

Interagency
Assessment of
Oxygenated Fuels





Interagency Assessment of Oxygenated Fuels



J U N E 1 9 9 7

National Science and Technology Council

Committee on Environment and Natural Resources

About the National Science and Technology Council

President Clinton established the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) by Executive Order on November 23, 1993. This cabinet-level council is the principle means for the President to coordinate science, space and technology policies across the Federal Government. NSTC acts as a "virtual" agency for science and technology to coordinate the diverse parts of the Federal research and development enterprise. The NSTC is chaired by the President. Membership consists of the Vice President, Assistant to the President for Science and Technology, Cabinet Secretaries and Agency Heads with significant science and technology responsibilities, and other White House officials.

An important objective of the NSTC is the establishment of clear national goals for Federal science and technology investments in areas ranging from information technologies and health research, to improving transportation systems and strengthening fundamental research. The Council prepares research and development strategies that are coordinated across Federal agencies to form an investment package that is aimed at accomplishing multiple national goals.

To obtain additional information regarding the NSTC, please contact the NSTC Executive Secretariat at 202-456-6102.

About the Office of Science and Technology Policy

The Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) was established by the National Science and Technology Policy, Organization and Priorities Act of 1976. OSTP's responsibilities include advising the President in policy formulation and budget development on all questions in which science and technology are important elements; articulating the President's science and technology policies and programs, and fostering strong partnerships among Federal, State and local governments, and the scientific communities in industry and academe.

To obtain additional information regarding OSTP, please contact the OSTP Administrative Office at 202-395-7347.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
NATIONAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

June 1997

Dear Colleague:

I am pleased to transmit the *Interagency Assessment of Oxygenated Fuels*, which presents current understanding of critical scientific issues related to the winter oxygenated gasoline program. This program, mandated under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, is implemented in areas that fail to meet the National Ambient Air Quality standard for carbon monoxide. The assessment was done in response to a request from the Environmental Protection Agency for a comprehensive, interagency review of this program for potential health impacts, fuel economy and performance issues, as well as its benefits.

The National Science and Technology Council's (NSTC) Committee on Environment and Natural Resources convened representatives of key Federal agencies and technical experts in government, industry, and academia to participate in this assessment of oxygenated fuels. Draft reports were subjected to extensive peer review, which included evaluation by a panel convened under the auspices of the National Research Council. This final report includes revisions resulting from these reviews.

The *Interagency Assessment of Oxygenated Fuels* provides an authoritative evaluation of existing information and helps to identify areas where the data are too limited to draw conclusions about the impacts of the oxygenated fuels program. Data are insufficient to complete a thorough risk assessment of the oxygenated fuels program; thus several critical issues are currently being researched by several Federal agencies. These agencies are developing plans to expand monitoring and research efforts on occurrence of oxygenates in drinking water, the extent of human exposure to oxygenates, probable effects of human exposures, site remediation, and impacts on aquatic life.



John H. Gibbons
Assistant to the President
for
Science and Technology



Contents

<i>Preface</i>	i
<i>Executive Summary</i>	iii
<i>Committee on Environment and Natural Resources</i>	ix
<i>Interagency Oxygenated Fuels Steering Committee</i>	xi
<i>Contributors</i>	xiii
<i>List of Figures</i>	xv
<i>List of Tables</i>	xvii
<i>Air Quality Effects of the Winter Oxyfuel Program</i>	1-1
<i>Fuel Oxygenates and Water Quality</i>	2-1
<i>Fuel Economy and Engine Performance Issues</i>	3-1
<i>Potential Health Effects of Oxygenated Gasoline</i>	4-1
<i>Abbreviations and Terms</i>	I-1

PREFACE

The use of oxygenated gasoline was mandated under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 in areas that did not meet the Federal ambient air standard for carbon monoxide (CO). Motor vehicle emissions are the primary source of ambient CO levels in most areas and CO is generally seen at its highest levels during the cold weather months. Oxygenated gasoline is designed to increase the combustion efficiency of gasoline, thereby reducing CO emissions.

