

HARBOR PORPOISE (*Phocoena phocoena*): Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

This stock is found in U.S. and Canadian Atlantic waters. The distribution of harbor porpoises has been documented by sighting surveys, strandings and takes reported by NMFS observers in the Sea Sampling Program. During summer (July to September), harbor porpoises are concentrated in the northern Gulf of Maine and southern Bay of Fundy region, generally in waters less than 150m deep (Gaskin 1977; Kraus *et al.* 1983; Palka 1995a, b), with a few sightings in the upper Bay of Fundy and on the northern edge of Georges Bank (Palka 2000). During fall (October-December) and spring (April-June), harbor porpoises are widely dispersed from New Jersey to Maine, with lower densities farther north and south. They are seen from the coastline to deep waters (>1800m; Westgate *et al.* 1998), although the majority of the population is found over the continental shelf. During winter (January to March), intermediate densities of harbor porpoises can be found in waters off New Jersey to North Carolina, and lower densities are found in waters off New York to New Brunswick, Canada. There does not appear to be a temporally coordinated migration or a specific migratory route to and from the Bay of Fundy region. However, during the fall, several satellite tagged harbor porpoises did favor the waters around the 92m isobath, which is consistent with observations of high rates of incidental catches in this depth range (Read and Westgate 1997). There were two stranding records from Florida during the 1980's (Smithsonian strandings database) and one during 2003 (NE Regional Office/NMFS strandings and entanglement database).

Gaskin (1984, 1992) proposed that there were four separate populations in the western North Atlantic: the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy, Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland and Greenland populations. Recent analyses involving mtDNA (Wang *et al.* 1996; Rosel *et al.* 1999a, 1999b), organochlorine contaminants (Westgate *et al.* 1997; Westgate and Tolley 1999), heavy metals (Johnston 1995), and life history parameters (Read and Hohn 1995) support Gaskin's proposal. Genetic studies using mitochondrial DNA (Rosel *et al.* 1999a) and contaminant studies using total PCBs (Westgate and Tolley 1999) indicate that the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy females were distinct from females from the other populations in the Northwest Atlantic. Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy males were distinct from Newfoundland and Greenland males, but not from Gulf of St. Lawrence males according to studies comparing mtDNA (Rosel *et al.* 1999a; Palka *et al.* 1996) and CHLORs, DDTs, PCBs and CHBs (Westgate and Tolley 1999). Analyses of stranded animals from the Mid-Atlantic states suggest that this aggregation of harbor porpoises consists of animals from more than just the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy stock (Rosel *et al.* 1999a). However, the majority of the samples used in the Rosel *et al.* (1999a) study were from stranded juvenile animals. Further work is needed to examine adult animals from this region. Nuclear microsatellite markers have also been applied to samples from these four populations, but this analysis failed to detect significant population sub-division




Figure 1. Distribution of harbor porpoises from NEFSC and SEFSC shipboard and aerial surveys during the summers of 1998, 1999, and 2004. Isobaths are the 100m, 1000m, and 4000m depth contours.

in either sex (Rosel *et al.* 1999a). These patterns may be indicative of female philopatry coupled with dispersal of males. This report follows Gaskin's hypothesis on harbor porpoise stock structure in the western North Atlantic, where the Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy harbor porpoises are recognized as a single management stock separate from harbor porpoise populations in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Newfoundland and Greenland.

POPULATION SIZE

To estimate the population size of harbor porpoises in the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy region, four line-transect sighting surveys were conducted during the summers of 1991, 1992, 1995 and 1999 (Table 1; Figure 1). The estimates were 37,500 harbor porpoises in 1991 (CV=0.29, 95% confidence interval (CI)=26,700-86,400) (Palka 1995a), 67,500 harbor porpoises in 1992 (CV=0.23, 95% CI=32,900-104,600), 74,000 harbor porpoises in 1995 (CV=0.20, 95% CI=40,900-109,100) (Palka 1996) and 89,700 in 1999 (CV=0.22, 95% CI=53,400-150,900) (Palka 2000). The inverse variance weighted-average abundance estimate (Smith *et al.* 1993) of the 1991 to 1995 estimates was 54,300 harbor porpoises (CV=0.14, 95% CI=41,300-71,400). Possible reasons for inter-annual differences in abundance and distribution include experimental error, inter-annual changes in water temperature and availability of primary prey species (Palka 1995b), and movement among population units (e.g., between the Gulf of Maine and Gulf of St. Lawrence). One of the reasons the 1999 estimate is larger than previous estimates is that, for the first time, the upper Bay of Fundy and northern Georges Bank were surveyed and harbor porpoises were seen in both areas. This indicates the harbor porpoise summer habitat is larger than previously thought (Palka 2000).

The shipboard sighting survey procedure used in all four surveys involved two independent teams on one ship that searched using the naked eye in non-closing mode. Abundance, corrected for $g(0)$, the probability of detecting an animal group on the track line, was estimated using the direct-duplicate method (Palka 1995a) and variability was estimated using bootstrap re-sampling methods. Potential biases not explicitly accounted for include ship avoidance and submergence time. The effects of these two potential biases are unknown. During 1995 and 1999 a section of the region was surveyed by airplane while the rest of the region was surveyed by ship, as in previous years (Palka 1996; 2000). During 1995, in addition to the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy area, waters from Virginia to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence were surveyed and harbor porpoises were seen only in the vicinity of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy. During 1999, waters from south of Cape Cod to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence were surveyed (Palka 2000).

The best current abundance estimate of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise stock is 89,700 (CV=0.22), based on the 1999 survey results not averaged with other years (Table 1). This is because the 1999 estimate is the most current, and this survey discovered portions of the harbor porpoise range not covered previously.

Kingsley and Reeves (1998) estimated there were 12,100 (CV=0.26) harbor porpoises in the entire Gulf of St. Lawrence during 1995, and 21,700 (CV=0.38) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence during 1996. These estimates are presumed to be of the Gulf of St. Lawrence stock of harbor porpoises. The highest densities were north of Anticosti Island, with lower densities in the central and southern Gulf. During the 1995 survey, 8,427km of track lines were flown in an area of 221,949km² during August and September. During the 1996 survey, 3,993km of track lines were flown in an area of 94,665km² during July and August. Data were analyzed using Quenouille's jackknife bias reduction procedure on line transect methods that modeled the left truncated sighting curve. These estimates were not corrected for visibility biases such as $g(0)$.

Table 1. Summary of recent abundance estimates for the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise. Month, year, and area covered during each abundance survey and the resulting abundance estimate (N_{best}) and coefficient of variation (CV).

