olds was only 34.6 percent when students still enrolled were included in the denominator, but increased to 80.8 percent when the rate was computed as a percent of the 17- and 18-year-olds not currently enrolled in high school or below. The difference is not nearly as pronounced at ages 19 and 20 years. In this case, the percent of high school completers is 82.1 percent for all 19- and 20-year-olds and 85.9 percent for the 19- and 20-year-olds who are not currently enrolled in school. Only a small number of students are still enrolled in school at ages 21 and

⁷⁶The differences between Hispanics and whites were not statistically significant, due in part to the small sample size of Hispanics in CPS.

22. Thus, the selection of this group as the age reference group for high school graduation and completion minimizes the effect of late enrollments.⁷⁷

Completion and Graduation Rates

There are event, status, and cohort completion rates that are analogs to the event, status, and cohort dropout rates. The event dropout rate compares the number of students dropping out during a 12-month period to the number of students present at the beginning of the period to measure the proportion of students who drop out in that period without completing high school. The comparable event graduation rate compares the number of students who graduate at the end of a school year (or the following summer) to the number of students eligible to graduate, assuming a successful completion, at the start of the year. Data from the NCES 1990–91 Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) show an event graduation rate of 93 percent for seniors in the spring of 1990.⁷⁸

The cohort dropout rate measures what happens to a single group (or cohort) of students over a period of time by comparing the number of students who have left school prior to completion to the number of students present in the group at the start of the study period in question. The comparable cohort graduation rate compares the number of students who graduate to the number of students present at the start of the study period. Some members of the NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort were enrolled in high school after 1992; nevertheless, data from 1992 show that 88.4 percent of the NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort were enrolled and working toward graduation in the spring of 1992.

The status dropout rate measures the proportion of individuals in a specified age range who are dropouts by comparing the number of persons of those ages who have not completed high school and are not still enrolled to the total number of persons in that age group. The comparable status graduation rate or completion rate compares the number of graduates or completers in a specified age range to the number of persons out of school in that age group. Conceptually, if the same age grade intervals are used, the high school completion rate can be obtained from the status dropout rate and the high school enrollment rate by subtracting the sum of these two rates from 100 percent. Data from the October Current Population Survey (CPS) are used in this section to compute status completion and graduation rates.

High School Completion Rates: 1993

The data in table 25 show the high school completion rate, the school enrollment rate, and the status dropout rate for persons ages 21 and 22 in 1993. These three rates, each expressed as a percentage of the total 21- through 22-year-old population in 1993, sum to 100 percent. In

⁷⁷High school completion rates are reported in appendix C for each age group, as a percent of the age group and as a percent of the age group not currently enrolled in high school.

⁷⁸U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Schools and Staffing Survey, 1990–91, Schools and Staffing in the United States: Selected Data for Public and Private Schools, 1990–91, E.D. Tabs, NCES 93-453.

1993, about 1 percent (0.7 percent) of the 21- and 22-year-olds were enrolled in high school. Approximately 86 percent of this age group had completed their high school education, and the remaining 13.3 percent had dropped out.

High school status				
	1990	1991	1992 ¹	1993 ¹
Completed ²	86.1	85.7	86.0	85.9
Enrolled in high school	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7
Dropped out	13.1	13.5	13.2	13.3

Table 25—High school completion and enrollment status of 21- and 22-year-olds: October 1990 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS. ²Includes those who received a high school diploma and those with alternative high school credentials (such as a GED certificate).

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Table 26 shows the 1993 high school completion rates by the demographic characteristics of 21- and 22-year-olds. The 86 percent completion rate observed for all 21- and 22-year-olds is shared equally by males and females, but not by the members of different racial-ethnic groups. The completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds were higher for white students than for black and Hispanic students and higher for black students than for Hispanic students. When region of the country is taken into consideration, the completion rates for the Midwest and the Northeast are higher than the rates for the West and the South.

	Completion rate (percent)	Number of completers (thousands)	Percent of all completers	
Total	85.9	5,896	100.0	
Sex				
Male	84.9	2.865	48.6	
Female	86.8	3,030	51.4	
Race-ethnicity*				
White, non-Hispanic	89.8	4,286	72.7	
Black, non-Hispanic	83.8	852	14.5	
Hispanic	63.0	514	8.7	
Region				
Northeast	89.0	1.153	19.6	
Midwest	89.7	1.502	25.5	
South	83.6	2,029	34.4	
West	82.4	1,211	20.5	

Table 26—Completion rates and number and distribution of completers, ages 21 and 22, by sex, race-ethnicity, and region: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

By definition, the completion rate includes everyone reporting a high school diploma or the equivalent, regardless of type of credential. Currently, the October Supplement to CPS asks high school completers 24 years of age and under whether they have an equivalency certificate.⁷⁹ In October 1993, 80.8 percent of persons 21 and 22 years old reported having received a high school diploma (table 27). An additional 5.0 percent in this age group reported completing high school by passing an equivalency test (such as a GED). Comparable data are presented for each racial–ethnic group. The race–ethnicity difference noted in the completion rates are repeated by 21- and 22-year-olds receiving high school diplomas, but there are no significant differences between the groups in the percent with alternative methods of completion.

⁷⁹The item on the education supplement is "Did you complete high school by means of an equivalency test, such as a GED?" See Cameron, S.V., and Hackman, J.J., "The Non Equivalence of High School Equivalents," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 11(1): 1-47 (1993).

	Year				
Completion method	1990	1991	1992 ²	1993 ²	
		(1997			
Total		(per	cem)		
Completed	86 1	857	86.0	85.9	
Dinloma	81.0	81.4	80.5	80.8	
Alternative	5.2	4.3	5.5	5.0	
White, non-Hispanic					
Completed	90.5	90.2	90.2	89.8	
Diploma	85.6	85.8	85.1	84.8	
Alternative	4.9	4.3	5.1	5.0	
Black, non-Hispanic					
Completed	83.3	81.2	81.0	83.8	
Diploma	77.8	75.9	73.6	78.3	
Alternative	5.5	5.3	7.4	5.5	
Hispanic					
Completed	61.1	61.1	62.6	63.0	
Diploma	56.1	57.9	56.4	57.6	
Alternative	5.0	3.2	6.2	5.4	

Table 27—High school completion rates and method of completion of 21- and 22-year-olds,by race-ethnicity1: October 1990 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Returning to and/or Completing School

Data from the longitudinal studies show that the decision to drop out in many cases does not mean an end to the student's education. In fact, when a cohort of students is followed across time, a substantial portion of the dropouts are found to complete their high school education. Data from the 1986 (third) followup of HS&B indicate that 17.3 percent of the 1980 sophomore cohort did not finish high school by the end of their scheduled senior year (1982). However, 46 percent of that group had earned either a high school diploma or the equivalent by 1986.⁸⁰

By the spring of 1992, 88.4 percent of the eighth-grade cohort of 1988 were either enrolled in school working towards high school completion or had already completed high school or

⁸⁰See M. Frase, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1988*, NCES 89-609, for a full discussion of the cohort rate from High School and Beyond. See also U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, *High School and Beyond, Educational Experiences of the 1980 Sophomore Class, Tabulation* (November 1987).

passed an equivalency test (figure 9). While most of the students working towards high school completion in the spring of 1992 were enrolled at the time of both the 1990 and 1992 spring followups, about 2 percent are students who dropped out between the 8th and 10th grades and then re-enrolled before the spring of 1992.

Figure 9—Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort dropouts, by educational status and educational plans: Spring 1992



^{*}Includes 0.8 percent in regular programs and 1.0 percent in alternative programs.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

Furthermore, data from the NELS:88 high school transcript study indicate that about 7 percent of those who were dropouts in the Spring of 1992 had re-enrolled in school by July 1992. Another 1 percent of the Spring 1992 dropouts had been able to complete school by the following July. Approximately 44 percent of the Spring 1992 dropouts were confirmed by the transcripts to still be dropouts in July 1992. The Spring 1992 status of the remaining 47 percent could not be determined because transcripts for those students were either incomplete or missing altogether. However, as noted earlier, the percentages of missing or incomplete transcripts were highest among dropouts, re-enrolled dropouts, and alternative completers. As a result, it is likely that the 4.7 percent with incomplete data from Spring 1992 includes a disproportionate number of dropouts.

Enrollment status	Percentage Distribution	
Total	100.0	
Completed high school Re-enrolled Confirmed dropout	1.4 7.3 43.9	
Status unknown [*]	47.2	

Table 28—Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort dropouts, by enrollment and completion status: Spring 1992

^TIncludes non-participants in the transcript study and transcript participants with missing data on transcript-based completion status variable.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

Trends Over Time

CPS began differentiating between completers with diplomas and those with alternative credentials in 1988. Therefore, only completion rates can be used to examine trends over time in high school completion. Consequently, estimates of the number and proportion of high school completers in the trend data from CPS will be higher than estimates based solely on measures of regular high school graduates.

Figure 10 shows the trends over time for the high school completion rates for 21- and 22year-olds and for 29- and 30-year-olds. These completion rates are defined as the percentage of persons ages 21 and 22 (or ages 29 and 30) who have completed high school by receiving a high school diploma or an equivalency certificate. The completion rate for the 21- and 22-year-olds increased gradually over the last 20 years from approximately 82 percent in 1972 to about 86 percent in 1990, with rates remaining at that level between 1990 and 1993. Over this same time interval, the rate for 29- and 30-year-olds increased from about 78 percent in 1972 to around 87 percent in the early 1980s, with fluctuations between 86 and 87 percent between 1982 and 1993.


Figure 10—High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years) unpublished data.

A cohort approach can be used to evaluate the contribution of late completions to the total completion rate.⁸¹ A comparison of the rate for the 29- and 30-year-olds with the rate for 21- and 22-year-olds 8 years earlier shows the increase in high school completion as this cohort ages. For example, in 1985 the high school completion rate for 21- and 22-year-olds was 84.8 percent; by 1993 the completion rate for this cohort was 86.8 percent (see appendix table A49).

Trends in the completion rates for white and black 21- and 22-year-olds (figure 11) and 29and 30-year-olds (figure 12) show larger increases for blacks than for whites, narrowing the difference between the two groups. Completion rates for white 21- and 22-year-olds increased from approximately 85 percent in 1972 to approximately 90 percent in 1989 and have remained at that level. Completion rates for black 21- and 22-year-olds increased from approximately 74 percent in 1972 to about 80 percent in 1984 and have fluctuated between 80 and 84 percent in

⁸¹Given that the Current Population Survey covers only the civilian/non-institutionalized population, there can be substantial movements in and out of the population constituting the universe for the cohorts in Current Population Survey over time due to factors such as death, incarceration, military service, and migration (in and out of the country).

the intervening years. The completion rates for Hispanic 21- and 22-year-olds evidence no apparent statistical trend, but are consistently lower than comparable rates for whites and blacks over these two decades.

The completion rate for white 29- and 30-year-olds increased from about 82 percent in 1972 to about 91 percent in 1981—an increase of about 11 percent, and has remained relatively constant since (figure 11). The completion rate for black 29- and 30-year-olds increased from approximately 63 percent in 1972 to about 82 percent in 1981—an increase of 30 percent. The rate remained relatively constant through 1992, and then increased to 89 percent in 1993. The completion rate for Hispanic 29- and 30-year-olds increased from about 48 percent in 1972 to about 60 percent in 1984 and has fluctuated between 54 and 65 percent, with a 1993 rate of approximately 55 percent.

Figure 11—High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993







SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Summary and Discussion

The high school completion rate for 21- and 22-year-olds increased over the last 20 years from about 82 percent in 1972 to approximately 86 percent from 1990 to 1993. In 1972, about 74 percent of black 21- and 22-year-olds and approximately 85 percent of white 21- and 22-year-olds completed high school. Over time, the relative increase in the rate for blacks was larger than the increase evident in the rate for whites, thus narrowing the race differential in high school completion rates. By 1993, about 84 percent of black 21- and 22-year-olds and approximately 90 percent of white 21- and 22-year-olds completed high school.

The high school completion rate for 29- and 30-year-olds increased markedly from about 78 percent in 1972 to around 87 percent in the early 1980s, and has remained level over the past decade. The rate for white 29- and 30-year-olds increased 9 percentage points over this time interval from about 82 percent in 1972 to about 91 percent in 1981; since 1981, the rate for whites has fluctuated between 89 and 91 percent. The rate for black 29- and 30-year-olds increased 19 percentage points between 1972 and 1981, from about 63 percent in 1972 to about 82 percent in 1981. The rate fluctuated around this level through 1992, with an increase to 89.0

percent in 1993. The rates for Hispanics in this age group are substantially lower, with the rates fluctuating between 54 and 59 percent since 1990.

Institutional data from schools and cross-sectional surveys (e.g., the Schools and Staffing Survey) can be used to compute event rates for graduates or completers in a given year. Also, longitudinal data (in the High School and Beyond and the National Education Longitudinal Studies) provide the data needed for cohort estimates of the proportion of students from a particular grade level who graduate on time, late, or after returning from an interruption in schooling.⁸² Approximately 17 percent of the sophomore cohort of 1980 did not successfully complete high school on time. By 1986, nearly one-half of those students had earned either a high school diploma or the equivalent. As of the spring of 1992, about 88 percent of the students from the 1988 eighth-grade cohort were either enrolled in school working towards high school completion or had already completed high school or passed an equivalency test. By July 1992, 1.3 percent of students who were dropouts in the Spring were known to have graduated, while another 7.3 percent were known to have re-enrolled.

⁸²Data from the High School and Beyond study indicate that a substantial proportion of dropouts return to school. See the chapter "Returning to School" presented in M. Frase, *Dropout Rates in the United States: 1988*, NCES 89-609, for an extended discussion of these students.

STATUS OF POSSIBLE FUTURE NCES DROPOUT DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING EFFORTS

NCES has made a great deal of progress over the last several years in developing reliable and policy-relevant data on school dropouts. This section discusses three NCES surveys that are designed to provide extensive and accurate dropout data in the near future. These data will include the additional information needed to monitor progress towards curbing dropout rates and, conversely, towards increasing the relative size of the group of young adults prepared for a lifetime of learning. In particular, the Common Core of Data dropout collection will provide national-, state-, and district-level dropout rates for the entire public school population and for various subgroups, and ongoing administrations of the 1980 High School and Beyond study and the 1988 National Education Longitudinal Study will provide data on the percentage of dropouts who successfully obtain a high school diploma or its equivalent, as well as data on the occupational status of dropouts.

Common Core of Data

The Common Core of Data (CCD) administered by NCES is an annual universe survey of the state-level education agencies in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the outlying areas. Statistical information is collected on public schools, staff, students, and finance.

A field test of dropout data collection took place in 27 states and two territories (a total of approximately 300 school districts) during the 1989–90 school year. The data were gathered through administrative records maintained at school districts and schools. The data did not produce national (or state) representative statistics, but instead provided information needed to design a dropout statistics component that was added to the CCD.

In that CCD collection, a school dropout was defined as an individual who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year, had not graduated from high school or completed an approved educational program (event dropouts), and did not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions:

- death;
- temporary absence due to suspension or illness; or
- transfer to another public school district, private school, or a state- or district-approved education program.⁸³

⁸³This statistical definition was examined in the field test as the basis for collecting comparable national and state dropout data. It is similar to the definition developed for the purposes of the School Dropout Demonstration Assistance Program, established under Sec. 6201(a) of the Hawkins-Stafford School Improvement Amendments.

For the purpose of this definition:

- a school year is the 12-month period of time beginning with the normal opening of school in the fall, with dropouts from the previous summer reported for the year and grade for which they fail to enroll;
- an individual has graduated from high school or completed an approved education program upon receipt of formal recognition from school authorities; and
- a state- or district-approved education program may include special education programs, home-based instruction, and school-sponsored GED preparation.

This new collection was initiated with a set of instructions to state CCD coordinators in the summer of 1991. Those instructions specified the details of the dropout data to be collected during the 1991–92 school year. Dropouts, like graduates, are reported for the preceding school year. These 1991–92 data were scheduled for submission to NCES as a component of the 1992–93 CCD data collection.

For this first year of the CCD dropout data collection, all but eight states submitted dropout data.⁸⁴ Three of the 43 reporting states did not include data for grades 7 and 8 and two of these three states' reports were also missing data disaggregated by sex and race–ethnicity. Taken together, these data form the basis of the beginning of an annual universe-based collection of dropout data for the United States.

Analysis of the submitted data and detailed discussions with state dropout data coordinators identified four categories of deviations from the specified definition. In particular, 16 of the reporting states attributed students who dropped out over the summer to the grade and year for which the student failed to report as instructed, the remaining 27 states did not.⁸⁵ States were asked to remove students who dropped out, but then returned during the year, from the dropout count for that year; 23 of the reporting states did not do this. The misclassification of adult education or secondary GED program participants (21 states) and failure to enforce an October 1st cutoff date for determining a student's dropout status (27 states) also contributed to the number of states with data that do not conform to the specified definitions.

In the final analysis, there are 15 states that reported 1991–92 data that are consistent with the specified definition. Aggregate grade-specific rates for each of these states are included in table 30. These rates employ grade-specific dropout counts aggregated across the school districts in each state, in the numerator, and the October 1 grade-specific school district count of student membership in each state in the denominator.⁸⁶

 $^{^{84}}$ One of the 43 reporting states submitted one total count for all of grades 1–12 which was not acceptable for analysis.

⁸⁵One state attributed students to the next grade, but in the year just completed.

⁸⁶The district membership counts by grade are computed by summing across all schools in the district.

State	School membership (number)	Dropouts (number)	Rate (percentage)
Arizona	167,153	18.584	11.1
Arkansas	112.266	4,989	4.4
California	1.345.114	72,893	5.4
District of Columbia	17,922	2,177	12.2
Illinois	483,131	29,074	6.0
Massachusetts	226,898	7,518	3.3
Mississippi	127,246	7,005	5.5
Missouri	224,718	14,129	6.3
Nebraska	69,716	2,821	4.1
Nevada	53,833	4,239	7.9
New Mexico	77,559	6,233	8.0
Oregon	137,177	8,240	6.0
Pennsylvania	467,765	17,679	3.8
Rhode Island	36,547	1,816	5.5
Texas	874,407	48,081	5.6

Table 29-Ninth- to tenth-grade dropout numbers and rates, by state: 1991-2 school year

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics Common Core of Data, 1991–92 School Year.

The CCD dropout statistics will make it possible to report the number and rate of event dropouts from public schools by school districts, states, major subpopulations, and the nation. Data will be collected by grade for grades 7-12, by sex and by sex within race-ethnicity categories. Dropouts from both regular and special education will be included in the counts but not reported separately.

Longitudinal Studies

High School and Beyond (HS&B) is the NCES national longitudinal study of 1980 high school seniors and sophomores. HS&B is representative of the nation's high school sophomores of 1980 (for Census regions as well as nationally) with substantial oversampling of special populations. Approximately 30,000 sophomores, selected from a probability sample of 1,015 high schools, participated in the base-year survey. Students completed questionnaires and took a battery of cognitive tests. A subsample of the sophomore cohort was re-surveyed in the spring of 1982 (first followup) and 2,000 students were identified as dropouts. Further followups were conducted in the springs of 1984 (second followup), 1986 (third followup), and 1992 (fourth followup). High school transcripts were obtained in 1982 for more than half the sophomore cohort and college transcripts were obtained in 1992.

