

a proposed process plan and schedule) with the Commission, pursuant to 18 CFR 5.6 of the Commission's regulations.

m. A copy of the PAD is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>), using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCONlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in paragraph h.

Register online at <http://ferc.gov/esubscribenow.htm> to be notified via e-mail of new filing and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Philis J. Posey,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-6102 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OH-164-1; FRL-8294-5]

Adequacy Status of the Dayton-Springfield, OH, Submitted 8-Hour Ozone Redesignation and Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for 8-hour ozone in Dayton-Springfield (Clark, Greene, Miami, and Montgomery Counties), Ohio—which were submitted as part of a redesignation request and maintenance plan for this area—are adequate for conformity purposes. As a result of our finding, Dayton-Springfield must use the MVEBs from the submitted 8-hour ozone redesignation and maintenance plan for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective April 18, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony Maietta, Life Scientist, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8777, Maietta.anthony@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we", "us" or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Background

Today's action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency on February 9, 2007, stating that the 2005 and 2018 MVEBs in the Dayton-Springfield area are adequate. Ohio submitted the budgets as part of the 8-hour ozone redesignation request and maintenance plan for this area. This submittal was announced on EPA's conformity Web site, and received no comments: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>, (once there, click on "What SIP submissions are currently under EPA adequacy review?").

The 2005 and 2018 MVEBs, in tons per day (tpd), for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for Dayton-Springfield are as follows:

| | 2005 MVEB (tpd) | 2018 MVEB (tpd) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| VOC | 29.19 | 14.73 |
| NO _x | 63.88 | 21.42 |

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a State Implementation Plan (SIP) means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a

budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 21, 2007.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. E7-6148 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OH-165-1; FRL-8294-7]

Adequacy Status of the Parkersburg, Steubenville-Weirton, Lima, Wheeling, and Canton, OH, Submitted 8-Hour Ozone Redesignation and Maintenance Plans for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this action, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for 8-hour ozone for five areas in the State of Ohio, submitted as part of the redesignation and maintenance plans for these areas, are adequate for conformity purposes. As a result of our finding, the Parkersburg (Washington County), Steubenville-Weirton (Jefferson County), Lima (Allen County), Wheeling (Belmont County), and Canton (Stark County) areas must use the MVEBs from the submitted 8-hour ozone redesignation and maintenance plans for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective April 18, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony Maietta, Life Scientist, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8777, Maietta.anthony@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we", "us" or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Background

Today's action is simply an announcement of findings that we have already made. On December 28, 2006,

EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) stating that the 2009 and 2018 MVEBs in the Steubenville-Weirton area are adequate. EPA Region 5 sent letters to OEPA on January 22, 2007, stating that the 2009 and 2018 MVEBs in the Parkersburg, Lima, Wheeling, and Canton areas are adequate. Ohio submitted the budgets as part of the 8-hour ozone redesignation requests and maintenance plans for these areas. The submittals were announced on EPA's conformity website, and received no comments: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>, (once there, click on "What SIP submissions are currently under EPA adequacy review?").

The 2009 and 2018 MVEBs, in tons per day, for volatile organic compounds (VOC) for these areas are as follows:

| Area | 2009 | 2018 |
|----------------------------|-------|------|
| Parkersburg | 2.59 | 1.67 |
| Steubenville-Weirton | 2.63 | 1.37 |
| Lima | 5.08 | 2.89 |
| Wheeling | 2.60 | 1.52 |
| Canton | 10.02 | 5.37 |

The 2009 and 2018 MVEBs, in tons per day, for oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for these areas are as follows:

| Area | 2009 | 2018 |
|----------------------------|-------|------|
| Parkersburg | 3.58 | 1.76 |
| Steubenville-Weirton | 4.10 | 1.67 |
| Lima | 8.28 | 3.47 |
| Wheeling | 4.69 | 1.91 |
| Canton | 18.03 | 7.08 |

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a State Implementation Plan (SIP) means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's

completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671 q.

Dated: March 21, 2007.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. E7-6150 Filed 4-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979; FRL-8294-6]

Board of Scientific Counselors, Safe Pesticides/Safe Products (SP2) Research Program Subcommittee Meeting—April 2007

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), gives notice of one meeting of the Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC) SP2 Subcommittee.

DATES: The meeting (a teleconference call) will be held on Wednesday, April 25, 2007, from 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. All times noted are eastern time. The meetings may adjourn early if all business is finished. Requests for the draft agenda or for making oral presentations at the meetings will be accepted up to 1 business day before each meeting.

ADDRESSES: Participation in the conference call will be by teleconference only—meeting rooms will not be used. Members of the public may obtain the call-in number and access code for the call from Heather Drumm, whose contact information is listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* Send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to: ORD.Docket@epa.gov, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to: (202) 566-0224, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979.

- *Mail:* Send comments by mail to: Board of Scientific Counselors, Safe Pesticides/Safe Products Subcommittee Docket, Mailcode: 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC, 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver comments to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Room B102, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979. **Note:** this is not a mailing address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2006-0979. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is