UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

: C.A. NO. 98-1232

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

V. : C.A. NO. 98-1223

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF, :

V.

DENNIS C. VACCO, ET AL.,

COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS. : JANUARY 13, 1999 - - - - - - - - - - - X WASHINGTON, D.C.

VOLUME 37-B

TRANSCRIBED DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

COURT REPORTER:

DAVID A. KASDAN, RMR

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC.

507 C STREET, N.E.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

(202) 546-6666

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(DEPOSITION EXCERPTS OF STEVEN L. VON RUMP.)

- WAS MCI INTERESTED IN BEING IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD?
 - CERTAINLY, VERY MUCH SO.
- WHY WAS MCI VERY INTERESTED IN BEING IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD?
- WINDOWS 95, AT THE TIME, NOW WINDOWS 98, IS A VERY POPULAR OPERATING SYSTEM, SOMETHING THAT MANY USERS HAVE AND INSTALL. THEREFORE, IT REPRESENTED ACCESS TO A POTENTIAL LARGE MARKET FOR MCI FOR POTENTIAL MCI INTERNET SUBSCRIBERS.
- WHAT WAS IT THAT MADE YOU THINK THAT BEING IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD WOULD GIVE YOU ACCESS TO A LARGE NUMBER OF POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS?
- I WOULD CATEGORIZE IT AS TWO THINGS. ONE, THE FACT THAT MANY OF THOSE USERS CAME TO THAT SITE BY SORT OF FOLLOWING THE NATURAL DIRECTION OF WINDOWS 95, BEING POINTED TO THAT SITE; AND SECONDLY, JUST GENERAL MICROSOFT BRAND AWARENESS IN THE INDUSTRY.
- DURING THE COURSE OF NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN MCI AND MICROSOFT FOR THE AGREEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN INTRODUCED, DID ANYONE FROM MICROSOFT

EVER TELL MCI WHAT THE ADVANTAGES OF BEING IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD WERE?

- A. CERTAINLY.
- O. WHAT DID THEY SAY?
- A. ESSENTIALLY, THE SAME THING, THAT IT
 WAS--ESSENTIALLY THE SAME ANSWER THAT I PROVIDED
 BEFORE, MY OWN ASSESSMENT OF THE ADVANTAGES, THAT
 IT WAS A SITE THAT WOULD BE WELL KNOWN TO USERS
 AND ONE THAT WOULD BE EASY TO NAVIGATE TO IN THE
 COURSE OF INSTALLING OR USING WINDOWS 95.
- Q. DID ANYONE FROM MICROSOFT EVER TELL MCI
 THAT BEING IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD
 WOULD BE VALUABLE BECAUSE THE INTERNET CONNECTION
 WIZARD WOULD SHIP WITH EVERY COPY OF WINDOWS 95?
 - A. YES.
 - O. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
 - A. NOT SPECIFICALLY, NO.
- Q. DOES THE WIZARD REFERRAL AGREEMENT
 REQUIRE MCI TO DISTRIBUTE THE INTERNET EXPLORER
 BROWSER WITH ITS FULFILLMENT PACK UNLESS THE
 CUSTOMER SPECIFICALLY REQUESTS A DIFFERENT
 BROWSER?
- A. YES, THAT'S A REQUIREMENT OF ADDENDUM
 D.
 - O. UNDER THE WIZARD REFERRAL AGREEMENT, IS

	1
	1 2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	7 8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

MCI ALLOWED TO PROVIDE A NON-MICROSOFT BROWSER IN ANY CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE CUSTOMER DOESN'T REQUEST IT?

- A. NO, I DON'T THINK SO.
- Q. UNDER THE WIZARD REFERRAL AGREEMENT, IS
 MCI EVEN ALLOWED TO TELL CUSTOMERS THAT THE
 NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR BROWSER IS AVAILABLE FOR USE
 WITH MCI'S ISP SERVICE?

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.

THE WITNESS: COULD YOU RESTATE THE

QUESTION, PLEASE?

Q. SURE.

UNDER THE WIZARD REFERRAL AGREEMENT, IS
MCI ALLOWED TO TELL CUSTOMERS THAT THE NETSCAPE
NAVIGATOR BROWSER IS AVAILABLE FOR USE WITH MCI'S
ISP SERVICE?

A. NO, IN THE AGREEMENT --

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.

THE WITNESS: IN THE AGREEMENT, WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO STATE OR IMPLY THAT ANY OTHER BROWSER IS AVAILABLE.

(EXCERPT.)

