



NIDA Addiction Treatment Discovery Program

Guide for Compound Submitters
Revised 2007

A decorative horizontal bar with a blue-to-white gradient is located at the bottom of the page.

NIDA Contacts:

Jane B. Acri, Ph.D.

Director, NIDA Addiction Treatment Discovery Program

(+01) 301-443-8489

jacri@nih.gov

David J. McCann, Ph.D.

Chief, NIDA Medications Discovery & Toxicology Branch

(+01) 301-443-2999

dmccann@nih.gov

For General NIDA Information:

Please visit www.nida.nih.gov

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
NIDA Capabilities in Medications.....	3
Discovery & Development	4
The Addiction Treatment Discovery Program.....	4
Preclinical & Clinical Development	5
Confidentiality & Intellectual Property Issues.....	5
Opportunities for Pharmaceutical Companies	6
Opportunities for Chemistry/	6
Drug Discovery Companies	6
Special Opportunities for Small Businesses	7
Collaborations with Chemists in.....	7
Academia & Non-Profit Organizations	7
Compounds of Special Interest	7
CRF-1 Receptor Antagonists	8
CB-1 Receptor Antagonists	8
Orexin Receptor Antagonists	9
Kappa-Opioid Receptor Antagonists	9
Glutamate Modulators	10
GABA-Mimetics.....	11
Dopamine D ₁ Receptor Agonists	11
Dopamine D ₃ Receptor Agonists & Antagonists.....	12
Compounds Inhibiting Reuptake or	13
Stimulating Release of Biogenic Amines	13
VMAT-2 Inhibitors.....	13
Muscarinic M ₅ ACh Receptor Agonists & Antagonists	14
ORL-1 Receptor Agonists.....	15
Compounds with Submitter-Documented Rationale	15
References.....	16

NIDA Capabilities in Medications

Discovery & Development

In response to the drug addiction epidemic during the 1980s, and fueled by the appearance of “crack” cocaine and the continued availability of heroin, the United States Congress mandated the formation of a Medications Development Program (MDP) within the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Formed in 1990, the MDP serves to coordinate and encourage academic, private, and federal regulatory involvement in developing and bringing to market new medications for the treatment of drug addiction. Through the MDP, NIDA provides access to preclinical and clinical NIDA contract resources, usually at no cost to academic and private sector partners, to facilitate medications discovery and development efforts. The MDP also provides scientific consultations to companies that are considering medications development projects related to drug addiction treatment.

NIDA’s efforts have resulted in three successful New Drug Applications to date. Most notably, the MDP played a key role in developing buprenorphine and buprenorphine/naloxone products that were approved by the FDA in 2004 for the treatment of opiate addiction. The MDP’s successes in the opiate field have allowed NIDA to shift its efforts, increasing support for the discovery and development of cocaine and methamphetamine addiction treatments. It has been estimated that there are 2.4 million current cocaine users and 1.1 million current users of methamphetamine and related stimulants in the United States alone (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2006), and there are no approved medications for treating these addiction disorders. There is scientific rationale for pursuing specific biochemical targets, and several of these targets are already the focus of pharmaceutical industry efforts related to clinical indications other than drug addiction treatment. In addition, some compounds hold the promise of addressing relapse and its precipitating stimuli, or so-called “triggers,” that appear common to all drug addiction disorders. Such medications may prove useful not only for treating cocaine and methamphetamine addiction, but also for smoking cessation, for the treatment of alcoholism and marijuana addiction and, correspondingly, for the treatment of polydrug abuse and addiction.

The Addiction Treatment Discovery Program

The Addiction Treatment Discovery Program (ATDP) was created in 2005 through the merger of three separate discovery programs that were focused exclusively on cocaine, opiates, and methamphetamine. A major goal of the earlier programs was the discovery of “agonist therapies” to facilitate quit attempts in cocaine and methamphetamine dependence (such agonist therapies would be analogous to the use of nicotine for smoking cessation). The ATDP has shifted focus to emphasize the discovery of compounds aimed at the clinical endpoint of relapse prevention; accordingly, the sequence of testing and related decision trees have evolved. In most cases, the ATDP no longer accepts uncharacterized compounds for initial *in vitro* testing under NIDA contracts. Instead, the ATDP accepts compounds from specific pharmacological classes and for which there is preliminary data. Compounds of known pharmacology are profiled in relevant animal models, which will vary depending upon the compound’s mechanism of action. Because of the focus on relapse prevention as a clinical endpoint, the program has a number of reinstatement models using different drugs of abuse. The ATDP has increased resources to evaluate compounds in models of relapse to cocaine, heroin or methamphetamine, using stress, conditioned cues, or drug primes to

produce reinstatement in rats whose self-administration behavior has been extinguished. Compound testing is shaped by existing data in rodents and the sequence of testing is determined in collaboration with the compound submitter.

ATDP staff coordinate testing for compound submitters and provide study reports and feedback. To ensure confidentiality of data, contracted test sites are blinded to both the source and identity of test compounds. In addition to the relapse models mentioned above, established tests that could be selected for a particular compound include *in vitro* receptor assays, rodent locomotor activity testing, rodent and/or primate drug discrimination testing, rodent and/or primate drug self-administration testing. In addition, predictive toxicology tests, such as the hERG channel assay to predict QT prolongation and the Spot Ames test to predict mutagenicity, are available and additional animal models may be established as necessary. NIDA ATDP staff members welcome opportunities to discuss specific testing proposals with potential compound submitters and to present NIDA's capabilities to pharmaceutical company management.

Preclinical & Clinical Development

Medications discovery resources of the ATDP are only one component of the NIDA Medications Development Program, which has contracts to support every aspect of drug development, from GMP synthesis and standard preclinical safety testing to Phase I through III clinical trials. However, NIDA is not in the business of marketing medications and although capable of all aspects of medication development, NIDA does not wish to undertake the full medications development process on its own. Therefore, NIDA seeks to enter into collaborative agreements with private sector partners as early as possible in any development project. As collaborative agreements are established, the relative contributions of each party are negotiated on a case-by-case basis and a formal agreement is drafted.

Confidentiality & Intellectual Property Issues

Information designated as confidential by compound submitters, such as compound structure and identity, is available on a need-to-know basis only to NIDA extramural program personnel associated with the Medication Development Program in Rockville, Maryland. Members of NIDA's Intramural Research Program in Baltimore, Maryland (scientists who may have the goal of synthesizing and patenting potential medications) do not have access to the confidential information and data obtained or generated by the ATDP. The ATDP assigns code numbers to all test compounds, and NIDA-contracted laboratories that conduct pharmacological testing receive compounds only under these code numbers; thus, ATDP test sites are blinded to both the identity and the source of compounds that they receive. Along with the compounds, test sites receive storage and solubility information that is necessary for testing. NIDA ATDP contractors are legally required to treat as confidential any and all compound-related data or other information, whether provided by NIDA or generated during the course of testing. In addition, NIDA ATDP contracts contain a special clause that prevents contractors from claiming rights to data generated under their contracts, protecting the intellectual property rights of compound submitters. Formal agreements for confidential disclosure, screening agreements, clinical trial agreements and cooperative research and development agreements are available and can be developed for the particular needs of the specific collaboration. Compound submitters who desire a formal confidentiality agreement should contact NIDA ATDP staff.

Opportunities for Pharmaceutical Companies

Pharmaceutical companies may utilize NIDA ATDP contract resources to support compound profiling in animal models relevant to addiction or, if company resources can support such testing, NIDA ATDP staff are available to serve in a consultant role during the discovery and development process. Confidentiality agreements are available to cover the transfer of information to NIDA and all consultations are free of charge. For promising compounds that advance from discovery to development, the relative contributions of NIDA and company partners to collaborative drug development projects are negotiated on a case-by-case basis. Historically, NIDA's partners have agreed that GMP synthesis, dosage form development, and manufacturing are best covered by the companies that would ultimately manufacture and market the medications. On the other hand, NIDA has the unique expertise to plan and conduct special preclinical and clinical drug interaction studies to establish the safety of potential medications in the presence of drugs of abuse. NIDA also has the expertise to conduct clinical efficacy trials in drug abusing populations and NIDA has historically covered these areas in its past collaborations with industry. Thus, responsibilities for standard safety testing, both preclinical and clinical (Phase I), with associated pharmacokinetic studies, have been the primary areas of negotiation and are usually decided on the basis of timing or other non scientific factors. NIDA is flexible in this area and sensitive to company development timelines and other issues that may affect a development project.

