

development that will result in filling/impacting approximately 349.3 acres of wetlands and approximately 7.05 linear miles of streams. The project site contains approximately 845 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and 14.53 miles of streambeds. This residential development project is comprised of approximately 5,024 acres and is located near the Stockton Community in Baldwin County. The proposed project will consist of the construction of six lakes, three 18-hole golf courses, roadways, and approximately 9,000 low to medium density single-family residential home sites. The lakes will be created as impoundments through the construction of dams across existing stream segments and bottomlands. In addition to their use for recreation, the lakes will be used to supply irrigation water to the development. It is anticipated that the proposed development will occur in at least three (3) phases over a period of approximately 15 years.

2. Alternatives to the applicant's proposal may exist which would reduce the impacts. These could include alternative site layouts sites or alternate site locations.

3. Scoping:

a. The Corps invites full public participation to promote open communication on the issues surrounding the proposal. All Federal, State, and local agencies, and other persons or organizations that have an interest are urged to participate in the NEPA scoping process. A public meeting will be held to help identify significant issues and to receive public input and comment (see DATES).

b. The DEIS will analyze the potential social, economic, and environmental impacts to the local area resulting from the proposed project. Specifically, the following major issues will be analyzed in depth in the DEIS alternatives: hydrologic and hydraulic regimes, wetlands, streams, cultural resources, utilities, socioeconomic characteristics, biological resources, threatened and endangered species, transportation systems, secondary impacts, cumulative impacts, environmental justice (effect on minorities and low income groups), and protection of children (E.O. 13045).

c. The Corps will serve as the lead Federal agency in the preparation of the DEIS. It is anticipated that the following agencies will be invited and will accept cooperating agency status for the preparation of the DEIS: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service; Alabama Department of Environmental Management; Alabama Department of Conservation

and Natural Resources; Alabama State Historic Preservation Officer; Alabama Department of Transportation; South Alabama Regional Planning Commission; Baldwin County; and the City of Bay Minette.

4. It is anticipated that the DEIS will be made available for public review in August 2004.

Ronald A. Krizman,

Chief, Regulatory Branch.

[FR Doc. 03-24614 Filed 9-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-CR-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Indian River Lagoon South Project

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Jacksonville District, intends to prepare an integrated Project Implementation Report/Draft Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (PIR/DSFEIS) for the Central and Southern Florida (C&SF) Project, Indian River Lagoon—South Project. On October 11, 2002 a notice of availability was published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 63421) for the C&SF Project, Indian River Lagoon—South Feasibility Study and Integrated Final Environmental Impact Statement. The PIR/DSFEIS is being prepared to address the requirements of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 related to the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan that were not addressed in the Feasibility Report and the FEIS. These requirements involve determination of water to be reserved for the natural system, savings clause, level of service for flood protection, the draft operations and monitoring plan and the ecological and water quality monitoring plan. The PIR/DSFEIS will also address a design refinement to the recommended plan identified during the Pre-construction Engineering and Design Phase.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael Dupes, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL 32232-0019, by e-mail michael.dupes@saj02.usace.army.mil, or by telephone at 904-232-1689.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. *Study Area:* The study area remains the same as originally described in the Feasibility Report and FEIS.

b. *Project Scope:* The scope of the project remains the same as described in the Feasibility Report and FEIS.

c. *Public Involvement:* A public workshop will be conducted to notify the public of the WRDA 2000 requirements and of the change to the recommended plan. The exact location, date, and time of the workshop will be announced in public notices and local newspapers and on the Everglades Restoration Web site at [fnl;http://www.evergladesplan.org](http://www.evergladesplan.org).

d. *SEIS Preparation:* The integrated Project Implementation Report, including the draft SEIS is currently scheduled for publication in late 2003 or early 2004.

Dated: September 16, 2003.

James C. Duck,

Chief, Planning Division.