Q. DOES THE WIZARD REFERRAL AGREEMENT

BETWEEN MCI AND MICROSOFT REQUIRE MCI TO INCLUDE

THE INTERNET EXPLORER LOGO ON THE HOME PAGE FOR

1	MCI'S ISP SERVICE?
2	MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.
3	THE WITNESS: YES, IT DOES.
4	Q. DOES MCI, IN FACT, INCLUDE THE INTERNET
5	EXPLORER LOGO ON ITS HOME PAGE?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. DOES THE MICROSOFT AND MCI WIZARD
8	REFERRAL AGREEMENT PROHIBIT MCI FROM PLACING A
9	NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR LOGO ON ITS WEB PAGE?
10	A. YES, IT DOES.
11	MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.
12	THE WITNESS: YES, IT DOES.
13	Q. DOES MCI PLACE A NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR
14	LOGO ON ITS WEB PAGE?
15	A. NO, WE DO NOT.
16	Q. DOES THE MICROSOFT/MCI WIZARD REFERRAL
17	AGREEMENT REQUIRE MCI TO PLACE A LINK ON ITS HOME
18	PAGE THAT WILL TAKE A USER TO A WEB PAGE WHERE
19	THE USER CAN DOWNLOAD THE INTERNET EXPLORER
20	BROWSER?
21	A. YES, IT DOES.
22	Q. DOES MCI, IN FACT, PLACE A LINK ON ITS
23	HOME PAGE THAT WILL TAKE A USER TO A WEB PAGE
24	WHERE THE USER CAN DOWNLOAD THE INTERNET EXPLORER
25	BROWSER?

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

Δ	VES

Q. DOES THE MICROSOFT/MCI WIZARD REFERRAL
AGREEMENT PROHIBIT MCI FROM PLACING A LINK ON ITS
HOME PAGE THAT WILL TAKE A USER TO A WEB PAGE
WHERE THE USER CAN DOWNLOAD THE NETSCAPE
NAVIGATOR BROWSER?

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.
THE WITNESS: YES.

- Q. DOES MCI HAVE A LINK ON ITS HOME PAGE
 THAT WILL TAKE A USER TO A WEB PAGE WHERE THE
 USER CAN DOWNLOAD THE NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR BROWSER?
 - A. NO.
- Q. DO MCI'S AGREEMENTS WITH MICROSOFT
 OBLIGATE MCI TO PROMOTE THE INTERNET EXPLORER
 BROWSER AS THE BROWSER RECOMMENDED FOR USE BY
 MCI'S ISP CUSTOMERS?

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.
THE WITNESS: YES, IT DOES.

- Q. DOES THE MCI/MICROSOFT WIZARD REFERRAL
 AGREEMENT PROHIBIT MCI FROM TAKING PAID
 ADVERTISEMENT FOR THE NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR BROWSER?
 - A. YES, IT DOES.
- Q. DOES THE MICROSOFT/MCI WIZARD REFERRAL
 AGREEMENT PROHIBIT MCI FROM PROMOTING THE
 NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR BROWSER?

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	0
_	U
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Δ	YES
α.	YES.

- Q. DOES MCI PROMOTE OR ADVERTISE NETSCAPE
 NAVIGATOR IN ANY WAY?
 - A. NO.
- Q. DOES MCI COMPLY WITH ALL THE
 CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS
 RESULTING FROM THE MICROSOFT/MCI CONNECTION
 WIZARD AGREEMENT?

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.

THE WITNESS: TO MY KNOWLEDGE, YES.

Q. WHEN MCI WAS NEGOTIATING THE AGREEMENTS WITH MICROSOFT, DID MCI ATTEMPT TO NEGOTIATE LESS STRINGENT RESTRICTIONS ON MCI'S ABILITY TO PROMOTE AND DISTRIBUTE BROWSERS OTHER THAN THE INTERNET EXPLORER BROWSER?

MR. FESSEL: OBJECTION TO FORM.

THE WITNESS: YES, WE DID ATTEMPT TO NEGOTIATE.

- Q. WHY DID MCI ATTEMPT TO NEGOTIATE LESS STRINGENT RESTRICTIONS ON ITS ABILITY TO PROMOTE AND DISTRIBUTE BROWSERS OTHER THAN THE INTERNET EXPLORER BROWSER?
- A. NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR WAS AND IS TODAY A
 POPULAR CHOICE OF BROWSER FOR USERS, AND THE
 ABILITY TO DISTRIBUTE THAT, IN ADDITION TO THE

CUSTOMER THAT ASKED FOR IT?

25

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	۱

	1 .	
	·	329
	A.	THAT'S CORRECT.
	Q.	AND HAVE CUSTOMERS ASKED FOR NAVIGATOR
	A.	OCCASIONALLY, YES.
	Q.	AND HAVE THEY GOTTEN IT?
١	A.	YES.
١		