Opportunities for Chemistry/ Drug Discovery Companies

Mergers or partnerships between companies that have chemical library synthesis/supply capabilities and companies that have library screening capabilities have allowed the first steps of drug discovery to begin outside of traditional pharmaceutical companies. Such companies often license a series of hits or leads to pharmaceutical companies for further development. For addiction-relevant targets, chemistry/drug discovery companies may wish to consider applying for NIDA grant funds to support the initial drug discovery process (see Program Announcements entitled "*Design, Synthesis, and Preclinical Testing of Potential Treatment Agents for Drug Addiction*"; <http://www.nida.nih.gov/funding/resfundslist.html>.) Alternatively, library screening and initial steps toward lead optimization may be conducted with internal company resources, with NIDA assisting with relevant behavioral pharmacology profiling, predictive toxicology evaluations, and/or early preclinical safety assessment under NIDA contracts. For promising and novel compounds, NIDA contracts may be used to support full preclinical development in support of an IND. Because NIDA contract funds are more limited than grant funds, NIDA would encourage companies that qualify as U.S. small businesses (see below) to consider applying for set-aside small business grant funds to support activities such as lead optimization and preclinical development. All of the above options may be discussed with NIDA ATDP staff.

Special Opportunities for Small Businesses

U.S. small businesses having no more than 500 employees may be eligible to obtain substantial funds in support of drug discovery and development from NIDA through Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) or Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) grants (see <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/sbir.htm>). These grants allow for drug development activities in two phases. Recognizing that the usual limitations on funding for the first phase (\$100,000) and the second phase (\$750,000) are incompatible with drug development, NIDA has established a special Program Announcement to support SBIR/STTR Phase II competing continuation awards. Thorough competing continuations, a small business may obtain up to an additional 3 years and an additional \$3,000,000 of support for a project, which may include chemistry, pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical studies. This NIDA Special Program announcement can be found at <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA-06-036.html>.

Businesses that wish to seek SBIR or STTR grant funds in support of medications development for drug addiction disorders are encouraged to contact NIDA ATDP staff prior to the application process. Guidance from NIDA related to such details as the likely required duration of clinical efficacy trials and the need for special, FDA-required preclinical drug interaction studies to establish the safety of potential medications in the presence of drugs of abuse may be beneficial even to companies that have extensive experience with the standard aspects of drug development. Given the opportunity, NIDA ATDP staff will provide comments and advice on draft grant applications prior to submission to the NIH.

Collaborations with Chemists in Academia & Non-Profit Organizations

NIDA grant funds are available to chemists who wish to pursue medicinal chemistry related to addiction-relevant targets. Chemists are encouraged to partner with pharmacologists so that both synthesis and initial screening may be conducted under one grant. For a related Program Announcement, see Program Announcements entitled "*Design, Synthesis, and Preclinical Testing of Potential Treatment Agents for Drug Addiction*" (<http://www.nida.nih.gov/funding/resfundslist.html>) Subsequent to the discovery of a lead compounds, chemists are encouraged to work closely with NIDA ATDP staff to utilize ATDP contract resources to assist in the lead optimization process. Predictive toxicology testing (such as the hERG assays to predict QT-prolongation and the spot Ames tests to predict mutagenicity) require relatively small amounts of test material and the resulting data may be extremely useful in selecting a successful development candidate. From a safety perspective, these assays are used to select the most promising lead from a series of compounds in discovery. Evaluation of lead compounds in animal models of addiction may be supported by a grant, by NIDA ATDP contract resources, or both.

Compounds of Special Interest

NIDA-supported basic research has revealed multiple receptor targets, neural circuits, biochemical pathways, and cognitive processes of potential relevance to the development of medications for the

improved treatment of drug addiction disorders. Accordingly, the NIDA ATDP is not focused on one specific target, but is instead in facilitating medications discovery at a number of targets. In some cases, new medications acting at these targets may fill specific gaps in current treatment options by addressing the absence of approved medications to treat either cocaine or methamphetamine addiction and in other cases, new medications may have broader application in the treatment of polydrug abuse and addiction. The NIDA ATDP would be interested in evaluating compounds that are selective for these targets; however, when considering the individual targets/mechanisms listed in this section, it should be noted that selectivity for one target is not essential and that a compound that has activity at more than one of the listed targets, may be of value. For example, modafinil, which has shown efficacy in some clinical trials (Dackis et al., 2005), is a compound that may act by attenuating GABAergic transmission (Tanganelli et al., 1992), enhancing glutamatergic transmission (Ferraro et al., 1997), and modulating the reuptake of biogenic amines in specific brain areas (Ferraro et al., 1996; Gallopin et al., 2004). Evidence for the interaction of a single compound with more than one desirable target may be an important finding, and NIDA ATDP staff would be extremely interested in discussing the potential evaluation of such compounds.

CRF-1 Receptor Antagonists

The role of Corticotropin-Releasing Factor (CRF) in drug addiction and the rationale for development of CRF-1 receptor antagonists as treatments for drug dependence have been extensively reviewed (Koob, 1999; Stewart, 2000; Sarnyai et al., 2001). In rat models of stress-induced relapse to drug use, CRF-1 antagonists have been shown to block footshock-induced reinstatement of responding for cocaine (Erb et al., 1998; Shaham et al., 1998), heroin (Shaham et al., 1997; Shaham et al., 1998), and alcohol (Le et al., 2000). These data suggest efficacy of CRF-1 antagonists in counteracting the widely acknowledged ability of stress to trigger relapse to multiple drugs of abuse. Such efficacy in multiple drug addiction disorders would be beneficial because abuse and addiction to a single compound is less common than polydrug abuse and addiction.

Multiple pharmaceutical companies have been working toward the development of CRF-1 antagonists for the treatment of depression and/or anxiety. Despite this fact, NIDA received no responses when it actively solicited proposals for a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) partnership for CRF-1 antagonist development/addiction treatment (Federal Register, Vol. 65 (25): 5874 published on Feb. 7, 2000). Safety issues have greatly hampered the development of CRF-1 antagonists by the pharmaceutical industry thus far. The NIDA Medications Development Program remains committed to facilitating the development of a CRF-1 antagonist for the treatment of drug addiction and would welcome private sector partnership when a developable compound is identified. Evaluation of such a compound in stress-induced relapse models within the ATDP would be a logical first step.

CB-1 Receptor Antagonists

Evidence that cannabinoid-1 (CB-1) receptor antagonists may prove useful in treating drug addiction disorders has been the subject of two recent reviews (Le Foll and Goldberg, 2005; Beardsley and Thomas, 2005). Particularly notable in these reviews is the ability of CB-1 receptor antagonists to modulate the pharmacology of THC, nicotine, cocaine, methamphetamine, opiates, and ethanol, which has generated a high level of interest in this class of compounds. Unlike compounds that

block the ability of stress to trigger drug-seeking behavior in animal models of relapse, CB-1 antagonists have been reported to act either by blocking the subjective/rewarding effects of drugs like THC (Tanda et al., 2000; Huestis et al., 2001), or by blocking the ability of conditioned cues to promote reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior in animals extinguished from drug self-administration (De Vries et al., 2001; De Vries and Schoffelmeer, 2005). Rimonabant, a CB-1 antagonist has been suggested to have inverse agonist properties (Pertwee, 2005), may eventually be marketed for the treatment of obesity and available for clinical study. A possible goal for medicinal chemists working toward the discovery of “second generation” compounds is the discovery of neutral CB-1 antagonists. Because it is possible that such compounds would demonstrate improved tolerability in drug-addicted populations, the NIDA ATDP would be interested in evaluating neutral CB-1 antagonists in relevant animal models of addiction.

Orexin Receptor Antagonists

The potential significance of orexin receptors (hypocretin receptors) as targets for the discovery of addiction treatment medications is best considered in the context of the rationale for pursuing CRF-1 and CB-1 antagonists (see above). It is noteworthy that the orexins appear to participate in the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis, with orexins mediating “hyperarousal” and “overactivation of emotional systems” following stress (Pañeda et al., 2005). Further, Winsky-Sommerer et al. (2004) have demonstrated that orexin-containing neurons in the lateral hypothalamus are innervated by CRF-containing nerve terminals and that stress-induced activation of c-fos in orexin-containing neurons is impaired in mice that are deficient in CRF-1 receptors. It follows that orexin receptor blockade may produce effects that are similar to CRF-1 receptor antagonism. In addition, CB-1 receptors and orexin-1 receptors appear capable of heterodimerization, with CB-1 receptor activation sensitizing orexin-1 receptors to activation by orexin A (Hilaret et al., 2003). If some CB-1 antagonist effects are mediated through the blockade of endocannabinoid-facilitated orexin receptor activation, then direct antagonism of orexin receptors may produce similar effects. Thus, the NIDA ATDP would welcome opportunities to evaluate orexin antagonists in animal models of stress-induced relapse to drug use and in models of conditioned cue- and drug prime-induced relapse. Harris et al. (2005) have demonstrated that the orexin 1 receptor antagonist SB 334867 blocks the expression of morphine conditioned place preference, suggesting an attenuated response to conditioned cues. In addition, SB 334867 has been shown to block footshock-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration behavior (Boutrel et al., 2005). Further studies of this class of compounds in animal models of addiction are warranted.