Month/Year	Area	N_{best}	CV
Jul-Aug 1999	S. Gulf of Maine to upper Bay of Fundy	89,700	0.22

Minimum Population Estimate

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for harbor porpoises is 89,700 (CV=0.22). The minimum population estimate for the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise is 74,695.

Current Population Trend

Previous abundance estimates for harbor porpoises in the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy are available from earlier studies, (e.g., 4,000 animals (Gaskin 1977), and 15,800 animals (Kraus *et al.* 1983)). These estimates cannot be used in a trends analysis because they were for selected small regions within the entire known summer range and, in some cases, did not incorporate an estimate of $g(0)$ (NEFSC 1992).

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Although current population growth rates of Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoises have not been estimated due to lack of data, several attempts have been made to estimate potential population growth rates. Barlow and Boveng (1991), who used a re-scaled human life table, estimated the upper bound of the annual potential growth rate to be 9.4%. Woodley and Read (1991) used a re-scaled Himalayan tahr life table to estimate a likely annual growth rate of 4%. In an attempt to estimate a potential population growth rate that incorporates many of the uncertainties in survivorship and reproduction, Caswell *et al.* (1998) used a Monte Carlo method to calculate a probability distribution of growth rates. The median potential annual rate of increase was approximately 10%, with a 90% confidence interval of 3-15%. This analysis underscored the considerable uncertainty that exists regarding the potential rate of increase in this population. Consequently, for the purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 4%, consistent with values used for other cetaceans for which direct observations of maximum rate of increase are not available, and following a recommendation from the Atlantic Scientific Review Group. The 4% value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow *et al.* 1995).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a “recovery” factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size is 74,695. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The “recovery” factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.5 because this stock is of unknown status. PBR for the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise is 747.

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY

Data to estimate the mortality and serious injury of harbor porpoise come from U.S. and Canadian Sea Sampling Programs, from records of strandings in U.S. and Canadian waters, and from records in the Marine Mammal Authorization Program (MMAP). See Appendix III for details on U.S. fisheries and data sources. Estimates using Sea Sampling Program and MMAP data are discussed by fishery under the Fishery Information section (Table 2). Strandings records are discussed under the Unknown Fishery in the Fishery Information section (Table 3) and under the Other Mortality section (Tables 4 to 5).

A take reduction plan was implemented 01 January 1999 to reduce takes of harbor porpoises in U.S. Atlantic gillnet fisheries. In addition, several New England and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council plans that apply to parts of the gillnet fisheries were also implemented during 1999. Because these plans changed the U.S. gillnet fisheries, only mortality estimates from after 1999 are representative of the current U.S. mortality.

The total annual estimated average human-caused mortality is 473 (CV=0.17) harbor porpoises per year. This is derived from four components: 417 harbor porpoise per year (CV=0.17) from U.S. fisheries using observer and MMAP data, 48 per year (unknown CV) from Canadian fisheries using observer data, 6.8 per year from U.S. unknown fisheries using strandings data, and 1.2 per year from unknown human-caused mortality (mutilated stranded harbor porpoises).

Fishery Information

Recently, Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise takes have been documented in the U.S. Northeast sink gillnet, Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet, and in the Canadian Bay of Fundy groundfish sink gillnet and herring weir fisheries (Table 2). Detailed U.S. fishery information is reported in Appendix III.

Earlier Interactions

One harbor porpoise was observed taken from the Atlantic pelagic drift gillnet fishery during 1991-1998; the fishery ended in 1998. This observed bycatch was notable because it occurred in continental shelf edge waters adjacent to Cape Hatteras (Read *et al.* 1996). Estimated annual fishery-related mortality (CV in parentheses) attributable to this fishery was 0.7 in 1989 (7.00), 1.7 in 1990 (2.65), 0.7 in 1991 (1.00), 0.4 in 1992 (1.00), 1.5 in 1993 (0.34), 0 during 1994-1996 and 0 in 1998. The fishery was closed during 1997.

U.S.

Northeast Sink Gillnet

In 1984 the Northeast sink gillnet fishery was investigated by a sampling program that collected information concerning marine mammal bycatch. Approximately 10% of the vessels fishing in Maine, New Hampshire, and Massachusetts were sampled. Among the 11 gillnetters who received permits and logbooks, 30 harbor porpoises were reported caught. It was estimated, using rough estimates of fishing effort, that a maximum of 600 harbor porpoises were killed annually in this fishery (Gilbert and Wynne 1985, 1987).

In 1990, an observer program was started by NMFS to investigate marine mammal takes in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery (Appendix III). There have been 474 harbor porpoise mortalities related to this fishery observed between 1990 and 2003 and one was released alive and uninjured. Bycatch in the northern Gulf of Maine occurs primarily from June to September, while in the southern Gulf of Maine, bycatch occurs from January to May and September to December. Estimated annual bycatch (CV in parentheses) from this fishery during 1990-2003 was 2,900 in 1990 (0.32), 2,000 in 1991 (0.35), 1,200 in 1992 (0.21), 1,400 in 1993 (0.18) (Bravington and Bisack 1996; CUD 1994), 2,100 in 1994 (0.18), 1,400 in 1995 (0.27) (Bisack 1997), 1,200 in 1996 (0.25), 782 in 1997 (0.22), 332 in 1998 (0.46), 270 in 1999 (0.28) (Rossman and Merrick 1999), 507 in 2000 (0.37), 53 (0.97) in 2001, 444 (0.37) in 2002 and 592 (0.33) in 2003. The increase in the CV in recent years is mainly due to the small number of observed takes.

In November 2001, there were two takes reported through the Marine Mammal Authorization Program (MMAP) that were taken in one sink gillnet haul located near Jeffrey's Ledge. These two takes were then added to the 2 observed takes and 51 estimated total take that was derived from the observer data because the MMAP takes were in a time and area not included in any of the above observer-based bycatch estimates. This then results in 4 observed takes and 53 (0.97) total takes in 2001 from this fishery (Table 2).

There appeared to be no evidence of differential mortality in U.S. or Canadian gillnet fisheries by age or sex in animals collected before 1994, although there was substantial inter-annual variation in the age and sex composition of the bycatch (Read and Hohn 1995). Using observer data collected during 1990-1998 and a logit regression model, females were 11 times more likely to be caught in the offshore southern Gulf of Maine region, males were more likely to be caught in the south Cape Cod region, and the overall proportion of males and females caught in a gillnet and brought back to land were not significantly different from 1:1 (Lamb 2000).

