UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF,

V. : C.A. NO. 98-1232

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

V. : C.A. NO. 98-1223

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF,

V.

DENNIS C. VACCO, ET AL.,

COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS.: JANUARY 13, 1999

VOLUME 37-B

TRANSCRIBED DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

COURT REPORTER:

DAVID A. KASDAN, RMR

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC.

507 C STREET, N.E.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

(202) 546-6666

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT

(DEPOSITION EXCERPTS OF JAMES VON HOLLE.)

MS. GIULIANELLI: SURE. DOES GATEWAY
BELIEVE THAT IT HAS ANY COMMERCIALLY VIABLE
ALTERNATIVES TO WINDOWS FOR ITS PERSONAL
COMPUTERS?

MS. WHEELER: SAME OBJECTION.

THE WITNESS: I DON'T BELIEVE SO.

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

- Q. WHY NOT?
- A. THERE'S--THERE'S NOT ENOUGH SUPPORT IN THE FORM OF APPLICATIONS IN THE MARKETPLACE TO--TO RUN ON ALTERNATE OPERATING ENVIRONMENTS.
- Q. AND WHY IS THE SUPPORT OF APPLICATIONS
 IN THE MARKETPLACE A CONSIDERATION OF GATEWAY'S?
- A. PRIMARY REASON FOR THAT IS THAT PEOPLE
 NEED TO DO SOMETHING WITH THEIR COMPUTERS OTHER
 THAN JUST HAVE A PC AND AN OPERATING SYSTEM, SO
 THEY NEED AN APPLICATION TO PERFORM FUNCTIONS
 WITH THEIR COMPUTERS.
- Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER REASONS THAT YOU DON'T VIEW ANY COMMERCIALLY VIABLE ALTERNATIVES TO WINDOWS FOR THE PERSONAL COMPUTERS?
 - A. NOT IN PARTICULAR, NO.
- Q. WOULD GATEWAY LIKE TO SEE A CHOICE OF OPERATING SYSTEM VENDORS FOR PERSONAL COMPUTERS?

| 1 | A. I CAN'T SAY THATTHAT THAT'S SOMETHING |
|-----|--|
| 2 | WE GREATLY DESIRE, NO. |
| 3 | Q. WHY NOT? |
| 4 | A. I DIDN'T SAY WE DIDN'T. |
| 5 | Q. LET ME JUST MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE YOUR |
| 6 | TESTIMONY CLEAR IN THAT CASE. I BELIEVE THAT YOU |
| 7 | TESTIFIED THAT GATEWAY DOES NOT SEE ANY |
| 8 | COMMERCIALLY VIABLE ALTERNATIVES TO LOADING |
| 9 | WINDOWS ON ITS PERSONAL COMPUTERS; IS THAT |
| 10 | CORRECT? |
| 11 | A. CORRECT. |
| 12 | Q. OKAY. NOW, WOULD GATEWAY LIKE TO SEE |
| 13 | COMMERCIALLY VIABLE ALTERNATIVES TO WINDOWS FOR |
| ۱4 | ITS PERSONAL COMPUTERS? |
| 15 | A. IFIF VIABLE ALTERNATIVES EMERGED, WE |
| 16 | WOULD EVALUATE THOSE. |
| L 7 | Q. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT GATEWAY WOULD |
| 18 | LIKE TO SEE, THAT IS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES |
| ۱9 | EMERGING? |
| 20 | A. IT WOULD BE AN INTERESTING MARKET |
| 21 | DEVELOPMENT WE WOULD TRACK CLOSELY. |
| 22 | Q. WHY WOULD IT BE AN INTERESTING MARKET |
| 23 | DEVELOPMENT YOU WOULD TRACK CLOSELY? |
| 24 | A. WE LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR CUSTOMERS |
| 25 | ARE OFFERED AA CHOICE OF PRODUCTS THAT BECOME |
| | |

| Т | POPULAR IN THE MARKETPLACE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. ARE THERE OTHER WAYS THAT GATEWAY'S |
| 3 | CUSTOMERS WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE CHOICE OF |
| 4 | OPERATING SYSTEMS? |
| 5 | MS. WHEELER: OBJECTION, FORM OF THE |
| 6 | QUESTION. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: COULD YOUCOULD YOU BE |
| 8 | MORE SPECIFIC? |
| 9 | BY MS. GIULIANELLI: |
| 10 | Q. SURE. |
| 11 | YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU'D LIKE TO |
| 12 | SEETHAT CUSTOMERS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A CHOICE; |
| 13 | IS THAT CORRECT? OR IS THAT A |
| 14 | MISCHARACTERIZATION OF WHAT YOU SAID? |
| 15 | A. I THINK THAT'S A MISCHARACTERIZATION. |
| 16 | Q. OKAY. THEN WHY DON'T YOU GIVE ME YOUR |
| 17 | ANSWER AGAIN JUST SO THAT I'M CLEAR ON WHAT IT |
| 18 | WAS. |
| 19 | A. GATEWAY WOULD LIKE TO OFFER A CHOICE OF |
| 20 | POPULAR PRODUCTS FOR OUR CUSTOMERS. |
| 21 | Q. AND WOULD GATEWAY'S CUSTOMERS BENEFIT |
| 22 | FROM HAVING A CHOICE OF POPULAR PRODUCTS? |
| 23 | A. BENEFIT IN WHAT WAY? |
| 24 | Q. IN ANY WAY. |
| 25 | A. IT'S A DIFFICULT QUESTION TO ANSWER. |