Kappa-Opioid Receptor Antagonists

The concept of a protracted abstinence syndrome following withdrawal from chronic *mu*-opiate use is a well-known phenomenon characterized by dysphoric mood state (Himmelsbach, 1943; Martin, 1984). This dysphoric state may contribute to relapse, in that one possible reason for resumption of *mu*-opiate use is the desire to ameliorate dysphoria with a euphorigenic drug. The hypothesis that the dysphoria of the protracted abstinence syndrome results from an upregulation of the endogenous *kappa*-opioid system (Rothman, 1992) is consistent with studies suggesting that chronic *mu*-opioid agonist treatment upregulates *kappa*-opioid receptors in mice (Gulati and Bhargava, 1988) and enhances behavioral responsiveness to *kappa*-opioid agonists in primates (Craft and Dykstra, 1992). Administration of *kappa*-opioid agonists in man is associated with dysphoria and psychotomimetic

effects (Kumor et al., 1986; Walsh et al., 2001), which is also consistent with the proposed role of dynorphin, the endogenous *kappa*-opioid agonist, in mood states associated with protracted abstinence. Taken together, these findings suggest that *kappa*-opioid receptor antagonists may block dysphoria experienced during protracted abstinence and, in turn, this may decrease the likelihood of relapse.

While the above rationale is specific for the potential usefulness of *kappa*-opioid antagonists in treating addiction to heroin and other *mu*-opioids, recent studies have demonstrated a role for the *kappa*-opioid system in the response of animals to stress, expanding the potential application of *kappa*-opioid antagonists to the treatment of cocaine and other drug addiction disorders. Most notably, the *kappa*-opioid antagonists nor-BNI and JD1c have been shown to block stress-induced potentiation of cocaine conditioned place preference (McLaughlin et al., 2003) and to block footshock-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration behavior (Beardsley et al., 2005), respectively. The ability of a drug to prevent stress from triggering relapse has important implications for the treatment of polydrug abuse and addiction. With the support of NIDA contracts, as of 2007, JD1c is currently in preclinical development and may advance to clinical testing. The NIDA ATDP is open to the evaluation of additional *kappa*-opioid antagonists, especially those with associated private sector support for co-development with NIDA.

Glutamate Modulators

Reported interactions of virtually all drugs of abuse with glutamatergic systems in brain provide strong rationale for the pursuit of several related biochemical targets in NIDA's medications discovery and development efforts. Tzschentke and Schmidt (2003) have reviewed glutamatergic mechanisms in addiction, emphasizing: 1) a role for glutamate in stimulating dopamine systems related to reward and 2) a dopamine-independent role for glutamate in altering the effects of conditioned stimuli on behavior. It has been proposed that the hallmark of addiction - an unmanageable motivation to take drugs - results from pathological changes in prefrontal-accumbens glutamate transmission (Kalivas et al., 2005).

Data supporting a role for both group I and group II metabotropic glutamate receptors in addiction have been extensively reviewed by Kenny and Markou (2004). A rationale for pursuing mGluR1-selective agonists is supported by *ex vivo* results suggesting the reversal of cocaine-induced plastic changes in AMPA receptor redistribution by mGluR1-activated long-term depression (Bellone and Luscher, 2006), while support for pursuing mGluR1-selective antagonists for the treatment of addiction comes from studies demonstrating that EMQMCM inhibits cue-induced and drug priming-induced reinstatement of nicotine-seeking behavior in rats (Dravolina et al., 2007) as well as the expression of sensitization to the locomotor effect of morphine in mice (Kotlinska and Bochenski, 2007). A rationale for pursuing mGluR5 antagonists as addiction treatments is supported by the results of mGluR5 knockout studies (Chiamulera et al., 2001) and by reported effects of the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP on self-administration of cocaine, nicotine, and alcohol (Chiamulera et al., 2001; Kenny et al., 2003; Paterson et al., 2003; Olive et al., 2005). Additionally, a rationale for pursuing mGluR2/3 agonists is supported by the efficacy of LY379268 in rat models of cue-induced relapse to cocaine (Baptista et al., 2004) and heroin (Bossert et al., 2005). Three other potentially promising mechanisms of glutamate modulation for addiction treatment are AMPA receptor antagonism (Cornish and Kalivas, 2000; Backstrom and Hyytia, 2004), NAALADase inhibition (Slusher et al., 2001), and selective

antagonism of NMDA receptors containing the 2B subunit (Narita et al., 2000; Ma et al., 2007). The NIDA MDP currently has a number of glutamatergic modulators in clinical development and, therefore, additional glutamate modulators will only be pursued by the ATDP if they possess unique activities or characteristics. Because the list of ongoing development projects is dynamic, potential compound submitters may wish to contact NIDA ATDP staff to determine the level of interest in specific types of glutamate modulators.

GABA-Mimetics

Evidence suggests a role for GABA-B receptor agonists and indirect GABA agonists such as vigabatrin (a GABA-transaminase inhibitor) and NNC-711 (a GABA uptake inhibitor) in the pharmacological treatment of addiction to cocaine and other drugs of abuse. For example, preclinical studies have found that the GABA-B agonists baclofen and CGP 44532 reduce cocaine self-administration in the rat at doses that have minimal or no effect on food-maintained responding (Roberts et al., 1996; Shoaib et al., 1998; Brebner et al., 1999; Brebner et al., 2000). This finding with baclofen and cocaine has been extended to include other drugs of abuse, including heroin (Xi and Stein, 1999), nicotine (Corrigall et al., 2000; Fattore et al., 2002) and ethanol (Daoust et al., 1987; Colombo et al., 2000). Vigabatrin has been shown to block cocaine- and nicotine-induced conditioned place preference (Dewey et al., 1998; Dewey et al., 1999) as well as cocaine self-administration in the rat (Kushner et al., 1999). A rationale for how GABA-B agonists produce the above effects has been proposed based on the finding that GABA-B receptor agonists inhibit mesolimbic dopamine neurotransmission (Dewey et al., 1999). To the extent that the mesolimbic dopamine system has been implicated in mediating the rewarding effects of drugs of abuse (Wise, 1984), this inhibitory effect of GABA-B receptor agonists on dopamine activity could explain the attenuation in the reinforcing effects of cocaine and other drugs of abuse produced by direct and indirect GABA-B agonists (Dewey et al., 1997; Dewey et al., 1999; Ashby et al., 1999; Gerasimov et al., 1999; Gerasimov et al., 2001). Taken together, these findings suggest a role for GABA-B receptors in attenuating the reinforcing effects of a number of different drugs of abuse and GABA-mimetic drugs might therefore be considered as potential broad-spectrum antagonist therapies for drug addiction. In addition, it is noteworthy that vigabatrin blocks increases in nucleus accumbens dopamine caused by cocaine-associated cues (Gerasimov et al., 2001). This suggests that GABA-mimetics may have an important second mechanism of action in treating drug addiction; they may also be effective against cue triggers of relapse.

Results of a 160-subject, NIDA-sponsored efficacy trial of baclofen for the treatment of cocaine addiction were negative, but the reasons for the negative outcome are unclear. In addition, as of 2007, vigabatrin is entering clinical trials for the treatment of cocaine addiction. Correspondingly, the NIDA ATDP will limit itself to evaluating GABAergic compounds with rationale for improved efficacy or tolerability compared to baclofen and vigabatrin.

Dopamine D₁ Receptor Agonists

There is converging evidence that dopamine D₁ receptors are important targets for therapeutic intervention in cocaine dependence. Dopamine D₁ receptors are downregulated by cocaine self-administration in primates (Moore et al., 1998), and dopamine D₁ receptor agonists have been shown to block both cocaine priming (Self et al., 1996) and initiation of cocaine self-administration in

rodents (Self et al., 1996; Caine et al., 1999). In squirrel monkeys trained to self-administer cocaine, dopamine D₁ agonists blocked or attenuated the effects of different priming doses of cocaine on reinstatement (Khroyan et al., 2003). Finally, a dopamine D₁ agonist administered intravenously to humans in a proof of concept study was reported to blunt the subjective effects of smoked cocaine and to decrease cocaine craving (Haney et al., 1999).