Two preliminary experiments, using acoustic alarms (pingers) attached to gillnets, were conducted in the Gulf of Maine during 1992 and 1993 and took 10 and 33 harbor porpoises, respectively. During fall 1994, another controlled scientific experiment was conducted in the southern Gulf of Maine, where 25 harbor porpoises were taken in 423 strings with non-active pingers (controls) and 2 harbor porpoises were taken in 421 strings with active pingers (Kraus *et al.* 1997). In addition, 17 other harbor porpoises were taken in nets that did not follow the experimental protocol (Table 2). After 1994, experimental fisheries were conducted where all nets in a designated area were required to use pingers and only a sample of the nets were observed. During November-December 1995, an experimental fishery was conducted in the southern Gulf of Maine (Jeffreys Ledge) region, where no harbor porpoises were observed taken in 225 pingered nets. During 1995, all takes from pingered nets were added directly to the estimated total bycatch for that year. During April 1996, 3 other experimental fisheries occurred. In the Jeffreys Ledge area, in 88 observed hauls using pingered nets, 9 harbor porpoises were taken. In the Massachusetts Bay region, in 171 observed hauls using pingered nets, 2 harbor porpoises were taken. And, in a region just south of Cape Cod, in 53 observed hauls using pingered nets, no harbor porpoises were taken. During 1997, experimental fisheries were allowed in the mid-coast region during March 25 to April 25 and November 1 to December 31. During the 1997 spring experimental fishery, 180 hauls were observed with active pingers and 220 hauls were controls (silent). All observed harbor porpoise takes were in silent nets: 8 in nets with control (silent) pingers and 3 in nets without pingers. Thus, there was a statistical difference between the catch rate in nets with pingers and silent nets (Kraus and Brault 1997). During the 1997 fall experimental fishery, out of 125 observed hauls using pingered nets no harbor porpoises were taken.

From 95 stomachs of harbor porpoises collected in groundfish gillnets in the Gulf of Maine between September and December 1989-1994, Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) was the most important prey. Pearlsides (*Maurolicus weitzmani*), silver hake (*Merluccius bilinearis*) and red and white hake (*Urophycis* spp.) were the next most common prey species (Gannon *et al.* 1998).

Average estimated harbor porpoise mortality and serious injury in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery during 1994-1998, before the Take Reduction Plan, was 1,163 (0.11). Because of the Take Reduction Plan to reduce takes in U.S. Atlantic gillnets, and the NEFMC fishery management plans to manage groundfish, fishing practices changed during 1999. Subsequently, the average annual harbor porpoise mortality and serious injury in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery from 1999 to 2003 was 373 (0.18) (Table 2).

Mid-Atlantic Coastal Gillnet

Before an observer program was in place for this fishery, Polacheck *et al.* (1995) reported one harbor porpoise incidentally taken in shad nets in the York River, Virginia. In July 1993 an observer program was initiated in the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery by the NEFSC Sea Sampling program (Appendix III). Documented bycatch after 1995 were from December to May. Bycatch estimates were calculated using methods similar to that used for bycatch estimates in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery (Bravington and Bisack 1996; Bisack 1997). After 1998, a separate bycatch estimate was made for the drift gillnet and set gillnet sub-fisheries. The number presented here is the sum of these two sub-fisheries. The estimated annual mortality (CV in parentheses) attributed to this fishery was 103 (0.57) for 1995, 311 (0.31) for 1996, 572 (0.35) for 1997, 446 (0.36) for 1998, 53 (0.49) for 1999, 21 (0.76) for 2000, 26 (0.95) for 2001, unknown in 2002 and 76 (1.13) in 2003. During 2002, the overall observer coverage was lower than usual, 1%, where 65% of that coverage was off of Virginia, and most of the rest of the area was not sampled at all. Thus, due to this non-representative and low

observer coverage, a bycatch estimate for harbor porpoises cannot be confidently estimated. Annual average estimated harbor porpoise mortality and serious injury from the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery during 1995 to 1998, before the Take Reduction Plan, was 358 (CV=0.20). Because of the Take Reduction Plan to reduce takes in U.S. Atlantic gillnets, and the fishery management plans to manage groundfish, fishing practices changed during 1999. Subsequently, the average annual harbor porpoise mortality and serious injury in the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery from 1999 to 2003 was 44 (0.61), which is the 4-year average estimate from 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2003.

Unknown Fishery

The strandings and entanglement database, maintained by the New England Aquarium and the Northeast Regional Office/NMFS, reported 228, 27, 113, 79, and 122 stranded harbor porpoises on U.S. beaches during 1999 to 2003, respectively (see Other Mortality section for more details). Of these, it was determined that the cause of death of 19, 1, 3, 2, and 9 stranded harbor porpoises in 1999 to 2003, respectively, were due to unknown fisheries (Tables 3 and 5) and these animals were in areas and times that were not included in the above mortality estimate derived from observer program data. The average harbor porpoise mortality and serious injury in this unknown fishery category from 1999 to 2003 is 6.8 (CV is unknown).

North Atlantic Bottom Trawl

This fishery is active in New England waters in all seasons. Two harbor porpoise mortalities were observed in the North Atlantic bottom trawl fishery between 1989 and 2003. The first take occurred in February 1992 east of Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey at the continental shelf break. The animal was clearly dead prior to being taken by the trawl, because it was severely decomposed and the tow duration of 3.3 hours was insufficient to allow extensive decomposition. The second take occurred in January 2001 off New Hampshire in a haul trawling for flounder. This animal was clearly dead prior to being taken by the trawl, because it was severely decomposed (the skull broke off while the net was emptying) and the tow duration was 3.1 hours. This take was observed in the same time and area stratum that had documented gillnet takes. In conclusion, the estimated bycatch of harbor porpoises due to this fishery is 0.

CANADA

Hooker *et al.* (1997) summarized bycatch data from a Canadian fisheries observer program that placed observers on all foreign fishing vessels operating in Canadian waters, on 25-40% of large Canadian fishing vessels (greater than 100 feet long), and on approximately 5% of smaller Canadian fishing vessels. No harbor porpoises were observed taken.

Bay of Fundy Sink Gillnet

During the early 1980's, Canadian harbor porpoise bycatch in the Bay of Fundy sink gillnet fishery, based on casual observations and discussions with fishermen, was thought to be low. The estimated harbor porpoise bycatch in 1986 was 94-116 and in 1989 it was 130 (Trippel *et al.* 1996). The Canadian gillnet fishery occurs mostly in the western portion of the Bay of Fundy during the summer and early autumn months, when the density of harbor porpoises is highest. Polacheck (1989) reported there were 19 gillnetters active in 1986, 28 active in 1987, and 21 in 1988.