The National Education Longitudinal Study of the eighth-grade cohort of 1988 (NELS:88) was described earlier in this report, along with the presentation of 1988 to 1992 cohort dropout rates. The third follow-up occurred in 1994, when most sample members were in postsecondary education or in the labor market. The goals of the 1994 round were to provide data for trend comparisons with NLS-72 and HS&B, and to continue cross-wave comparisons with previous NELS:88 rounds. The third follow-up permits researchers to assess the effect of eighth grade and high school curricular experiences on postsecondary education choice. The third follow-up provides the means by which access of individuals with different backgrounds to quality educational institutions could be examined. The third follow-up facilitates study of the influences of high school education experiences on postsecondary education and employment opportunities and choices. Labor force participation, postsecondary persistence, curricular progress, and family formation are further research topics that can be explored with the data from the third follow-up. Additionally, the third follow-up provides a basis for assessing how many dropouts returned to school and by what route, and for measuring the access of dropouts to vocational training programs and to other postsecondary institutions. A fourth follow-up is tentatively scheduled for 1997.

These two studies provide the data needed for cohort estimates of the proportion of students from a particular grade level who graduate on time, late, or after returning from an interruption in schooling. These data sets allow analysts to examine not only high school graduation and completion rates for specific cohorts and the characteristics and experiences of those who drop out, but also to study changes over time in the levels and patterns of dropping out. As these students complete their educations and enter the work force, the follow-up data continue to provide information on the occupational experiences of American young adults.

Efforts to expand the range of coverage (CCD) and the amount of contextual data (CPS, NELS:88, HS&B) continue at NCES. In future years the ongoing monitoring of levels and trends for the basic event, status, and cohort dropout rates and high school completion rates will be supplemented by analyses of data from these new and expanding collections as the data come on-line.

SUMMARY

This report has presented data on high school dropout and completion rates in the United States. Three types of dropout rates have been described—event, status, and cohort—as well as several graduation/completion rates. In addition, the report has outlined the status of NCES data collection efforts related to dropouts.

Dropout Rates

Rates. Three types of dropout rates were examined in this report.

- 1) The event dropout rate represents the share of students who leave school without completing high school during a single year. In 1993, the event dropout rate was 4.5 percent for students ages 15 through 24 in grades 10–12. The number of event dropouts from grades 10–12 in 1993 was approximately 381,000.
- 2) The status dropout rate represents the proportion of individuals at any given time who are not enrolled in school and have not completed high school. In October 1993, 11.0 percent of 16- through 24-year-olds were status dropouts. This represented about 3.4 million persons in this age group who had not completed high school and were not currently enrolled in school.

The status dropout rate is a cumulative rate. It is much higher than the event rate because it counts as dropouts all individuals who have not completed high school (and are not currently enrolled in school), regardless of when they last attended school.

3) A third type of dropout rate—the cohort rate—measures what happens to a single group (or cohort) of students over a period of time. About 11.6 percent of the eighth-grade cohort of 1988 dropped out of school between the 8th and 12th grades. The cohort dropout rates were about 18 percent for Hispanic and 15 percent for black students, and they were higher than the rates for whites (9.4 percent) and Asians (7.0 percent).

Trends. Nationally, dropout rates have been declining. The event rate declined 37 percent between the late 1970s and the early 1990s, from an average of 6.7 percent to an average of 4.2 percent. The status rate in the early 1990s was about 18 percent lower than it had been throughout the 1970s: from an average of 14.3 percent in the 1970s to an average of 11.7 percent in the early 1990s.

The event and status dropout rates declined for both blacks and whites, but not for Hispanics. A greater rate of decline in the status rates for blacks resulted in a narrowing of the racial differential over the 2 decades. Hispanic dropout rates—event and status—have shown no consistent trend, but have remained high throughout the last 20 years. The cohort dropout rate from the sophomore to senior years decreased by 46 percent between 1980–82 and 1990–92—from 11.4 percent from 1980–82 to 6.2 percent from 1990–92.

High School Completion and Graduation

High school completion rates at different age levels reflect different sets of experiences. At ages 17–18, a number of students are still enrolled in school, and as a result only about one-third have completed high school. Fewer than 10 percent of the 19- and 20-year-olds were still enrolled in high school in 1993, and about 82 percent of this age group had completed high school. The 21- and 22-year-old age group was used to summarize the high school completion rates across racial and ethnic groups, given that less than 1 percent of this group were still enrolled in high school. The high school completion rate for 21- and 22-year-olds in 1993 was 86 percent, and 94 percent of those students held a regular diploma; the remaining 5 percent of the high school completers received some type of alternative credential.

The 86 percent completion rate represents only modest improvements over the past two decades; prior to 1990 the completion rate for 21- and 22-year-olds averaged 84 percent with fluctuations between 82 and 85 percent. A sharper increase in the completion rates for blacks relative to whites narrowed the difference between the groups, but there is still a measurable gap. Data for the early 1990s show about 90 percent of the white 21- and 22-year-olds completing high school, compared to an average of 82 percent of the black 21- and 22-year-olds; and the gap for Hispanics is even greater with only about 62 percent of the Hispanic 21- and 22-year-olds completing high school.

High school completion rates were also examined in detail for 29- and 30-year-olds to capture the effects of late completers on high school completion. In general, while the gains experienced by this age group were larger than those observed for 21- and 22-year-olds, they plateaued in the early 1980s. By 1993, the rate for blacks increased to a level comparable to the rate observed for whites.

By spring 1992, 88.4 percent of the 1988 cohort of eighth graders were either enrolled in school working towards high school completion or had already completed high school or passed an equivalency test.

New Data Sources

The data presented in this report on dropout and high school completion rates provide important insights for educators and policymakers. However, there are several weaknesses in these data. For example, the sample sizes in CPS may result in imprecise estimates of dropout and completion rates for important subgroups, including subregional areas and some minority subpopulations. Furthermore, the cross-sectional nature of the data does not allow the examination of factors that lead to dropping out of school and its consequences. Therefore, NCES is working to improve the availability of reliable and policy-relevant data on dropouts.

The Common Core of Data (CCD) began implementation of a new dropout data collection, with data for the 1991–92 school year reported as one component of the 1992–93 reporting year. Full implementation of the collection of dropout data through the CCD will make it possible to report the number and rate of event dropouts from grades 7–12 for public schools by school districts, states, the nation, and major subpopulations.

Furthermore, planned followups of the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 and the High School and Beyond study can provide additional sources of data on dropouts and high school completers in the future. In particular, data from these two longitudinal studies will provide important data needed to monitor the percentage of dropouts who successfully complete a high school diploma or its equivalent.

Conclusion

Over the last 20 years, there has been a general decline in dropout rates and a general increase in high school completion rates. Between the late 1970s and the early 1990s, event rates declined 37 percent and status rates have declined 18 percent. Completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds showed modest increases over the last two decades. Moreover, the dropout rates for black young people have shown the greatest progress over the last two decades, thus narrowing the gap between the proportion of white and black students dropping out of school.

Between 1980 and 1990, dropout rates for high school sophomores declined 46 percent from 11.4 percent in 1980–82 to 6.2 percent in 1990–92. These decreases occurred despite the fact that a larger proportion of the sophomore class in 1990, compared with 1980, had characteristics traditionally associated with higher dropout rates.

However, notwithstanding these gains, dropout rates remain at unacceptable levels with too many students failing to complete a high school education. In 1993, approximately 381 thousand students ages 15 through 24 dropped out of high school. Over 3.4 million persons ages 16 through 24 had not completed high school and were not currently enrolled in school. Dropout and non-completion rates were particularly high for Hispanics. Furthermore, despite the fact that current family income may be an effect of dropping out rather than a cause, persons currently residing in low income families have dropout rates that are much higher than those of persons living in high income families.

The workplace continues to require increased literacy, more education, enhanced technological skills, and, perhaps most importantly, the ability to embark on careers that require lifelong learning. Encouraging young people to stay in school and dropouts to return to school or to enter alternative educational programs is essential. Without the skills and training that schooling should provide, those who do not finish face a lifetime of limited opportunities.

APPENDIX A

.

Standard Error and Time Series Tables

.

Table A1—Standard errors	for Table	1: Event	dropout and	retention rat	es and number
of dropouts ages	15-24 in §	grades 10	-12: October	1990 through	h October 1993

Year ending	Event dropout rate (percent)	School retention rate (percent)	Number of dropouts (in thousands)
1990	0.33	0.33	29
1991	0.34	0.34	29
1992*	0.35	0.35	30
1993*	0.36	0.36	30

*Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	Event dropout	and retention rate	Percent of	of all dropouts
	Ston dond	Population	Standard	Population
	Standard	SIZE	Standaro	Size
	error	(in thousands)	error	(in thousands)
Total	0.36	8,549	<u></u>	381
Sex				
Male	0.50	4.341	4.07	199
Female	0.50	4,209	4.07	182
Race_ethnicity ¹				
White non-Hispanic	0.40	6.014	3 05	237
Black non-Hispanic	1 20	1 306	3.70	76
Hispanic	2.03	881	4.45	59
Eamily income ²				
Family income	1.57	1 100	2.01	127
Low income level	1.57	1,109	3.91	137
Middle income level	0.40	4,800	4.05	210
High income level	0.36	2,585	2.35	35
Region				
Northeast	0.59	1,594	2.35	49
Midwest	0.68	2,169	3.45	92
South	0.72	2,893	4.14	176
West	0.69	1,893	3.16	65
Metropolitan status				
Central city	0.71	2.081	3.99	131
Metropolitan	0.44	3,730	4.06	143
Nonmetropolitan	0.73	1,790	3.78	108

Table A2—Standard errors and population sizes for Table 2: Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by sex, race-ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993

-Not applicable.

Not appreciately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

	Event dropout	and retention rate Population	Percent of all dropouts Population		
Grade	Standard error	size (in thousands)	Standard error (size sin thousands)	
Total	0.36	8,549		381	
Grade [*]					
10th grade	0.45	3,099	3.34	81	
11th grade	0.50	3,137	3.59	100	
12th grade	0.93	2,302	4.07	201	

Table A3—Standard errors for Table 3: Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by grade level: October 1993

-Not applicable.

*Dropouts were assumed to have dropped out in the next grade higher than the highest grade they actually completed; therefore, summer dropouts are assigned to the next highest grade.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Age	Event dropout Standard error	and retention rate Population size (in thousands)	Percent of Standard error	f all dropouts Population size (in thousands)
Total	0.36	8,549		381
Age [*]				
15–16	0.52	2,408	3.05	64
17	0.52	2,848	3.46	90
18	0.72	2.382	3.80	122
19	1.64	729	3.01	62
20-24	5.03	181	2.58	43

Table A4—Standard errors for Table 4: Event dropout and retention rates and number and distribution of dropouts from grades 10–12, ages 15–24, by age group: October 1993

*Age when a person dropped out may be one year younger, because the dropout event could occur at any time over a 12-month period.

	Whi <u>non-His</u>	te, spanic	B1 B1	ack, <u>lispanic</u>	His	panic	,
Year	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
			(per	cent)			
1972	5.0	5.6	9.8	9.3	11.6	10.9	
1973	6.0	5.0	11.9	8.2	7.9	11.9	
1974	6.6	4.9	10.8	12.3	12.8	7.1	
1975	4.7	5.4	8.4	9.0	10.3	11.6	
1976	6.3	4.9	8.5	6.3	7.6	7.1	
1977	6.6	5.6	7.8	9.3	9.8	5.4	
1978	6.4	5.1	11.0	9.5	15.9	8.5	
1979	6.4	5.7	7.8	11.7	10.5	9.1	
1980	5.7	4.8	7.7	8.7	17.6	6.7	
1981	5.2	4.5	9.4	10.0	10.7	10.7	
1982	4.9	4.6	8.9	6.6	9.5	8.8	
1983	4.7	4.0	6.9	7.1	13.8	6.2	
1984	4.8	4.1	6.0	5.5	12.3	10.2	
1985	4.6	4.1	8.3	7.3	9.4	10.0	
1986	3.8	3.7	5.1	5.7	12.4	11.3	
1987 ¹	3.9	3.1	6.2	6.7	4.8	6.1	
1988 ¹	4.3	4.1	6.3	5.6	12.3	8.2	
1989 ¹	3.7	3.3	7.0	8.6	7.8	7.7	
1990 ¹	3.5	3.1	4.2	5.7	8.7	7.2	
1991 ¹	2.8	3.7	5.3	6.8	10.1	4.6	
$1992^{1,2}$	3.5	4.0	3.3	6.7	7.6	9.0	
1993 ^{1,2}	4.1	3.7	6.4	5.3	5.1	8.0	

Table A5—Supporting data for Table 5: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by sex and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

NOTE: Some figures are revised from those previously published.

Year	Whit <u>non-His</u> Male	te, <u>panic</u> Female	Bla <u>non-H</u> Male	nck, <u>ispanic</u> Female	<u>His</u> Male	panic Female	
			(per	cent)			
			(Per				
1972	0.47	0.50	1.96	1.79	3.99	3.93	
1973	0.51	0.47	2.13	1.70	3.46	3.95	
1974	0.54	0.46	1.99	1.99	4.00	3.04	
1975	0.45	0.48	1.79	1.75	3.37	3.69	
1976	0.52	0.47	1.74	1.52	3.05	2.76	
1977	0.54	0.50	1.62	1.76	3.21	2.65	
1978	0.53	0.48	2.00	1.71	4.22	3.35	
1979	0.53	0.50	1.75	1.93	3.57	3.30	
1980	0.51	0.48	1.69	1.72	4.48	2.70	
1981	0.49	0.47	1.86	1.77	3.26	3.19	
1982	0.52	0.50	1.81	1.59	3.19	3.34	
1983	0.52	0.48	1.71	1.62	3.93	2.79	
1984	0.53	0.49	1.57	1.44	3.94	3.23	
1985	0.53	0.50	1.83	1.74	3.72	3.50	
1986	0.48	0.47	1.45	1.51	3.92	3.68	
1987	0.48	0.44	1.58	1.64	2.53	2.80	
1988	0.55	0.56	1.71	1.67	4.52	4.09	
1989 ¹	0.54	0.52	1.87	2.05	3.68	3.81	
1990 ¹	0.52	0.50	1.49	1.67	3.47	2.98	
1991 ¹	0.46	0.55	1.60	1.79	3.61	2.45	
$1992^{1,2}_{1,2}$	0.52	0.56	1.27	1.75	2.98	3.36	
1993 ^{1,2}	0.57	0.56	1.76	1.63	2.65	2.98	

Table A6—Standard errors for Table 5: Event dropout rates, grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by sex and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	October			
	1990	1991	1992*	1993*
Status dropout rate (percent)	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.28
Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	92	93	88	87

Table A7—Standard errors for Table 6: Rate and number of status dropouts, ages 16–24: October 1990 through October 1993

*Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

Table A8—Standard errors for Table 7: Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by age: October 1993

Age	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	0.28	87		
Age				
16	0.49	17	2.68	0.85
17	0.67	22	2.65	0.86
18	0.84	28	2.59	0.86
19	0.95	31	2.55	0.86
20	0.97	31	2.55	0.86
21	0.93	32	2.54	0.85
22	0.92	32	2.54	0.85
23	0.89	34	2.51	0.85
24	0.84	31	2.56	0.85

-Not applicable.

Characteristics	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	0.28	87		
Sex				
Male	0.40	62	1.92	0.64
Female	0.40	62	1.94	0.64
Race-ethnicity ¹				
White, non-Hispanic	0.29	63	1.93	0.50
Black, non-Hispanic	0.94	43	2.87	0.97
Hispanic	1.79	64	3.47	1.29
Family income ²				
Low income level	0.87	53	2.07	0.81
Middle income level	0.36	63	1.89	0.59
High income level	0.31	22	2.65	0.80
Region				
Northeast	0.50	29	2.17	0.70
Midwest	0.51	39	2.42	0.78
South	0.52	57	2.12	0.74
West	0.67	44	2.45	0.83
Metropolitan status				
Central city	0.55	54	2.14	0.75
Metropolitan	0.39	55	2.12	0.66
Nonmetropolitan	0.75	50	2.96	0.98

 Table A9—Standard errors for Table 8: Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, by sex, race-ethnicity, income, region, and metropolitan status: October 1993

---Not applicable.

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

		Race-ethnicity ¹			
Family income	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Total	0.28	0.29	0.94	1.79	
Family income ²					
Low income level	0.87	1.12	2.01	3.60	
Middle income level	0.36	0.38	1.04	2.19	
High income level	0.31	0.32	1.61	3.19	

Table A10—Standard errors for Table 9: Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by income and race-ethnicity: October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income in current residence. Low income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1993; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

		Race-ethnicity*				
Region	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic		
Total	0.28	0.29	0.94	1.79		
Region						
Northeast	0.50	0.48	1.98	4.23		
Midwest	0.51	0.49	2.29	5.72		
South	0.52	0.61	1.24	3.08		
West	0.67	0.67	3.03	2.71		

Table A11—Standard errors for Table 10: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by region and race-ethnicity: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

Characteristics	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts (in thousands)	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	0.28	88		
Hispanics	1.86	65	3.44	1.29
Language at home ¹ English Spanish	3.49 2.21	20 57	4.07 3.58	1.35 1.31
English-speaking ability ² Very well Well Not well Not at all	2.31 5.33 5.66 6.14	35 23 24 13	3.96 4.03 3.95 4.01	1.33 1.02 1.01 0.75
Non-Hispanics	0.27	74	1.49	0.30
Language at home ³ English Spanish	0.29 0.89	69 17	1.72 2.68	0.44 0.88
English-speaking ability ⁴ Very well Well Not well Not at all	0.97 1.78 5.18	13 6 7	2.70 2.72 2.72 	0.89 0.90 0.90

Table A12-Standard errors for Table 11: Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts speaking a non-English language at home, ages 16-24, by ethnicity and English-speaking ability: October 1992

-Not applicable. ¹ These figures reflect responses on two items, "Does . . . speak a language other than English at home?" and "What is this language?" Not shown separately are a small number of Hispanics speaking a non-English language other than a statistic speaking a statistic speaking a non-English language other than a statistic speaking a statistic Spanish at home or those who did not respond to the items.

These figures reflect only those Hispanics speaking Spanish in their homes and responding to the item "How well does . . . speak English?".

			Race-ethnicity [*]			
I avail of appealing attained	Total	White,	Black,	Uisnania		
	10121	non-mspanic	non-riispanie	rispanic		
Total			—			
Level of schooling attained						
Less than 1st grade	0.30	0.45	0.85	0.42		
1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	0.38	0.19	0.85	1.74		
5th or 6th grade	0.70	0.35	0.99	3.14		
7th or 8th grade	0.83	1.17	1.84	2.55		
9th grade	1.02	1.41	2.69	2.95		
10th grade	1.17	1.75	3.30	2.65		
11th grade	1.23	1.77	3.56	3.06		
12th grade, without diploma	0.84	1.25	2.44	2.05		

Table A13—Standard errors for Table 12: Percentage distribution of status dropouts, ages16-24, by level of schooling attained and race-ethnicity: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

Disability	Status dropout rate	Number of status dropouts	Percent of all dropouts	Percent of population
Total	0.28	88		
No disability	0.29	83	0.87	0.25
Learning disability only	2.34	14	0.45	0.13
LD and other	2.83	15	0.50	0.12
Other only	1.46	20	0.61	0.19

Table A14—Standard errors for Table 13: Rate, number, and distribution of status dropouts, age 16–24, by disabling condition affecting learning: October 1992

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding, or to missing responses; percentages may also total more than 100 percent, due to the existence of multiple conditions.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992, unpublished data.

Table A15—Standard errors for Table 14: Percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who are dropouts by number of grades repeated and highest grade repeated: 1992

		Number of grades repeated			Highest grade repeated				
	Never retained	One or more ¹ Or		More than One one		K-2 3-6 7-10		11–12	
Dropout rate ²	0.29	1.11	1.20	4.35	1.64	2.17	2.61	3.58	

¹Included in the total but not shown separately are some for whome the number of grades repeated is unknown. ²The percentage who are not enrolled in school and do not have a high school diploma or an equivalency credential.

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992.