Dopamine D₁ receptors, like dopamine itself, are implicated in the effects of a number of drugs of abuse, including alcohol (Eiler et al., 2003; Tupala and Tiihonen, 2005), morphine (Verma and Kulkarni, 1995), nicotine (Bahk et al., 2002), and methamphetamine (Worsley et al., 2000; Tong et al., 2003), however, only a few studies have evaluated the effects of dopamine D₁ agonists on reinstatement or drug taking behavior. Those that have been published have shown that dopamine D₁ agonists can dose-dependently decrease self-administration of ethanol in mice (Ng and George, 1994) and rats (Cohen et al., 1999).

The effects of dopamine D₁ agonist on cognition are also of interest and are suggestive of other mechanisms by which dopamine D₁ agonists may be useful treatments. Deficits in working memory are associated with dopamine dysregulation in the prefrontal cortex and have been extensively studied in experimental paradigms relevant to the cognitive deficits of schizophrenia. In a number of studies, it has been shown that dopamine D₁ agonists produce improvements in working memory function in primate and rodent models (see review by Goldman-Rakic et al., 2004). In light of the identification of working memory deficits that interfere with treatment in both methamphetamine (Simon et al., 2000) and cocaine abusers (Simon et al., 2002), it is possible that dopamine D₁ agonists may be beneficial for 1) reducing drug use, 2) preventing relapse, and 3) for improving drug-induced cognitive deficits. These effects could also be found for multiple drugs of abuse, suggesting that a dopamine D₁ agonist treatment would be highly desirable.

Available dopamine D₁ agonists remain undeveloped because of a number of issues, including dosage form, poor bioavailability, short half-life, genotoxicity, and/or a lack of receptor selectivity. Chemical library screening efforts by the MDP were unsuccessful in discovering novel dopamine D₁ agonists that might have characteristics more suitable for clinical development. The mechanism remains a high priority, and developable dopamine D₁ agonists would be of much interest to the ATDP.

Dopamine D₃ Receptor Agonists & Antagonists

Dopamine D₃ receptor ligands as potential treatments for drug abuse have been the subject of several recent reviews (Sokoloff et al., 2001; Heidbreder et al., 2005; Joyce and Millan, 2005; Newman et al., 2005). These receptors were cloned in 1990 (Sokoloff et al., 1990) and have been of particular interest to drug abuse researchers in part because they are selectively located in brain regions that are affected by drug abuse (Sokoloff et al., 1990), and are up-regulated in the brains of cocaine overdose fatalities (Mash, 1997). Agonists of these receptors produce behavioral effects in rodents that do not resemble stimulants (Geter-Douglass et al., 1997), but are perceived as cocaine-like by rodents and primates (Acri et al., 1995; Spealman, 1996). The potency of compounds that activate dopamine D₃ receptors is related to their ability to decrease cocaine self-administration in rats, suggesting the involvement of these receptor types in cocaine drug-taking (Caine et al., 1997). In addition, dopamine D₃ partial agonists have been shown to block the behaviorally activating effects of cues that have been paired with cocaine in rats, suggesting potential usefulness in blocking relapse following contact with environmental cues associated with drug use (Pilla et al., 1999).

Dopamine D₃ antagonists have been reported to block nicotine-primed reinstatement of nicotine self-administration in rats (Andreoli et al., 2003) as well as cocaine-primed cocaine seeking in rats (Di Ciano et al., 2003; Gilbert et al., 2005). A dopamine D₃ antagonist has also been reported to dose-dependently block footshock-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration in rats (Xi et al., 2004), overall suggesting a potential role for dopamine D₃ antagonists in preventing two triggers of relapse. A dopamine D₃ antagonist has also been shown to block enhancement of electrical brain stimulation reward by cocaine (Vorel et al., 2002), and D₃ antagonists have been reported to block both the acquisition and expression of nicotine (Le Foll et al., 2005), cocaine (Vorel et al., 2002), and heroin (Ashby et al., 2003) conditioned place preference in rats. Taken together, results from different laboratories using different behavioral endpoints and different compounds suggest that both dopamine D₃ partial agonists and D₃ antagonists may be useful treatments, and may be effective for more than one drug of abuse. Dopamine D₃ receptor ligands remain a high priority for acquisition and development by the ATDP.

Compounds Inhibiting Reuptake or Stimulating Release of Biogenic Amines

Cocaine and methamphetamine withdrawal, which are characterized by hypoactive dopaminergic, noradrenergic, and/or serotonergic systems, purportedly can motivate continued drug use (Dackis and Gold, 1985; Rothman et al., 2006). It has been suggested that indirectly acting “agonist therapies” (e.g. reuptake inhibitors, releasers) used to stimulate biogenic amine receptors may normalize brain function and break the cycle of drug use (Howell and Wilcox, 2001; Rothman et al., 2006). This hypothesis has driven medications discovery and development efforts for more than a decade and has received substantial support from NIDA. Clinically available compounds, including marketed antidepressants and appetite suppressants, have been evaluated in clinical trials with primarily negative results, and numerous chemistry grants have been awarded to support the synthesis of novel compounds that act indirectly to stimulate biogenic amine receptors. In support of the hypothesis, a promising medication for the treatment of cocaine addiction at this time appears to be modafinil, and one of its pharmacological actions is modulation of biogenic amines.

Given the substantial efforts that have already been devoted to compounds targeting biogenic amine reuptake and release, future drug development efforts involving this mechanism will require substantial justification or unique compound attributes. Chemists seeking support for continued work in this area may wish to focus on the discovery of uptake inhibitors with novel, theoretically desirable transporter selectivity profiles (McCann et al., 2003) or on the discovery of compounds with novel NE, DA and 5-HT releasing profiles (Rothman et al., 2000). Notably, compounds lacking effects on NE reuptake or release but having equivalent effects on DA and 5-HT reuptake or release would be unique and of interest to the NIDA ATDP for profiling in preclinical contracts. Advanced development of compounds will likely require compelling data in animal models of addiction.

VMAT-2 Inhibitors

In contrast to cocaine, which increases the levels of extra-synaptic neurotransmitters by inhibiting biogenic amine reuptake at membrane transporters, the actions of amphetamines are considerably more complex, suggesting additional medication targets such as the CNS-specific vesicular

monoamine transporter (VMAT-2). Amphetamines, like cocaine, inhibit the reuptake of biogenic amines at their cell surface transporters; however, unlike cocaine, amphetamines also function as competitive substrates that are transported into nerve terminals (Sonders et al., 1997). Amphetamines have the additional ability to enter the cell by passive diffusion across the membrane (Fischer and Cho, 1979). Once inside the cell, amphetamines promote exocytotic, calcium-dependent release of transmitter into the extracellular space (Mundorf et al., 1999). In addition to promoting exocytosis from vesicles near the cell membrane, amphetamines also cause vesicular leakage of biogenic amines into the cytosol through both alkalinization and interactions with the VMAT-2. At the VMAT-2, amphetamines bind to the tetrabenazine site, block reuptake of biogenic amines into the vesicle, and promote release of biogenic amines into the cytosol, where the neurotransmitters are available for extracellular release through reversal of the cell membrane transporters (Sulzer et al., 1995).

There is experimental evidence that compounds interacting with the tetrabenazine-binding site on the VMAT-2, such as lobeline, have the ability to functionally antagonize the neurochemical and behavioral effects of amphetamine and methamphetamine (Dwoskin and Crooks, 2002). Lobeline not only blocks the discriminative stimulus effects of methamphetamine in rodents (Miller et al., 2001), it also reduces methamphetamine self-administration (Harrod et al., 2001). The NIDA MDP has an ongoing lobeline development project for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence; however, the ATDP is interested in evaluating additional compounds acting at this site.

Muscarinic M₅ ACh Receptor Agonists & Antagonists

The unique distribution of muscarinic M₅ ACh receptors (M₅ receptors) in brain and their apparent ability to modulate dopaminergic neurotransmission in brain areas relevant to the reinforcing effects of drugs are noteworthy. While muscarinic M₅ receptors represent only 2% of all muscarinic receptors in brain (Yasuda et al., 1993), they are the only muscarinic receptor subtype found on dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra and the ventral tegmental area (Vilario et al., 1990; Weiner et al., 1990). Dopaminergic transmission from these midbrain neurons via projections to the nucleus accumbens has been hypothesized to mediate the reinforcing effects of most drugs of abuse, including cocaine, amphetamines, opiates, ethanol, and nicotine (Roberts et al., 1977; Gessa et al., 1985; Di Chiara G. and Imperato, 1988).