The Clean Air Act requires at least a 2.7% oxygen content for gasoline sold in CO nonattainment areas, and this level of oxygen is typically achieved by the addition of about 15% methyl *tertiary* butyl ether (MTBE) or about 7.5% ethanol (by volume). Other fuel oxygenates that are in use to a lesser extent, or that may potentially be used, include ethyl *tertiary*-butyl ether (ETBE), *tertiary*-amyl methyl ether (TAME), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), and *tertiary*-butyl alcohol (TBA). Fuel oxygenates, especially MTBE and ethanol, also are used to enhance the octane of conventional gasoline—a practice that started in the late 1970's and continues today.

As a result of the amended Clean Air Act, several new areas were required to begin oxygenated gasoline programs during the winter of 1992-93. Soon after these programs were initiated, anecdotal reports of acute health symptoms were received by health authorities in various areas of the country. Such health concerns were not anticipated but have subsequently focused attention on possible health risks associated with using oxygenated gasoline. These health concerns have been joined by complaints of reduced fuel economy and engine performance, as well as the detection of low levels of MTBE in some samples of ground water.

In order to address public concerns and to take full advantage of the extensive expertise across the Federal Government, as well as outside experts where appropriate, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requested the assistance of the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), through the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources (CENR) of the President's National Science and Technology Council (NSTC), to coordinate a comprehensive assessment of these issues. Working groups that prepared this evaluation were comprised of technical and scientific experts from across several Federal agencies, as well as representatives from State government, industry, and environmental groups.

This assessment is a scientific state-of-understanding report of the fundamental basis and efficacy of the EPA's winter oxygenated gasoline program. The assessment considers air quality, ground water and drinking water quality, fuel economy and engine performance, and the potential health effects of oxygenated gasoline. Each of these subjects is addressed in a separate chapter. Chapter 4 on "Potential Health Effects of Oxygenated Gasoline" is based on two recent reports on health effects—one report was prepared by an Interagency group of health scientists and the second by the Health Effects Institute (HEI) and a panel of experts. Complete citations for these reports are listed in the references for Chapter 4.

Oxygenated Fuels

Each chapter in this report underwent extensive external peer-review before submission of the entire report for review by the National Research Council (NRC) of the National Academy of Sciences. Findings and comments from the NRC review have been addressed in this assessment. The entire assessment was reviewed by the National Science and Technology Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose and Background

Oxygenates have been used as octane enhancers in gasoline since the late 1970s, due to the phaseout of lead. During the 1980s, oxygenates came in to wider use as some States implemented oxygenated gasoline programs for the control of carbon monoxide (CO) pollution in cold weather. People with coronary artery disease are particularly sensitive to the adverse effects of this air pollutant. The first winter oxygenated gasoline program in the United States was implemented in Denver, Colorado in 1988. The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments required the use of oxygenated gasoline in several areas of the country that failed to attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for CO. During the winter months of 1992-1993, many new oxygenated gasoline programs were implemented to increase combustion efficiency in cold weather and thereby reduce CO emissions.

Methyl *tertiary*-butyl ether (MTBE) has become the most widely used motor vehicle oxygenate in the U.S., though in some areas, ethanol is the dominant oxygenate used in motor vehicle fuels. Other fuel oxygenates that are in use or may potentially be used include ethyl *tertiary*-butyl ether (ETBE), *tertiary*-amyl methyl ether (TAME), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), *tertiary*-butyl alcohol (TBA), and methanol. Because of limitations in available data, there is less emphasis in this report on these other oxygenates. The Clean Air Act requires at least 2.7% by weight oxygen content for gasoline sold in CO nonattainment areas, and about 15% by volume MTBE or about 7.5% by volume ethanol to achieve this requirement.

The purpose of this report is to provide a review of the scientific literature on oxygenated fuels and to assess effects of the winter oxygenated fuels program on air quality, water quality, fuel economy and engine performance, and public health. The request from EPA for this assessment was prompted by public complaints of headaches, nausea, and other acute symptoms attributed to wintertime use of oxygenated fuels, as well as complaints of reductions in fuel economy and engine performance.

This report does not specifically examine the reformulated gasoline program, which is intended to reduce motor vehicle emissions that lead to higher ozone levels during the summer months and air toxics year round, and which also makes use of fuel oxygenates. The report identifies areas where the data are too limited to make definitive conclusions about the costs, benefits, and risks of using oxygenated gasoline in place of conventional gasoline. Several research needs on oxygenated gasoline were identified that would reduce uncertainties and allow a more thorough assessment of human exposure, health risks and benefits, and environmental effects.