	Dropout rate ¹					
Characteristics	Total ²	Never retained	Retained			
Total	0.28	0.29	1.11			
Sex						
Male	0.41	0.42	1.38			
Female	0.39	0.40	1.86			
Race-ethnicity ³						
White, non-Hispanic	0.29	0.28	1.36			
Black, non-Hispanic	0.95	1 04	2.74			
Hispanic	1.86	2.07	5.58			
Family income ⁴						
Low	0.89	0 99	2.52			
Middle	0.36	0.37	1 38			
High	0.28	0.25	1.94			
Disability status						
No disability	0.29	0.30	1 27			
Disability ⁵	1 16	1 32	2.30			
Learning disability only	2.34	3.34	3.37			
Learning disability and	an	0.0 .	0.07			
other disability	2.83	3.26	5.64			
Other disability only	1.46	1.51	3.67			

Table A16-Standard errors for Table 15: Status dropout rates for 16- to 24-year-olds by whether retained and background characteristics: 1992

¹The percentage who are not enrolled in school and do not have a high school diploma or an equivalency credential. ²Included in the total but not shown separately are some for whom whether they repeated is unknown.

³Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither white nor black.

⁴Low income is the lowest 20 percent of family incomes; high income is the highest 20 percent of family incomes; and middle income is between the 20th and 80th percentiles of family incomes. ⁵Reported to have had at least one of the following disabing conditions: learning disability, mental retardation, speech

impairment, serious emotional impairment, and other health impairment (lasting more than six months).

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1992.

		October								
Region	1975	1980	1985	1990 ¹	1991 ¹	1992 ^{1,2}	1993 ^{1,2}			
Total	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.28			
Region Northeast Midwest South West	0.52 0.46 0.54 0.62	0.51 0.46 0.52 0.63	0.54 0.49 0.52 0.67	0.57 0.52 0.54 0.69	0.54 0.50 0.51 0.83	0.50 0.49 0.51 0.70	0.50 0.51 0.52 0.67			

Table A17-Standard errors for Table 16: Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by region: selected years, October 1975 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.

²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "School Enrollment-Social and Economic Characteristics of Students, October (various years)," Current Population Reports, Series P-20, and unpublished tabulations.

Table A18-Standard errors for Table 17: Status dropout rates for persons ages 16-24, by cohorts: Selected years, October 1974 through October 1993

		Age groups		
Year	16–18	19–21	22–24	
1974	0.41	0.50	0.50	
1977	0.40	0.49	0.49	
1980	0.41	0.48	0.47	
1983	0.42	0.51	0.49	
1986 ¹	0.39	0.51	0.48	
1989 ¹	0.46	0.58	0.54	
<u>1992^{1,2}</u>	0.42	0.53	0.51	

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Number for this year reflects new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	Cohort dropout rate						
Characteristics	1988–90	1990–92 ¹	1988–92				
Total	0.35	0.38	0.47				
Sex							
Male	0.55	0.47	0.56				
Female	0.51	0.53	0.66				
Race-ethnicity ²							
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.02	1.47	1.47				
Hispanic	0.84	1.20	1.31				
Black, non-Hispanic	1.51	1.07	1.39				
White, non-Hispanic	0.44	0.40	0.49				
Native American	2.32	6.22	7.13				

Table A19—Standard errors for Table 18: NELS:88 8th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992

¹The denominator for this rate includes the members of the 1988 eighth-grade cohort who were still enrolled in school in the spring of 1990; excluded are students who dropped out between 1988 and 1990 and students who migrated out of the country or died.

out of the country or died. ²Not shown separately are 434 persons (approximately 2 percent of the unweighted sample) whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Base-Year, First, and Second Followup Surveys, and 1988, 1990, and 1992, unpublished data.

				R	ace-ethnici	tv
				<u>. </u>	Black,	White,
		Se	x		non-	non-
Reasons for dropping out	Total	Male	Female	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
School-related:						
Did not like school	2.76	2.92	4.49	6.37	7.14	3.48
Could not get along		• • •	• • •			.
with teachers	2.33	2.48	3.84	7.17	7.20	2.41
Could not get along	1.0.1		1.00			• • •
with students	1.84	3.07	1.98	5.04	4.61	2.00
Did not feel safe at school	0.91	1.21	1.36	2.40	2.38	1.11
Felt I didn't belong	2.24	2.87	3.37	3.42	7.60	2.85
Could not keep up with		• • -				• • •
schoolwork	2.31	2.87	3.46	5.16	5.38	2.90
Was failing school	2.49	2.91	3.94	5.56	6.99	3.00
Changed school and did		÷ .' =				
not like new school	1.45	2.12	2.02	3.36	4.13	1.85
Was suspended/expelled						
from school	1.96	2.24	1.80	2.58	4.72	1.95
Job-related:						
Could not work and go to						
school at same time	2.16	2.52	3.36	3.95	4.47	2.81
Found a job	2.19	2.91	3.01	5.48	4.82	2.54
Family-related:						
Had to support family	1.34	1.65	2.14	3.35	2.98	1.61
Wanted to have family	0.91	1.38	1.29	2.50	2.17	1.15
Was pregnant [*]	3.20		3.20	7.26	8.69	4.06
Became parent	1.63	1.42	2.83	4.45	4.13	1.95
Got married	1.54	0.81	2.90	3.41	1.22	2.20
Had to care for family						
member	1.72	1.88	2.89	2.62	3.90	1.85
Other:						
Wanted to travel	1.56	1.53	2.66	2.62	2.26	1.50
Friends dropped out	1.20	1.55	1 76	2.02	2.20	1.50
Had a drug and/or alcohol	1.40	1.02	1.70	<i>4.1</i>	<i>2.02</i>	1.70
problem	0.84	1.26	1.06	0.84	1.35	1.30

Table A20—Standard errors for Table 19: Percentage of NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1992

--- Not applicable.

* Females only.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

				Race-ethnicity			
					Black,	White,	
		S	ex		non-	non-	
Reasons for dropping out	Total	Male	Female	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	
School-related:							
Did not like school Could not get along	51.2	57.8	44.2	42.3	44.9	57.5	
with teachers	35.0	51.6	17.2	26.8	30.2	39.2	
Could not get along	00.1	10.0	01.0	10.0	21.0	177 4	
with students	20.1	18.3	21.9	18.2	31.9	17.4	
Was suspended too often	16.1	19.2	12.7	14.5	26.3	13.1	
Did not feel safe at school	12.1	11.5	12.8	12.8	19.7	9.5	
Was expelled	13.4	17.6	8.9	12.5	24.4	8.7	
Felt I didn't belong Could not keep up with	23.2	31.5	14.4	19.3	7.5	31.3	
schoolwork	31.3	37.6	24.7	19.5	30.1	35.8	
Was failing school	39.9	46.2	33.1	39.3	30.1	44.8	
Changed school and did							
not like new school	13.2	10.8	15.8	10.3	21.3	9.8	
Job-related:							
Could not work and go to							
school at same time	14.1	20.0	7.8	14.3	9.0	15.9	
Had to get a job	15.3	14.7	16.0	17.5	11.8	14.3	
Found a job	15.3	18.6	11.8	20.8	6.3	17.6	
Family-related:							
Had to support family	9.2	4.8	14.0	13.1	8.1	9.0	
Wanted to have family	6.2	4.2	8.4	8.9	6.7	5.4	
Was pregnant ¹	31.0		31.0	20.7	40.6	32.1	
Became parent	13.6	5.1	22.6	10.3	18.9	12.9	
Got married	13.1	3.4	23.6	21.6	1.4	15.3	
Had to care for family	2012	211					
member	8.3	4.6	12.2	7.0	19.2	4.5	
Other:				•			
Wanted to travel	2.1	2.5	1.7	(2)	2.9	1.9	
Friends dropped out	14.1	16.8	11.3	10.0	25.4	10.9	

 Table A21—Percentage of NELS:88 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity:

 1990

Not applicable.
 ¹ Females only.
 ² Too few cases for a reliable estimate.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First Followup Survey, 1990.

				Race-ethnicity			
					Black,	White,	
		Sez	ĸ		non-	non-	
Reasons for dropping out	Total	Male	Female	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	
School-related:							
Did not like school	3.94	5.60	5.28	5.52	11.06	4.93	
Could not get along							
with teachers	4.00	5.79	2.96	4.80	10.28	5.36	
Could not get along							
with students	3.22	4.28	4.86	4.35	10.08	3.38	
Was suspended too often	2.64	3.14	4.51	4.27	9.60	2.26	
Did not feel safe at school	2.64	3.01	4.49	4.10	9.49	2.24	
Was expelled	2.49	2.95	4.35	3.82	9.55	1.50	
Felt I didn't belong	3.94	6.57	2.21	3.98	2.36	5.83	
Could not keep up							
with schoolwork	4.15	6.34	4.80	4.00	10.23	5.73	
Was failing school	4.09	6.10	4.73	5.09	9.42	5.58	
Changed school and did							
not like new school	2.77	2.99	4.72	4.52	9.73	2.18	
Job-related:							
Could not work and go to							
school at same time	1.70	3.06	1.63	3.79	2.91	2.43	
Had to get a job	1.97	2.30	3.27	4.24	4.46	2.33	
Found a job	1.98	2.94	2.61	5.41	2.15	2.80	
Family-related:							
Had to support family	3.13	1.13	5.97	3.85	2.75	5.14	
Wanted to have family	1.39	1.40	1.97	4.33	3.24	1.03	
Was pregnant ¹	6.07		6.07	5.82	14.56	8.96	
Became parent	3.23	1.38	5.85	2.88	5.60	5.10	
Got married	3.11	0.88	5.73	4.97	0.89	4.96	
Had to care for family							
member	2.28	1.37	4.29	2.49	9.36	1.13	
Other:				-			
Wanted to travel	0.49	0.72	0.60	(²)	1.33	0.61	
Friends dropped out	2.98	4.13	4.39	3.60	10.53	2.77	

Table A22---Standard errors for Table A21: Percentage of NELS:88 8th- to 10th-grade dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1990

Not applicable.
 ¹ Females only.
 ² Too few cases for a reliable estimate.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988—First Followup Survey, 1990.

	HS&B	NELS:88
Status in 10th grade	1980-82	1990–92
Total		_
Race-ethnicity [*]		
White, non-Hispanic	1.07	1.18
Minority	1.07	1.18
Asian, Pacific Islander	0.14	0.27
Hispanic	0.40	0.86
Black, non-Hispanic	0.84	0.79
Native American	0.23	0.20
Below poverty level		
Yes	0.51	0.69
No	0.51	0.69
Family composition		
Intact family	0.66	0.69
Non-intact family	0.66	0.69
Two adults / step-parents	0.34	0.51
Single parent	0.47	0.53
Other	0.26	0.23
Own children living in home		
Yes	0.09	0.16
No	0.09	0.16

Table A23—Standard errors for Table 20: Demographic characteristics of the sophomore classes of 1980 and 1990

— Not applicable.

^{*} Not shown separately are those included in the total whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

NOTE: See the technical appendix for the definitions of poverty and family composition used in these tables.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data.

	Cohort dropout rate			
Status in 10th grade	HS&B ¹ 1980–82	NELS:88 1990-92		
Total	0.46	0.40		
Sex				
Male	0.69	0.40		
Female	0.60	0.65		
Race-ethnicity 2				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.72	1.62		
Hispanic	1.83	1.50		
Black, non-Hispanic	1.15	1.15		
White, non-Hispanic	0.51	0.42		
Native American	5.23	6.99		
Family below poverty level				
Yes	0.90	1.63		
No	0.32	0.33		
Family composition				
Intact family	0.29	0.49		
Two adults/step-parents	1.14	1.00		
Single parent	0.72	0.92		
Other	1.90	2.06		
Own child in home				
Yes	5.64	2.56		
Male	6.25	2.36		
Female	7.74	3.68		
No	0.29	0.40		
Male	0.40	0.44		
Female	0.39	0.65		

Table A24—Standard errors for Table 21: HS&B and NELS:88 10th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1982 and 1992

¹Rates for HS&B are revised from previously published data.

²Not shown separately are those included in the total whose race-ethnicity is unknown.

NOTE: See the technical appendix for the definitions of poverty and family composition used in these tables.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data.

		HS&B	NELS:88		
10th grade credits and scores	Total	Dropouts only	Total	Dropouts only	
Total credits ¹ earned					
while in high school	0.12	0.32	0.12	0.29	
Academic credits ² earned					
while in high school	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.22	
Total credits by 10th grade	0.67	0.21	0.06	0.23	
Academic credits by					
10th grade	0.06	0.15	0.05	0.19	
Mathematics test score in					
10th grade					
(number correct)	0.22	0.34	0.22	0.58	

Table A25—Standard errors for Table 22: Education outcomes for HS&B and NELS:88 10th grade cohort: 1980-82 and 1990-92

¹One credit refers to one Carnegie unit, representing the completion of a high school course that meets some period per day for one year.

²Courses in the high school curriculum traditionally have been classified into academic, vocational, and personal use areas of study. Academic credits refer to courses earned in the academic curriculum, whereas total credits refer to all credits earned.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, Sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 First and Second Followup Surveys, 1990 and 1992, unpublished data.

0

				Race-ethnicity		
Reasons for dropping out	Total	<u>Se</u> Male	ex Female	Hispanic	Black, non- Hispanic	White, non- Hispanic
School-related:						
Did not like school	1.37	1.91	1.99	2.61	2.73	1.75
Could not get along						
with teachers	1.01	1.46	1.29	1.97	2.33	1.37
with students	0.70	1.01	1.01	1.45	1.66	0.91
Was suspended/expelled	0.00	1 40	0.00	1.01	A 51	
from school	0.93	1.49	0.82	1.91	2.51	1.11
failing school	1.40	1.96	1.90	2.63	2.70	1.86
Family-related:						
Was pregnant [*]	1.73		1.73	3.46	4.58	2.07
Got married	1.25	1.02	2.20	2.30	1.51	1.69

Table A26—Standard errors for Table 23: Percentage of HS&B 1980 sophomore cohort dropouts who reported that various reasons for dropping out of school applied to them, by sex and race-ethnicity: 1982

---Not applicable. * Females only.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond study, sophomore cohort, First Followup Survey, 1982, unpublished data.

			Age			
Characteristics	18	19	20	21	22	
Total	1.23	0.73	0.34	0.24	0.19	
Sex						
Male	1.84	1.12	0.59	0.36	0.28	
Female	1.58	0.94	0.38	0.34	0.25	
Race-ethnicity*						
White, non-Hispanic	1.42	0.67	0.39	0.21	0.18	
Black, non-Hispanic	3.99	3.02	0.91	0.78	0.83	
Hispanic	5.82	4.27	1.43	2.03	0.79	

Table A27—Standard errors for Table 24: Percentage of persons attending high school or below by sex, race-ethnicity, and age: October 1993

*Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1993, unpublished data.

Table A28—Standard errors for Table 25: High school completion and enrollment status of 21- and 22-year-olds: October 1990 through October 1993

	Year				
High school status	1990	1991	1992*	1993*	
Completed	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.67	
Enrolled in high school	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.18	
Dropped out	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.65	

*Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	Comp	letion rate	Percent of all completers	
Characteristics	Standard error	Population (in thousands)	Standard error	
Total	0.65	6,867		
Sex				
Male	0.98	3,375	1.37	
Female	0.91	3,492	1.35	
Race-ethnicity*				
White, non-Hispanic	0.70	4,770	1.06	
Black, non-Hispanic	2.14	1,016	2.06	
Hispanic	4.06	816	2.72	
Region				
Northeast	1.38	1,296	1.49	
Midwest	1.18	1,675	1.65	
South	1.20	2,426	1.57	
West	1.58	1,470	1.75	

Table A29-Standard errors for Table 26: Completion rates and number and distribution of completers, ages 21 and 22, by sex, race-ethnicity, and region: October 1993

-Not applicable. *Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total.

	Year				
Completion method	1990	1991	1992 ²	1993 ²	
Total					
Completed	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.67	
Diploma	0.79	0.78	0.74	0.76	
Alternative	0.48	0.44	0.43	0.42	
White, non-Hispanic					
Completed	0.68	0.65	0.66	0.70	
Diploma	1.16	0.81	0.79	0.83	
Alternative	1.24	0.51	0.49	0.50	
Black, non-Hispanic					
Completed	2.27	2.20	2.27	2.14	
Diploma	2.60	2.67	2.55	2.39	
Alternative	1.58	1.56	1.52	1.32	
Hispanic					
Completed	4.15	4.20	4.17	4.06	
Diploma	5.47	5.44	4.27	4.16	
Alternative	2.59	2.09	2.07	1.90	

Table A30—Standard errors for Table 27: High school completion rates and method of completion of 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity¹: October 1990 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.
Table A31—Standard errors for Table 28: Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort dropouts, by enrollment and completion status: Spring 1992

Enrollment status	Standard error	
Total		
Completed high school	0.39	
Re-enrolled	0.79	
Confirmed dropout	1.90	
Status unknown*	1.97	

*Includes non-participants in the transcript study and transcript participants with missing data on transcript-based completion status variable.

NOTE: Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

		Race-ethnicity ¹			
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
1972	6.1	5.3	9.5	11.2	
1973	6.3	5.5	9.9	10.0	
1974	6.7	5.8	11.6	9.9	
1975	5.8	5.0	8.7	10.9	
1976	5.9	5.6	7.4	7.3	
1977	6.5	6.1	8.6	7.8	
1978	6.7	5.8	10.2	12.3	
1979	6.7	6.0	9.9	9.8	
1980	6.1	5.2	8.2	11.7	
1981	5.9	4.8	9.7	10.7	
1982	5.5	4.7	7.8	9.2	
1983	5.2	4.4	7.0	10.1	
1984	5.1	4.4	5.7	11.1	
1985	5.2	4.3	7.8	9.8	
1986	4.7	3.7	5.4	11.9	
1987^{2}_{2}	4.1	3.5	6.4	5.4	
1988 ²	4.8	4.2	5.9	10.4	
1989 ²	4.5	3.5	7.8	7.8	
1990^{2}	4.0	3.3	5.0	7.9	
1991 ²	4.0	3.2	6.0	7.3	
1992 ^{2,3}	4.4	3.7	5.0	8.2	
1993 ^{2,3}	4.5	3.9	5.8	6.7	

Table A32-Data for Figure 1 and Figure A: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		White,	<u>Race-ethnicity¹</u> Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
1972	0.33	0.34	1.32	2.80
1973	0.33	0.35	1.35	2.65
1974	0.34	0.35	1.41	2.52
1975	0.32	0.33	1.25	2.49
1976	0.32	0.35	1.15	2.05
1977	0.34	0.37	1.20	2.13
1978	0.34	0.36	1.30	2.74
1979	0.34	0.37	1.32	2.43
1980	0.33	0.35	1.20	2.56
1981	0.33	0.34	1.29	2.28
1982	0.34	0.36	1.21	2.31
1983	0.33	0.35	1.18	2.44
1984	0.33	0.36	1.06	2.51
1985	0.34	0.37	1.26	2.55
1986	0.32	0.34	1.05	2.69
1987 ²	0.28	0.31	1.16	1.74
1988 ²	0.36	0.39	1.20	3.09
1989 ²	0.36	0.37	1.39	2.65
1990 ²	0.33	0.36	1.12	2.27
1991 ²	0.34	0.36	1.20	2.18
1992 ^{2,3}	0.35	0.38	1.09	2.24
1993 ^{2,3}	0.36	0.40	1.20	2.03

Table A33—Standard errors for Figure 1 and Figure A: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

Year	Total	10th grade	11th grade	12th grade
1972	6.1	5.1	6.2	6.1
1973	6.3	5.5	6.0	6.5
1974	6.7	5.6	6.1	7.5
1975	5.8	4.5	5.7	6.3
1976	5.9	3.6	5.7	7.1
1977	6.5	4.5	6.1	8.0
1978	6.7	4.7	5.9	8.6
1979	6.7	5.7	5.9	7.9
1980	6.1	4.6	5.8	7.2
1981	5.9	4.0	6.8	6.2
1982	5.5	4.2	6.0	5.8
1983	5.2	3.9	4.7	6.6
1984	5.1	4.0	4.8	6.2
1985	5.2	4.2	4.0	6.6
1986	4.7	4.4	3.4	5.4
1987 ¹	4.1	3.3	3.5	5.2
1988 ¹	4.8	3.6	4.9	5.5
1989 ¹	4.5	3.2	4.0	5.5
1990 ¹	4.0	3.0	3.1	5.3
1991 ¹	4.0	3.3	3.2	4.7
1992 ^{1,2}	4.4	2.6	3.8	7.5
1993 ^{1,2}	4.5	2.6	3.4	9.5

Table A34—Data for Figure 2: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by grade level: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

,

Year	Total	10th grade	11th grade	12th grade	
1972	0.33	0.51	0.55	0.57	
1973	0.33	0.52	0.54	0.58	
1974	0.34	0.52	0.55	0.61	
1975	0.32	0.47	0.52	0.58	
1976	0.32	0.42	0.52	0.59	
1977	0.34	0.48	0.54	0.63	
1978	0.34	0.49	0.55	0.65	
1979	0.34	0.54	0.54	0.63	
1980	0.33	0.51	0.54	0.61	
1981	0.3	0.48	0.59	0.57	
1982	0.34	0.52	0.60	0.58	
1983	0.33	0.52	0.54	0.60	
1984	0.33	0.52	0.56	0.63	
1985	0.34	0.52	0.50	0.65	
1986	0.32	0.53	0.46	0.58	
1987 ¹	0.28	0.48	0.47	0.57	
1988 ¹	0.36	0.55	0.62	0.65	
1989 ¹	0.36	0.52	0.58	0.66	
1990 ¹	0.33	0.49	0.49	0.65	
1991 ¹	0.34	0.50	0.49	0.61	
1992 ^{1,2}	0.35	0.45	0.54	0.85	
1993 ^{1,2}	0.36	0.46	0.51	0.97	

Table A35-Standard errors for Figure 2: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by grade level: October 1972 through October 1993

 1 Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years), unpublished data.

•

			Age		
Year	15-16	17	18	19	20-24
1972	4.6	5.0	5.8	13.2	28.4
1973	4.8	6.0	6.3	10.5	20.5
1974	5.4	5.6	6.3	15.7	28.3
1975	4.0	5.7	5.3	10.5	23.9
1976	4.0	4.8	7.2	9.5	23.6
1977	4.7	5.0	6.5	15.2	28.0
1978	3.8	6.0	6.1	17.1	30.7
1979	5.0	5.3	7.1	10.6	30.2
1980	3.8	5.3	5.9	12.7	27.1
1981	3.9	4.6	5.5	13.5	28.0
1982	3.2	3.7	5.9	10.6	25.7
1983	2.3	4.3	6.0	9.1	24.4
1984	2.8	3.2	5.9	11.4	21.8
1985	2.7	3.7	5.8	13.0	27.8
1986	3.0	3.3	4.6	9.1	26.8
1987 ¹	1.8	3.3	5.0	6.6	22.5
1988 ¹	2.1	3.9	5.9	12.2	14.9
1989 ¹	2.3	3.1	4.8	9.3	21.5
1990 ¹	2.4	2.8	4.5	7.9	14.0
1991 ¹	2.5	3.5	4.7	5.8	10.3
1992 ^{1,2}	2.5	3.2	4.4	8.9	23.2
1993 ^{1,2}	2.7	3.2	5.1	8.5	23.8

Table A36—Supporting data for Figure 3: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Age		
Year	15–16	17	18	19	20–24
1972	0.50	0.53	0.62	2.15	3.81
1973	0.51	0.57	0.64	1.90	3.67
1974	0.54	0.55	0.63	2.21	4.07
1975	0.47	0.55	0.58	1.79	3.46
1976	0.47	0.50	0.68	1.71	3.68
1977	0.51	0.52	0.63	2.12	3.58
1978	0.47	0.57	0.62	2.19	3.68
1979	0.53	0.54	0.67	1.75	3.69
1980	0.48	0.54	0.62	1.89	3.71
1981	0.49	0.52	0.59	2.02	3.52
1982	0.49	0.49	0.65	1.81	3.38
1983	0.41	0.55	0.65	1.75	3.45
1984	0.47	0.47	0.67	1.86	3.32
1985	0.45	0.52	0.68	2.05	4.22
1986	0.47	0.48	0.60	1.75	3.90
1987 ¹	0.37	0.48	0.63	1.53	3.70
1988 ¹	0.46	0.56	0.73	2.15	3.96
1989 ¹	0.50	0.53	0.70	1.83	3.90
1990 ¹	0.49	0.49	0.67	1.64	3.06
1991 ¹	0.50	0.55	0.70	1.36	2.61
1992 ^{1,2}	0.51	0.52	0.66	1.72	4.16
1993 ^{1,2}	0.52	0.52	0.72	1.64	5.03

Table A37-Standard errors for Figure 3: Event dropout rates for grades 10-12, ages 15-24, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity ¹			
		White,	Black,		
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
		(percent)			
1972	14.6	12.3	21.3	34.3	
1973	14.1	11.6	22.2	33.5	
1974	14.3	11.8	21.2	33.0	
1975	13.9	11.4	22.8	29.2	
1976	14.1	11.9	20.5	31.4	
1977	14.1	11.9	19.8	33.0	
1978	14.2	11.9	20.2	33.3	
1979	14.6	12.0	21.1	33.8	
1980	14.1	11.3	19.2	35.2	
1981	13.9	11.4	18.4	33.2	
1982	13.9	11.4	18.4	31.7	
1983	13.7	11.2	18.0	31.6	
1984	13.1	11.0	15.5	29.8	
1985	12.6	10.4	15.2	27.6	
1986	12.2	9.7	14.1	30.1	
19872	12.7	10.4	14.2	28.6	
19882	12.9	9.6	14.3	35.8	
1989 ²	12.6	9.4	13.9	33.0	
1990 ²	12.1	9.0	13.2	32.4	
1991 ²	12.5	8.9	13.6	35.3	
1992 ^{2,3}	11.0	7.7	13.7	29.4	
1993 ^{2,3}	11.0	7.9	13.6	27.5	

Table A38—Supporting data for Figure 4 and Figure B: Status dropout rates for persons ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity ¹	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(percent)		
1972	0.28	0.29	1.07	2.22
1973	0.27	0.28	1.06	2.24
1974	0.27	0.28	1.05	2.08
1975	0.26	0.27	1.06	2.02
1976	0.26	0.28	1.01	2.01
1977	0.27	0.28	1.00	2.02
1978	0.27	0.28	1.00	2.00
1979	0.27	0.28	1.01	1.98
1980	0.26	0.27	0.97	1.89
1981	0.26	0.27	0.93	1.80
1982	0.27	0.29	0.98	1.92
1983	0.28	0.29	0.97	1.93
1984	0.27	0.29	0.92	1.91
1985	0.27	0.29	0.92	1.93
1986	0.27	0.28	0.90	1.88
1987 ²	0.28	0.30	0.91	1.84
1988 ²	0.31	0.32	1.00	2.30
1989 ²	0.31	0.32	0.98	2.19
1990^{2}	0.29	0.30	0.94	1.92
1991 ²	0.30	0.31	0.95	1.94
1992 ^{2,3}	0.28	0.29	0.95	1.86
1993 ^{2,3}	0.28	0.29	0.94	1.79

Table A39—Standard errors for Figure 4 and Figure B: Status dropout rates for persons ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity ¹	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(percent)		
1972	4,770	3,250	858	609
1973	4,716	3,150	930	576
1974	4,849	3,205	877	653
1975	4,824	3,154	978	573
1976	4,981	3,330	904	646
1977	5,031	3,366	891	701
1978	5,114	3,361	923	728
1979	5,265	3,416	974	758
1980	5,085	3,189	889	885
1981	5,143	3,221	899	891
1982	5,055	3,184	902	823
1983	4,905	3,042	878	816
1984	4,626	2,928	754	762
1985	4,324	2,671	719	797
1986	4,142	2,405	660	966
1987 ²	4,230	2,533	644	926
1988 ²	4,232	2,277	653	1,168
1989 ²	4,038	2,151	639	1,142
1990 ²	3,797	2,007	594	1,114
1991 ²	3,881	1,953	609	1,241
1992 ^{2,3}	3,410	1,676	621	1.022
1993 ^{2,3}	3,396	1,707	615	989

Table A40---Supporting data for Figure 5: Number of status dropouts, ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity ¹			
		White,	Black,		
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
1972	102	76	43	39	
1973	91	75	45	38	
1974	92	76	44	41	
1975	92	75	45	40	
1976	93	77	44	41	
1977	95	78	45	43	
1978	95	78	45	44	
1979	97	79	46	44	
1980	95	77	45	47	
1981	96	77	45	48	
1982	100	81	48	50	
1983	99	79	47	50	
1984	96	77	45	49	
1985	93	74	44	56	
1986	92	71	42	60	
1987 ²	92	72	42	60	
1988 ²	100	75	45	75	
1989 ²	98	73	45	76	
1990 ²	92	68	42	66	
1991 ²	93	67	42	68	
1992 ^{2,3}	88	63	43	65	
1993 ^{2,3}	87	63	43	64	

Table A41—Standard errors for Figure 5: Number of status dropouts, ages 16–24, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.

³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

Year	Male	Female	W <u>non-H</u> Male	⁷ hite, <u>Hispanic</u> Female	Race-ethni Bl <u>non-F</u> Male	<u>city and se</u> ack, <u>Iispanic</u> Female	x <u>His</u> Male	spanic Female
			G	percent)				
1972	14.1	15.1	11.7	12.8	22.3	20.5	33.7	34.9
1973	13.7	14.5	11.5	11.8	21.5	22.8	30.4	36.4
1974	14.2	14.4	12.0	11.7	20.1	22.1	33.7	32.2
1975	13.3	14.5	10.9	11.8	22.9	22.8	26.7	31.6
1976	14.1	14.2	12.1	11.7	21.2	19.9	30.3	32.3
1977	14.5	13.8	12.6	11.2	19.5	20.1	31.6	34.3
1978	14.6	13.9	12.2	11.5	22.5	18.2	33.6	33.1
1979	15.0	14.2	12.6	11.4	22.4	20.1	33.0	34.5
1980	15.1	13.1	12.2	10.5	20.8	17.8	37.2	33.2
1981	15.1	12.8	12.5	10.2	19.8	17.2	36.0	30.4
1982	14.5	13.3	12.0	10.8	21.1	15.9	30.5	32.8
1983	14.9	12.5	12.2	10.1	20.0	16.2	34.3	29.1
1984	14.0	12.3	12.0	10.1	16.9	14.3	30.6	29.0
1985	13.4	11.8	11.0	9.9	16.1	14.4	29.9	25.2
1986	13.1	11.4	10.2	9.1	14.7	13.5	32.8	27.2
19871	13.3	12.2	10.8	10.0	14.9	13.3	29.1	28.1
1988 ¹	13.5	12.2	10.3	8.9	15.0	13.7	36.0	35.4
1989 ¹	13.6	11.7	10.3	8.5	14.9	13.0	34.4	31.6
1990 ¹	12.3	11.8	9.3	8.7	11.9	14.4	34.3	30.3
1991 ¹	13.0	11.9	8.9	8.9	13.5	16.7	39.2	31.1
$1992^{1,2}$	11.3	10.7	8.0	7.4	12.5	14.8	32.1	26.6
1993 ^{1,2}	11.2	10.9	8.2	7.7	12.6	14.4	28.1	26.9

Table A42—Data for Figure 6: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by race-ethnicity and sex: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

Year	Male	Female	Wr <u>non-H</u> Male	R iite, lispanic Female	ace-ethnici Blac <u>non-l</u> Male	ty and sex k, Hispanic Female	<u>Hisp</u> Male I	anic Female
				(percent)				
1972	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.41	1.59	1.44	3.23	3.05
1973	0.38	0.38	0.39	0.39	1.53	1.48	3.16	3.16
1974	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.39	1.51	1.46	2.98	2.89
1975	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.39	1.56	1.45	2.84	2.86
1976	0.38	0.37	0.39	0.39	1.50	1.36	2.94	2.75
1977	0.38	0.37	0.41	0.38	1.46	1.36	2.89	2.83
1978	0.38	0.37	0.40	0.38	1.53	1.30	2.88	2.78
1979	0.39	0.37	0.40	0.38	1.52	1.35	2.83	2.77
1980	0.39	0.36	0.40	0.37	1.47	1.28	2.72	2.61
1981	0.38	0.35	0.40	0.37	1.40	1.24	2.60	2.48
1982	0.40	0.38	0.42	0.40	1.50	1.26	2.73	2.71
1983	0.41	0.37	0.43	0.39	1.47	1.28	2.84	2.61
1984	0.40	0.37	0.43	0.39	1.37	1.22	2.77	2.62
1985	0.40	0.37	0.42	0.40	1.38	1.24	2.76	2.67
1986	0.40	0.37	0.42	0.39	1.33	1.22	2.66	2.63
1987 ¹	0.40	0.38	0.43	0.41	1.36	1.21	2.57	2.64
1988 ¹	0.45	0.42	0.47	0.43	1.49	1.35	3.19	3.31
1989 ¹	0.45	0.42	0.47	0.43	1.46	1.32	3.08	3.11
1990 ¹	0.42	0.41	0.44	0.42	1.30	1.34	2.71	2.70
1991 ¹	0.43	0.41	0.44	0.43	1.37	1.42	2.74	2.70
1992 ^{1,2}	0.41	0.39	0.41	0.39	1.32	1.35	2.67	2.56
1993 ^{1,2}	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.41	1.32	1.34	2.54	2.52

Table A43-Standard errors for Figure 6: Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by race-ethnicity and sex: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Age group	
Year	16	17	18 and 19	20 through 24
1970	65	97	16.2	17 9
1971	59	99	15.3	17.8
1972	6.5	11.8	14.7	17.3
1973	6.9	11.5	16.0	15.6
1974	6.3	12.4	16.6	15.5
1975	5.8	11.5	16.0	15.4
1976	6.7	10.3	16.6	15.6
1977	6.4	11.0	16.6	15.4
1978	5.8	11.8	16.7	15.5
1979	6.0	11.4	16.8	16.1
1980	6.3	11.3	15.7	15.5
1981	5.7	10.0	16.0	15.5
1982	5.3	9.2	16.7	15.2
1983	4.1	9.5	14.5	15.8
1984	4.8	8.7	15.2	14.6
1985	4.7	9.3	14.3	14.0
1986	4.6	7.8	12.3	14.5
1987 ¹	4.3	9.0	13.3	14.9
1988 ¹	5.3	8.1	14.6	14.6
1989 ¹	3.9	7.8	14.0	14.6
1990 ¹	4.3	8.4	14.2	13.4
1991 ¹	3.5	8.6	13.3	14.5
1992 ^{1,2}	3.7	6.1	11.9	13.0
1993 ^{1,2}	3.5	6.3	11.8	13.1

Table A44—Data for Figure	7: Status dropout rate,	ages 16-24, by	age group:	October
1972 through Oc	tober 1993			

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	Age group					
Year	16	17	18 and 19	20 through 24		
1970	0.56	0.69	0.63	0.44		
1971	0.53	0.69	0.60	0.42		
1972	0.54	0.71	0.58	0.41		
1973	0.55	0.72	0.60	0.39		
1974	0.54	0.73	0.60	0.39		
1975	0.51	0.72	0.58	0.38		
1976	0.55	0.67	0.59	0.38		
1977	0.54	0.70	0.59	0.38		
1978	0.52	0.73	0.60	0.37		
1979	0.53	0.72	0.59	0.38		
1980	0.56	0.71	0.58	0.37		
1981	0.52	0.69	0.59	0.36		
1982	0.56	0.70	0.63	0.38		
1983	0.50	0.74	0.60	0.38		
1984	0.54	0.71	0.63	0.37		
1985	0.53	0.75	0.63	0.37		
1986	0.51	0.68	0.59	0.38		
1987 ¹	0.50	0.71	0.61	0.39		
1988 ¹	0.63	0.74	0.68	0.43		
1989 ¹	0.55	0.76	0.67	0.44		
1990 ¹	0.56	0.77	0.66	0.41		
1991 ¹	0.50	0.78	0.66	0.42		
1992 ^{1,2}	0.52	0.66	0.64	0.40		
1993 ^{1,2}	0.49	0.67	0.63	0.41		

Table A45—S	Standard	errors for	Figure 7	: Status	dropout	rate,	ages	16–24,	by a	age	group:
(October 1	972 throug	h Octob	er 1993							

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity					
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic			
			Low income level				
1972	28.2	24.5	28.7	52.2			
1973	28.8	25.1	31.0	48.1			
1974 ²							
1975	29.2	24.1	35.2	51.6			
1976	28.7	28.7	24.0	32.152.1			
1977	28.8	25.3	31.3	47.1			
1978	29.2	25.4	30.2	52.2			
1979	28.2	24.6	30.6	44.5			
1980	24.1	24.1	28.0	48.4			
1981	27.1	23.5	26.6	48.2			
1982	28.2	26.5	26.1	46.9			
1983	26.8	25.0	23.8	46.0			
1984	26.6	23.9	23.3	48.0			
1985	28.1	26.2	25.6	43.8			
1986	26.6	23.1	23.8	45.1			
1987 ³	26.1	22.9	23.9	43.1			
1988 ³	28.4	23.2	25.8	53.6			
1989 ³	20.4	20.4	23.7	45.8			
1990 ³	25.2	20.4	22.6	48.0			
1991 ³	25.5	22.0	22.8	47.9			
1992 ^{3,4}	22.6	19.0	24.0	44.7			
1993 ^{3,4}	23.9	19.1	24.5	41.3			

Table A46—Data for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income¹ andrace-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

		Race-ethnicity						
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic				
		Ν	Aiddle income level					
1972	15.5	13.7	19.2	31.5				
1973	15.0	13.2	19.9	30.5				
1974 ²	·	_		_				
1975	14.3	12.6	18.9	26.3				
1976	14.7	13.5	16.4	27.7				
1977	14.8	13.5	15.0	30.9				
1978	14.6	13.0	16.9	29.6				
1979	15.1	13.0	18.1	33.5				
1980	12.2	12.2	15.4	33.9				
1981	14.1	12.2	15.4	31.8				
1982	14.2	12.2	15.6	30.1				
1983	14.2	12.1	16.3	29.3				
1984	13.2	12.0	12.4	26.3				
1985	11.8	10.5	10.9	23.2				
1986	11.4	10.0	9.7	25.2				
1987 ³	12.5	11.3	10.4	24.5				
1988 ³	12.2	10.0	9.6	31.2				
1989 ³	10.0	9.9	10.0	30.9				
1990 ³	11.8	9.5	10.2	29.0				
1991 ³	11.8	9.1	9.7	31.6				
1992 ^{3,4}	9.3	7.9	9.6	25.2				
1993 ^{3,4}	9.9	7.7	8.6	23.9				

Table A46—Data for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income¹ and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993—(continued)

See footnotes at end of table.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
			High income level	
1972	4.8	4.1	14.3	10.0
1973	4.1	3.3	12.9	20.3
1974 ²				
1975	4.7	4.2	6.8	12.3
1976	4.3	4.0	5.9	9.7
1977	4.2	3.7	8.2	15.2
1978	5.0	4.6	9.9	14.3
1979	5.3	4.9	7.9	10.8
1980	4.8	4.8	8.9	15.1
1981	5.1	4.7	5.4	12.5
1982	3.9	3.7	5.0	8.3
1983	3.9	3.3	5.5	14.4
1984	3.4	3.0	4.1	8.1
1985	3.5	3.2	3.3	9.8
1986	3.1	2.8	5.8	9.8
1987 ³	3.3	3.1	5.0	7.3
1988 ³	2.9	2.7	3.1	6.3
1989 ³	2.9	2.9	4.6	7.2
1990 ³	2.7	2.2	1.5	14.1
1991 ³	2.6	2.3	2.4	11.4
1992 ^{3,4}	2.2	1.9	0.8	9.6
1993 ^{3,4}	2.7	2.5	3.9	6.0

Table A46—Data for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income¹ and race-ethnicity: October through October 1993-(continued)

¹Family income in current residence. Low income level is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for the relevant year; middle income level is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income level is the top 20 percent of all family incomes. ²Data on family income not available for this year.

³Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.

⁴Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity				
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic		
			Low income level			
1972	0.98	1.17	2.27	4.91		
1973	1.00	1.21	2.28	5.04		
1974 ²	_			_		
1975	0.94	1.12	2.18	5.06		
1976	0.90	1.08	2.05	4.48		
1977	0.92	1.15	2.03	4.48		
1978	0.92	1.14	2.07	4.48		
1979	0.89	1.09	2.03	4.31		
1980	1.08	1.08	1.91	4.07		
1981	0.85	1.07	1.80	4.11		
1982	0.90	1.16	1.89	4.38		
1983	0.87	1.13	1.82	4.24		
1984	0.86	1.10	1.80	4.04		
1985	0.88	1.14	1.94	4.04		
1986	0.85	1.11	1.88	3.65		
1987 ³	0.86	1.12	1.92	3.72		
1988 ³	0.97	1.25	2.13	4.46		
1989 ³	1.18	1.18	2.12	4.32		
1990 ³	0.92	1.15	2.07	4.05 ⁵		
1991 ³	0.90	1.21	1.96	4.05 ⁵		
1992 ^{3,4}	0.86	1.13	2.02	3.71 ⁵		
1993 ^{3,4}	0.87	1.12	2.01	3.60		

Table A47—Standard errors for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income¹ and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

	Race-ethnicity			
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		N	Aiddle income level	
1972	0.36	0.38	1.29	2.61
1973	0.35	0.37	1.29	2.62
1974 ²				<u> </u>
1975	0.34	0.36	1.26	2.39
1976	0.35	0.37	1.20	2.40
1977	0.35	0.38	1.15	2.43
1978	0.34	0.37	1.20	2.33
1979	0.35	0.37	1.22	2.42
1980	0.37	0.37	1.15	2.32
1981	0.34	0.36	1.15	2.17
1982	0.36	0.39	1.20	2.30
1983	0.37	0.39	1.24	2.34
1984	0.36	0.39	1.10	2.35
1985	0.35	0.38	1.05	2.32
1986	0.34	0.38	1.00	2.28
1987 ³	0.36	0.41	1.05	2.21
1988 ³	0.39	0.42	1.12	2.78
1989 ³	0.43	0.43	1.11	2.74
1990 ³	0.38	0.41	1.09	2.325
1991 ³	0.38	0.41	1.10	2.37 ⁵
1992 ^{3,4}	0.35	0.38	1.07	2.28 ⁵
1993 ^{3,4}	0.36	0.38	1.04	2.19

Table A47—Standard errors for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16–24, by income¹ and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993—(continued)

See footnotes at end of table.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
			High income level	
1972	0.34	0.33	2.94	4.77
1973	0.31	0.30	2.71	6.55
1974 ²	_		_	
1975	0.33	0.65	2.24	3.93
1976	0.31	0.31	1.96	3.82
1977	0.31	0.30	2.44	4.80
1978	0.33	0.33	2.38	5.06
1979	0.34	0.34	2.28	4.09
1980	0.34	0.34	2.56	4.17
1981	0.33	0.34	1.86	3.81
1982	0.31	0.32	1.97	3.63
1983	0.31	0.30	1.95	4.33
1984	0.30	0.30	1.74	3.25
1985	0.30	0.31	1.58	4.00
1986	0.29	0.30	2.11	4.26
1987 ³	0.30	0.31	1.83	3.75
1988 ³	0.31	0.32	1.56	4.23
1989 ³	0.34	0.34	1.95	3.96
1990 ³	0.30	0.30	1.09	4.48 ⁵
1991 ³	0.30	0.30	1.36	4.09 ⁵
1992 ^{3,4}	0.28	0.27	0.84	3.74 ⁵
1993 ^{3,4}	0.31	0.32	1.61	3.19

Table A47—Standard errors for Figure 8: Status dropout rate, ages 16-24, by family income¹ and race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993-(continued)

¹Family income in current residence. Low income level is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for the relevant year; middle income level is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income level is the top 20 percent of all family incomes. ²Data on family income not available for this year.

³Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ⁴Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

These standard errors are revised from the ones presented in the 1992 Report.

Educational status	Cohort rate	
Total		
Students (continuing students, early completers,		
GED completers, and alternative students)	0.53	
Re-enrolled	0.27	
Dropouts	0.47	

Table A48—Standard errors for Figure 9: Percentage distribution of NELS:88 eighth-grade cohort, by educational status and educational plans: 1992

~

-- Not applicable.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 Second Followup Survey, 1992, unpublished data.

Year	21- and 22-year-olds	29- and 30-year-olds	
1972	82.2	77.8	
1973	83.0	80.2	
1974	84.5	80.6	
1975	83.6	80.4	
1976	84.1	81.8	
1977	82.9	83.1	
1978	83.2	86.1	
1979	83.5	85.5	
1980	84.5	86.5	
1981	83.8	87.1	
1982	83.4	87.4	
1983	83.6	87.0	
1984	84.6	87.3	
1985	84.8	85.8	
1986	84.4	86.4	
1987 ¹	84.2	86.7	
1988 ¹	84.1	87.3	
1989 ¹	85.2	86.2	
1990 ¹	86.1	86.5	
1991 ¹	85.7	85.9	
1992 ^{1,2}	86.0	86.0	
1993 ^{1,2}	85.9	86.8	

Table A49—Data for Figure 10 and Figure C: High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

Year	21- and 22-year-olds	29- and 30-year-olds	
1972	0.66	0.79	
1973	0.65	0.75	
1974	0.61	0.76	
1975	0.61	0.74	
1976	0.60	0.68	
1977	0.62	0.64	
1978	0.60	0.60	
1979	0.60	0.60	
1980	0.58	0.57	
1981	0.59	0.56	
1982	0.62	0.58	
1983	0.62	0.57	
1984	0.61	0.55	
1985	0.61	0.58	
1986	0.63	0.57	
1987 ¹	0.66	0.55	
1988 ¹	0.72	0.59	
1989 ¹	0.71	0.60	
1990 ¹	0.66	0.58	
1991 ¹	0.65	0.59	
1992 ^{1,2}	0.65	0.59	
1993 ^{1,2}	0.67	0.58	

Table A50—Standard errors for Figure 10 and Figure C: High school completion rates for persons of selected ages, by age group: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity ¹	
Year	White, non-Hispanic	Black,	Hispanic
1972	85.4	74.2	55.0
1973	86.9	69.5	57.1
1974	87.7	74.5	62.1
1975	87.0	69.5	65.0
1976	86.9	75.9	56.4
1977	86.7	71.3	53.9
1978	86.7	72.3	58.1
1979	87.3	71.6	58.6
1980	88.1	76.3	57.8
1981	87.3	76.1	58.8
1982	86.6	77.6	59.6
1983	86.9	78.1	59.2
1984	87.7	79.8	64.3
1985	87.1	82.2	66.4
1986	88.0	81.3	60.9
1987 ²	87.2	79.4	66.5
1988 ²	89.4	80.6	53.2
1989 ²	89.9	81.0	59.7
1990 ²	90.5	83.3	61.1
1991 ²	90.2	81.2	61.1
1992 ^{2,3}	90.2	81.0	62.6
1993 ^{2,3}	89.8	83.8	63.0

Table A51-Data for Figure 11 and Figure D: High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

1

		Race-ethnicity ¹	
	White,	Black,	
Year	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
1972	0.67	2.48	4.97
1973	0.65	2.58	4.85
1974	0.62	2.43	4.74
1975	0.61	2.57	4.68
1976	0.61	2.37	4.93
1977	0.63	2.47	4.77
1978	0.61	2.39	4.49
1979	0.60	2.44	4.35
1980	0.59	2.24	4.35
1981	0.60	2.21	4.11
1982	0.64	2.19	4.40
1983	0.64	2.21	4.47
1984	0.63	2.24	4.22
1985	0.65	2.09	4.28
1986	0.66	2.19	4.07
1987 ²	0.70	2.35	4.08
1988 ²	0.72	2.48	4.84
1989 ²	0.71	2.54	4.76
1990 ²	0.66	2.28	4.14
1991 ²	0.66	2.21	4.20
1992 ^{2,3}	0.66	2.27	4.17
1993 ^{2,3}	0.70	2.14	4.06

Table A52—Standard errors for Figure 11 and Figure D: High school completion rates for all 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity ¹		
	White,	Black,		
Year	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
1972	81.8	62.5	48.1	
1973	83.3	67.5	45.1	
1974	84.1	65.9	50.9	
1975	84.5	62.9	53.1	
1976	85.6	68.2	48.6	
1977	87.0	71.5	51.0	
1978	89.2	78.5	56.9	
1979	89.7	75.1	51.4	
1980	90.1	79.4	58.3	
1981	90.8	81.5	54.8	
1982	90.7	80.4	60.1	
1983	90.7	81.3	57.4	
1984	90.6	80.4	60.4	
1985	89.4	79.5	61.6	
1986	89.8	81.7	62.6	
1987 ²	90.4	83.3	60.5	
1988 ²	90.3	84.3	65.1	
1989 ²	90.8	82.2	55.1	
1990^{2}	91.0	80.3	58.6	
1991 ²	89.8	83.5	56.3	
1992 ^{2,3}	91.4	80.2	54.3	
1993 ^{2,3}	91.2	89.0	54.7	

Table A53—Data for Figure 12: High school completion rates for all 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

-

		Race-ethnicity ¹	
	White,	Black,	
Year	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
1972	0.80	3.24	5.70
1973	0.76	3.35	6.20
1974	0.77	3.27	6.01
1975	0.74	3.27	5.43
1976	0.68	2.93	5.30
1977	0.64	2.67	5.01
1978	0.60	2.54	4.83
1979	0.58	2.50	4.68
1980	0.56	2.40	4.41
1981	0.54	2.23	4.24
1982	0.57	2.43	4.62
1983	0.56	2.32	4.39
1984	0.55	2.32	4.35
1985	0.58	2.29	4.26
1986	0.58	2.08	4.30
1987 ²	0.55	1.95	4.15
1988 ²	0.61	2.16	4.73
1989 ²	0.59	2.20	4.72
1990 ²	0.56	2.23	4.25
1991 ²	0.59	2.12	4.24
1992 ^{2,3}	0.56	2.21	3.96
1993 ^{2,3}	0.57	1.80	3.89

Table A54—Standard errors for Figure 12: High school completion rates for all 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through October 1993

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black nor white, but who are included in the total. ²Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ³Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

APPENDIX B

Technical Notes

.

Definition of Who Is a Dropout

One of the concerns being addressed in the new data collections on dropouts is the development and implementation of a nationally consistent definition of a dropout. Currently, there is considerable variation across local, state, and federal data collections on such issues as:

- whether those below the legal school-leaving age are identified as dropouts;
- whether students entering correctional institutions are considered dropouts;
- whether those in GED programs or with an equivalency certificate are considered dropouts;
- whether those not graduating with their class (but never leaving school) are considered dropouts; and
- whether those leaving high school early to enter college are considered dropouts.

There are variations in the dropout definitions embedded in the existing data sources—Current Population Survey (CPS), High School and Beyond Study (HS&B), and National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88). In addition, the age or grade span examined and the type of dropout rate—status, event, or cohort—varies across the data sources. Furthermore, as outlined below, there have been changes in CPS procedures in 1986 and 1988. While the new collection through the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Common Core of Data (CCD) is designed to be consistent with the current CPS procedures, the CCD will include all dropouts in grades 7–12 versus 10–12 and will be based on administrative records rather than a household survey. Thus, there will be some discontinuities in dropout reporting as the new and more consistent data become available.

Defining and Calculating Event Dropout Rates Using CPS

The October Supplement to the Current Population Survey (CPS) is the only current national data source that can be used to estimate an annual national dropout rate (event) or the number of dropouts nationally regardless of when they dropped out (status). CPS is a nationally representative sample survey of all households. The survey is conducted in approximately 60,000 dwelling units in 729 primary sampling units. Dwelling units are in-sample for four successive monthly interviews, out-of-sample for the next 8 months, and then returned to the sample for the following four months. An adult member of each household serves as the informant for that household. Data for each member of the household are supplied by the informant. In addition, supplementary questions regarding school enrollment are asked about eligible household members 3 years old and over. Some interviews are conducted by telephone.

The sampling frame is a complete list of dwelling-unit addresses at the Census updated by demolitions and new construction and field listings. The population surveyed excludes members of the Armed Forces, inmates of correctional institutions, and patients in long-term medical or

custodial facilities; it is referred to as the civilian, non-institutionalized population. Typically, about 4 percent of dwelling units are not interviewed, because occupants are not at home after repeated callbacks, or for some other reason.

CPS data on enrollment status in the current year and the prior year are used to identify dropouts. Data required to estimate the number and proportion of dropouts, defined either as an event or status, can be derived from CPS data. CPS also includes data that can be used to describe some basic characteristics of dropouts.

The recent redesign of the CPS introduced a change in the data used to identify high school completers. Dropout data from CPS year are based on a combination of control card data on educational attainment and October Supplement data on school enrollment and educational attainment. However, in 1992 the Census Bureau changed the items on the contral card which measured the person's educational attainment.

The old control items used to identify educational attainment were:

- What is the highest grade or year . . . has attended?
- Did . . . complete that grade?

The new control card educational attainment item is as follows:

• What is the highest level of school . . . has completed or the highest degree . . . has received?

The October CPS Supplement items used to identify dropouts include the following:

- Is . . . attending or enrolled in regular school?
- What grade or year is . . . attending?
- Was . . . attending or enrolled in a regular school or college in October, 199-, that is of October of last year?
- What grade or year was . . . attending last year?

Based on the responses of the household informant to these items, event, or current year, dropouts are defined as those 15- through 24-year-olds not currently enrolled in school who were enrolled a year ago and are not high school graduates. To calculate an event rate using CPS data, the number of current year dropouts is divided by an estimate (obtained this October) of the number of students enrolled the previous October. This estimate is the sum of those students who completed the previous grade last year and are enrolled in high school or below this October or completed high school plus those students who were enrolled last year, are not currently enrolled in school, but did not complete high school. The dropout interval is defined to include the previous summer and the current school year. That is, once a grade is completed, the student is

then at risk of dropping out of the next grade.⁸⁷

Status dropouts are defined as those 16- through 24-year-olds who have not completed high school and are not currently enrolled in school. To calculate a status rate using CPS data, the total number of dropouts is divided by an estimate of the number of 16- through 24-year-olds in the population.

Educational attainment status in the current year is based on the response to the control card item. The following response categories are used for high school:

- 9th grade,
- 10th grade,
- 11th grade,
- 12th grade—no diploma

The following response cateogories are used to identify high school completers:

- high school graduate—high school diploma, or the equivalent (for example, GED).
- some college-no degree; through
- Doctorate degree

Although the response categories are not automatically read to each respondent, they can be used as a prompt or to help clarify the meaning of the question or a response. Identification as a high school completer is based on this direct response.

Educational attainment for earlier years was based on the control card questions on highest grade attended and completed. Identification as a high school graduate was derived based on attendance and completion of grade 12.

Differences in these two approaches to identifying high school completers come from the observation that not all 12th grade completers receive a high school diploma or equivalent and not all holders of a high school diploma or certificate complete the 12th grade. These differences have an impact on the numbers and proportions of event and status dropouts.

In the case of the event rate, in prior years students who completed the 12th grade and left school without graduation or certification were counted as completers when they were dropouts.

⁸⁷The CPS rate does not capture students who drop out and return within the 12-month period or students who enrolled after the first week of October the previous year. NELS:88 used in this report includes data on the enrollment status of each sample student in spring 1988, spring 1990, and spring 1992. The new CCD dropout collection will include students who enter a school after October and then drop out.

On the other hand, students who left school because they completed high school before the 12th grade were identified as dropouts when they were completers. This year's use of actual graduation or completion status includes the first group as dropouts and the second group as completers.

For the computation of the event dropout rate this year compared to previous years, the 12th grade completers who do not receive a credential of some sort are added to the numerator count of dropouts and the early completers are subtracted from the numerator. The denominator is not changed. The net effect of this change is small, resulting in an increase in the aggregate event dropout rate that is not significant.

In the case of the status rate, there is a third group of students who were miscoded in prior years. A number of students leave school before completing the 12th grade, never complete the 12th grade, but later graduate or complete high school by some alternate means, such as an equivalency exam. In prior years these students would have been coded as dropouts. This year they are coded as graduates or completers. Furthermore, the explicit inclusion of "high school graduation or completion, including the equivalent (e.g., GED)" as a response category may have increased the likelihood of identifying late completers.

This year's computation of the status dropout rate adds the 12th graders who do not complete to the numerator of dropouts and subtracts the early and late completers from the numerator. The denominator is not changed. These changes, especially the identification and removal of late completers from the dropout count, may have resulted in a significant decrease in the status dropout rate.

The data items used in prior years are still included in the October CPS Supplement. These data items could be used to develop estimates of each of the three groups that move under the new procedure, and then to re-compute the current year rate using the procedure from prior years. This would yield a bridge that would enable an evaluation of the impact of the data change, as opposed to substantive change. This information could then be used in the trend analysis. However, since missing data on key questions were not allocated, an exact bridge can not be constructed.

The limitations of CPS as a data source on dropouts stem from the size of the sample and the survey's broad scope. Because CPS collects no information on school characteristics and experiences, its uses in addressing dropout issues are primarily for providing some insights into who drops out and estimating national dropout rates. It is also the only source of time series data on dropout rates. Data are available since 1967 to calculate event rates and earlier for status rates.

In earlier years, CPS asked the question on enrollment the previous October about individuals 14 years old and older. As of October 1989, CPS asked this question only about individuals 15 years old and older. This report focuses on event dropout rates for secondary school students 15 through 24 years of age who dropped out of grades 10 through 12. The status dropout rates in this report include all persons 16 through 24 years old who have not completed high school and are not currently enrolled in school.

Beginning with 1986, to improve the quality of the data the Bureau of the Census has

instituted new editing procedures for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. The effect of the editing changes for 1986, a bridge year in which the data were edited using both the old and new procedures, was to increase the number of students enrolled in school and decrease the number of students enrolled last year but not enrolled in the current year. The new editing procedures lowered the 1986 event rate for grades 10–12, ages 14 through 24, by about 0.4 percent, from 4.69 to 4.28 percent. While a difference of 0.4 percent is large relative to the observed year-to-year changes in the event rate, it is not statistically significant. The changes in the editing procedures made less difference in the status dropout rates for 16- through 24-year-olds—12.2 percent based on the old procedures and 12.1 percent based on the new.

Definition of Family Income in CPS

Family income is derived from a single question asked of the household respondent. Income includes money income from all sources including jobs, business, interest, rent, social security payments, and so forth. The income of nonrelatives living in the household is excluded, but the income of all family members 14 years old and over, including those temporarily living away, is included. Family income refers to receipts over a 12-month period.