Lacking selective ligands for muscarinic ACh receptor subtypes, researchers investigating the role of these receptors in normal physiology as well as their possible significance to drug abuse and addiction have relied on receptor knockout studies. Mice deficient in muscarinic M₅ receptors show a reduced responsiveness to morphine (Basile et al., 2002) and cocaine (Fink-Jensen et al., 2003) in conditioned place preference studies, suggesting a decrease in the reinforcing effects of these drugs. Decreased sensitivity to cocaine in muscarinic M₅ receptor-deficient mice has been supported by recent cocaine self-administration studies in which decreased sensitivity was apparent at low to moderate unit doses of cocaine (Thomsen et al., 2005). Muscarinic M₅ receptor-deficient mice also exhibit attenuated withdrawal symptoms after prolonged morphine treatment and subsequent naloxone administration (Basile et al., 2002) and show attenuated cocaine withdrawal-associated anxiety using the elevated plus-maze (Fink-Jensen et al., 2003).

Although knockout studies must be interpreted with caution, the results are intriguing and argue for evaluation of selective muscarinic M₅ receptor agonist and antagonists in animal models relevant to

drug addiction. Such studies will be critical for understanding the role of muscarinic M₅ receptors in drug addiction disorders and for determining the merits of related medications development efforts.

ORL-1 Receptor Agonists

The opiate receptor-like (ORL)-1 receptor shares a high degree of sequence homology with *mu*-, *delta*- and *kappa*-opioid receptors. Identified in 1994 by molecular cloning, the ORL-1 receptor was initially a receptor with no known endogenous ligand or function (i.e., an "orphan receptor"). In 1995, the 17 amino acid peptide that serves as the endogenous agonist for the ORL-1 receptor was independently discovered by two laboratories and was named "nociceptin" by one laboratory (Meunier et al., 1995) and "orphanin FQ" by the other (Reinscheid et al., 1995). Although it is structurally related to the opioid peptide dynorphin A, nociceptin/orphanin FQ does not bind to any of the traditional opiate receptors. Likewise, opioid peptides do not activate the ORL-1 receptor and most small drug molecules (including naloxone and naltrexone) that bind to *mu*-, *kappa*-, and *delta*-opioid receptors do not show appreciable affinity at the ORL-1 receptor. While nociceptin/orphanin FQ was initially thought to have pronociceptive activity (Meunier et al., 1995; Reinscheid et al., 1995), the results of subsequent studies (Mogil et al., 1996) suggest that blockade of stress-induced analgesia was responsible for the related findings. Consonant with an ability to oppose stress, nociceptin has demonstrated positive effects in preclinical screens for anxiolytic activity (e.g. Jenck et al., 1997) and knockout mice lacking the nociceptin/orphanin FQ gene exhibit increased susceptibility and impaired adaptation to stress (Koster et al., 1999).

Given the established efficacy of naltrexone in treating alcohol dependence and the apparent ability of the nociceptin/ORL-1 receptor system to functionally oppose many effects of traditional opioid agonists, several laboratories have evaluated ORL-1 receptor agonists in animal models of alcoholism, with promising results. Nociceptin and/or the synthetic ORL-1 receptor agonist Ro 64-6198 have been shown to: 1) block the reinforcing effects of ethanol in both conditioned place preference and self-administration studies (Kuzmin et al., 2003; Ciccocioppo et al., 2003); 2) block the ability of ethanol to reinstate ethanol conditioned place preference (Kuzmin et al., 2003); 3) block the ability of conditioned cues to reinstate ethanol self-administration behavior (Ciccocioppo et al., 2004); and 4) block the ability of a footshock stressor to reinstate ethanol self-administration behavior (Martin-Fardon et al., 2000; Ciccocioppo et al., 2003). While many of the observed effects are similar to those seen with naltrexone, the ability of nociceptin to block stress-induced reinstatement suggests a potential advantage of ORL-1 agonists over naltrexone, which is inactive in this model (Le et al., 1999).

The ATDP would welcome the opportunity to profile the effects of synthetic, long-acting ORL-1 agonists. Compounds under consideration for development as treatments for alcohol dependence would be of particular interest in order to determine their potential in treating polydrug abuse.

Compounds with Submitter-Documented Rationale

While the above list of medications discovery targets with relevance to drug addiction treatment is extensive, it is not comprehensive; instead, the list represents a snapshot of the targets judged by NIDA ATDP staff to have the strongest rationale for pursuit at the time of this writing. Basic research efforts supported by NIDA continue to generate new data that must be taken into

consideration, and the relative strength of the rationale for pursuing targets is dynamic. On a regular basis, consideration is given to potential alternative targets based on newly published findings; however, it is recognized that a wealth of unpublished data with relevance to target identification and validation exists within the private sector. The NIDA ATDP is open to pursuing new targets and compound submitters are encouraged to suggest novel compounds for which theoretical rationale can be developed or for which there is supporting preclinical data. Such compounds may include but are not limited to novel anxiolytics and compounds that interact with dopamine systems *via* novel or unknown mechanisms. Medicinal chemists who wish to apply for NIDA grant funding may propose any medications discovery target, as long as the rationale is fully and convincingly explained within the background section of the application.

References

- Acri JB, Carter SR, Alling K, Geter-Douglass B, Dijkstra D, Wikstrom H, Katz JL and Witkin JM (1995) Assessment of cocaine-like discriminative stimulus effects of dopamine D3 receptor ligands. *Eur J Pharmacol* **281**:R7-R9.
- Andreoli M, Tessari M, Pilla M, Valerio E, Hagan JJ and Heidbreder CA (2003) Selective antagonism at dopamine D3 receptors prevents nicotine-triggered relapse to nicotine-seeking behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **28**:1272-1280.
- Ashby CR, Paul M, Gardner EL, Heidbreder CA and Hagan JJ (2003) Acute administration of the selective D3 receptor antagonist SB-277011A blocks the acquisition and expression of the conditioned place preference response to heroin in male rats. *Synapse* **48**:154-156.
- Ashby CR, Rohatgi R, Ngosuwana J, Borda T, Gerasimov MR, Morgan AE, Kushner S, Brodie JD and Dewey SL (1999) Implication of the GABA(B) receptor in gamma vinyl-GABA's inhibition of cocaine-induced increases in nucleus accumbens dopamine. *Synapse* **31**:151-153.
- Backstrom P and Hyytia P (2004) Ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists modulate cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **28**:558-565.
- Bahk JY, Li S, Park MS and Kim MO (2002) Dopamine D1 and D2 receptor mRNA up-regulation in the caudate-putamen and nucleus accumbens of rat brains by smoking. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **26**:1095-1104.
- Baptista MA, Martin-Fardon R and Weiss F (2004) Preferential effects of the metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonist LY379268 on conditioned reinstatement versus primary reinforcement: comparison between cocaine and a potent conventional reinforcer. *J Neurosci* **24**:4723-4727.
- Basile AS, Fedorova I, Zapata A, Liu X, Shippenberg T, Duttaroy A, Yamada M and Wess J (2002) Deletion of the M5 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor attenuates morphine reinforcement and withdrawal but not morphine analgesia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:11452-11457.
- Beardsley PM, Howard JL, Shelton KL and Carroll FI (2005) Differential effects of the novel kappa opioid receptor antagonist, JD1c, on reinstatement of cocaine-seeking induced by footshock stressors vs cocaine primes and its antidepressant-like effects in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **183**:118-126.

- Beardsley PM and Thomas BF (2005) Current evidence supporting a role of cannabinoid CB1 receptor (CB1R) antagonists as potential pharmacotherapies for drug abuse disorders. *Behav Pharmacol* **16**:275-296.
- Bellone C and Luscher C (2006) Cocaine triggered AMPA receptor redistribution is reversed in vivo by mGluR-dependent long-term depression. *Nat Neurosci* **9**:636-641.
- Bossert JM, Busch RF and Gray SM (2005) The novel mGluR2/3 agonist LY379268 attenuates cue-induced reinstatement of heroin seeking. *Neuroreport* **16**:1013-1016.
- Boutrel B, Kenny PJ, Specio SE, Martin-Fardon R, Markou A, Koob GF and de LL (2005) Role for hypocretin in mediating stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**:19168-19173.
- Brebner K, Froestl W, Andrews M, Phelan R and Roberts DC (1999) The GABA(B) agonist CGP 44532 decreases cocaine self-administration in rats: demonstration using a progressive ratio and a discrete trials procedure. *Neuropharmacology* **38**:1797-1804.
- Brebner K, Phelan R and Roberts DC (2000) Effect of baclofen on cocaine self-administration in rats reinforced under fixed-ratio 1 and progressive-ratio schedules. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **148**:314-321.
- Caine SB, Koob GF, Parsons LH, Everitt BJ, Schwartz JC and Sokoloff P (1997) D3 receptor test in vitro predicts decreased cocaine self-administration in rats. *Neuroreport* **8**:2373-2377.
- Caine SB, Negus SS, Mello NK and Bergman J (1999) Effects of dopamine D(1-like) and D(2-like) agonists in rats that self-administer cocaine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **291**:353-360.
- Chiamulera C, Epping-Jordan MP, Zocchi A, Marcon C, Cottiny C, Tacconi S, Corsi M, Orzi F and Conquet F (2001) Reinforcing and locomotor stimulant effects of cocaine are absent in mGluR5 null mutant mice. *Nat Neurosci* **4**:873-874.
- Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Fedeli A, Angeletti S, Weiss F, Heilig M and Massi M (2004) Attenuation of ethanol self-administration and of conditioned reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behaviour by the antiopioid peptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ in alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **172**:170-178.
- Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Fedeli A and Massi M (2003) The nociceptin/orphanin FQ/NOP receptor system as a target for treatment of alcohol abuse: a review of recent work in alcohol-preferring rats. *Physiol Behav* **79**:121-128.
- Cohen C, Perrault G and Sanger DJ (1999) Effects of D1 dopamine receptor agonists on oral ethanol self-administration in rats: comparison with their efficacy to produce grooming and hyperactivity. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **142**:102-110.
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Carai MA, Lobina C, Pani M, Reali R, Addolorato G and Gessa GL (2000) Ability of baclofen in reducing alcohol intake and withdrawal severity: I--Preclinical evidence. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **24**:58-66.

- Cornish JL and Kalivas PW (2000) Glutamate transmission in the nucleus accumbens mediates relapse in cocaine addiction. *J Neurosci* **20**:RC89.
- Corrigall WA, Coen KM, Adamson KL, Chow BL and Zhang J (2000) Response of nicotine self-administration in the rat to manipulations of mu-opioid and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors in the ventral tegmental area. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **149**:107-114.
- Craft RM and Dykstra LA (1992) Agonist and antagonist activity of kappa opioids in the squirrel monkey: II. Effect of chronic morphine treatment. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **260**:334-342.
- Dackis CA and Gold MS (1985) New concepts in cocaine addiction: the dopamine depletion hypothesis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **9**:469-477.
- Dackis CA, Kampman KM, Lynch KG, Pettinati HM and O'Brien CP (2005) A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of modafinil for cocaine dependence. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:205-211.
- Daoust M, Saligaut C, Lhuintre JP, Moore N, Flipo JL and Boismare F (1987) GABA transmission, but not benzodiazepine receptor stimulation, modulates ethanol intake by rats. *Alcohol* **4**:469-472.
- De Vries TJ and Schoffelmeer AN (2005) Cannabinoid CB1 receptors control conditioned drug seeking. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **26**:420-426.
- De Vries TJ, Shaham Y, Homberg JR, Crombag H, Schuurman K, Dieben J, Vanderschuren LJ and Schoffelmeer AN (2001) A cannabinoid mechanism in relapse to cocaine seeking. *Nat Med* **7**:1151-1154.
- Dewey SL, Brodie JD, Gerasimov M, Horan B, Gardner EL and Ashby CR, Jr. (1999) A pharmacologic strategy for the treatment of nicotine addiction. *Synapse* **31**:76-86.
- Dewey SL, Chaurasia CS, Chen CE, Volkow ND, Clarkson FA, Porter SP, Straughter-Moore RM, Alexoff DL, Tedeschi D, Russo NB, Fowler JS and Brodie JD (1997) GABAergic attenuation of cocaine-induced dopamine release and locomotor activity. *Synapse* **25**:393-398.
- Dewey SL, Morgan AE, Ashby CR, Jr., Horan B, Kushner SA, Logan J, Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Gardner EL and Brodie JD (1998) A novel strategy for the treatment of cocaine addiction. *Synapse* **30**:119-129.
- Di Chiara G. and Imperato A (1988) Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **85**:5274-5278.
- Di Ciano P, Underwood RJ, Hagan JJ and Everitt BJ (2003) Attenuation of cue-controlled cocaine-seeking by a selective D3 dopamine receptor antagonist SB-277011-A. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **28**:329-338.
- Dravolina OA, Zakharova ES, Shekunova EV, Zvartau EE, Danysz W and Bespalov AY (2007) mGlu1 receptor blockade attenuates cue- and nicotine-induced reinstatement of extinguished nicotine self-administration behavior in rats. *Neuropharmacology* **52**:263-269.

- Dwoskin LP and Crooks PA (2002) A novel mechanism of action and potential use for lobeline as a treatment for psychostimulant abuse. *Biochem Pharmacol* **63**:89-98.
- Eiler WJ, Seyoum R, Foster KL, Mailey C and June HL (2003) D1 dopamine receptor regulates alcohol-motivated behaviors in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Synapse* **48**:45-56.
- Erb S, Shaham Y and Stewart J (1998) The role of corticotropin-releasing factor and corticosterone in stress- and cocaine-induced relapse to cocaine seeking in rats. *J Neurosci* **18**:5529-5536.
- Fattore L, Cossu G, Martellotta MC and Fratta W (2002) Baclofen antagonizes intravenous self-administration of nicotine in mice and rats. *Alcohol Alcohol* **37**:495-498.
- Ferraro L, Antonelli T, O'Connor WT, Tanganelli S, Rambert F and Fuxe K (1997) The antinarcotic drug modafinil increases glutamate release in thalamic areas and hippocampus. *Neuroreport* **8**:2883-2887.
- Ferraro L, Tanganelli S, O'Connor WT, Antonelli T, Rambert F and Fuxe K (1996) The vigilance promoting drug modafinil increases dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens via the involvement of a local GABAergic mechanism. *Eur J Pharmacol* **306**:33-39.
- Fink-Jensen A, Fedorova I, Wortwein G, Woldbye DP, Rasmussen T, Thomsen M, Bolwig TG, Knitowski KM, McKinzie DL, Yamada M, Wess J and Basile A (2003) Role for M5 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in cocaine addiction. *J Neurosci Res* **74**:91-96.
- Fischer JF and Cho AK (1979) Chemical release of dopamine from striatal homogenates: evidence for an exchange diffusion model. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **208**:203-209.
- Gallopini T, Luppi PH, Rambert FA, Frydman A and Fort P (2004) Effect of the wake-promoting agent modafinil on sleep-promoting neurons from the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus: an in vitro pharmacologic study. *Sleep* **27**:19-25.
- Gerasimov MR, Ashby CR, Jr., Gardner EL, Mills MJ, Brodie JD and Dewey SL (1999) Gamma-vinyl GABA inhibits methamphetamine, heroin, or ethanol-induced increases in nucleus accumbens dopamine. *Synapse* **34**:11-19.
- Gerasimov MR, Schiffer WK, Gardner EL, Marsteller DA, Lennon IC, Taylor SJ, Brodie JD, Ashby CR, Jr. and Dewey SL (2001) GABAergic blockade of cocaine-associated cue-induced increases in nucleus accumbens dopamine. *Eur J Pharmacol* **414**:205-209.
- Gessa GL, Muntoni F, Collu M, Vargiu L and Mereu G (1985) Low doses of ethanol activate dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area. *Brain Res* **348**:201-203.
- Geter-Douglass B, Katz JL, Alling K, Acri JB and Witkin JM (1997) Characterization of unconditioned behavioral effects of dopamine D3/D2 receptor agonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **283**:7-15.
- Gilbert JG, Newman AH, Gardner EL, Ashby CR, Jr., Heidbreder CA, Pak AC, Peng XQ and Xi ZX (2005) Acute administration of SB-277011A, NGB 2904, or BP 897 inhibits cocaine cue-induced reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior in rats: role of dopamine D3 receptors. *Synapse* **57**:17-28.

- Goldman-Rakic PS, Castner SA, Svensson TH, Siever LJ and Williams GV (2004) Targeting the dopamine D1 receptor in schizophrenia: insights for cognitive dysfunction. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **174**:3-16.
- Gulati A and Bhargava HN. (1988) Upregulation of brain and spinal cord Kappa-opioid receptors in morphine tolerant-dependent mice. *FASEB J.* 2, A368.
- Haney M, Collins ED, Ward AS, Foltin RW and Fischman MW (1999) Effect of a selective dopamine D1 agonist (ABT-431) on smoked cocaine self-administration in humans. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **143**:102-110.
- Harris GC, Wimmer M and Aston-Jones G (2005) A role for lateral hypothalamic orexin neurons in reward seeking. *Nature* **437**:556-559.
- Harrod SB, Dwoskin LP, Crooks PA, Klebaur JE and Bardo MT (2001) Lobeline attenuates d-methamphetamine self-administration in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **298**:172-179.
- Heidbreder CA, Gardner EL, Xi ZX, Thanos PK, Mugnaini M, Hagan JJ and Ashby CR, Jr. (2005) The role of central dopamine D3 receptors in drug addiction: a review of pharmacological evidence. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* **49**:77-105.
- Hilairnet S, Bouaboula M, Carriere D, Le FG and Casellas P (2003) Hypersensitization of the Orexin 1 receptor by the CB1 receptor: evidence for cross-talk blocked by the specific CB1 antagonist, SR141716. *J Biol Chem* **278**:23731-23737.
- Himmelsbach CK. (1943) With reference to physical dependence. Symposium: Can the Euphoric, Analgetic, and Physical Dependence Affects of Drugs be Separated? Federation Proceedings 2, 201-203.
- Howell LL and Wilcox KM (2001) The dopamine transporter and cocaine medication development: drug self-administration in nonhuman primates. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **298**:1-6.
- Huestis MA, Gorelick DA, Heishman SJ, Preston KL, Nelson RA, Moolchan ET and Frank RA (2001) Blockade of effects of smoked marijuana by the CB1-selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR141716. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **58**:322-328.
- Jenck F, Moreau JL, Martin JR, Kilpatrick GJ, Reinscheid RK, Monsma FJ, Jr., Nothacker HP and Civelli O (1997) Orphanin FQ acts as an anxiolytic to attenuate behavioral responses to stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**:14854-14858.
- Joyce JN and Millan MJ (2005) Dopamine D3 receptor antagonists as therapeutic agents. *Drug Discov Today* **10**:917-925.
- Kalivas PW, Volkow N and Seamans J (2005) Unmanageable motivation in addiction: a pathology in prefrontal-accumbens glutamate transmission. *Neuron* **45**:647-650.
- Kenny PJ and Markou A (2004) The ups and downs of addiction: role of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **25**:265-272.

- Kenny PJ, Paterson NE, Boutrel B, Semenova S, Harrison AA, Gasparini F, Koob GF, Skoubis PD and Markou A (2003) Metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor antagonist MPEP decreased nicotine and cocaine self-administration but not nicotine and cocaine-induced facilitation of brain reward function in rats. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1003**:415-418.
- Khroyan TV, Platt DM, Rowlett JK and Spealman RD (2003) Attenuation of relapse to cocaine seeking by dopamine D1 receptor agonists and antagonists in non-human primates. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **168**:124-131.
- Koob GF (1999) Stress, corticotropin-releasing factor, and drug addiction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **897**:27-45.
- Koster A, Montkowski A, Schulz S, Stube EM, Knautd K, Jenck F, Moreau JL, Nothacker HP, Civelli O and Reinscheid RK (1999) Targeted disruption of the orphanin FQ/nociceptin gene increases stress susceptibility and impairs stress adaptation in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:10444-10449.
- Kotlinska J and Bochenski M (2007) Comparison of the effects of mGluR1 and mGluR5 antagonists on the expression of behavioral sensitization to the locomotor effect of morphine and the morphine withdrawal jumping in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **558**:113-118.
- Kumor KM, Haertzen CA, Johnson RE, Kocher T and Jasinski D (1986) Human psychopharmacology of ketocyclazocine as compared with cyclazocine, morphine and placebo. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **238**:960-968.
- Kushner SA, Dewey SL and Kornetsky C (1999) The irreversible gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) transaminase inhibitor gamma-vinyl-GABA blocks cocaine self-administration in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **290**:797-802.
- Kuzmin A, Sandin J, Terenius L and Ogren SO (2003) Acquisition, expression, and reinstatement of ethanol-induced conditioned place preference in mice: effects of opioid receptor-like 1 receptor agonists and naloxone. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **304**:310-318.
- Le Foll B and Goldberg SR (2005) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonists as promising new medications for drug dependence. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **312**:875-883.
- Le Foll B, Sokoloff P, Stark H and Goldberg SR (2005) Dopamine D3 receptor ligands block nicotine-induced conditioned place preferences through a mechanism that does not involve discriminative-stimulus or antidepressant-like effects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:720-730.
- Le AD, Harding S, Juzytsch W, Watchus J, Shalev U and Shaham Y (2000) The role of corticotrophin-releasing factor in stress-induced relapse to alcohol-seeking behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **150**:317-324.
- Le AD, Poulos CX, Harding S, Watchus J, Juzytsch W and Shaham Y (1999) Effects of naltrexone and fluoxetine on alcohol self-administration and reinstatement of alcohol seeking induced by priming injections of alcohol and exposure to stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **21**:435-444.
- Ma YY, Chu NN, Guo CY, Han JS and Cui CL (2007) NR2B-containing NMDA receptor is required for morphine-but not stress-induced reinstatement. *Exp Neurol* **203**:309-319.

- Martin WR (1984) Phenomenology and theoretical basis of tolerance and dependence. *NIDA Res Monogr* **54**:12-26.
- Martin-Fardon R, Ciccocioppo R, Massi M and Weiss F (2000) Nociceptin prevents stress-induced ethanol- but not cocaine-seeking behavior in rats. *Neuroreport* **11**:1939-1943.
- Mash DC (1997) D3 receptor binding in human brain during cocaine overdose. *Mol Psychiatry* **2**:5-6.
- McCann DJ, Acri JB and Patel AP. (2003) NIDA medications development: new directions and resources for medicinal chemists targeting biogenic amine transporters, in Problems of Drug Dependence, 2002: Proceedings of the 64th Annual Scientific Meeting, The College on Problems of Drug Dependence, Inc. (NIDA Research Monograph No. 183, NIH Publication No. 03-5339) (Dewey, W. L. and Harris, L. S. eds) 242-249, National Institute on Drug Abuse, Bethesda, MD.
- McLaughlin JP, Marton-Popovici M and Chavkin C (2003) Kappa opioid receptor antagonism and prodynorphin gene disruption block stress-induced behavioral responses. *J Neurosci* **23**:5674-5683.
- Meunier JC, Mollereau C, Toll L, Suaudeau C, Moisand C, Alvinerie P, Butour JL, Guillemot JC, Ferrara P, Monsarrat B and . (1995) Isolation and structure of the endogenous agonist of opioid receptor-like ORL1 receptor. *Nature* **377**:532-535.
- Miller DK, Crooks PA, Teng L, Witkin JM, Munzar P, Goldberg SR, Acri JB and Dwoskin LP (2001) Lobeline inhibits the neurochemical and behavioral effects of amphetamine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **296**:1023-1034.
- Mogil JS, Grisel JE, Reinscheid RK, Civelli O, Belknap JK and Grandy DK (1996) Orphanin FQ is a functional anti-opioid peptide. *Neuroscience* **75**:333-337.
- Moore RJ, Vinsant SL, Nader MA, Porrino LJ and Friedman DP (1998) Effect of cocaine self-administration on striatal dopamine D1 receptors in rhesus monkeys. *Synapse* **28**:1-9.
- Mundorf ML, Hochstetler SE and Wightman RM (1999) Amine weak bases disrupt vesicular storage and promote exocytosis in chromaffin cells. *J Neurochem* **73**:2397-2405.
- Narita M, Soma M, Mizoguchi H, Tseng LF and Suzuki T (2000) Implications of the NR2B subunit-containing NMDA receptor localized in mouse limbic forebrain in ethanol dependence. *Eur J Pharmacol* **401**:191-195.
- Newman AH, Grundt P and Nader MA (2005) Dopamine D3 receptor partial agonists and antagonists as potential drug abuse therapeutic agents. *J Med Chem* **48**:3663-3679.
- Ng GY and George SR (1994) Dopamine receptor agonist reduces ethanol self-administration in the ethanol-preferring C57BL/6J inbred mouse. *Eur J Pharmacol* **269**:365-374.
- Olive MF, McGeehan AJ, Kinder JR, McMahan T, Hodge CW, Janak PH and Messing RO (2005) The mGluR5 antagonist 6-methyl-2-(phenylethynyl)pyridine decreases ethanol consumption via a protein kinase C epsilon-dependent mechanism. *Mol Pharmacol* **67**:349-355.

- Pañeda C, Winsky-Sommerer R, Boutrel B and de LL (2005) The corticotropin-releasing factor-hypocretin connection: implications in stress response and addiction. *Drug News Perspect* **18**:250-255.
- Paterson NE, Semenova S, Gasparini F and Markou A (2003) The mGluR5 antagonist MPEP decreased nicotine self-administration in rats and mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **167**:257-264.
- Pertwee RG (2005) Inverse agonism and neutral antagonism at cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Life Sci* **76**:1307-1324.
- Pilla M, Perachon S, Sautel F, Garrido F, Mann A, Wermuth CG, Schwartz JC, Everitt BJ and Sokoloff P (1999) Selective inhibition of cocaine-seeking behaviour by a partial dopamine D3 receptor agonist. *Nature* **400**:371-375.
- Reinscheid RK, Nothacker HP, Bourson A, Ardati A, Henningsen RA, Bunzow JR, Grandy DK, Langen H, Monsma FJ, Jr. and Civelli O (1995) Orphanin FQ: a neuropeptide that activates an opioidlike G protein-coupled receptor. *Science* **270**:792-794.
- Roberts DC, Andrews MM and Vickers GJ (1996) Baclofen attenuates the reinforcing effects of cocaine in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **15**:417-423.
- Roberts DC, Corcoran ME and Fibiger HC (1977) On the role of ascending catecholaminergic systems in intravenous self-administration of cocaine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **6**:615-620.
- Rothman RB (1992) A review of the role of anti-opioid peptides in morphine tolerance and dependence. *Synapse* **12**:129-138.
- Rothman RB, Blough BE and Baumann MH (2006) Dual dopamine-5-HT releasers: potential treatment agents for cocaine addiction. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **27**:612-618.
- Rothman RB, Partilla JS, Dersch CM, Carroll FI, Rice KC and Baumann MH (2000) Methamphetamine dependence: medication development efforts based on the dual deficit model of stimulant addiction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **914**:71-81.
- Sarnyai Z, Shaham Y and Heinrichs SC (2001) The role of corticotropin-releasing factor in drug addiction. *Pharmacol Rev* **53**:209-243.
- Self DW, Barnhart WJ, Lehman DA and Nestler EJ (1996) Opposite modulation of cocaine-seeking behavior by D1- and D2-like dopamine receptor agonists. *Science* **271**:1586-1589.
- Shaham Y, Erb S, Leung S, Buczek Y and Stewart J (1998) CP-154,526, a selective, non-peptide antagonist of the corticotropin-releasing factor1 receptor attenuates stress-induced relapse to drug seeking in cocaine- and heroin-trained rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **137**:184-190.
- Shaham Y, Funk D, Erb S, Brown TJ, Walker CD and Stewart J (1997) Corticotropin-releasing factor, but not corticosterone, is involved in stress-induced relapse to heroin-seeking in rats. *J Neurosci* **17**:2605-2614.
- Shoaib M, Swanner LS, Beyer CE, Goldberg SR and Schindler CW (1998) The GABAB agonist baclofen modifies cocaine self-administration in rats. *Behav Pharmacol* **9**:195-206.

- Simon SL, Domier C, Carnell J, Brethen P, Rawson R and Ling W (2000) Cognitive impairment in individuals currently using methamphetamine. *Am J Addict* **9**:222-231.
- Simon SL, Domier CP, Sim T, Richardson K, Rawson RA and Ling W (2002) Cognitive performance of current methamphetamine and cocaine abusers. *J Addict Dis* **21**:61-74.
- Slusher BS, Thomas A, Paul M, Schad CA and Ashby CR, Jr. (2001) Expression and acquisition of the conditioned place preference response to cocaine in rats is blocked by selective inhibitors of the enzyme N-acetylated-alpha-linked-acidic dipeptidase (NAALADASE). *Synapse* **41**:22-28.
- Sokoloff P, Giros B, Martres MP, Bouthenet ML and Schwartz JC (1990) Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel dopamine receptor (D3) as a target for neuroleptics. *Nature* **347**:146-151.
- Sokoloff P, Le FB, Perachon S, Bordet R, Ridray S and Schwartz JC (2001) The dopamine D3 receptor and drug addiction. *Neurotox Res* **3**:433-441.
- Sonders MS, Zhu SJ, Zahniser NR, Kavanaugh MP and Amara SG (1997) Multiple ionic conductances of the human dopamine transporter: the actions of dopamine and psychostimulants. *J Neurosci* **17**:960-974.
- Spealman RD (1996) Dopamine D3 receptor agonists partially reproduce the discriminative stimulus effects of cocaine in squirrel monkeys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **278**:1128-1137.
- Stewart J (2000) Pathways to relapse: the neurobiology of drug- and stress-induced relapse to drug-taking. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* **25**:125-136.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2006) Results from the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings. DHHS Publication No. SMA 06-4194. Rockville, MD., Division of Population Surveys, Office of Applied Studies, SAMHSA, DHHS. NSDUH Series H-30.
- Sulzer D, Chen TK, Lau YY, Kristensen H, Rayport S and Ewing A (1995) Amphetamine redistributes dopamine from synaptic vesicles to the cytosol and promotes reverse transport. *J Neurosci* **15**:4102-4108.
- Tanda G, Munzar P and Goldberg SR (2000) Self-administration behavior is maintained by the psychoactive ingredient of marijuana in squirrel monkeys. *Nat Neurosci* **3**:1073-1074.
- Tanganelli S, Fuxe K, Ferraro L, Janson AM and Bianchi C (1992) Inhibitory effects of the psychoactive drug modafinil on gamma-aminobutyric acid outflow from the cerebral cortex of the awake freely moving guinea-pig. Possible involvement of 5-hydroxytryptamine mechanisms. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* **345**:461-465.
- Thomsen M, Woldbye DP, Wortwein G, Fink-Jensen A, Wess J and Caine SB (2005) Reduced cocaine self-administration in muscarinic M5 acetylcholine receptor-deficient mice. *J Neurosci* **25**:8141-8149.
- Tong J, Ross BM, Schmunk GA, Peretti FJ, Kalasinsky KS, Furukawa Y, Ang LC, Aiken SS, Wickham DJ and Kish SJ (2003) Decreased striatal dopamine D1 receptor-stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity in human methamphetamine users. *Am J Psychiatry* **160**:896-903.

- Tupala E and Tiihonen J (2005) Striatal dopamine D1 receptors in type 1 and 2 alcoholics measured with human whole hemisphere autoradiography. *Brain Res* **1031**:20-29.
- Tzschenke TM and Schmidt WJ (2003) Glutamatergic mechanisms in addiction. *Mol Psychiatry* **8**:373-382.
- Verma A and Kulkarni SK (1995) Role of D1/D2 dopamine and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors in morphine tolerance and dependence in mice. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **5**:81-87.
- Vilaro MT, Palacios JM and Mengod G (1990) Localization of m5 muscarinic receptor mRNA in rat brain examined by in situ hybridization histochemistry. *Neurosci Lett* **114**:154-159.
- Vorel SR, Ashby CR, Jr., Paul M, Liu X, Hayes R, Hagan JJ, Middlemiss DN, Stemp G and Gardner EL (2002) Dopamine D3 receptor antagonism inhibits cocaine-seeking and cocaine-enhanced brain reward in rats. *J Neurosci* **22**:9595-9603.
- Walsh SL, Strain EC, Abreu ME and Bigelow GE (2001) Enadoline, a selective kappa opioid agonist: comparison with butorphanol and hydromorphone in humans. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **157**:151-162.
- Weiner DM, Levey AI and Brann MR (1990) Expression of muscarinic acetylcholine and dopamine receptor mRNAs in rat basal ganglia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **87**:7050-7054.
- Winsky-Sommerer R, Yamanaka A, Diano S, Borok E, Roberts AJ, Sakurai T, Kilduff TS, Horvath TL and de LL (2004) Interaction between the corticotropin-releasing factor system and hypocretins (orexins): a novel circuit mediating stress response. *J Neurosci* **24**:11439-11448.
- Wise RA (1984) Neural mechanisms of the reinforcing action of cocaine. *NIDA Res Monogr* **50**:15-33.
- Worsley JN, Moszczynska A, Falardeau P, Kalasinsky KS, Schmunk G, Guttman M, Furukawa Y, Ang L, Adams V, Reiber G, Anthony RA, Wickham D and Kish SJ (2000) Dopamine D1 receptor protein is elevated in nucleus accumbens of human, chronic methamphetamine users. *Mol Psychiatry* **5**:664-672.
- Xi ZX, Gilbert J, Campos AC, Kline N, Ashby CR, Jr., Hagan JJ, Heidbreder CA and Gardner EL (2004) Blockade of mesolimbic dopamine D3 receptors inhibits stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **176**:57-65.
- Xi ZX and Stein EA (1999) Baclofen inhibits heroin self-administration behavior and mesolimbic dopamine release. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **290**:1369-1374.
- Yasuda RP, Ciesla W, Flores LR, Wall SJ, Li M, Satkus SA, Weisstein JS, Spagnola BV and Wolfe BB (1993) Development of antisera selective for m4 and m5 muscarinic cholinergic receptors: distribution of m4 and m5 receptors in rat brain. *Mol Pharmacol* **43**:149-157.