

United States Department of the Interior



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

JUN 8 1992

FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION MEMORANDUM (FAM) NO. 92-038 (II. J)

To: Bureau Assistant Directors, Administration Bureau Finance Officers

Chief, Division of Fiscal Services

From: Chief, Division of Financial Administration

Office of Financial Management (PFM)

Subject: Prompt Payment Act Quality Control Procedures

The purpose of this FAM is to reissue an Office of Management and Budget (OMB) memorandum of July 18, 1988, which addresses prompt payment reporting and the need to implement adequate quality control (QC) procedures to ensure full compliance with the Prompt Payment Act. For reference purposes, this subject was previously covered by FAM No. 88-65 dated August 2, 1988.

This re-emphasis of QC procedures has been necessitated by several recent events. In 1990, the Department's QC processes were rated as "Stage III - Fair" in OMB's status report to Congress. This rating was defined by OMB as follows:

"These quality control systems have written procedures that are reviewed by an agency policy official and/or independent auditor on an intermittent basis (at least once within the last three years). The quality control activity is not independent of the payment activity and the samples are not routine enough to measure overall performance."

In 1990, the Department in its annual report to OMB reported that based on individual bureau submissions all but one bureau complied with the QC requirements of A-125 and met or exceeded the General Services Administration's (GSA) QC review model. In 1991, the Department reported to OMB that all bureaus met the QC requirements (again, based on individual bureau submissions). However, OMB's 1991 status report to Congress rated the Department's QC systems as "Poor/Limited Progress." This rating was defined by OMB as follows:

"A poor QC system, or one on which the agency has made limited progress, has written procedures for one or more components and may have a QC process in a few components but not agency-wide. These agencies have failed to implement critical elements of a QC system, usually a statistically valid sampling process."

Moreover, the Department's prompt payment performance was cited in the 1993 OMB Allowance Letter - Enclosure C dated March 9, 1992. The Allowance Letter requires the Department to improve the accuracy of its Prompt Payment data by fully implementing a QC program which meets the criteria defined in Circular A-125 and states that the Department should begin planning for the Inspector General to validate the QC process. PFM does not understand the basis for OMB's low 1991 rating and we have sent a letter to OMB requesting an explanation.

A review of FY 1992 second quarter prompt pay reports indicates that the amount of interest penalties incurred by the Department has increased approximately 49% from last year. Even though one bureau accounts for most of the departmental increase, other bureaus reported relatively large percentage increases from the previous year. PFM is concerned that inadequate control procedures in bureau operations may be a contributing reason for the increase in interest penalties.

In response to the above developments, PFM requests that each bureau conduct a thorough review of its payment processes and Prompt Payment Act QC procedures. The purpose of this review is to: 1) ensure that adequate controls are in place to achieve timely processing of payments, 2) determine if the QC requirements cited in Section 3.e. of OMB Circular A-125 are being met, and 3) assess whether the quality control program of the bureau meets the GSA model. Also, PFM requests that statistical sampling components of the QC process be conducted with a sample size that is set by a confidence level of at least 95%.

Please indicate the results of your review on the June 30, 1992, quarterly prompt payment act report submission. If it is determined that your bureau is not in compliance with the QC requirements, please provide an action plan for achieving compliance. The June 30 report is due to PFM by July 20, 1992.

Should you have questions or require additional information concerning this subject, please contact Linh Luu on (202) 208-6295.

Stephen J. Warholy

Attachment

Prior Financial Administration Memorandums on this subject: No. 88-65, dated August 2, 1988 - Inactive No. 89-34, dated May 17, 1989 - Active



OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

JUL 18 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR (SEE DISTRIBUTION)

FROM:

Joseph R. Wright, Jr

Deputy Director

SUBJECT:

Reporting on Implementation of the Prompt Payment

Act

While agencies have made progress in implementing the Prompt Payment Act of 1982, concerns continue in Congress, the vendor community, the General Accounting Office, and the Inspectors General that payments are not being made promptly and that data reported to OMB and Congress are not valid. Because of these concerns, amendments to the Prompt Payment Act (S. 328) to improve agency implementation are likely to be enacted shortly.

Our first priority continues to be to ensure that agency reports to OMB are accurate. We issued the FY 1988 reporting requirements on March 14, 1988. Since then, the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE) has recommended that the major agencies establish routine procedures to assure the quality of prompt payment reports. The PCIE found that problems are caused at the operational level. Clerks processing invoices for payment fail to follow established procedures and miscalculate due dates. As a result, even automated payment systems are unable to pay on time and interest penalties are not paid when required. The clerks' errors go undetected because their work receives very little supervisory review.

The PCIE recommends that departments and agencies establish quality control programs to assess performance of payment clerks and provide a reliable way to estimate payment performance. The PCIE also recommends that the quality control program used by the General Services Administration (GSA) be the model for other agencies.

Any agencies that have not already done so should institute the quality control procedures recommended by the PCIE. Attached is documentation provided by GSA; questions about the GSA material should be directed to John Gregg or Larry Bedker, on 566-0897. When agencies submit their FY 1988 reports to OMB, due November 30, 1988, they should also provide a detailed description of their quality control programs.

- MAGISTILA SUL

JUL 6

9250/1251

Agencies need to implement two additional PCIE recommendations vital to assuring timely payments and accurate reporting:

- Agency certifying officers or other supervisors must review payment decisions for compliance with the Prompt Payment Act; and
- Where necessary, training on prompt payment requirements must be provided to accounting clerks and payment center supervisors.

If there are questions, your staff should contact Suzanne Duval at (202) 395-6107.

Attachment

Executive Agencies Required to Institute Quality Control Procedures for Prompt Payment Reports to OMB

Distribution:

Department of Agriculture: John J. Franke, Jr. Department of Commerce: Katherine M. Bulow Department of Defense: Robert W. Helm Department of Education: Bruce M. Carnes Department of Energy: Lawrence F. Davenport Department of Health and Human Services: S. Anthony McCann Department of Housing and Urban Development: Judith L. Hofman Department of the Interior: Henry Ventura Department of Justice: Harry H. Flickinger Department of Labor: Thomas C. Komarek Department of State: Ronald I. Spiers Department of Transportation: Jon H. Seymour Department of the Treasury: Jill Kent Agency for International Development: R. T. Rollis, Jr. Environmental Protection Agency: Charles Grizzle General Services Administration: Paul Weiss National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Manuel Peralta Office of Personnel Management: Mark J. Barnes Small Business Administration: John F. Moffitt United States Information Agency: Henry E. Hockeimer Veterans Administration: David A. Cox

. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Policy

- (2) Statistical sampling. Each Finance Division must conduct a daily sample of the payments they process (see figure 10-2.4). The information gathered is used to satisfy external reports required by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the General Accounting Office (GAO), the Department of the Treasury, and the Congress. Sampling is also an effective tool for determining that proper documentation, certification, and other payment requirements are met. Records of the samples must be kept as they will be reviewed and analyzed during regional reviews (see figure 10-2.5 for suggested format). The sample requirements are as follows:
- (a) It must be done by the certifying officer or a designated accountant and can be done on a rotation basis.
- (b) For regular payments, each due date/start date and discount terms must be calculated independently of the paying technician's calculations. Consistently incorrect calculations by a paying technician must be brought to the attention of the technician's supervisor for corrective action.
- (c) All sampled payments are to be averaged together and reported as one percentage. Each sampled payment system must be weighted to balance the frequency of payments in determining the overall percentage (see figure 10-2.6 for an example).
- (d) The "systematic" sampling technique is used. This involves selecting every Kth item from the sampling unit, where K is arrived at by dividing the field size by the sample size. For example, if a payment schedule contains 200 invoices and the sample size is 10, a sampling interval of 20 is appropriate. Therefore, select the 20th, 40th, 60th, 200th invoices for the sample.
- (e) Every other travel voucher is to be sampled, not to exceed five per schedule.