More recently, an observer program implemented in the summer of 1993 provided a total bycatch estimate of 424 harbor porpoises (± 1 SE: 200-648) from 62 observed trips, (approximately 11.3% coverage of the Bay of Fundy trips) (Trippel *et al.* 1996). During 1994, the observer program was expanded to cover 49% of the gillnet trips (171 observed trips). The bycatch was estimated to be 101 harbor porpoises (95% confidence limit: 80-122), and the fishing fleet consisted of 28 vessels (Trippel *et al.* 1996). During 1995, due to groundfish quotas being exceeded, the gillnet fishery was closed from July 21 to August 31. During the open fishing period of 1995, 89% of the trips were observed, all in the Swallowtail region. Approximately 30% of these observed trips used pingered nets. The estimated bycatch was 87 harbor porpoises (Trippel *et al.* 1996). No confidence interval was computed due to lack of coverage in the Wolves fishing grounds. During 1996, the Canadian gillnet fishery was closed during July 20-31 and August 16-31 due to groundfish quotas. From the 107 monitored trips, the bycatch in 1996 was estimated to be 20 harbor porpoises (Trippel *et al.* 1999; DFO 1998). Trippel *et al.* (1999) estimated that during 1996, gillnets equipped with acoustic alarms reduced harbor porpoise bycatch rates by 68% over nets without alarms in the Swallowtail area of the lower Bay of Fundy. During 1997, the fishery was closed to the majority of the gillnet fleet during July 18-31 and August 16-31, due to groundfish quotas. In addition a time-area closure to reduce porpoise bycatch in the Swallowtail area occurred during September 1-7. From the 75 monitored trips, 19 harbor porpoises were observed taken. After accounting for total fishing effort, the estimated bycatch in 1997 was 43 animals (DFO 1998). Trippel *et al.* (1999) estimated that during 1997, gillnets equipped with acoustic alarms reduced harbor porpoise bycatch rates by 85% over nets without alarms in the Swallowtail area of the lower Bay of Fundy.

The number of monitored trips (and observed harbor porpoise mortalities were 111 (5) for 1998, 93 (3) for 1999, 194 (5) for 2000, and 285 (39) for 2001. The estimated annual mortality estimates were 38 for 1998, 32 for 1999, 28 for 2000, and 73 for 2001 (Trippel and Shepherd, 2004). Estimates of variance are not available.

There was no observer program during the summers of 2002 and 2003 in the Bay of Fundy region, but the fishery was active. Thus, it is not known what the bycatch for these two years. The three-year average estimated harbor porpoise mortality in the Canadian groundfish sink gillnet fishery during 1999-2001 was 44 (Table 2). An estimate of variance is not possible.

Herring Weirs

Harbor porpoises are taken in Canadian herring weirs, but there have been no recent efforts to observe takes in the U.S. component of this fishery. Smith *et al.* (1983) estimated that in the 1980's approximately 70 harbor porpoises became trapped annually and, on average, 27 died annually. In 1990, at least 43 harbor porpoises were trapped in Bay of Fundy weirs (Read 1994). In 1993, after a cooperative program between fishermen and Canadian biologists was initiated, over 100 harbor porpoises were released alive (Read 1994). Between 1992 and 1994, this cooperative program resulted in the live release of 206 of 263 harbor porpoises caught in herring weirs. Mortalities (and releases) were 11 (and 50) in 1992, 33 (and 113) in 1993, and 13 (and 43) in 1994 (Neimanis *et al.* 1995). Since that time, an additional 623 harbor porpoises have been documented in Canadian herring weirs, of which 584 were released or escaped, 32 died, and 7 had an unknown status. Mortalities (and releases and unknowns) were 5 (and 60) in 1995; 2 (and 4) in 1996; 2 (and 24) in 1997; 2 (and 26) in 1998; 3 (and 89) in 1999; 0 (and 13) in 2000 (A. Read, pers. comm), 14 (and 296) in 2001, 3 (and 46 and 4) in 2002, and 1 (and 26 and 3) in 2003 (H. Koopman, pers. comm.).

Clinical hematology values were obtained from 29 harbor porpoises released from Bay of Fundy herring weirs (Koopman *et al.* 1999). These data represent a baseline for free-ranging harbor porpoises that can be used as a reference for long-term monitoring of the health of this population, a mandate by the MMPA. Blood for both hematology and serum chemistry, including stress and reproductive hormones, is currently being collected; with 57 samples from 2001, 15 from 2002 and 7 from 2003 (H. Koopman, pers. comm).

Average estimated harbor porpoise mortality in the Canadian herring weir fishery during 1999-2003 was 4.2 (Table 2). An estimate of variance is not possible.

Gulf of St. Lawrence gillnet

This fishery interacts with the Gulf of St. Lawrence harbor porpoise stock, not the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise stock. Using questionnaires to fishermen, Lesage *et al.* (2003) determined a total of 2180 (95% CI 1012-3802) and 2478 (95% CI 1591-3464) harbor porpoises were taken in 2000 and 2001, respectively. The largest takes were in July and August around Miscou and the North Shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. According to the returned questionnaires, the fish species most usually associated with incidental takes of harbor porpoises include Atlantic cod, herring and mackerel. An at-sea observer program was also conducted during 2001 and 2002. However, due to low observer coverage that was not representative of the fishing effort, Lesage *et al.* (2003) concluded that resulting bycatch estimates were unreliable.

Table 2. From observer program data, summary of the incidental mortality of harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) by commercial fishery including the years sampled (Years), the number of vessels active within the fishery (Vessels), the type of data used (Data Type), the annual observer coverage (Observer Coverage), the mortalities recorded by on-board observers (Observed Mortality), the estimated annual mortality (Estimated Mortality), the estimated CV of the annual mortality (Estimated CVs) and the mean annual mortality (CV in parentheses).

Fishery	Years	Vessels	Data Type ^a	Observer Coverage ^b	Observed Mortality	Estimated Mortality	Estimated CVs	Mean Annual Mortality
U.S.								
Northeast Sink Gillnet	99-03	NA	Obs. Data, Weighout, Trip Logbook	.06, .06, .04, .02, .03	14 ^c , 15 ^c , 4 ^{c,f} , 10 ^c , 12 ^c	270 ^c , 507 ^c , 53 ^{c,f} , 444 ^c , 592 ^c	.28, .37, .97, .37, .33	373 (0.18)
Mid-Atlantic Coastal Gillnet	99-03	NA	Obs. Data Weighout	.02, .02, .02, .01, .01	3, 1, 1, unk ^g , 1	53, 21, 26, unk ^g , 76	.49, .76, .95, unk ^g , 1.13	44 ^g (0.61)
U.S. TOTAL	1999-2003							417 (0.17)
CANADA								
Groundfish Sink Gillnet	99-03	NA	Can. Trips	11, .41, .56, 0 ^h , 0 ^h	3, 5, 39, unk ^h , unk ^h	32, 28, 73, unk ^h , unk ^h	NA	44 (NA)
Herring Weir	99-03	1998=255 licenses ^d 2002=22 ^e	Coop. Data	NA	3, 0, 14, 3, 1	3, 0, 14, 3, 1	NA	4.2 (NA)
CANADIAN TOTAL	1999 - 2003							48 (NA)
GRAND TOTAL								465 (NA)

NA = Not available.