Assessment Findings

Air Quality

- A general decline in urban concentrations of CO over the past twenty years is attributed largely to stringent EPA mandated vehicle emission standards and improved vehicle emission control technology. This decline and the effects of meteorology must be accounted for in assessments of air quality benefits of oxygenated gasoline.

Oxygenated Fuels

- Analyses of ambient CO measurements in some cities with winter oxygenated gasoline programs find a reduction in ambient CO concentrations of about 10%.
- Studies of the effects of fuel oxygenates on vehicle emissions show a consistent reduction of CO emissions at ambient temperatures above about 50 °F. At temperatures below 50 °F, the magnitude of the reduction is decreased and very uncertain.
- The EPA MOBILE 5a Model appears to overestimate the benefits of oxygenated gasoline on fleet-wide CO emissions by about a factor of two. However, the MOBILE Model is designed for use by the states in preparing highway mobile source emission inventories and estimating the benefits of other air quality programs. This assessment does not address its usefulness for such purposes.
- Oxygenates also reduce total hydrocarbon exhaust emissions. Fuel oxygenates decrease vehicle emissions of the air toxicities, benzene and 1,3-butadiene, but increase the emissions of aldehydes (acetaldehyde from use of ethanol or ETBE and formaldehyde from use of MTBE).
- Older technology vehicles (carbureted and oxidation catalysts) benefit more from the use of oxygenated fuel. The amount of pollutant emissions is smaller in newer technology vehicles (fuel injected and adaptive learning, closed loop three-way catalyst systems). Also, the percentage reductions in CO and hydrocarbon emissions from use of fuel oxygenates are found to be smaller in the newer technology vehicles compared to older technology and higher emitting vehicles.
- Emissions of nitrogen oxides are not changed significantly by low concentrations of fuel oxygenates but some studies indicate increased nitrogen oxide emissions with oxygenate concentrations greater than about 2 % by weight oxygen. The EPA Complex Model developed for the Reformulated Gasoline Program predicts that fuel oxygenate does not increase nitrogen oxide emissions.
- During the winter season, the oxygenates are not removed rapidly from the urban atmosphere, although some scavenging by precipitation is expected. Consequently, the oxygenates are likely to be dispersed and diluted throughout the troposphere, where they ultimately would be removed by slow photooxidation.

Water Quality

- Releases of gasoline containing oxygenates to the subsurface from storage tanks, pipelines, and refueling facilities provide point sources for entry of high concentrations of fuel oxygenates into ground water. In a few instances (such as in Santa Monica, CA) high concentrations of MTBE have caused the shutdown of a drinking-water production well or well field, and the source of contamination was identified as a release from an underground gasoline storage tank. Underground storage tank improvement programs underway by the states and EPA should result in a reduction in the release of gasoline and fuel oxygenates to ground water from these potential point sources.

Executive Summary

- Exhaust emissions from vehicles and evaporative losses from gasoline stations and vehicles are sources of oxygenate release to the atmosphere. Because of their ability to persist in the atmosphere for days to weeks and because they will, in part, partition into water, fuel oxygenates are expected to occur in precipitation in direct proportion to their concentration in air. Hence, fuel oxygenates in the atmosphere provide a non-point, low concentration source to the hydrologic cycle as a result of the dispersive effect of weather patterns and occurrence in precipitation.

- Volatilization of the alkyl ether oxygenates will occur slowly from ponds and lakes, and from slow-moving and deep streams and rivers; volatilization can be rapid from shallow and fast-moving streams and rivers. Alkyl ether oxygenates are much less biodegradable than ethanol or the aromatic hydrocarbon constituents of gasoline and, therefore, will persist longer in ground water. They also adsorb only weakly to soil and aquifer material. Consequently, dissolved alkyl ether oxygenates will move with the ground-water flow and migrate further from a point source of contamination.

- The current USEPA draft drinking-water lifetime health advisory for MTBE ranges from 20 to 200 µg/L; a revised health advisory is expected. Health advisories have not been developed for other fuel oxygenates.

