300 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario KIA 0C8

November 27, 1985

Mr. Will A. McGibbon Chief, Spectrum Management Division Room 7218 Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554 U.S.A.

Dear Mr. McGibbon:

This refers to the 1952 Convention between Canada and the United States of America concerning the operation by citizens of either country of certain radio equipment or stations in the other country.

There have been a number of agreements made between common carrier communication companies in Canada with similar companies in the United States. These agreements allow mobile telephone radio units that are associated with these common carrier communication companies to obtain similar service in the other country. Presently, the mobile radio unit is required to be registered and issued a permit to operate in the other country, in accordance with the 1952 convention.

In the 1952 convention, the statement "... it may be required, however, that such mobile stations first be registered and issued a permit ..." can be taken as permissive rather than a requirement. Therefore, if you agree please consider this letter as a proposal for an informal arrangement to suspend the requirement for the registration of and the issuance of a permit to mobile radio units operating in the other country through a common carrier communications company. This proposal has been agreed to by the Department of External Affairs and does not include those stations identified in section (a) of Article II of the Convention.

Yours truly,

R.W. Jones Director General Radio Regulatory Branch

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

May 14, 1986

In reply refer to: 31220-C

Mr. R.W. Jones
Director General, Radio
Regulatory Branch
Department of Communications
300 Slater Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0C8

Dear Mr. Jones:

This is in reply to your letter dated November 27, 1985, concerning the Convention between the United Stated of America and Canada relating to the operation by citizens of either country of certain radio equipment or stations in the other country.

Please consider this letter as our acceptance of your proposal for an understanding between the Commission and the Department of Communications to suspend the requirement for the registration of and the issuance of a permit for mobile telephone radio units operating through a common carrier communications company. You may wish to note that under our rules, a mobile unit so operated would be considered to be associated with and covered by the authorization issued to the common carrier serving the land mobile station (47 C.F.R. 22.9 (c) (1)) if that station is not separately authorized. Responsibility for operational control and maintenance of the mobile unit shall be as set forth in 47 C.F.R. 22.514 (copy enclosed). As specified in your letter, this understanding does not include those stations identified in section (a) of Article II of the Convention.

The foregoing understanding constitutes an administrative change within the terms of the convention that is acceptable to the United States Department of State and shall be considered effective as of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Will A. McGibbon Chief, Spectrum Engineering Division

Enclosure

300 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C8

File no: 1030-1

April 5, 1991

Ms. Beverly Baker
Deputy Chief, Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street N. W.
Washington, DC 20554
U.S.A.

Dear Ms. Baker:

This refers to the 1952 Convention between Canada and the United States of America concerning the operation by citizens of either country of certain radio equipment or stations in the other country.

There have been subsequent agreements made that permit the operation of certain terrestrial mobile telephone radio units associated with common carriers in both countries to operate in either country. Arrangements have also been reached whereby the permit required under the 1952 Convention for such mobile units to operate in the other country is waived. Our exchange of letters between Mr. Robert W. Jones of November 27th, 1985 and Mr. Will A. McGibbon of May 14th, 1986 refers.

It is now understood from the June, 1988 FCC/DOC meeting that there are mobile radio systems that come under Article II (a) of the 1952 Convention that need to be included under such an arrangement. We have also been approached by the Radio Advisory Board of Canada to permit other terrestrial mobile systems operating through licensed repeater stations, to operate with the same privileges as now granted for those of the common carriers.

In the 1952 Convention, the statement that "...each country may require the registration of examination of citizens of the other country and the issuance of a permit...." is permissive rather than obligatory. Therefore, if you agree, we would like to suspend the requirement under the 1952 Convention for the registration and the issuance of a permit to terrestrial mobile radio units operating in the other country, providing such mobiles are under the control of a properly licensed terrestrial station in the country in which it is operating.

If this porposal is acceptable, your reply will constitute an administrative change under the 1952 Convention to be effective immediately.

Yours truly,

M.K. Nunas Director Spectrum Management Operations Radio Regulatory Branch

Washington, D.C. 20554

April 15, 1991

In reply refer to: 7300-02

Mr. M.K. Nunas
Director
Spectrum Management Operations
Radio Regulatory Branch
Department of Communications
300 Slater Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0C8

Dear Mr. Nunas:

I have received and reviewed your letter of April 5, 1991, concerning the 1952 Convention between Canada and the United States. Your letter specifically addresses the operation by citizens of either country of certain radio equipment or stations in the other country.

In your letter you suggest that we "suspend the requirement under the 1952 Convention for the registration and the issuance of a permit to terrestrial mobile radio units operating in the other country, providing such mobiles are under the control of a properly licensed terrestrial station in the country in which it is operating." You further state in your letter that if this proposal is acceptable to me, my reply will constitute an administrative change under the 1952 Convention to be effective immediately.

I have reviewed the content of your letter and find your proposal completely acceptable. Please accept this reply as my concurrence. As you suggest, this administrative change shall become effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Beverly G. Baker Deputy Chief, Private Radio Bureau