^a Observer data (Obs. Data) are used to measure bycatch rates; the U.S. data are collected by the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program, the Canadian data are collected by DFO. NEFSC collects Weighout (Weighout) landings data that are used as a measure of total effort for the U.S. gillnet fisheries. The Canadian DFO catch and effort statistical system collected the total number of trips fished by the Canadians (Can. Trips), which was the measure of total effort for the Canadian groundfish gillnet fishery. Mandatory vessel trip report (VTR) (Trip Logbook) data are used to determine the spatial distribution of fishing effort in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery. Observed mortalities from herring weirs are collected by a cooperative program between fishermen and Canadian biologists (Coop. Data).

^b The observer coverages for the U.S. and Canadian sink gillnet fisheries are measured in trips, and for the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery, the unit of effort is tons of fish landed.

^c During 2000, a harbor porpoise was taken on a non-pingered string within a stratum that did not require pingers but that stratum had other trips where strings with pingers were observed; and during 1999-2002, harbor porpoises were taken on pingered strings within strata that required pingers but that stratum also had observed strings without pingers. For estimates made during 1998 and after, a weighted bycatch rate was applied to effort from both pingered and non-pingered hauls within a stratum. The weighted bycatch rate was:

$$\sum_i \frac{\text{pinger hauls} \cdot \# \text{ porpoise}_i}{\text{total landings}} \cdot \frac{\# \text{ hauls}_i}{\text{total hauls}}$$

There were 10, 33, 44, 0, 11, 0, 2, 8, 6, 2, 26, and 2 observed harbor porpoise takes on pinger trips from 1992 to 2003, respectively, that were included in the observed mortality column. In addition, there were 9, 0, 2, 1, 1, 4, 0, 1, and 7 observed harbor porpoise takes in 1995 to 2003, respectively, on trips dedicated to fish sampling versus dedicated to watching for marine mammals; these are also included in the observed mortality column (Bisack 1997).

^d There were 255 licenses for herring weirs in the Canadian Bay of Fundy region.

^e There were 22 active weirs around Grand Manan. The number of weirs elsewhere is unknown.

^f During 2001 in the U.S. Northeast sink gillnet fishery, there were 2 takes observed in the NEFSC observer program, this resulted in an estimate of 51 total bycaught harbor porpoises. In November 2001, there were two takes reported through the Marine Mammal Authorization Program that were from one sink gillnet haul that was located near Jeffery's Ledge. These two takes were then added to the 2 observed takes and 51 estimated total take derived from the observer data, resulting in 4 observed takes and 53 total takes for the fishery during 2001.

^g Sixty-five percent of sampling by the NEFSC fisheries observer program was concentrated in one area off the coast of Virginia. Coverage in other areas of the Mid-Atlantic was <1%. Because of the low level of sampling that was not distributed proportionally throughout the Mid-Atlantic region, the observed mortality is considered unknown in 2002. The four-year average (1999-2001 and 2003) estimated mortality was applied as the best representative estimate.

^h The Canadian gillnet fishery was not observed during 2002 and 2003, but the fishery was active; thus, the bycatch estimate is unknown. The average bycatch for this fishery is from the three preceding years, 1999 to 2001.

Table 3. From strandings and entanglement data, summary of confirmed incidental mortality of harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) by fishery: includes years sampled (Years), number of vessels active within the fishery (Vessels), type of data used (Data Type), mortalities assigned to this fishery (Assigned Mortality), and mean annual mortality.

Fishery	Years	Vessels	Data Type ^a	Assigned Mortality	Mean Annual Mortality
Unknown gillnet fishery	99-03	NA	Entanglement & Strandings	19, 1, 3, 2, 9	6.8
TOTAL					6.8

NA=Not Available.

a Data from records in the entanglement and strandings data base maintained by the New England Aquarium and the Northeast Regional Office/NMFS (Entanglement and Strandings).

Other Mortality

U.S.

There is evidence that harbor porpoises were harvested by natives in Maine and Canada before the 1960's, and the meat was used for human consumption, oil, and fish bait (NEFSC 1992). The extent of these past harvests is unknown, though it is believed to have been small. Up until the early 1980's, small kills by native hunters (Passamaquoddy Indians) were reported. In recent years it was believed to have nearly stopped (Polacheck 1989) until media reports in September 1997 depicted a Passamaquoddy tribe member dressing out a harbor porpoise. Further articles describing use of porpoise products for food and other purposes were timed to coincide with ongoing legal action in state court.

During 1993, 73 harbor porpoises were reported stranded on beaches from Maine to North Carolina (Smithsonian Marine Mammal Database). Sixty-three of those harbor porpoises were reported stranded in the U.S. Mid-Atlantic region from New York to North Carolina between February and May. Many of the Mid-Atlantic carcasses recovered in this area during this time period had cuts and body damage suggestive of net marking (Haley and Read 1993). Five out of 8 carcasses and 15 heads from the strandings that were examined showed signs of human interactions (net markings on skin and missing flippers or flukes). Decomposition of the remaining animals prevented determination of the cause of death. Earlier reports of harbor porpoise entangled in gillnets in Chesapeake Bay and along the New Jersey coast and reports of apparent mutilation of harbor porpoise carcasses raised concern that the 1993 strandings were related to a coastal net fishery, such as the American shad coastal gillnet fishery (Haley and Read 1993). Between 1994 and 1996, 107 harbor porpoise carcasses were recovered from beaches in Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina and investigated by scientists. Only juvenile harbor porpoises were present in this sample. Of the 40 harbor porpoises for which cause of death could be established, 25 displayed definitive evidence of entanglement in fishing gear. In 4 cases it was possible to determine that the animal was entangled in monofilament nets (Cox *et al.* 1998).