- MTBE was detected in 7% of 592 storm-water samples in 16 cities surveyed between 1991-1995. When detected, concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 8.7 µg/L, with a median of 1.5 µg/L. A seasonal pattern of detections was evident, as most of the detectable concentrations occurred during the winter season. MTBE was detected both in cities using oxygenated gasoline to abate CO nonattainment and in cities using MTBE-oxygenated gasoline for octane enhancement.

- At least one detection of MTBE has occurred in ground water in 14 of 33 states surveyed. MTBE was detected in 5% of approximately 1,500 wells sampled, with most detections occurring at low (µg/L-level) concentrations in shallow ground water in urban areas.

- Drinking water supplied from ground water has been shown via limited monitoring to be a potential route of human exposure to MTBE. MTBE has been detected in 51 public drinking water systems to date based on limited monitoring in 5 states, however, when detected the concentrations of MTBE were for the most part below the lower limit of the current USEPA health advisory. This indicates that the consumption of drinking water was not a major route of exposure for these few systems. Because of the very limited data set for fuel oxygenates in drinking water, it is not possible to describe for the Nation MTBE's occurrence in drinking water nor to characterize human exposure from consumption of contaminated drinking water.

- There is not sufficient data on fuel oxygenates to establish water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life.

Executive Summary

- The presence of MTBE and other alkyl ether oxygenates in ground water does not prevent the application of conventional (active) methods to clean up gasoline releases; however, the cost of remediation involving MTBE will be higher. Also, the use of intrinsic (passive) bioremediation to clean up gasoline releases containing MTBE may be limited because of the difficulty with which MTBE is biodegraded.

Fuel Economy and Engine Performance

- Theoretical predictions based on energy content indicate that reductions in fuel economy resulting from the addition of allowable levels of oxygenates to gasoline should be in the range of only 2-3%. On-road measurements agree with these estimates.
- Automobile engine performance problems due solely to the presence of allowable levels of oxygenates in gasoline are not expected because oxygenated gasolines and nonoxygenated gasolines are manufactured to the same specifications of the American Society for Testing and Materials.

Health Effects

- Complaints of acute health symptoms, such as headaches, nausea, dizziness, and breathing difficulties, were reported in various areas of the country after the introduction of oxygenated gasoline containing MTBE. The limited field investigations conducted to date suggest that greater attention should be given to the potential for increased symptom reporting among workers exposed to high concentrations of oxygenated gasoline containing MTBE. With regard to exposures to lower concentrations as experienced by the general population and motorists, the limited epidemiological studies and controlled exposure studies conducted to date do not support the contention that MTBE as used in the winter oxygenated fuels program is causing significant increases over background in acute symptoms or illnesses. The anecdotal reports of acute health symptoms among some individuals cannot yet be explained or dismissed.
- Human exposure data to MTBE are too limited for a quantitative estimate of the full range and distribution of exposures to MTBE among the general population. Less information is available on exposures to oxygenates other than MTBE.
- The assessment found that chronic non-cancer health effects (neurologic, developmental, or reproductive) would not likely occur at environmental or occupational exposures to MTBE. The observation of acute and reversible neurobehavioral changes in rats exposed to relatively high levels of MTBE is indicative of a neuroactive effect that could hinder performance during periods of high exposure.
- Current data are too limited to quantify health benefits of reduced ambient CO from wintertime use of oxygenated fuels.
- While there are no studies on the carcinogenicity of MTBE in humans, experimental studies indicate that MTBE is carcinogenic in rats and mice at multiple organ sites after inhalation or oral-gavage exposure. The mechanisms by which MTBE causes cancer in animals are not well understood, but are under study. Genotoxicity tests on MTBE and

Executive Summary

one of its metabolites, *tertiary*-butyl alcohol (TBA), are for the most part negative, whereas the MTBE metabolite formaldehyde is genotoxic in a variety of experimental systems. Formaldehyde is viewed as a “probable” human carcinogen and TBA shows some evidence of carcinogenicity in male rats and female mice. There is sufficient evidence to indicate that MTBE is an animal carcinogen and to regard MTBE as having a human hazard potential. Estimates of cancer potency derived from MTBE animal studies as well as estimates of human exposure to MTBE have large uncertainties and caution is required in their use.