Income for families from which no income information was obtained (about 5 percent of families) was imputed. A sequential hotdeck procedure was used. A total of 200 imputation classes were created—5 levels of the age of head of household by 5 levels of the education of the head of household by 2 levels for the employment status of the head of household, and 4 levels of the number of workers in the household. To minimize the multiple use of a single donor, up to 5 donors were placed in each imputation class. A donor was selected at random from these when a family with missing income information was encountered. In a few instances (about 10 of 50,000 families in each year) an imputation class had no donors but a family from the class with missing income information was encountered. In these cases a donor was selected by collapsing similar classes until a nonempty imputation class was created.

To facilitate comparisons over time, the categorical family income information was transformed into a continuous family income variable. The transformation was accomplished by randomly assigning for each family an income value from the income interval to which their income belonged. For intervals below the median a rectangular probability density function was used; for those above the median a Pareto probability density function was used. The methodology has a feature that if the continuous family income variable were transformed back to a categorical family income variable, the value for each family would be identical to the original data. Based on the continuous family income variable, a family income percentile variable is calculated for each person in the survey which represents that person's position in the family income (and 75 percent have a higher value), then the person's family income percentile variable has a value of 25. The methodology gives all persons in the same household the same value of both the categorical and continuous versions of family income. There are several issues that affect the interpretation of dropout rates by family income using the CPS. First, it is possible that the family income of the students at the time they dropped out was somewhat different than

their current family income. (The problem is potentially greatest with status dropouts who could have dropped out several years ago.)

Furthermore, family income is from a single question asked of the household respondent in the October CPS. In some cases, there are persons 15 through 24 years old living in the household that are unrelated to the household respondent, yet whose family income is defined as the income of the family of the household respondent. Therefore, the current household income of the respondent may not accurately reflect that person's family background. In particular, in 1991 some of the dropouts in the 15- through 24-year age range were not still living in a family unit with a parent present. However, an analysis of 1991 status dropout rates by family income, race-ethnicity, and family status (presence of parent in the household) indicates that the bias introduced by persons not living in their parent's household is small (table B2). For example, while only 62 percent of 16- through 24-year-olds lived with at least one parent, the status dropout rates for black and white persons were similar with or without the parent present. For example, 20.6 percent of low income blacks without a parent present were dropouts compared with 21.3 percent of those living in their parent's household. In addition, the relationship between dropout rates and income held within each racial category regardless of whether the person was living in a household with his or her parent. That is, blacks and whites within income levels dropped out at similar levels---with or without the parent present. However, this was not true of Hispanics. Hispanics in upper income levels not residing with either parent were more likely than upper income Hispanics with parents present to be status dropouts.

	Total	Parent not present	Parent present
	(percentage dis	stribution)	
Total	100.0	38.0	62.0
Race-ethnicity ¹			
White, non-Hispanic	100.0	37.1	62.9
Black, non-Hispanic	100.0	33.9	66.1
Hispanic	100.0	48.7	51.3
	(status ra	ate)	
Race_ethnicity and family in	come ²		
White non-Hispanic	come		
Low income	10 0	20.5	18 1
Middle income	79	10.0	66
High income	2.1	77	1.6
Black non-Hispanic	20,1	1.1	1.0
Low income	21.0	20.6	21.3
Middle income	76	91	71
High income	3.0	41	27
Hispanic	5.0	7.1	4ut » (
Low income	45.8	59.6	26.2
Middle income	78.4	46.0	15.4
High income	12.8	28.4	8.3

Table B2—Percentage of status dropouts by household type by race-ethnicity and income: October 1991

¹Not shown separately are non-Hispanics who are neither black or white, but who are included in the total. ²Family income is defined as the bottom 20 percent of all family incomes for 1991; middle income is between 20 and 80 percent of all family incomes; and high income is the top 20 percent of all family incomes.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1991, unpublished data.

Defining and Calculating Cohort Dropout Rates Using NELS:88

The NELS:88 baseline comprised a national probability sample of all regular public and private 8th-grade schools in the 50 states and District of Columbia in the 1987–88 school year. Excluded from the NELS:88 sample were Bureau of Indian Affairs schools, special education schools for the handicapped, area vocational schools that do not enroll students directly, and schools for dependents of U.S. personnel overseas; such school-level exclusions have a quite small impact on national estimates.

NELS:88 started with the base-year data collection in which students, parents, teachers, and school administrators were selected to participate in the survey. NELS:88 began with a target sample of 1,032 sample schools, of which 30 were deemed ineligible. Some 698 of the 1,002
eligible schools agreed to participate in the study. Given the longitudinal nature of the study, the initial school response rate of 69.7 percent was deemed too low to yield acceptable levels of schools, administrators, teachers, parents, and most importantly, students. To address this concern, a sample of sister schools was selected and 359 replacement schools were identified and added to the study. Responses were obtained from 1,057 schools, thus increasing the school response rate to 77.7 percent (1,057/(1,002+359)). Usable student data were received for 1,052 of the schools.

The total eighth-grade enrollment for the 1,052 NELS:88 sample schools was 202,996. During the listing procedures (before 24–26 students were selected per school), 5.35 percent of the students were excluded because they were identified by school staff as being incapable of completing the NELS:88 instruments owing to limitations in their language proficiency or to mental or physical disabilities. Ultimately, 93 percent or 24,599 of the sample students participated in the base-year survey in the spring of 1988.

The NELS:88 first follow-up survey was conducted in the spring of 1990. Students, dropouts, teachers, and school administrators participated in the followup, with a successful data collection effort for approximately 93 percent of the base-year student respondents. In addition, because the characteristics and education outcomes of the students excluded from the base year may differ from those of students who participated in the base-year data collection, a special study was initiated to identify the enrollment status of a representative sample of the base-year ineligible students. Data from this sample were then combined with first and second follow-up data for the computation of 8th- to 10th-grade, 10th- to 12th-grade, and 8th- to 12th-grade cohort dropout rates.

The second follow-up survey was conducted in the spring of 1992. Students, dropouts, parents, teachers, and school administrators participated in this followup. Approximately 91 percent of the sample of students participated in the second follow-up survey, with 88 percent of the dropouts responding.

The second follow-up High School Transcript Study was conducted in the Fall of 1992. Transcript data spanning the three or four years of high school (ninth or tenth through twelfth grades) were collected for 1) students attending, in the spring of 1992, schools sampled for the second follow-up school administrator and teacher surveys⁸⁸; 2) all dropouts and dropouts in alternative programs who had attended high school for a minimum of one term; 3) all early graduates, regardless of school contextual sample type; and 4) triple ineligibles enrolled in the twelfth grade in the spring of 1992, regardless of school affiliation. Triple ineligibles are sample members who were ineligible—due to mental or physical handicap or language barrier—for the base year, first follow-up, and second follow-up surveys. The transcript data collected from schools included student-level data (e.g., number of days absent per school year, standardized test scores) and complete course-taking histories. Complete high school course-taking records were, of course, obtained only for those transcript survey sample members who graduated by the end

⁸⁸Schools selected for the contextual components of the second follow-up—the school administrator and teacher surveys—are referred to as *contextual* schools. Sample members enrolled in those schools are referred to as *contextual* students.

of the spring term of 1992; incomplete records were collected for sample members who had dropped out of school, had fallen behind the modal progression sequence, or were enrolled in a special education program requiring or allowing more than twelve years of schooling.

A total of 1,287 contextual schools and 256 noncontextual schools responded to the request for transcripts. Reasons cited by school staff for not complying with the request included: inadequate permission for transcript release (some schools required parental permission for the release of minors' transcripts); no record of the sample, member, or no course-taking record because of brevity of enrollment; insufficient staff for transcript preparation (despite offers of remuneration for preparation costs); and archiving or transfer of sample member records. Student coverage rates were 89.5 percent for the total transcript sample and 74.2 percent for the dropout/alternative completers.

Missing from the cohort rates from NELS:88 is anyone who had dropped out prior to the spring of their eighth-grade year. Thus, the overall cohort rates reported here may be lower than they would have been if a younger cohort were used. This may be particularly important for Hispanics, given that CPS data show that Hispanic dropouts tend to have completed less schooling than other dropouts. The cohort rates also reflect the school enrollment status of both eligible and ineligible nonparticipants and participants, to the extent that this information could be obtained.

The following definition of a dropout was employed in NELS:88:

- 1. an individual who, according to the school (if the sample member could not be located), or according to the school and home, is not attending school (i.e., has not been in school for 4 consecutive weeks or more and is not absent due to accident or illness); or
- 2. a student who has been in school less than 2 weeks after a period in which he or she was classified as a dropout.

Thus, a student who was a temporary dropout (stopout) who was found by the study to be out of school for 4 consecutive school weeks or more and had returned to school (that is, had been back in school for a period of at least 2 weeks at the time of survey administration in the spring of 1990) would not be classified as a dropout for purposes of the cohort dropout rates reported here.

The basic NELS:88 procedure for identification of a dropout was to confirm schoolreported dropout status with the student's household. For the first followup, dropout status was obtained first from the school and then confirmed with the household for 96.4 percent of the dropouts. Thus only 3.6 percent of the dropouts were identified by only school-reported information. For the second followup, 4.9 percent of the dropouts were identified by only schoolreported information.

The 1988–1990 dropout rate requires data from both 1988 and 1990. As a result, the size of the sample used in computing the 1988 to 1990 rate is tied to the size of the sample in 1990. Many students changed schools between 1988 and 1990. Because of the costs associated with

following small numbers of students to many schools, a subsampling operation was conducted at the time of the first follow-up (figure B1). Of the 24,599 students who participated in 1988, 20,263 students were sampled, and 130 were found to be out of scope (due to death or migration out of the country). The dropout rates from 1988–1990 reflect the experiences of 20,133 sample cases. Some 1,088 sample cases dropped out and 19,045 sample cases continued in school.





Notes:

1. The tree includes all base-year eligible and ineligible students that were retained after first follow-up sampling that were students in the first follow-up but not in the tenth grade.

"Out of scope" includes deceased and out of country.
 "Students" includes alternative completers and early graduates.

4. As a part of the second follow-up weighting process, status was imputed for all instances where first or second follow-up status was not known. These imputed values are used in the tree.

The 1990–1992 rate starts from the 19,045 student sample cases. Some 91 of the student sample cases from 1990 were identified as out of scope in 1992. The dropout rates from 1990 to 1992 reflect the experiences of 18,954 student sample cases.

The 1988–1992 rates reflect the experiences of the 20,070 student sample cases. These cases result from the 20,263 subsampled student cases in 1990, less the 92 cases that were out of scope in both 1990 and 1992, less the 91 student sample cases identified as out of scope in 1992, less the 10 dropout sample cases identified as out of scope in 1992. Note that 24 student sample cases who were out of the country in 1990 returned to school in the U.S. by spring 1992, and an additional 14 student sample cases who were out of the country in spring 1990 returned to the U.S. by spring 1992 but did not reenroll (dropouts). And, another 354 student sample cases who dropped out between 1988 and 1990 returned to school by spring 1992.

HS&B Calculation of Cohort Dropout Rates

In HS&B, students are reported as having either a regular diploma or some alternative credential—described as the equivalent of a high school diploma. The estimate that seven percent of the high school completers from the class of 1982 held alternative credentials by 1986 refers to a comparison of alternative completers with all regular diploma recipients. The estimates of a 16.6 percent dropout rate and an 8.2 percent alternative completion rate by 1986 are based on a comparison of on-time regular diploma recipients versus all other completers. Similarly, the estimate of a 17.3 percent dropout rate with 46 percent completing by 1986 is also based on a comparison of on-time regular diploma recipients versus all other completers. The difference in the last two estimates is due to the fact that they are computed from two differently derived variables on the public use data files.

Variable Used in Comparison of HS&B and NELS:88

Listed below are the definitions for the poverty and family composition variables used in the section comparing 10th- to 12th-grade dropout rates in HS&B and NECS:88.

Poverty

HS&B

1. Below poverty line:

If family size (famsize) is 1 to 3 and family income (bb101) is \$7,000 or less or; If family size is 4 to 6 and income is \$11,999 or less or; If family size is 7 or more and income is under \$15,999

2. Not below poverty line:

All other cases.

NELS:88

Below poverty line:

If family size (byfamsize) is 1 or 2 and family income (byfaminc) is \$7,499 or less or; If family size is 3 and family income is \$9,999 or less or; If family size is 4 or 5 and family income is \$14,999 or less or; If family size is 6 or 7 and family income is \$19,999 or less or; If family size is 8 and family income is \$24,999 or less or; If family size is 9 or more and family income is \$34,999 or less;

Not below poverty line:

All other cases.

Family composition

HS&B

1. Intact:

If father in household (bb036b=1) and mother in HH (bb036d=1)

2. Parent plus step parent

If father not in HH (bb036b=0) and mother in HH (bb036d=1) and male guardian in HH (bb036c=1) or; If mother not in HH (bb036d=0) and father in HH (bb036b=1) and female guardian in HH (bb036e=1)

3. Single parent

If father is in HH (bb036b=1) and no other adult partner is in HH (bb036d to bb036e =0) or;

If mother is in HH (bb036d=1) and not other adult partner is in HH (bb036b to bb036c =0)

4. Other

All other cases.

NELS:88

1. Intact:

If father in household (f1s92a=1) and mother in HH (f1s92d=1)

2. Parent plus step parent

If father not in HH (f1s92a=0) and mother in HH (f1s92d=1) and male guardian or stepfather in HH (f1s92c=1 or f1s92b=1) or; If mother not in HH (f1s92d=0) and father in HH (f1s92a=1) and female guardian or

stepmother in HH (f1s92e=1 or f1s92f)

3. Single parent

If father is in HH (f1s92a=1) and no other adult partner is in HH (f1s92d to f1s92f =0) or; If mother is in HH (f1s92c=1) and no other adult partner is in HH (f1s92a to f1s92c=0).

4. Other

All other cases.

Accuracy of Estimates

The estimates in this report are derived from samples and are subject to two broad classes of error—sampling and nonsampling error. Sampling errors occur because the data are collected from a sample of a population rather than from the entire population. Estimates based on a sample will differ somewhat from the values that would have been obtained from a universe survey using the same instruments, instructions, and procedures. Nonsampling errors come from a variety of sources and affect all types of surveys, universe as well as sample surveys. Examples of sources of nonsampling error include design, reporting, and processing errors, and errors due to nonresponse. The effects of nonsampling errors are more difficult to evaluate than those that result from sampling variability. As much as possible, procedures are built into surveys in order to minimize nonsampling errors.

The standard error is a measure of the variability due to sampling when estimating a parameter. It indicates how much variance there is in the population of possible estimates of a parameter for a given sample size. Standard errors can be used as a measure of the precision expected from a particular sample. The probability that a complete census would differ from the sample by less than the standard error is about 68 out of 100. The chances that the difference would be less than 1.65 times the standard error are about 90 out of 100; that the difference would be less than 1.96 the standard error, about 95 out of 100.

Standard errors for rates and number of persons based on CPS data were calculated using the following formulas:

Dropout rate:

s.e. =
$$\sqrt{(b/N)(p)(100-p)}$$

where p = the percentage (0

- N = the population on which the percentage is based, and
- b = the parameter associated with the characteristic; b is equal to 2,532 for the total or white population; 3,425 for the black population; and 5,772 for the Hispanic population ages 14 through 34 years old.⁸⁹

Number of persons:

s.e. =
$$\sqrt{(bx)(1-x/t)}$$

where x = the number of persons (i.e., dropouts), T = population in the category (i.e., blacks 16 through 24), and b = as above.

Standard errors for the estimates in the tables appear in appendix A.

⁸⁹The b factors used for computation of the standard errors for rates by region in 1992 and for all rates prior to 1992 can be found in US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census School Enrollment–Social and Economic Characteristics of Students: October 1992.

In October of 1991, the Bureau of the Census released new b parameters for 1988 and 1990. (Recently new parameters have also been released for the 1991 data.) With the release of the new parameters, the Bureau of the Census also made adjustments to the parameters for earlier years. Therefore, for some years, the standard errors presented in the appendix tables here are different than the standard errors presented in earlier reports.

Methodology and Statistical Procedures

The comparisons in the text have all been tested for statistical significance to ensure that the differences are larger than those that might be expected due to sampling variation. Two types of comparisons have been made in the text.

Differences in two estimated percentages. The Student's t statistic can be used to test the likelihood that the differences between two percentages are larger than would be expected by sampling error.

$$t = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{se_1^2 + se_2^2}}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are the estimates to be compared and se₁ and se₂ are their corresponding standard errors.

As the number of comparisons on the same set of data increases, the likelihood that the t value for at least one of the comparisons will exceed 1.96 simply due to sampling error increases. For a single comparison, there is a 5 percent chance that the t value will exceed 1.96 due to sampling error. For five tests, the risk of getting at least one t value that high increases to 23 percent and for 20 comparisons, 64 percent.

One way to compensate for this danger when making multiple comparisons is to adjust the alpha level to take into account the number of comparisons being made. For example, rather than establishing an alpha level of 0.05 for a single comparison, the alpha level is set to ensure that the likelihood is less than 0.05 that the t value for any of the comparisons exceeds the critical value by chance alone when there are truly no differences for any of the comparisons. This Bonferroni adjustment is calculated by taking the desired alpha level and dividing by the number of possible comparisons, based on the variable(s) being compared. The t value corresponding to the revised, lower alpha level must be exceeded in order for any of the comparisons to be considered significant. For example, to test for differences in dropout rates between whites, blacks, and Hispanics, the following steps would be involved:

- Establish the number of comparisons—in this case three (whites and blacks; whites and Hispanics; and blacks and Hispanics). The number of two-way comparisons that can be made equals [(n)(n-1)]/2, where n is the number of variable categories. Thus, with three categories the number of possible comparisons is [(3)(2)]/2 = 3.
- Divide the desired alpha level, 0.05, by the number of comparisons (e.g. three) to obtain

the new alpha level (0.05/3 = 0.0166).

• Consult a table of t statistics (or the standard normal table for z values if the N is large) to find the 2 tailed t value that corresponds to that alpha (t = 2.39 for alpha = 0.0166).

All comparisons in this report were tested using the Bonferroni adjustment for the t tests. Where categories of two variables were involved, the number of comparisons used to make the Bonferroni adjustment was based on the relationship(s) being tested.

Trends. Regression analysis was used to test for trends across age groups and over time. Regression analysis assesses the degree to which one variable (the dependent variable) is related to a set of other variables (the independent variables). The estimation procedure most commonly used in regression analysis is ordinary least squares (OLS). While some of the trends span the entire period from 1972 to 1992, many of the rates reached a high point during the late 1970s. Thus, most of the descriptions that refer to "since the late 1970s" use 1978 as a starting point.

The analyses in this report were conducted on the event rates, status rates, and completion rates. The event rate and status rate estimates were used as dependent measures in the analysis with a variable representing time and a dummy variable controlling for changes in the editing procedure (0 = years 1968 to 1986, 1 = 1987 to 1991) used as independent variables. When testing differences in trend, a dummy variable represents the appropriate contrast (e.g. white vs. black) was introduced. However, in these data some of the observations were less reliable than others (i.e., some years' standard errors were larger than other years'). In such cases OLS estimation procedures do not apply and it is necessary to modify the regression procedures to obtain unbiased regression parameters. The modification that is usually recommended transforms the observations to variables which satisfy the usual assumptions of ordinary least squares regression and then applies the usual OLS analysis to these variables.