Records of harbor porpoise strandings prior to 1997 are stored in the Smithsonian's Marine Mammal Database and records from 1997 to present are stored in the NE Regional Office/NMFS strandings and entanglement database. According to these records, the numbers of harbor porpoises that stranded on U.S. beaches from North Carolina to Maine during 1994 to 2003 were 106, 86, 94, 118, 59, 228, 27, 113, 79 and 122 respectively (Table 4). Of these, 3 stranded alive on a Massachusetts beach in 1996, were tagged, and subsequently released. In 1998, 2 porpoises that stranded on a New Jersey beach had tags on them indicating they were originally taken on an observed Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet vessel. During 1999, 6 animals stranded alive and were either tagged and released or brought to Mystic Aquarium for rehabilitation (Table 4).

During 1999, over half of the strandings occurred on beaches of Massachusetts and North Carolina. The states with the next largest numbers were Virginia, New Jersey and Maryland, in that order. The cause of death was investigated for all the 1999 strandings (Table 5). Of these, it was possible to determine that the cause of death of 38 animals was fishery interactions. Of these 38, 19 animals were in an area and time that were not part of a bycatch estimate derived using observer data. Thus, these 19 mortalities are attributed to an unknown gillnet fishery (Table 3). One additional animal was found mutilated (right flipper and fluke was cut off) and cause of death was attributed to an unknown human-caused mortality (Table 5).

During 2000, only 27 harbor porpoises stranded on beaches from Maine to North Carolina (Table 4). Of these, most came from Massachusetts (8) or North Carolina (6). The cause of death for 1 animal was in an area and time that was not part of a bycatch estimate derived from observer data, and thus was attributed to an unknown gillnet fishery (Tables 3 and 5). This animal was found on a beach in Virginia during May with mono-filament line wrapped around it. In addition, 1 animal was found mutilated and so cause of death was attributed to an unknown human-caused mortality (Table 5).

During 2001, 113 harbor porpoises were reported stranded, of these most came from Massachusetts (39), Virginia (28), and North Carolina (21) (Table 4). Thirteen of these strandings displayed signs of fishery interactions, and of these, 3 animals were in an area and time that were not part of a bycatch estimate derived from the observer data (Tables 3 and 5).

During 2002, 79 harbor porpoises were reported stranded, of which over half come from Massachusetts (42) (Table 4). Eleven animals displayed signs of emaciation and two signs of fishery interactions (Table 5). Both of the strandings with fishery interactions were in the Mid-Atlantic (Maryland and Virginia) during March and were not in a time or area that was included in a bycatch estimate derived from observer data (Tables 3 and 5).

During 2003, 122 harbor porpoises were reported stranded, of which approximately 1/3 came from Massachusetts (35) and an additional 1/3 came from North Carolina (39) (Table 4). The number of reported fishery interactions by state are: 1 in Massachusetts (October), 1 in Maryland (March), 6 in Virginia (3 in March, 2 in April, and 1 in May), and 1 in North Carolina (February). Three harbor porpoises were reported mutilated in North Carolina. All of these strandings reported with fishery interactions were in areas and times that were not part of a bycatch estimate derived from the observer data (Tables 3 and 5).

Averaging 1999 to 2003, there were 1.2 animals per year that were stranded and mutilated and so cause of death was attributed to an unknown human-caused mortality (Table 5).

Stranding data probably underestimate the extent of fishery-related mortality and serious injury because all of the marine mammals that die or are seriously injured may not wash ashore, nor will all of those that do wash ashore necessarily show signs of entanglement or other fishery-interaction. Finally, the level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies widely as does the ability to recognize signs of fishery interaction.

Table 4. Summary of number of stranded harbor porpoises in the U.S. and Nova Scotia during January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2003, by year and area.

Area	Year					Total
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Maine ^a	3	2	4	8	5	22
New Hampshire	0	0	0	2	2	4
Massachusetts ^b	60	8	39	42	35	184
Rhode Island	3	0	1	1	2	7
Connecticut	0	0	0	1	0	1
New York ^c	10	2	7	6	8	33
New Jersey ^d	23	2	6	6	5	42
Delaware	9	1	3	3	1	17
Maryland	21	3	4	1	5	34
Virginia	40	3	28	6	19	96
North Carolina	59	6	21	3	39	128
Florida	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL U.S.	228	27	113	79	122	569
Nova Scotia	1	3	2	5	3	14
GRAND TOTAL	229	30	115	84	125	583

a In Maine, 1 animal stranded alive in March 2002, brought to Mystic Aquarium but died 2 days later.

b In Massachusetts, during 1996 three animals stranded alive on a Massachusetts beach, were tagged and released alive. During 1999, five animals stranded alive and were tagged and released. During 2002, three animals stranded alive and were rehabilitated at Mystic Aquarium (1 in February, March and May).

c In New York, one animal stranded alive in 1999, rehabilitated at Mystic Aquarium and died at the aquarium in April 2000.

d In New Jersey, two porpoises that stranded in 1998 had been previously tagged and released from an observed Mid-Atlantic coastal gill net fishing vessel.

Table 5. Cause of mortality of U.S. stranded harbor porpoises during January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2003. “Unique FI” is a fishery interaction that is in a time and area that could not be part of the mortality estimate derived from the observer program. “Not unique FI” is a fishery interaction that was in a time and area that may be part of the observer program derived mortality estimate. “No FI” is the cause of death was determined not to be related to a fishery interaction. “Alive” is stranded animal not dead. “CBD/Unk” is cause of death could not be determined or was unknown.

Year	Unique FI ^a	Mutilation ^b	Not unique FI	No FI	Emaciated	CBD/Unk	Alive	Total
1999	19	1	19	41	30	112	6	228
2000	1	1	0	2	0	22	0	26
2001	3	1	10	32	0	64	3	113
2002	2	0	0	2	11	60	4	79
2003	9	3	0	61	3	44	2	122
Avg 99-03	6.8	1.2	5.8	27.6	8.8	60.6	3.0	113.6
a Attributed to an unknown fishery. b Attributed to an unknown human-caused mortality.								

CANADA

Whales and dolphins stranded between 1991 and 1996 on the coast of Nova Scotia were documented by the Nova Scotia Stranding Network (Hooker *et al.* 1997). Strandings on the beaches of Sable Island during 1970 to 1998 were documented by researchers with Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada (Lucas and Hooker 2000). Sable Island is approximately 170km southeast of mainland Nova Scotia. On the mainland of Nova Scotia, a total of 8 stranded harbor porpoises were recorded between 1991 and 1996: 1 in May 1991, 2 in 1993 (July and September), 1 in August 1994 (released alive), 1 in August 1994, and 3 in 1996 (March, April, and July (released alive)). On Sable Island, 8 stranded dead harbor porpoises were documented, most in January and February; 1 in May 1991, 1 in January 1992, 1 in January 1993, 3 in February 1997, 1 in May 1997, and 1 in June 1997. Two strandings during May-June 1997 were neonates (> 80 cm). The harbor porpoises that stranded in the winter (January-February) were on Sable Island, those in the spring (March to June) were in the Bay of Fundy (2 in Minas Basin and 1 near Yarmouth) and on Sable Island (2), and those in the summer (July to September) were scattered along the coast from the Bay of Fundy to Halifax.