- The estimated upper-bound cancer unit risks for MTBE are similar to or slightly less than those for fully vaporized conventional gasoline; substantially less than that for benzene, a minor constituent of gasoline that is classified as a known human carcinogen; and more than 100 times less than that for 1,3-butadiene, a carcinogenic emission product of incomplete fuel combustion. The interpretation of any health risks associated with the addition of MTBE to gasoline requires a comparison to the health risks associated with the use of conventional gasoline. Meaningful predictions of human cancer risk from the wintertime use of oxygenated gasoline versus nonoxygenated gasoline require much more knowledge of the relative ambient air concentrations and personal exposures to the toxic compounds that are present in both the evaporative and exhaust emissions from both types of fuels.

- It is not likely that the health effects associated with ingestion of moderate to large quantities of ethanol would occur from inhalation of ethanol at ambient levels to which most people may be exposed from use of ethanol as a fuel oxygenate. Potential health effects from exposure to other oxygenates are not known and require investigation if their use in fuels is to become widespread.

Committee on Environment and Natural Resources

Rosina Bierbaum (Acting)
White House Co-Chair

D. James Baker
Co-Chair
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

H. Ronald Pallium
Co-Chair
Department of the Interior

Thomas Lavage
Co-Chair
Smithsonian Institution

Robert Headgate
Vice-Chair
Environmental Protection Agency

Christine Ervin
Vice-Chair
Department of Energy

William Townsend
National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Joseph Bordogna (Acting)
National Science Foundation

Catherine Woteki
Department of Agriculture

T. J. Glauthier
Office of Management and Budget

Mark Schaefer
Department of the Interior

Kenneth Olden
Department of Health and Human Services

Diane Regas
Domestic Policy Council

Dan Reicher
Department of Energy

Dan Tarullo
National Economic Council

Joseph Canny
Department of Transportation

Michael Stegman
Department of Housing and Urban Development

Anna Johnson-Winegar
Department of Defense

Eileen B. Claussen
Department of State

Robert Volland
Federal Emergency Management Agency

Ronald Ritschard
Tennessee Valley Authority

Skip Wright
Office of the Coordinator for Meteorology

Linda Zall
Central Intelligence Agency

Subcommittees

Air Quality
Martha Krebs (DOE)
Dan Albritton (NOAA)
Mary Nichols (EPA)

Global Change
Robert W. Corell (NSF)
Robert Harriss (NASA)

Natural Disaster Reduction
Bill Hooke (NOAA)
Robert Hamilton (USGS)
Robert Volland (FEMA)

Resource Use and Management
Jerry Sesco (USDA)
Gary Hill (USGS)

Toxics Substances/Solid and Hazardous Waste
Bob Headgate (EPA)
Kenneth Olden (NIEHS)
Thomas Grumbly (DOE)
Sherri Goodman (DOD)

Water Resources
Robert Hirsch (USGS)
Don Scavia (NOAA)
Robert Perciasepe (EPA)

Risk Assessment
Lynn Goldman (EPA)
George Lucier (NIEHS)

Socioeconomic Science
Vacant

Taskforce on Observation and Data Management
William Townsend (NASA)
Robert Winokur (NOAA)

Interagency Oxygenated Fuels Assessment Steering Committee

Robert T. Watson, Ph.D.

Chair (until May, 1996)
*Associate Director for Environment
Office of Science and Technology
Policy
and White House Co-Chair*
Committee on Environment and Natural
Resources

Rosina M. Bierbaum, Ph.D.

Chair (from May, 1996)
*Acting Associate Director for
Environment
Office of Science and Technology
Policy
and White House Acting Co-Chair*
Committee on Environment and Natural
Resources

Daniel L. Albritton, Ph.D.

*Director
Aeronomy Laboratory
National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration*
Department of Commerce

Joseph F. Canny

*Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Transportation Policy*
Department of Transportation

Shelley N. Fidler

*Chief of Staff
Council on Environmental Quality*

Lynn R. Goldman, M.D.

*Assistant Administrator
for Prevention, Pesticides, and
Toxic Substances*
Environmental Protection Agency

Robert Hirsch, Ph.D.

