

Office of Underground Storage Tanks (OUST) and the regulated community. The current OMB inventory reports \$363,000 in total annualized costs (which includes capital/startup and O&M costs); there was an error in the burden associated with the previous ICR (1360.06) and the cost estimate should have been \$363,561,000. The total annualized cost requested in this ICR is \$343,507,000. This corrects the current OMB inventory and is based on updated data from the Office of Underground Storage Tanks (OUST) and the regulated community. This new burden is \$20.05 million less than the previous ICR submission.

Dated: September 5, 2004.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 04-20980 Filed 9-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket #: ID-04-004; FRL-7815-1]

Adequacy Status of the Portneuf Valley, Pocatello, ID Submitted Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found

the submitted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM₁₀ in the Moderate Portneuf Valley, Pocatello, Idaho PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan (Maintenance Plan) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of this adequacy finding, the Bannock Planning Organization, Idaho Transportation Department, and the Federal Highway Administration are required to use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from this submitted Maintenance Plan for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective October 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm>, (once there, click on the "Transportation Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions"). You may also contact Wayne Elson, U.S. EPA, Region 10, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics (AWT-107), 1200 Sixth Ave, Seattle WA 98101; (206) 553-1463 or elson.wayne@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 10 sent a letter to Idaho Department of Environmental Quality on August 31,

2004, stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Maintenance Plan are adequate.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budget is adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review and it also should not be used to prejudge our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budgets adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination. For the reader's ease, we have excerpted the motor vehicle emission budgets from the Maintenance Plan. The budgets in tons per year are as follows:

Year	Particulate matter PM ₁₀	Nitrogen oxides	Volatile organic compounds
2005	897	1,575	983
2010	1,120	1,085	716
2020	1,364	514	585

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: September 8, 2004.

Julie Hagensen,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 04-20975 Filed 9-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6655-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section

309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-G39041-LA Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Louisiana Coastal Area (LCA) Ecosystem Restoration Study, Implementation, Tentatively Selected Plan, Mississippi River, LA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the selection of the Tentatively Selected Plan of Action, and supports the primary restoration strategies, namely, river reintroduction and barrier island/shoreline restoration.

ERP No. D-COE-K39086-CA Rating EC2, Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, Restoring Anadromous Fish Populations, Matilija Creek, Ventura River, Ventura County Watershed Protection District, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: EPA supports the proposed project. However, EPA has environmental concerns regarding the potential adverse impacts of a flooding event mobilizing a large quantity of