Whales and dolphins stranded between 1997 and 2004 on the coast of Nova Scotia as recorded by the Marine Animal Response Society (MARS) and the Nova Scotia Stranding Network are as follows (Table 4): 3 harbor porpoises stranded in 1997 (1 in April, 1 in June and 1 in July), 2 stranded in June 1998, 1 in March 1999, 3 in 2000 (1 in February, 1 in June and 1 in August); 2 in 2001 (1 in July and 1 in December), 5 in 2002 (3 in July (1 released alive), 1 in August and 1 in September (released alive)), 3 in 2003 (2 in May (1 was released alive) and 1 in June (disentangled and released alive)) and 4 in 2004 (1 in April, 1 in May, 1 in July (released alive) and 1 in November).

STATUS OF STOCK

The status of harbor porpoises, relative to OSP, in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown. On January 7, 1993, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) proposed listing the Gulf of Maine harbor porpoise as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (NMFS 1993). On January 5, 1999, NMFS determined the proposed listing was not warranted (NMFS 1999). On August 2, 2001, NMFS made available a review of the biological status of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise population. The determination was made that listing under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) was not warranted and this stock was removed from the ESA candidate species list (NMFS 2001). There are insufficient data to determine population trends for this species. The total fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock is not less than 10% of the calculated PBR and, therefore, cannot be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. This is not a strategic stock because average annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury does not exceed PBR.

REFERENCES

- Barlow, J. and P. Boveng. 1991. Modeling age-specific mortality for marine mammal populations. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 7:50-65.
- Barlow, J., S.L. Swartz, T.C. Eagle and P.R. Wade. 1995. U.S. Marine mammal stock assessments: Guidelines for preparation, background, and a summary of the 1995 assessments. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-6, 73 pp.
- Bisack, K.D. 1997. Harbor porpoise bycatch estimates in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery: 1994 and 1995. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 47: 705-14.
- Bravington, M.V. and K.D. Bisack. 1996. Estimates of harbor porpoise bycatch in the Gulf of Maine sink gillnet fishery, 1990-1993. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 46:567-74.
- Caswell, H., S. Brault, A. Read and T. Smith. 1998. Harbor porpoise and fisheries: an uncertainty analysis of incidental mortality. *Ecological Applications* 84(4):1226-1238.
- Cox, T. M., A. J. Read, S. Barco, J. Evans, D. P. Gannon, H. N. Koopman, W.A. McLellan, K. Murray, J. Nicolas, D. A. Pabst, C. W. Potter, W. M. Swingle, V. G. Thayer, K. M. Touhey and A. J. Westgate. 1998. Documenting the bycatch of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in coastal gill net fisheries from stranded carcasses. *Fish. Bull. U.S.* 96(4):727-734.
- CUD [Conservation and Utilization Division]. 1994. Estimating harbor porpoise bycatch in the Gulf of Maine sink gillnet fishery. NOAA, NMFS, NEFSC Ref. Doc. 94-24. [Available from: NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543.]
- DFO [Department of Fisheries and Oceans]. 1998. Harbour porpoise bycatch in the lower Bay of Fundy gillnet fishery. DFO Maritimes Regional Fisheries Status Report 98/7E. [Available from Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Resource management Branch, P.O. Box 550, Halifax, NS B3J 2S7, Canada.]
- Gannon, D.P., J.E. Craddock and A.J. Read. 1998. Autumn food habits of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in the Gulf of Maine. *Fish. Bull. U.S.* 96(3):428-437.
- Gaskin, D.E. 1977. Harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (L.), in the western approaches to the Bay of Fundy 1969-75. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 27:487-492.
- Gaskin, D.E. 1984. The harbor porpoise *Phocoena phocoena* (L.): Regional populations, status, and information on direct and indirect catches. *Rep. Int. Whal. Commn.* 34:569-586.
- Gaskin, D.E. 1992. The status of the harbour porpoise. *Can.Fld. Nat.* 106:36-54.
- Gilbert, J.R. and K.M. Wynne. 1985. Harbor seal populations and fisheries interactions with marine mammals in New England, 1984. Fourth Annual Report, Contract NA-80-FA-C-00029, to NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA. 15 pp.
- Gilbert, J.R. and K.M. Wynne. 1987. Harbor seal populations and fisheries interactions with marine mammals in New England. Final Report, Contract NA-EA-C-0070, to NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA. 15 pp.
- Haley, N.J. and A.J. Read. 1993. Summary of the workshop on harbor porpoise mortalities and human interaction. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/NER 5.
- Hooker, S.K., R.W. Baird and M.A. Showell. 1997. Cetacean strandings and bycatches in Nova Scotia, Eastern Canada, 1991-1996. Meeting document SC/49/O5 submitted to the 1997 International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee meeting in Bournemouth, UK.
- Johnston, D.W. 1995. Spatial and temporal differences in heavy metal concentrations in the tissues of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena* L.) from the western North Atlantic. M.S. Thesis, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 152 pp.
- Kingsley, M.C. S. and R. R. Reeves. 1998. Aerial surveys of cetaceans in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1995 and 1996. *Can. J. Zool.* 76:1529-1550.
- Koopman, H. N., A. J. Westgate and A. J. Read. 1999. Hematology values of wild harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Bay of Fundy Canada. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 15(1):52-64.
- Kraus, S.D. and S. Brault. 1997. A springtime field test of the use of pingers to reduce incidental mortality of harbor porpoises in gillnets. Meeting document SC/49/SM42 submitted to the 1997 International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee meeting in Bournemouth, UK.
- Kraus, S.D., A. Read, E. Anderson, K. Baldwin, A. Solow, T. Sprawling and J. Williamson. 1997. Acoustic alarms reduce porpoise mortality. *Nature* 388:525.
- Kraus, S.D., J.H. Prescott and G.S. Stone. 1983. Harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, in the U.S. coastal waters of the Gulf of Maine: A survey to determine seasonal distribution and abundance. Report to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA. 15 pp.
- Lamb, A. 2000. Patterns of harbor porpoise mortality in two US Atlantic sink gillnet fisheries and changes in life history parameters. Masters Thesis from Boston University.