[FR Doc. 03-24613 Filed 9-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-AJ-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[AZ-096-FIN; FRL-7564-3]

Adequacy Status of the Maricopa County, Arizona, Submitted CO Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets contained in the submitted Maricopa County 2003 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan are adequate for conformity purposes.

As a result of our finding, the Maricopa Association of Governments and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use the CO motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted CO Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This determination is effective October 14, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/conform/adequacy.htm>, (once there, click on the "What SIP submissions has EPA already found adequate or inadequate?" button.)

You may also contact Wienke Tax, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901; (520) 622-1622 or tax.wienke@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces our finding that the emissions budgets contained in the submitted Maricopa County 2003 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan, submitted by the State of Arizona on behalf of the Maricopa Association of Governments, are adequate for conformity purposes. EPA Region IX made this finding in a letter to the State of Arizona, Department of Environmental Quality, on September 10, 2003. We are also announcing this finding on our conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/conform/adequate.htm>, (once there, click on the "What SIP submissions has EPA already found adequate or inadequate?" button).

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. Our conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). One of these criteria is that the motor vehicle emissions budgets, when considered together with all other emissions sources, are consistent with applicable requirements for a maintenance plan. We have preliminarily determined that the MAG 2003 CO Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan meets the necessary emissions reductions and therefore, the motor vehicle emissions budgets can be found adequate. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review which is required by section 110(k)(1) of the Clean Air Act, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the submitted plan itself. Even if we find a budget adequate, the submitted plan could later be disapproved.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999

Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination on the emissions budgets contained in the MAG 2003 CO Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: September 12, 2003.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 03-24559 Filed 9-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7563-5]

Availability of FY 02 Grant Performance Reports for States of Alabama, Florida, Mississippi and South Carolina; All Local Agencies Within the States of Alabama, Florida, and Tennessee; and the Local Agencies of Western North Carolina and Mecklenburg County in the State of North Carolina

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of grantee performance evaluation reports.

SUMMARY: EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.150) require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency (40 CFR 56.7) require that the Agency notify the public of the availability of the reports of such evaluations. EPA performed end-of-year evaluations of four state air pollution control programs (Alabama Department of Environmental Management; Florida Department of Environmental Protection; Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality; and South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control); and 14 local programs (City of Huntsville Division of Natural Resources, AL; Jefferson County Department of Health, AL; Broward County Department of Planning and Environmental Protection, FL; Jacksonville Air and Water Quality Division, FL; Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission, FL; Dade County Air Quality Management Division, FL; Palm Beach County Health Department, FL; Pinellas County Department of Environmental Management, FL; Mecklenburg County Land Use and Environmental Services Agency, NC; Western North Carolina Regional Air Quality Agency, NC; Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau, TN; Memphis/Shelby County Health

Department, TN; Knox County Department of Air Quality Management, TN; and Nashville-Davidson County Metropolitan Public Health Department, TN). The 18 evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' performance under the grants awarded by EPA under authority of section 105 of the Clean Air Act. EPA Region 4 has prepared reports for each agency identified above and these reports are now available for public inspection. The evaluations for the remainder of the State and local governments will be published at a later date.

ADDRESSES: The reports may be examined at the EPA's Region 4 office, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, in the Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marie Persinger (404) 562-9048 for information concerning the State of Alabama; Gloria Knight (404) 562-9064 for the States of Florida and Mississippi; Mary Fox (404) 562-9053 for the State of North Carolina; and Rayna Brown (404) 562-9093 for the States of South Carolina and Tennessee. They may be contacted at the above Region 4 address.

Dated: September 10, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 03-24411 Filed 9-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2003-0334; FRL-7326-8]

Exposure Modeling Work Group; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Exposure Modeling Work Group (EMWG) will hold a 1-day meeting on September 30, 2003. This notice announces the location and time for the meeting and sets forth the tentative agenda topics.

DATES: The meeting will be held on September 30, 2003, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Crystal Mall #2, Room 1126 (Fishbowl), 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael R. Barrett, Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental