OMB Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)

Regulatory Based Programs

Name of Program:

	Questions	Ans.	Explanation (Required)	Evidence/Data (if available)	RMO Weighting	Weighted Score
1	Is the program purpose clear?	Yes	,		20%	0.2
2	Does the program address a specific problem, interest or need?	Yes			20%	0.2
3	Is the Federal role critical?	Yes			20%	0.2
1	Does the program make a significant, unique contribution to solving the problem?	Yes			20%	0.2
5	Does the program use the most efficient/effective mechanism to accomplish its goals?	No			20%	0.0

Total Section Score	100%	80%
Section II: Strategic Planning		

1	Questions Does the program have a limited number of specific, ambitious long- term performance goals that focus on outcomes and meaningfully reflect the purpose of the program?	Ans.	Explanation (Required)	Evidence/Data (if available)	RMO Weighting 15%	Weighted Score
2	Does the program have a limited number of annual performance goals that demonstrate progress toward achieving the long-term goals?				15%	
3	Do all program partners (grantees, sub-grantees, contractors, etc.) commit to and report on performance that relates to and supports the output and outcome goals of the program?				15%	
4	Is a comprehensive, independent, quality evaluation of the program conducted on a regular basis?				15%	
5	Is the program budget aligned with the program goals in such a way that the impact of funding, policy, and legislative changes on performance is readily known?				20%	

6 (Reg 1.) Are all regulations issued by the program/agency necessary to meet the stated goals of the program, and do all regulations clearly indicate how the rules contribute to achievement of the goals?

Total Se	ction Score				100%	0%
Section	III: Program Management Questions	Ans.	Explanation (Required)	Evidence/Data (if available)	RMO Weighting	Weighted Score
1	Does the agency regularly collect timely and credible performance information and use it to manage the program?				10%	
2	Are performance measurements used to increase accountability?				10%	
3	Are all funds (Federal and partners') obligated in a timely manner?				10%	
4	Are all funds (Federal and partners') spent for the intended purpose?				10%	
5	Does the agency estimate and budget for the full annual costs of operating the program (including all administrative costs and allocated overhead)?				10%	

6	Are the administrative costs reasonable?	5%
7	Does agency use cost comparisons and competitive sourcing for this program to achieve cost-effectiveness and when special expertise is needed?	5%
8	Does the program use strong financial management practices?	5%
9 (Reg 1.)	Did the program seek and take into account the views of interested partners including, but not limited to, state, local and tribal governments and small businesses, in drafting significant regulations?	5%
10 (Reg 2.)	Where applicable, did the program issue compliance assistance guidance to regulated entities?	5%
11 (Reg 3.)	Did the program prepare, where appropriate, a Regulatory Impact Analyses that comports with OMB's economic analysis guidelines?	5%

12 (Reg 4.) Have these RIA analyses and supporting science and economic data been subjected to external peer review by qualified specialists?	5%
13 (Reg 5.) Does the program systematically review its current regulations to ensure consistency among all regulations in accomplishing program goals?	5%
14 (Reg 6.) <i>Did the regulatory changes to the program last year maximize net benefits?</i>	5%
15 (Reg 7.) Does the program impose the least burden on society, taking into account, among other things, to the extent practicable, the costs of cumulative regulations?	5%

Total So	ection Score				100%	0%
Section	IV: Program Results					
	Questions	Ans.	Explanation (Required)	Evidence/Data (if available)	RMO Weighting	Weighted Score
1	Has the program demonstrated adequate progress in achieving its long-term outcome goal(s)?				20%	

2	Does the program (including program partners) achieve its annual performance goals?	20%
3	Were program goals achieved within budgeted costs and established schedules?	20%
4	Does the performance of this program compare favorably to other programs with similar purpose and goals?	20%
5	Do comprehensive, independent, quality evaluations of this program indicate that the program is effective and achieving results?	20%

100%

0%