This was done in this analysis using the data manipulation and regression capability of EXCEL. Each of the variables in the analysis was transformed by dividing each by the standard error of the relevant year's rate (event or status). The new dependent variable was then regressed on the new time variable and new editing-change dummy variable. All statements about trends in this report are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

APPENDIX C

Alternative Definitions of High School Completion Rates, by Age Groups and Race-ethnicity: 1972-1993

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	40.3	43.3	29.2	23.1
1973	39.8	42.4	29.3	27.4
1974	39.9	42.5	31.1	24.2
1975	39.9	43.5	26.5	24.3
1976	37.6	40.2	26.4	28.0
1977	39.4	42.3	27.7	28.4
1978	39.5	42.2	29.1	25.9
1979	39.2	41.8	28.3	29.7
1980	38.0	40.7	30.1	26.0
1981	38.8	41.7	30.6	26.1
1982	38.2	41.4	28.3	24.8
1983	38.3	41.6	30.0	23.6
1984	38.4	40.4	34.7	28.5
1985	38.4	41.7	31.2	20.4
1986	38.0	40.8	32.9	25.2
1987 ¹	36.6	39.1	31.4	26.3
1988 ¹	37.2	39.1	33.9	28.7
1989 ¹	36.1	38.8	28.8	27.6
1990 ¹	35.8	39.5	31.6	19.1
1991 ¹	34.1	38.0	27.9	20.4
1992 ^{1,2}	34.5	37.1	28.9	25.2
1993 ^{1,2}	34.6	36.3	28.8	27.7
1991 ¹ 1992 ^{1,2} 1993 ^{1,2}	34.1 34.5 34.6	38.0 37.1 36.3	27.9 28.9 28.8	20 25 27

Table C1—High school completion rates for 17- and 18-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age a	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	76.1	79.4	63.4	51.4
1973	74.7	77.9	61.7	51.4
1974	73.8	76.6	64.5	48.8
1975	74.6	77.7	59.2	53.6
1976	72.9	75.7	61.4	54.9
1977	74.6	77.3	65.0	54.1
1978	74.0	76.6	65.5	50.0
1979	73.7	76.6	64.1	55.2
1980	74.2	77.7	67.0	49.1
1981	75.5	78.9	70.4	48.1
1982	75.5	79.3	66.2	49.9
1983	76.2	79.4	70.0	53.3
1984	77.1	78.8	77.1	57.4
1985	76.2	79.8	71.8	47.0
1986	79.4	82.8	79.1	52.1
1987 ¹	74.5	77.9	68.1	53.9
1988 ¹	75.9	78.8	75.5	52.2
1989 ¹	75.5	78.9	69.4	54.6
1990 ¹	75.2	80.1	71.8	41.4
1991 ¹	74.3	80.2	68.7	41.9
1992 ^{1,}	77.8	83.0	70.8	52.0
1993 ^{1,}	² 80.8	84.5	75.6	63.2

Table C1—High school completion rates for 17- and 18-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items.²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.79	0.89	2.33	3.40
1973	0.78	0.88	2.31	3.69
1974	0.77	0.87	2.34	3.19
1975	0.77	0.88	2.23	3.15
1976	0.76	0.87	2.22	3.20
1977	0.77	0.88	2.25	3.33
1978	0.78	0.88	2.32	3.25
1979	0.78	0.89	2.27	3.34
1980	0.77	0.89	2.26	3.06
1981	0.78	0.90	2.27	2.97
1982	0.83	0.97	2.36	3.07
1983	0.85	0.99	2.39	3.14
1984	0.86	1.01	2.53	3.37
1985	0.87	1.03	2.56	2.80
1986	0.87	1.03	2.54	2.92
1987 ¹	0.85	1.01	2.47	3.06
1988 ¹	0.92	1.10	2.71	3.27
1989 ¹	0.95	1.13	2.69	3.27
1990 ¹	0.93	1.14	2.60	2.67
1991 ¹	0.94	1.16	2.62	2.74
$1992^{1,2}$	0.93	1.14	2.54	3.01
1993 ^{1,2}	0.93	1.13	2.67	3.87

Table C2—Standard errors for Table C1: High school completion rates for 17- and 18-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

.

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age g	roup not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.94	0.99	3.63	6.04
1973	0.96	1.01	3.58	5.66
1974	0.94	1.00	3.47	5.28
1975	0.94	0.99	3.72	5.44
1976	0.97	1.05	3.74	4.97
1977	0.95	1.01	3.67	5.07
1978	0.95	1.02	3.65	5.15
1979	0.96	1.03	3.64	4.95
1980	0.97	1.05	3.30	4.78
1981	0.96	1.03	3.40	4.59
1982	1.03	1.10	3.79	5.05
1983	1.05	1.13	3.66	5.56
1984	1.05	1.17	3.32	5.24
1985	1.08	1.17	3.77	5.26
1986	1.05	1.13	3.41	4.82
1987 ¹	1.11	1.22	3.75	5.26
1988 ¹	1.18	1.32	3.77	4.95
1989 ¹	1.24	1.37	4.31	5.24
1990 ¹	1.23	1.35	3.82	5.09
1991 ¹	1.30	1.40	4.29	4.90
1992 ^{1,}	1.24	1.35	4.08	5.20
1993 ^{1,}	² 0.77	1.30	4.11	6.29

Table C2—Standard errors for Table C1: High school completion rates for 17- and 18-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993---(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	80.7	84.7	66.3	55.4
1973	82.2	85.9	68.2	54.7
1974	80.6	84.6	65.7	58.8
1975	81.0	84.7	66.2	62.6
1976	81.1	85.1	67.6	57.3
1977	81.4	85.0	69.2	60.0
1978	80.9	85.2	67.1	56.1
1979	80.4	83.9	68.6	59.8
1980	81.1	85.5	71.1	51.3
1981	80.8	84.8	71.8	56.8
1982	80.6	84.7	69.4	58.8
1983	81.2	85.3	73.2	57.9
1984	82.0	85.3	75.4	63.0
1985	83.1	86.9	73.8	64.8
1986	83.8	87.8	74.9	65.8
1987^{1}_{1}	82.9	86.4	79.4	63.7
1988 ¹	82.1	87.1	73.6	53.6
1989 ¹	81.8	86.8	74.8	59.4
1990 ¹	82.8	87.3	77.6	59.7
1991 ¹	81.4	87.0	72.5	55.4
$1992^{1,2}$	83.3	88.8	75.6	59.2
1993 ^{1,2}	82.1	87.2	73.6	61.7

Table C3—High school completion rates for 19- and 20-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	83.0	86.3	70.3	58.8
1973	84.1	87.4	72.1	57.1
1974	82.6	85.7	71.1	62.8
1975	83.0	86.0	71.2	65.5
1976	83.4	86.4	73.0	62.2
1977	83.5	86.1	75.5	63.1
1978	83.0	86.6	71.8	58.6
1979	82.3	85.4	71.3	62.0
1980	82.9	86.8	74.8	53.5
1981	83.2	86.5	76.7	60.0
1982	82.8	86.1	74.0	62.0
1983	83.8	87.2	77.4	62.3
1984	84.4	86.8	80.4	67.3
1985	85.7	88.6	79.4	68.4
1986	86.4	89.4	80.2	69.7
1987 ¹	85.5	88.1	83.6	67.6
1988 ¹	84.4	88.7	78.1	56.7
1989 ¹	84.2	88.1	79.8	62.8
1990 ¹	85.7	89.3	82.8	63.2
1991 ¹	84.9	89.0	80.3	60.7
$1992^{1,2}_{1,2}$	86.5	90.7	81.1	65.0
1993 ^{1,2}	85.9	89.8	80.1	65.9

Table C3—High school completion rates for 19- and 20-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.67	0.67	2.67	4.21
1973	0.63	0.64	2.62	4.41
1974	0.65	0.66	2.64	3.89
1975	0.64	0.66	2.47	3.89
1976	0.62	0.63	2.38	3.70
1977	0.63	0.65	2.42	3.72
1978	0.63	0.64	2.42	3.79
1979	0.64	0.66	2.42	3.71
1980	0.62	0.63	2.39	3.39
1981	0.63	0.66	2.32	3.33
1982	0.67	0.69	2.48	3.61
1983	0.67	0.70	2.38	3.53
1984	0.67	0.71	2.29	3.71
1985	0.66	0.68	2.37	3.50
1986	0.66	0.69	2.37	3.31
1987 ¹	0.68	0.72	2.27	3.16
1988 ¹	0.76	0.78	2.78	3.62
1989 ¹	0.75	0.78	2.56	3.47
1990 ¹	0.70	0.73	2.38	3.27
1991 ¹	0.74	0.77	2.54	3.24
1992 ^{1,2}	0.73	0.74	2.63	3.20
1993 ^{1,2}	0.76	0.80	2.59	4.31

Table C4—Standard errors for Table C3: High school completion rates for 19- and20-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
V	T 1	White,	Black,	TT
rear	lotai	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age g	roup not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.64	0.65	2.66	4.30
1973	0.61	0.61	2.60	4.48
1974	0.63	0.64	2.62	3.95
1975	0.62	0.64	2.45	3.91
1976	0.60	0.61	2.35	3.78
1977	0.61	0.63	2.36	3.76
1978	0.61	0.62	2.40	3.84
1979	0.62	0.64	2.40	3.74
1980	0.60	0.61	2.35	3.46
1981	0.61	0.63	2.26	3.39
1982	0.65	0.67	2.44	3.65
1983	0.64	0.67	2.31	3.60
1984	0.64	0.68	2.18	3.73
1985	0.63	0.65	2.26	3.51
1986	0.63	0.65	2.25	3.30
1987 ¹	0.64	0.69	2.14	3.18
1988 ¹	0.73	0.74	2.69	3.70
1989 ¹	0.72	0.75	2.45	3.53
1990 ¹	0.67	0.69	2.24	3.32
1991 ¹	0.70	0.72	2.39	3.34
1992 ^{1,}	0.69	0.69	2.49	3.28
1993 ^{1,}	² 0.69	0.73	2.44	4.35

Table C4—Standard errors for Table C3: High school completion rates for 19- and
20-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic 55.0 57.1 62.1 65.0 56.4 53.9 58.1 58.6 57.8 58.8 59.6 59.2 64.3 66.4 60.9 66.5 53.2 59.7 61.1 61.1 61.1 62.6 63.0
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	82.2	85.4	74.2	55.0
1973	83.0	86.9	69.5	57.1
1974	84.5	87.7	74.5	62.1
1975	83.6	87.0	69.5	65.0
1976	84.1	86.9	75.9	56.4
1977	82.9	86.7	71.3	53.9
1978	83.2	86.7	72.3	58.1
1979	83.5	87.3	71.6	58.6
1980	84.5	88.1	76.3	57.8
1981	83.8	87.3	76.1	58.8
1982	83.4	86.6	77.6	59.6
1983	83.6	86.9	78.1	59.2
1984	84.6	87.7	79.8	64.3
1985	84.8	87.1	82.2	66.4
1986	84.4	88.0	81.3	60.9
1987 ¹	84.2	87.2	79.4	66.5
1988 ¹	84.1	89.4	80.6	53.2
1989 ¹	85.2	89.9	81.0	59.7
1990 ¹	86.1	90.5	83.3	61.1
1991 ¹	85.7	90.2	81.2	61.1
$1992^{1,2}$	86.0	90.2	81.0	62.6
1993 ^{1,2}	85.9	89.8	83.8	63.0

Table C5—High school completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

.

			Race-ethnicity			
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic		
	(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)		
1972	82.7	85.8	75.0	55.0		
1973	83.5	87.4	70.1	57.7		
1974	84.9	87.9	75.3	62.6		
1975	84.3	87.6	70.7	65.8		
1976	84.5	87.3	76.8	57.4		
1977	83.6	87.3	72.2	54.7		
1978	83.9	87.3	73.1	59.3		
1979	84.0	87.6	72.8	60.0		
1980	85.1	88.5	77.3	59.4		
1981	84.2	87.8	76.7	59.2		
1982	84.0	86.9	79.2	60.0		
1983	84.3	87.5	78.3	60.5		
1984	85.2	87.9	80.8	65.3		
1985	85.4	87.7	83.1	66.8		
1986	84.9	88.4	82.1	61.1		
1987 ¹	84.6	87.5	79.8	67.2		
1988 ¹	84.4	89.6	81.0	53.9		
1989 ¹	85.8	90.3	81.8	61.0		
1990 ¹	86.7	90.8	83.9	62.3		
1991 ¹	86.2	90.5	82.4	61.5		
1992 ^{1,2}	86.4	90.4	82.3	64.0		
1993 ^{1,2}	² 86.6	90.4	84.9	64.4		

Table C5—High school completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.66	0.67	2.48	4.18
1973	0.65	0.65	2.58	4.09
1974	0.61	0.62	2.43	3.99
1975	0.61	0.61	2.57	3.94
1976	0.60	0.61	2.37	4.15
1977	0.62	0.63	2.47	4.04
1978	0.60	0.61	2.39	3.79
1979	0.60	0.60	2.44	3.68
1980	0.58	0.59	2.24	3.67
1981	0.59	0.60	2.21	3.47
1982	0.62	0.64	2.19	3.68
1983	0.62	0.64	2.21	3.74
1984	0.61	0.63	2.24	3.54
1985	0.61	0.65	2.09	3.26
1986	0.63	0.66	2.19	3.10
1987 ¹	0.66	0.70	2.35	3.11
1988 ¹	0.72	0.72	2.48	3.40
1989 ¹	0.71	0.71	2.54	3.34
1990 ¹	0.66	0.66	2.28	3.19
1991 ¹	0.65	0.66	2.21	3.24
1992 ^{1,2}	0.65	0.66	2.27	3.21
1993 ^{1,2}	0.67	0.70	2.14	4.06

Table C6—Standard errors for C5: High school completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.65	0.67	2.47	4.18
1973	0.64	0.64	2.57	4.10
1974	0.60	0.61	2.42	4.00
1975	0.60	0.60	2.56	3.94
1976	0.59	0.60	2.35	4.17
1977	0.61	0.62	2.46	4.06
1978	0.60	0.61	2.38	3.82
1979	0.59	0.59	2.43	3.70
1980	0.58	0.58	2.22	3.70
1981	0.58	0.59	2.20	3.48
1982	0.61	0.64	2.16	3.69
1983	0.61	0.63	2.21	3.76
1984	0.60	0.63	2.21	3.54
1985	0.60	0.64	2.06	3.26
1986	0.63	0.65	2.16	3.10
1987 ¹	0.65	0.70	2.36	3.11
1988 ¹	0.72	0.71	2.47	3.42
1989 ¹	0.70	0.70	2.51	3.36
1990 ¹	0.65	0.65	2.25	3.21
1991 ¹	0.64	0.65	2.17	3.25
1992 ¹	² 0.64	0.65	0.71	3.22
1993 ^{1,}	² 0.65	0.68	2.09	4.07

Table C6-Standard errors for C5: High school completion rates for 21- and 22-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993-(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	82.5	85.7	72.2	51.8
1973	94.1	87.0	73.9	60.1
1974	84.3	88.2	72.4	53.7
1975	85.2	89.2	70.8	54.7
1976	84.7	88.2	72.0	60.4
1977	84.7	88.2	74.9	54.0
1978	84.6	87.8	75.2	60.0
1979	84.0	88.1	74.3	52.2
1980	84.3	87.8	74.4	58.3
1981	84.2	87.8	75.7	58.1
1982	85.3	88.6	77.3	61.2
1983	83.9	87.9	74.4	55.5
1984	84.9	88.6	79.2	57.6
1985	86.1	89.3	80.9	67.4
1986	85.3	88.8	81.9	61.7
1987 ¹	84.7	88.0	84.2	62.0
1988 ¹	85.9	89.4	84.6	62.5
1989 ¹	85.5	90.5	86.3	55.0
1990^{1}	85.8	90.2	85.4	55.6
1991^{1}	84.2	89.7	85.3	50.2
1992 ^{1,2}	87.0	91.7	84.3	58.0
1993 ^{1,2}	86.7	91.5	81.6	62.3

Table C7—High school completion rates for 23- and 24-year-olds, by race-ethnicity:October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	82.8	85.9	72.7	52.6
1973	84.5	87.4	74.8	60.1
1974	84.6	88.4	73.0	54.1
1975	85.6	89.6	71.0	54.9
1976	85.0	88.3	72.5	60.7
1977	85.1	88.4	75.2	55.1
1978	85.0	88.1	76.2	60.4
1979	84.3	88.3	75.2	52.2
1980	84.7	88.2	75.2	58.6
1981	84.7	88.1	76.5	58.7
1982	85.7	88.9	78.3	61.4
1983	84.3	88.1	75.2	56.2
1984	85.2	88.9	79.8	58.4
1985	86.3	89.5	81.5	67.5
1986	85.6	89.0	82.2	62.2
1987 ¹	84.9	88.2	84.6	62.1
1988 ¹	86.1	89.6	84.7	62.8
1989 ¹	85.8	90.6	87.2	55.3
1990 ¹	86.1	90.3	86.0	56.1
1991 ¹	84.7	89.9	86.7	50.9
1992 ^{1,2}	87.5	91.9	85.7	59.0
1993 ^{1,2}	87.2	91.9	81.6	62.7

Table C7-High school completion rates for 23- and 24-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993-(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	_
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.66	0.67	2.74	4.37
1973	0.62	0.62	2.53	4.45
1974	0.62	0.61	2.70	4.00
1975	0.60	0.58	2.69	4.33
1976	0.61	0.60	2.61	4.08
1977	0.60	0.60	2.48	4.04
1978	0.60	0.61	2.48	3.77
1979	0.60	0.60	2.41	3.78
1980	0.59	0.60	2.42	3.56
1981	0.57	0.58	2.23	3.52
1982	0.59	0.60	2.28	3.63
1983	0.60	0.61	2.39	3.60
1984	0.59	0.60	2.12	3.47
1985	0.59	0.60	2.16	3.13
1986	0.59	0.61	2.09	3.13
1987 ¹	0.61	0.64	1.99	2.99
1988 ¹	0.65	0.66	2.17	3.36
1989 ¹	0.67	0.66	2.06	3.18
1990 ¹	0.65	0.66	2.15	3.25
1991 ¹	0.69	0.69	2.30	3.11
1992 ^{1,2}	0.63	0.61	2.16	3.23
1993 ^{1,2}	0.62	0.60	2.33	3.99

Table C8—Standard errors for Table C7: High school completion rates for 23- and 24year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

		<u>.</u>	Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age g	roup not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.66	0.66	2.74	4.40
1973	0.61	0.62	2.51	4.45
1974	0.62	0.61	2.70	4.02
1975	0.59	0.57	2.69	4.34
1976	0.60	0.60	2.61	4.08
1977	0.59	0.59	2.48	4.08
1978	0.59	0.60	2.46	3.78
1979	0.60	0.59	2.39	3.78
1980	0.59	0.59	2.41	3.56
1981	0.57	0.57	2.21	3.53
1982	0.58	0.59	2.26	3.63
1983	0.60	0.60	2.37	3.62
1984	0.58	0.59	2.10	3.48
1985	0.58	0.60	2.14	3.13
1986	0.59	0.61	2.08	3.14
1987 ¹	0.61	0.64	1.97	2.99
1988 ¹	0.64	0.66	2.17	3.36
1989 ¹	0.66	0.65	2.01	3.19
1990 ¹	0.65	0.65	2.13	3.27
1991 ¹	0.69	0.68	2.22	3.13
1992 ^{1,1}	0.62	0.61	2.10	3.25
1993 ^{1,}	² 0.62	0.59	2.33	4.00

Table C8—Standard errors for Table C7: High school completion rates for 23- and 24-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Vaar	Total	White,	Black,	Hisponic
Ical	10tai	non-mspanie	non-mspanic	Inspanie
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	82.8	85.9	71.3	48.9
1973	83.4	86.8	70.6	52.3
1974	84.6	87.4	75.6	57.5
1975	84.8	88.5	76.1	52.4
1976	84.7	88.4	76.7	54.1
1977	85.7	88.5	76.1	61.1
1978	85.0	88.5	74.5	55.7
1979	85.7	89.0	74.3	58.7
1980	84.5	88.3	74.3	57.3
1981	84.8	88.5	78.2	57.1
1982	84.5	88.4	76.7	57.1
1983	86.0	89.4	79.7	59.5
1984	85.5	89.1	79.3	57.8
1985	85.5	89.1	81.0	61.5
1986	85.4	88.6	83.1	62.3
1987 ¹	84.9	87.7	83.1	64.7
1988 ¹	85.9	89.9	83.3	59.5
1989 ¹	86.0	90.4	83.0	57.8
1990^{1}	85.0	90.4	80.0	54.9
1991 ¹	85.4	90.2	83.0	56.7
$1992^{1,2}$	86.6	91.3	85.7	57.7
1993 ^{1,2}	88.0	91.5	86.4	65.3