- Lesage, V., J. Keays, S. Turgeon and S. Hurtubise. 2003. Incidental mortality of harbour porpoises in the gillnet fishery of the Estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence in 2000-2002. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat. Research Document 2003/069. Available at <http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas/>
- Lucas, Z.N. and S.K. Hooker. 2000. Cetacean strandings on Sable Island, Nova Scotia, 1970-1998. Canadian Field-Naturalist 114(1): 46-61.
- Neimanis, A.S., A.J. Read, A.J. Westgate, H.N. Koopman, J.Y. Wang, L.D. Murison and D.E. Gaskin. 1995. Entrapment of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in herring weirs in the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Working paper SC/47/SM18 for the International Whaling Commission, Dublin, Ireland.
- NEFSC [Northeast Fisheries Science Center]. 1992. Harbor porpoise in eastern North America: Status and research needs. Results of a scientific workshop held May 5-8, 1992 at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, MA. NOAA, NMFS, NEFSC Ref. Doc. 92-06. [Available from: NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543.]
- NMFS [National Marine Fisheries Service]. 2001. Status review of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy population of harbor porpoise under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Federal Register 66(203): 53195-53197, October 19, 2001.
- NMFS [National Marine Fisheries Service]. 1999. Listing of Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy population of harbor porpoise as threatened under the Endangered Species Act. Federal Register 64 (2): 465-471, January 05, 1999.
- NMFS [National Marine Fisheries Service]. 1993. Proposed listing of Gulf of Maine population of harbor porpoises as threatened under the Endangered Species Act. Federal Register 58: 3108-3120, January 07, 1993.
- Palka, D. 2000. Abundance of the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoise based on shipboard and aerial surveys during 1999. NOAA-NMFS-NEFSC Ref. Doc. 00-07. 29 pp. [Available from: NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543.]
- Palka, D. 1996. Update on abundance of Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy harbor porpoises. NOAA-NMFS-NEFSC Ref. Doc. 96-04; 37 pp. Available from: NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543.
- Palka, D. 1995a. Abundance estimate of the Gulf of Maine harbor porpoise. Pp. 27-50 In: A. Bjørge and G.P. Donovan (eds.) Biology of the Phocoenids. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn., Special Issue 16.
- Palka, D. 1995b. Influences on spatial patterns of Gulf of Maine harbor porpoises. pp. 69-75 In: A.S. Blix, L. Walløe and Ø. Ulltang (eds.) Whales, seals, fish and man. Elsevier Science B.V. The Netherlands.
- Palka, D.L., A.J. Read, A.J. Westgate and D.W. Johnston. 1996. Summary of current knowledge of harbour porpoises in U.S. and Canadian Atlantic waters. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn. 46:559-565.
- Polacheck, T. 1989. Harbor porpoises and the gillnet fishery. Oceanus 32(1):63-70.
- Polacheck, T., F.W. Wenzel and G. Early. 1995. What do stranding data say about harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*). Pp 169-180 In: A. Bjørge and G.P. Donovan (eds.) Biology of the Phocoenids. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn., Special Issue 16.
- Read, A.J. 1994. Interactions between cetaceans and gillnet and trap fisheries in the northwest Atlantic. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn., Special Issue 15: 133-147.
- Read, A.J. and A.J. Westgate. 1997. Monitoring the movements of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) with satellite telemetry. Marine Biology 130:315-22.
- Read, A.J., J.R. Nicolas and J.E. Craddock. 1996. Winter capture of a harbor porpoise in a pelagic drift net off North Carolina. Fish. Bull. U.S. 94:381-83.
- Read, A.J. and A.A. Hohn. 1995. Life in the fast lane: The life history of harbour porpoises from the Gulf of Maine. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 11(4):423-440.
- Rosel, P.E., S.C. France, J.Y. Wang and T.D. Kocher. 1999a. Genetic structure of harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena* populations in the northwest Atlantic based on mitochondrial and nuclear markers. Molecular Ecology 8: S41-S54.
- Rosel, P.E., R. Tiedemann and M. Walton. 1999b. Genetic evidence for limited trans-Atlantic movements of the harbor porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*. Marine Biology 133: 583-591.
- Rossmann, M.C. and R.L. Merrick. 1999. Harbor porpoise bycatch in the Northeast multispecies sink gillnet fishery and the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery in 1998 and during January-May 1999. NOAA-NMFS-NEFSC Ref. Doc. 99-17, 36 p. [Available from: NMFS, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543.]
- Smith, G.J.D., A.J. Read and D.E. Gaskin. 1983. Incidental catch of harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena* (L.), in herring weirs in Charlotte County, New Brunswick, Canada. Fish. Bull., U.S. 81(3):660-2.
- Smith, T., D. Palka and K. Bisack. 1993. Biological significance of bycatch of harbor porpoise in the Gulf of Maine demersal gillnet fishery. NOAA, NMFS, NEFSC [Northeast Fisheries Science Center] Ref. Doc. 93-23, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Trippel, E.A., and T.D. Shepherd. 2004. By-catch of Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the Lower Bay of Fundy Gillnet Fishery 1998-2001. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci 2521:33pp.

- Trippel, E. A., J. Y. Wang, M. B. Strong, L. S. Carter and J. D. Conway. 1996. Incidental mortality of harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) by the gillnet fishery in the lower Bay of Fundy. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53:1294-1300.
- Trippel, E.A., M.B. Strong, J.M. Terhune and J.D. Conway. 1999. Mitigation of harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) bycatch in the gillnet fishery in the lower Bay of Fundy. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56:113-123.
- Wade, P. R. and R. P. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks: Report of the GAMMS workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-12, 93 pp.
- Wang, J. Y., D.E. Gaskin and B.N. White. 1996. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, subpopulations in North American waters. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53:1632-45.
- Westgate, A.J. and K.A. Tolley. 1999. Geographical differences in organochlorine contaminants in harbour porpoises *Phocoena phocoena* from the western North Atlantic. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* 177:255-268.
- Westgate, A.J., A.J. Read, T.M. Cox, T.D. Schofield, B.R. Whitaker and K.E. Anderson. 1998. Monitoring a rehabilitated harbor porpoise using satellite telemetry. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 14(3):599-604.
- Westgate, A.J., D.C.G. Muir, D.E. Gaskin and M.C.S. Kingsley. 1997. Concentrations and accumulation patterns of organochlorine contaminants in the blubber of harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, from the coast of Newfoundland, the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the Bay of Fundy/Gulf of Maine. *Envir. Pollut.* 95: 105-119.
- Woodley, T.H. and A.J. Read. 1991. Potential rates of increase of a harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) population subjected to incidental mortality in commercial fisheries. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 48:2429-35.