*Chief Hydrologist
U. S. Geological Survey
Department of Interior*

Elgie Holstein

*Special Assistant to the President
for Economic Policy*
National Economic Council

Richard J. Jackson, M.D., M.P.H.

*Director
National Center for Environmental
Health
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention*
Health and Human Services

John W. McClelland, Ph.D.

*Associate Director
Office of Energy and New Uses*
Department of Agriculture

Barry McNutt

*Senior Policy Analyst
Office of Energy Efficiency and
Alternative Fuels Policy*
Department of Energy

Mary D. Nichols

*Assistant Administrator
for Air and Radiation*
Environmental Protection Agency

Kenneth Olden, Ph.D.

*Director
National Institute of Environmental
Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health*
Health and Human Services

Raymond Prince, Ph.D.

Senior Economist
Council of Economic Advisors

Contributors

David L. Ashley
*National Center for
Environmental Health
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention*
Atlanta, GA 30341

Roger Atkinson
*Statewide Air Pollution
Research Center
University of
California–Riverside*
Riverside, CA 92521

Arthur L. Baehr
U.S. Geological Survey
Trenton, NJ 08628

Bruce J. Bauman
*Health and Environmental
Research
American Petroleum Institute*
Washington, DC 20005

Loren Beard
*American Automobile
Manufacturers Assoc.
(Chrysler Corporation)*
Washington, DC 20005-2110

Chris Bruner
*U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Jack Calvert
*National Center for
Atmospheric Research*
Boulder, CO 80307

Dwayne L. Conrad
TEXACO, Inc.
Bellair, TX 77401-2324

Mark Coryell
*U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Washington, DC 20460

J. Michael Davis
*National Center for
Environmental Assessment
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27711

Robert T. Drew
*Health and Environmental
Research
American Petroleum Institute*
Washington, DC 20005

Burhan Ghanayem
*National Institute of
Environmental Health
Sciences*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27709

G. Jean Harry
*National Institute of
Environmental Health
Sciences*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27709

Richard W. Hartle
*Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention
National Institute of
Occupational Safety and
Health*
Cincinnati, OH 45226

Larry Haslett
*U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Washington, DC 20460

Carleton J. Howard
*Aeronomy Laboratory
National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration,*
Boulder, CO 80303

Nic E. Korte
*Environmental Science
Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory*
Grand Junction, CO 81503

David J. Kortum
*U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Washington, DC 20460

Wayne W Lapham
U.S. Geological Survey
Reston, VA 22092

Kim Liechty
*Portable Power Equipment
Manufacturers Association
(Poulan/Weed Eater)*
Bethesda, MD 20814

Ronald L. Melnick
*National Institute of
Environmental Health
Sciences*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27709

Abraham Morduchowitz
*Environment, Health, and
Safety Division
TEXACO, Inc.*
Beacon, NY 12508

James F. Pankow
*Department of Environmental
Science and Engineering
Oregon Graduate Institute of
Science and Technology*
Portland, OR 97291-1000

Charles H. Ris
*National Center for
Environmental Assessment
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Washington, D. C. 20460

Armistead Russell
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30332

Michael Shelby
*National Institute of
Environmental Health
Sciences*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27709

Evelyn R Washington
*Office of Ground Water and
Drinking Water*
*U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency*
Washington, DC 20460

Mary C. White
*National Center for
Environmental Health
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention*
Atlanta, GA 30341

Errol Zeiger
*National Institute of
Environmental Health Sciences*
Research Triangle Park, NC
27709

John S. Zogorski
U.S. Geological Survey
Rapid City, SD 57702

FIGURES

1.1	A 14-year record showing monthly average values of hourly average CO concentrations at the CAMP air quality monitoring site in Denver, Colorado	1-6
1.2	Typical gasoline engine pollutant emissions as a function of the intake air to fuel ratio (A/F)	1-8
1.3	This figure shows representative data used as input for the EPA MOBILE 5a Model indicating the percent reduction in CO emissions per percent by weight oxygen fuel versus the vehicle CO emission level.	1-24
1.4	The diamonds and line in this figure show a fleet distribution of CO emissions for model years 1976-1993 vehicles.	1-25
1.5	Twenty-year trend in CO second maximum 8-hour concentration	1-36
2.1	The movement of fuel oxygenates in the environment	2-20
2.2	Comparison of MTBE health advisories/guidelines, drinking-water standards, taste and odor thresholds, action levels, and clean-up levels	2-26
2.3	Cities and metropolitan areas where MTBE was sampled in urban stormwater, 1991-1995	2-35
2.4	Temporal variation of the concentration of MTBE in three private wells in Missouri, 1992-95, which were contaminated by an underground storage tank	2-46