	1	Region	
	6_		
Regular NEAR Payments	10	10	
ADDLIB	10		
Supply Operation AUTOPAY	ns 10		
Motor Pool AUTOPAY		10	
TAPS	10		
Travel	5	5	

Figure 10-2.4. Regional daily sample requirements

Daily Payment Sample and Treasury Cash Management Review Payment Detail

Figure 10-2.5. Format for capturing sample data

Sampled payments are averaged and weighted together. Each payment system (fig. 10-2.4) is weighted to balance the frequency of payments in determining overall percentage. To arrive at the weighted percentage, determine the total number of invoices paid, then calculate the percentage each system comprises of the total payments. Multiply each percentage by the percentage of payments made on time in each payment system. Total all of the percentages and you arrive at the weighted percentage of on time payments. Use the same procedure for early and late payments. For example, your monthly sample includes 2,000 regular NEAR payments and 3,000 Supply Operations AUTOPAY payments and on time percentages of 90% and 80%, respectively. The weighted percentage is determined as follows:

1. Compute the total number of invoices paid.
Regular NEAR payments 2.000
Supply Operations AUTOPAY 3.000
Total paid 5.000

2. Determine the percentage each payment system comprises of the total payments.

Regular NEAR payments 2,000 \$ 5,000 = .40 Supply Operations AUTOPAY 3,000 \$ 5,000 = .60

 Multiply the percentage each payment system comprises of the total payments times the percentage of payments made on time for each system.

> Regular NZAR payments $.40 \times .90 = .36$ Supply Operations AUTOPAY $.60 \times .80 = .48$

4. Add the total of step 3 to arrive at the weighted percentage of invoices paid on time.

Regular NEAR payments .36
Supply Operations AUTOPAY .48
Weighted on time percentage .84 or 84%

Figure 10-2.6. Example of weighting sampled payments

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Instructions for Accounting Operations
(Note: Calculate all percentages to three decimal places.)

Item No. Instructions/Remarks

- I. Invoices Paid
- IA. Provided by the regions.
- The number of invoices not subject to the Prompt IA1. Payment Act (PPA) and OMB Circular A-125 is developed from the daily sample. (Note: Payments under tariff's are counted as subject to the PPA.) Take the number of invoices that were initially selected for review and were skipped because they are not subject to the PPA, divided by the total number of invoices subject to the PPA. (For example if 200 invoices are reviewed and 13 were skipped for a total of 213 invoices the appropriate percentage is 13/200 or 6.500%.) Take this percentage and multiply it by the total number of invoices paid in a payment system. Repeat for all payment systems to determine the total invoices not subject to the PPA.
- IA2. The number of invoices subject to the PPA is computed by taking the difference between IA and IA1 above.
- IB. Total disbursements

Total S's disbursed for the month includes all commercial payments, government payments made by check (mainly DOD), government travel and the imprest.

- The dollar amount not subject to the PPA is developed from the daily sample. For each payment system, take the average dollar value of the invoices that were initially selected for review and were skipped because they were not subject to the PPA and multiply it by the number of invoices in IAl above. Repeat for all payment systems to determine the dollar amount not subject to the PPA.
- IB2. The dollar amount subject to the ACT is calculated by taking IB minus IB1.
- II. Pay invoices on due date Goal: The goal is to pay a minimum of ___ % of the invoices on the due date.

IIA.

. Invoices paid on due date
Checks dated on the due date or within two days
prior to the due date are considered paid on
time.

3: Developed from the daily sample. Remember to weight each payment system to balance the frequency of payments in determining the overall percentage.

Number: Apply the % to the total number of payments subject to the PPA in IA2.

IIB.

Invoices paid late:

Checks dated one or more days after the due date are considered paid late. Invoices paid after discount periods, but paid in accordance with IIA above are considered paid on time. If a discount is cost effective, and lost, then the amount lost is to be reported in Section III below.

IIB.(1-3)

Fill each section according to the instructions below.

Take the percentage paid late for a payment system, multiply by the same weighed percentage used in IIA. This is the total weighted percentage paid late for the system. From this percentage determine the percentage late for each of the three categories. Repeat for all payment systems to determine the total percentage paid late for all systems.

Total \$ Amount: Developed from the daily sample. Add up the total dollars sampled in the category for a payment system, then divide by the number of invoices sampled. (For example if there are 4 invoices paid 1 to 7 days late and their total is \$7000 the average used will be \$1750.) Multiply the number of invoices paid late (computed below) and multiply it by this average \$ amount. Repeat for all payment systems to determine total \$'s paid late for all systems.