Table C9—High school completion rates for 25- and 26-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

		.	Race-ethnicity	
Vaar	T. 4-1	White,	Black,	TT:
rear	10tai	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
(.	As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	82.9	86.0	71.7	49.3
1973	83.7	87.0	71.0	52.3
1974	84.9	87.6	76.3	59.3
1975	85.3	88.9	76.6	53.1
1976	85.0	88.6	76.7	54.8
1977	86.0	88.6	76.5	62.1
1978	85.2	88.6	74.7	56.2
1979	86.0	89.3	74.8	59.2
1980	84.8	88.6	74.4	58.4
1981	85.1	88.7	78.7	57.6
1982	85.0	88.8	77.1	57.7
1983	86.2	89.5	80.4	59.9
1984	85.8	89.2	79.5	58.5
1985	85.7	89.2	81.4	61.8
1986	85.7	88.8	84.0	62.7
1987 <mark>1</mark>	85.2	88.0	83.6	64.9
1988 ¹	86.0	90.0	83.5	59.5
1989 ¹	86.2	90.5	83.4	58.2
1990 ¹	85.3	90.7	80.3	55.5
1991 ¹	85.5	90.3	83.2	57.0
$1992^{1,2}_{1,2}$	86.9	91.4	86.6	57.9
1993 ^{1,2}	88.3	91.7	87.1	65.8

Table C9—High school completion rates for 25- and 26-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	roup)	
1972	0.68	0.68	3.06	4.70
1973	0.64	0.64	2.84	4.61
1974	0.63	0.64	2.67	4.58
1975	0.63	0.62	2.55	4.27
1976	0.62	0.61	2.63	3.81
1977	0.59	0.59	2.66	4.14
1978	0.60	0.60	2.56	4.08
1979	0.59	0.59	2.57	4.11
1980	0.60	0.60	2.53	3.62
1981	0.58	0.59	2.15	3.47
1982	0.60	0.61	2.32	3.49
1983	0.57	0.58	2.19	3.58
1984	0.58	0.59	2.25	3.61
1985	0.58	0.59	2.17	3.06
1986	0.58	0.60	2.09	2.98
1987 ¹	0.60	0.63	2.05	3.01
1988 ¹	0.63	0.63	2.21	3.16
1989 ¹	0.63	0.63	2.24	3.30
1990 ¹	0.64	0.62	2.29	3.13
1991 ¹	0.65	0.64	2.21	3.11
1992 ^{1,2}	0.64	0.62	2.13	3.22
1993 ^{1,2}	0.61	0.62	2.04	3.99

Table C10—Standard errors for Table C9: High school completion rates for 25- and26-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black,	Hispanic
<u></u>	10ml			поршно
	(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.67	0.68	3.06	4.72
1973	0.64	0.63	2.84	4.61
1974	0.63	0.64	2.65	4.62
1975	0.62	0.62	2.54	4.29
1976	0.61	0.61	2.63	3.83
1977	0.59	0.59	2.65	4.16
1978	0.60	0.59	2.56	4.09
1979	0.59	0.58	2.56	4.12
1980	0.60	0.59	2.52	3.64
1981	0.58	0.59	2.14	3.48
1982	0.60	0.60	2.31	3.50
1983	0.57	0.58	2.17	3.59
1984	0.58	0.58	2.25	3.62
1985	0.58	0.59	2.16	3.06
1986	0.58	0.59	2.06	2.99
1987 ¹	0.59	0.63	2.04	3.02
1988 ¹	0.62	0.63	2.20	3.16
1989 ¹	0.63	0.63	2.22	3.31
1990 ¹	0.63	0.61	2.29	3.15
1991,	0.65	0.64	2.20	3.12
$1992^{1,2}_{1,2}$	0.63	0.61	2.09	3.22
1993 ^{1,2}	0.60	0.61	2.00	3.99

Table C10-Standard errors for Table C9: High school completion rates for 25- and 26-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993-(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	78.8	82.0	66.6	51.1
1973	80.8	84.1	68.9	48.7
1974	83.6	86.9	71.9	47.5
1975	84.6	87.7	69.1	60.7
1976	84.8	88.3	75.3	51.4
1977	86.1	89.3	75.7	61.9
1978	85.9	89.2	77.6	60.9
1979	86.3	89.6	78.7	55.7
1980	86.6	89.9	78.3	58.9
1981	86.2	90.0	78.6	54.0
1982	87.1	89.6	84.5	60.3
1983	86.0	89.2	80.8	58.7
1984	86.5	89.6	81.9	60.9
1985	85.8	89.1	84.9	57.1
1986	86.0	90.2	79.6	60.2
1987^{1}	85.5	89.6	79.6	61.7
1988^{1}	85.1	89.3	84.9	53.4
1989 ¹	86.5	90.4	80.5	61.0
1990 ¹	85.6	90.1	81.2	57.7
1991 ¹	85.7	89.6	83.3	57.1
$1992^{1,2}$	87.0	90.7	86.2	62.6
1993 ^{1,2}	87.6	91.7	85.3	60.6

Table C11—High school completion rates for 27- and 28-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity	
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age g	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	79.0	82.1	67.1	51.6
1973	81.0	84.2	69.3	49.2
1974	83.8	87.0	72.2	47.7
1975	84.8	87.9	69.7	60.7
1976	85.0	88.5	75.5	51.6
1977	86.3	89.5	75.8	62.2
1978	86.0	89.3	77.8	61.1
1979	86.5	89.7	79.1	56.3
1980	87.0	90.2	78.9	59.3
1981	86.5	90.1	79.2	54.8
1982	87.4	89.9	84.7	61.2
1983	86.3	89.5	81.2	58.9
1984	86.7	89.8	82.3	60.9
1985	86.0	89.3	85.0	57.6
1986	86.3	90.4	79.9	60.5
1987 ¹	85.7	89.7	79.6	62.0
1988 ¹	85.3	89.5	84.9	53.8
1989 ¹	86.8	90.6	80.9	61.7
1990 ¹	85.9	90.4	81.4	58.2
1991 ¹	86.0	89.9	83.5	57.4
1992 ^{1,}	87.4	90.8	87.5	63.2
1993 ^{1,}	² 87.8	91.9	85.8	60.6

Table C11---High school completion rates for 27- and 28-year-olds, by race--ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993-(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

			Race-ethnicity	
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.79	0.81	3.24	4.84
1973	0.74	0.75	3.12	4.97
1974	0.65	0.64	3.00	4.64
1975	0.61	0.61	2.93	4.12
1976	0.62	0.62	2.58	4.29
1977	0.61	0.61	2.58	4.18
1978	0.60	0.60	2.45	3.94
1979	0.59	0.58	2.51	4.00
1980	0.57	0.56	2.34	3.90
1981	0.56	0.55	2.28	3.55
1982	0.56	0.57	2.10	3.81
1983	0.58	0.59	2.26	3.49
1984	0.56	0.57	2.04	3.44
1985	0.58	0.59	1.98	3.34
1986	0.57	0.56	2.18	3.06
1987 ¹	0.57	0.58	2.24	2.83
1988 ¹	0.64	0.64	2.13	3.29
1989 ¹	0.61	0.61	2.43	3.42
1990 ¹	0.61	0.60	2.20	3.15
1991 ¹	0.62	0.62	2.13	3.28
1992 ^{1,2}	0.60	0.61	1.97	3.03
1993 ^{1,2}	0.60	0.59	2.06	4.16

Table C12—Standard errors for Table C11: High school completion rates for 27- and28-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

			Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
(As p	percent of those in a	ge group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)	
1972	0.78	0.81	3.24	4.86	
1973	0.74	0.75	3.11	5.00	
1974	0.65	0.64	2.99	4.65	
1975	0.61	0.60	2.92	4.12	
1976	0.62	0.61	2.58	4.30	
1977	0.61	0.60	2.58	4.18	
1978	0.60	0.60	2.44	3.94	
979	0.58	0.58	2.50	4.02	
1980	0.57	0.56	2.32	3.90	
981	0.55	0.54	2.27	3.57	
982	0.56	0.57	2.08	3.82	
.983	0.58	0.59	2.24	3.50	
984	0.56	0.57	2.03	3.44	
985	0.57	0.59	1.97	3.35	
986	0.56	0.56	2.17	3.07	
987 ¹	0.57	0.57	2.26	2.84	
988 ¹	0.63	0.64	2.13	3.30	
989 ¹	0.61	0.61	2.42	3.43	
990 ¹	0.60	0.59	2.20	3.15	
991 ¹	0.61	0.62	2.13	3.29	
$.992^{1,2}$	0.59	0.61	1.90	3.04	
993 ^{1,2}	0.60	0.59	2.03	4.16	

Table C12-Standard errors for Table C11: High school completion rates for 27- and 28-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993-(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

	Total	Race-ethnicity		
Year		White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	77.8	81.8	62.5	48.1
1973	80.2	83.3	67.5	45.1
1974	80.6	84.1	65.9	50.9
1975	80.4	84.5	62.9	53.1
1976	81.8	85.6	68.2	48.6
1977	83.1	87.0	71.5	51.0
1978	86.1	89.2	78.5	56.9
1979	85.5	89.7	75.1	51.4
1980	86.5	90.1	79.4	58.3
1981	87.1	90.8	81.5	54.8
1982	87.4	90.7	80.4	60.1
1983	87.0	90.7	81.3	57.4
1984	87.3	90.6	80.4	60.4
1985	85.8	89.4	79.5	61.6
1986	86.4	89.8	81.7	62.6
1987 ¹	86.7	90.4	83.3	60.5
1988 ¹	87.3	90.3	84.3	65.1
1989 ¹	86.2	90.8	82.2	55.1
1990 ¹	86.5	91.0	80.3	58.6
1991 ¹	85.9	89.8	83.5	56.3
1992 ^{1,2}	86.0	91.4	80.2	54.3
1993 ^{1,2}	86.8	91.2	89.0	54.7

Table C13—High school completion rates for 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

ŧ

		Race-ethnicity			
		White,	Black,		
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
	(As percent of those in age g	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)	
1972	77.9	81.9	62.9	48.1	
1973	80.3	83.5	67.8	45.1	
1974	80.7	84.3	65.9	50.9	
1975	80.9	84.8	63.3	54.1	
1976	82.1	86.0	68.6	48.6	
1977	83.5	87.4	71.6	51.0	
1978	86.4	89.4	78.8	57.4	
1979	85.7	89.9	75.3	51.7	
1980	86.8	90.3	80.0	58.5	
1981	87.3	90.9	81.6	55.4	
1982	87.5	90.8	80.4	60.4	
1983	87.1	90.8	81.3	57.6	
1984	87.4	90.7	80.8	60.5	
1985	86.1	89.7	79.8	61.8	
1986	86.7	90.0	82.0	63.2	
1987 ¹	86.8	90.5	83.6	60.8	
1988 ¹	87.4	90.4	84.5	65.3	
1989 ¹	86.4	91.0	82.5	55.3	
1990 ¹	86.7	91.1	80.8	58.8	
1991 <u>1</u>	86.2	90.0	83.8	57.1	
1992 ^{1,}	86.2	91.6	80.4	54.8	
1993 ^{1,1}	² 87.1	91.5	89.1	54.9	

Table C13—High school completion rates for 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.
		Race-ethnicity		
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.79	0.80	3.24	4.80
1973	0.75	0.76	3.35	5.22
1974	0.76	0.77	3.27	5.06
1975	0.74	0.74	3.27	4.57
1976	0.68	0.68	2.93	4.46
1977	0.64	0.64	2.68	4.24
1978	0.60	0.60	2.53	4.09
1979	0.60	0.58	2.50	3.96
1980	0.57	0.56	2.40	3.73
1981	0.56	0.54	2.23	3.59
1982	0.58	0.57	2.43	3.87
1983	0.57	0.56	2.32	3.68
1984	0.55	0.55	2.32	3.65
1985	0.58	0.58	2.29	3.24
1986	0.57	0.58	2.08	3.28
1987 ¹	0.55	0.55	1.95	3.16
1988 ¹	0.59	0.61	2.16	3.32
1989 ¹	0.60	0.59	2.20	3.31
1990 ¹	0.58	0.56	2.23	3.27
1991 ¹	0.59	0.59	2.12	3.26
1992 ^{1,2}	0.59	0.56	2.21	3.05
1993 ^{1,2}	0.58	0.57	1.80	3.89

Table C14—Standard errors for Table C13: High school completion rates for 29- and
30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
	(As percent of those in age	group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	0.79	0.80	3.25	4.80
1973	0.75	0.76	3.35	5.22
1974	0.76	0.77	3.27	5.06
1975	0.73	0.74	3.27	4.61
1976	0.67	0.67	2.93	4.46
1977	0.64	0.63	2.67	4.24
1978	0.60	0.59	2.53	4.10
1979	0.60	0.58	2.49	3.97
1980	0.57	0.56	2.38	3.73
1981	0.55	0.54	2.23	3.60
1982	0.57	0.56	2.43	3.87
1983	0.57	0.56	2.32	3.68
1984	0.55	0.55	2.31	3.65
1985	0.58	0.58	2.28	3.25
1986	0.57	0.57	2.07	3.28
1987 ¹	0.55	0.55	1.94	3.16
1988 ¹	0.59	0.60	2.15	3.32
1989 ¹	0.60	0.58	2.19	3.32
1990 ¹	0.58	0.56	2.22	3.29
1991 ¹	0.58	0.58	2.11	3.28
1992 ^{1,}	0.59	0.55	2.21	3.06
1993 ^{1,}	² 0.57	0.56	1.79	3.90

Table C14—Standard errors for Table C13: High school completion rates for 29- and 30-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued) Race-ethnicity

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years).

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	73.9	77.8	58.5	43.5
1973	74.8	78.9	60.2	41.6
1974	77.6	81.5	63.8	44.7
1975	79.1	83.0	63.2	49.6
1976	79.6	83.0	67.6	51.2
1977	81.0	84.4	67.2	52.9
1978	82.9	86.5	70.6	49.7
1979	83.7	87.2	73.1	53.0
1980	84.8	88.4	75.6	52.6
1981	85.7	89.3	77.9	54.1
1982	86.3	90.2	79.2	52.5
1983	87.3	91.3	79.5	55.7
1984	87.5	91.4	78.8	57.1
1985	87.4	91.4	79.4	57.9
1986	87.3	91.6	78.9	57.1
1987 ¹	87.3	91.3	79.1	58.8
1988 ¹	86.9	90.5	84.6	57.8
1989 ¹	86.8	90.8	80.8	60.5
1990 ¹	87.2	91.5	83.3	57.6
1991 ¹	86.8	91.7	85.0	51.2
$1992^{1,2}_{1,2}$	88.0	92.2	84.2	60.4
1993 ^{1,2}	88.3	92.6	83.5	62.6

Table C15—High school completion rates for 31- through 34-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

		Race-ethnicity		
		White,	Black,	
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic
(A	as percent of those in ag	e group not currently e	nrolled in high school	or below)
1972	74.1	78.0	58.8	43.8
1973	75.0	79.0	60.5	41.8
1974	77.8	81.6	64.4	44.7
1975	79.4	83.3	63.4	50.0
1976	79.8	83.2	68.0	51.3
1977	81.2	84.6	67.4	53.4
1978	83.1	86.7	70.9	50.0
1979	83.9	87.4	73.3	53.5
1980	84.9	88.5	76.1	52.9
1981	85.9	89.4	78.4	54.5
1982	86.5	90.4	79.2	52.8
1983	87.5	91.4	80.1	56.1
1984	87.7	91.5	79.4	57.4
1985	87.5	91.5	79.7	58.1
1986	87.5	91.8	79.1	57.3
1987 ¹	87.5	91.3	79.5	59.6
1988 ¹	87.1	90.5	84.6	58.8
1989 ¹	87.0	90.9	81.2	61.1
1990 ¹	87.3	91.6	83.6	57.6
1991 ¹	87.0	91.8	85.6	51.2
1992 ^{1,2}	88.1	92.3	84.5	60.7
1993 ^{1,2}	88.5	92.8	83.8	62.8

Table C15—High school completion rates for 31- through 34-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

\$

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years).

		Race-ethnicity		
Year	Total	White, non-Hispanic	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic
		(As percent of age gr	oup)	
1972	0.65	0.67	2.61	3.68
1973	0.62	0.65	2.48	3.55
1974	0.58	0.60	2.37	3.47
1975	0.56	0.57	2.38	3.35
1976	0.54	0.56	2.30	3.21
1977	0.53	0.54	2.35	3.27
1978	0.49	0.49	2.22	3.22
1979	0.47	0.47	2.05	3.01
1980	0.45	0.44	1.95	2.92
1981	0.42	0.41	1.78	2.74
1982	0.43	0.42	1.79	2.89
1983	0.42	0.40	1.74	2.78
1984	0.41	0.39	1.72	2.87
1985	0.40	0.39	1.67	2.62
1986	0.39	0.37	1.68	2.47
1987 ¹	0.39	0.38	1.66	2.42
1988 ¹	0.43	0.43	1.55	2.53
1989 ¹	0.42	0.42	1.67	2.41
1990^{1}	0.40	0.39	1.52	2.28
1991 ¹	0.41	0.38	1.42	2.28
$1992^{1,2}$	0.39	0.37	1.45	2.22
1993 ^{1,2}	0.38	0.36	1.46	2.77

Table C16—Standard errors for Table C15: High school completion rates for 31- through34-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993

See footnotes at end of table.

,

		Race-ethnicity			
~ -		White,	Black,		
Year	Total	non-Hispanic	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
	(As percent of those in age	group not currently en	nrolled in high school	or below)	
1972	0.64	0.67	2.61	3.70	
1973	0.62	0.65	2,49	3.56	
1974	0.58	0.60	2.38	3.47	
1975	0.55	0.56	2.38	3.37	
1976	0.54	0.56	2.30	3.22	
1977	0.53	0.54	2.35	3.28	
1978	0.49	0.49	2.22	3.23	
1979	0.47	0.47	2.05	3.02	
1980	0.45	0.44	1.95	2.93	
1981	0.42	0.41	1.78	2.75	
1982	0.43	0.42	1.79	2.89	
1983	0.41	0.40	1.73	2.78	
1984	0.41	0.39	1.71	2.87	
1985	0.40	0.38	1.66	2.62	
1986	0.39	0.37	1.68	2.47	
1987 ¹	0.39	0.38	1.66	2.43	
1988 ¹	0.43	0.43	1.55	2.54	
1989 ¹	0.42	0.42	1.66	2.42	
1990 ¹	0.40	0.39	1.52	2.29	
1991 ¹	0.40	0.38	1.40	2.29	
1992 ^{1,2}	0.39	0.37	1.45	2.22	
1993 ^{1,2}	0.38	0.36	1.45	2.77	

Table C16—Standard errors for Table C15: High school completion rates for 31- through 34-year-olds, by race-ethnicity: October 1972 through 1993—(continued)

¹Numbers for these years reflect new editing procedures instituted by the Bureau of the Census for cases with missing data on school enrollment items. ²Numbers for these years reflect new wording of the educational attainment item in the CPS.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October (various years).

United States Department of Education Washington, DC 20208–5651

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300 Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Education Permit No. G–17

Fourth Class Special Special Handling