TABLES

1.1	Vehicle exhaust emission standards in grams per mile (U.S. passenger cars, excluding California)	1-7
1.2	Summary of results from Auto/Oil AQIRP study (Reuter et al., 1992) of oxyfuel effects on twenty 1989 model year vehicles' exhaust emission	1-11
1.3	Summary of results from Hochhauser et al. (1991) on the effects of a 15% MTBE fuel (2.7 wt % oxygen) on a current group of 1989 model year vehicles and a group of older 1983-1985 model year vehicles	1-12
1.4	Summary of results of Auto/Oil AQIRP study of oxyfuel effects on high-emitting vehicles	1-13
1.5	Summary of results of EPA study of normal and higher emitting vehicles	1-14
1.6	Percent changes in average CO emissions from oxyfuels (13 PSI Fuel Set) under different ambient conditions of temperature and altitude	1-15
1.7	Summary of oxyfuel effects from American Petroleum Institute (Lax, 1994) study	1-16
1.8	MOBILE 5a Model predictions of the effects of 3.1 wt % oxygen oxyfuel on light duty gasoline vehicle CO emissions	1-28
1.9	Complex Model predictions of the effects of MTBE and ethanol oxygenated fuels on on-road fleet exhaust emissions	1-30
1.10	Areas with winter oxygenated fuel programs in 1995; areas considered for redesignation for 1995; and areas previously redesignated	1-33
1.11	Count of the total number of exceedances of the 9 ppm 8-hour standard from the 1985-1994 record of all urban stations	1-37
1.12	Count of all reported exceedances of the 8-hour 9 ppm standard reported by about 550 urban stations in 1993 and 1994	1-37
1.13	Summary of studies analyzing ambient CO data to measure the effectiveness of the winter oxyfuel program	1-44
2.1	Estimated releases of MTBE, TBA, and MeOH for the United States for report year 1993	2-18
2.2	Summary of MTBE drinking-water standards, health advisories/guidelines, and action levels established or being promulgated by select States	2-22
2.3	Summary of MTBE ground-water action and clean-up levels established or being promulgated by select States	2-23
2.4	Odor thresholds for fuel oxygenates in water	2-24
2.5	Acute toxicity of fuel oxygenates to freshwater aquatic life	2-27
2.6	Statistical summary of MTBE concentrations in urban air	2-30
2.7	Occurrence of MTBE in storm water for all 16 cities where monitoring was completed	2-34
2.8	Concentration of MTBE in storm water for all 16 cities where monitoring was completed	2-36
2.9	Occurrence and concentration of MTBE in storm-water samples for select cities where MTBE was used seasonally in oxygenated gasoline	2-38
2.10	Summary information for MTBE in ground water and in drinking water derived from ground water for the States surveyed	2-40

TABLES (Contd.)

2.11	Summary of non-detection and detection of MTBE for several ranges of concentrations for different types of wells sampled as part of ground-water assessment in programs that had at least one detection of MTBE	2-42
2.12	Occurrence and concentration ranges of MTBE in drinking water for those programs in which there was at least one detection of MTBE	2-44
2.13	Concentration of MTBE in wells sampled in July 1993 and in August 1995 as part of the NAWQA Program in Denver, Colorado	2-48
2.14	Reported chemical and physical properties of oxygenates and their degradation products	2-51
2.15	Reported chemical and physical properties of gasoline and BTEX compounds	2-52
2.16	Estimated half-life of MTBE in a stream or river under calm air conditions at 5 °C	2-58
2.17	Estimated half-life of MTBE in a stream or river under calm air conditions at 25 °C	2-59
3.1	Theoretically expected effect of oxygenates on fuel energy	3-8
4.1	Cancer potency estimates for MTBE based on rat and mouse tumor data using linear multistage extrapolation	4-27