No. Developed from the daily sample. For each payment system, apply the percentages in IIB to invoices paid subject to the PPA. Repeat for all payment systems to determine the number paid late.

IIC. Detail of invoices paid in the grace period (by reason).

Remember to weight each payment system to balance the frequency of payments in determining the overall percentage for each reason.

IID. <u>Invoices paid early</u>

Checks dated 3 or more days before due date are considered paid early.

 \S : Same method as in IIB using invoices paid early.

Total \$ Amount: Same method as in IIB using invoices paid early.

 ${\color{red}{\mathrm{No.}}}$ Same method as in IIB using invoices paid early.

IIE. <u>Invoices paid over 15 days late for which no interest was paid.</u>

IIE.(1-3)

Total \$ Value: This is calculated by taking the average dollar value of interest that was not paid on the invoices in the sample multiplied by the number calculated below. Repeat for all payment systems to determine total \$ value.

Number: Each of these categories will be computed by taking the number of occurrences within the sample divided by the number of invoices sampled multiplied by the total number of invoices subject to the PPA in IA2. Repeat for all payment systems to determine the total.

III. <u>Discounts Earned and Lost</u>

<u>Goal</u>: Realize __% of the dollar value of economical discounts.

IIIA. NEAR: BCD will obtain information from FR42DS.

Manual to be recorded on the reports: Reported by Regions. Be sure payment is booked properly to update next month's Auto. Report BCD will reduce this month's manual adjustments from next month's automated report.

IIIB2. Manual not to be recorded on the reports: If the discount will be booked so it will not show on the discounts earned and lost report next month BCD will not reduce next months automated report by this amount.

IV. Interest Penalties/Late Charge Payments

*Interest penalty payment: FR423E report.

Total S's disbursed: Reported by regions.

*\$ of interest per million dollars disbursed.

Note: * Items will be completed by Central Office, Financial Information Control Division (BCD).

V. Invoices over 30 days old:

The detail of invoices over 30 days old is based upon the number of invoices on hand at the end of the month which were "clocked in" over 30 days ago. Fill in totals in Section V. Grand total by reason should equal grand total by Service/Staff Offices.

VI. Number of payments per payment system.

Provide the number of payments per payment system that was used for weighting the samples. This total should agree with the number in IA.

Monthly Reporting Statistics Accounts Payable Branch, Region For the Month/Year of

		For the Month/Year of
I)	Numb	per of Invoices
	Α.	Total number of invoices paid 1. Invoices not subject to the PPA 2. Invoices subject to the PPA S Amount
	В.	
II)	. Pat	y Invoices on Due Date
	Goa	1: Pay a minimum of % of invoices on the due date.
		§ Total S Value No.
	Α.	Invoices paid on due date
	В.	Invoices paid late 1) 1-7 day(s) late 2) 8-15 days late 3) Over 15 days late
	C.	Detail of Invoices paid in the grace period (by reason)
		1. No Purchase Order
		2. No Receiving Report
		3. Contract Disputes
		4. Finance Problems
		5. Late Certified Invoices
	D.	Invoices paid early
		1) 3 or more days
	Ε.	Invoices paid over 15 days late for which no interest was paid Total \$ Value No.
		1. Because amount was less than \$1.00 2. Tech error in determining start date 3. Other 4. Total

III) Discounts Earned and Lost

Goal: Realize__% of the dollar value of economical discounts.

		Earned	Lost
		Amount	Amount
* A. Near	(Automated Report)		
	al (to be recorded on mated reports)	the	
	al (not to be recorded automated reports)	on	
D. Tota	1		
IV) <u>Interest</u>	Penalties/Late Charge	Payments	
	Interest Penalty Payment	Total \$'s disbursed	S Per Million
*A. Near			
V) Number of	Invoices on hand (EOM	1) over 30 days ol	d
Detail of I	nvoices Over 30 days		
By Reaso	n	By Service/	Staff Offices
No Purch	ase Order	PBS	
	ving Report/	FSS	
	from service	IRMS	
	disputes	ASD FPRS	
rinance	problems	Finan	C0
			Staff
		Offi	
Total		Tota	1

Dannak Sustan	Mushan
Payment System	Number

Total (must equal IA)

Note:

^{*} Items will be completed by Central Office, Financial Information Control Division (BCD)