| li li | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | MEAN, THEYTHEY MAY. |
| 2 | Q. HAVE YOU EVER ASSESSED WHETHER |
| 3 | GATEWAY'S CUSTOMERS BENEFIT FROM A CHOICE OF |
| 4 | PRODUCTS? |
| 5 | A. YES, WE HAVE. |
| 6 | Q. AND WHAT CONCLUSIONS DID YOU REACH, IF |
| 7 | ANY? |
| 8 | A. THE CUSTOMERS TYPICALLY WILL TAKE THOSE |
| 9 | CHOICES AND, YOU KNOW, BASED ON THEIR |
| 10 | PREFERENCES, USE THOSE PRODUCTS HOW THEY INTEND |
| 11 | TO USE THOSE PRODUCTS. |
| 12 | Q. IS THAT A BENEFIT TO GATEWAY'S |
| 13 | CUSTOMERS? |
| 14 | A. YES, GENERALLY IT IS. |
| 15 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 16 | Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT? |
| 17 | A. YES, I DO. |
| 18 | Q. WHAT IS IT? |
| 19 | A. IT'S AN INTERNAL DOCUMENT THAT WAS |
| 20 | PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF A MEETING WITH |
| 21 | MICROSOFT. |
| 22 | Q. WHO PREPARED IT, IF YOU KNOW? |
| 23 | A. I DON'T KNOW ALLALL THEALL THE |
| 24 | PEOPLE WHO PREPARED IT, BUT I DIDI DID PREPARE |

PARTS OF IT.

| 1 | Q. OKAY. AND WAS THIS PREPARED ON OR |
|----|--|
| 2 | AROUND MARCH 25TH OF 1997? |
| 3 | A. YES, OKAY. |
| 4 | MR. LAVELLE: I THINK YOU MISSPOKE. |
| 5 | BY MS. GIULIANELLI: |
| 6 | Q. MARCH 21ST OF 1997, WHICH IS, FOR THE |
| 7 | RECORD, A DATE THAT APPEARS ON THE LOWER |
| 8 | RIGHT-HAND CORNER OF THE DOCUMENT. AND AT THE |
| 9 | TOP DOES IT SAY 3/25/97, MICROSOFT MEETING? |
| 10 | A. YES, IT DOES. |
| 11 | Q. AND THIS IS THE MEETING THAT IT WAS |
| 12 | PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF? |
| 13 | A. YES. |
| 14 | Q. AND IF YOU COULD PLEASE FLIP TO THE |
| 15 | SECOND PAGE, WOULD YOU READ TO YOURSELF THE |
| 16 | PARTHE SECOND PARAGRAPH UNDER THE PORTION THAT |
| 17 | SAYS, "SLIP OF MEMPHIS AND SUPPORT FOR NEW |
| 18 | INDUSTRY DEVICES." |
| 19 | A. UMM-HMM. |
| 20 | Q. HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO DO THAT? |
| 21 | A. YES. |
| 22 | Q. WHAT DID GATEWAY WANT MICROSOFT TO DO |
| 23 | AT THIS TIME? |
| 24 | A. WE WERE ASKING MICROSOFT TO RELEASE |
| 25 | HADDWADE CUDDODE AND _ IN MINDOWS OF BOD |

| 1 | CERTAINCERTAIN FEATURES THAT ARE LISTED HERE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. AND WHAT ARE THE FEATURES THAT ARE |
| 3 | LISTED HERE FOR THE RECORD? |
| 4 | A. AGP GRAPHICS, DVD DISKS, AND DUAL |
| 5 | DISPLAYS. |
| 6 | Q. DID GATEWAY WANT MICROSOFT TO RELEASE |
| 7 | THIS HARDWARE SUPPORT BEFORE MEMPHIS WAS |
| 8 | RELEASED? |
| 9 | A. YES, WE WERE ASKING FOR THAT. |
| 10 | Q. AND DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING AS TO |
| 11 | WHAT MEMPHIS WAS? |
| 12 | A. MEMPHIS WAS A CODE NAME FOR WINDOWS 98. |
| 13 | Q. DID GATEWAY BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD HAVE |
| 14 | BEEN TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE FOR MICROSOFT TO |
| 15 | UNBUNDLE THESE HARDWARE FEATURES FROM MEMPHIS, |
| 16 | THESE HARDWARE SUPPORT FEATURES? |
| 17 | A. WEWE DID NOT DO ANY DETAILED |
| 18 | TECHNICAL ANALYSIS, NO. |
| 19 | Q. IF YOU COULD PLEASE LOOK AT THE LAST |
| 20 | PARAGRAPH RIGHT BEFORE THE MDA 97 THERE, DID YOU |
| 21 | WRITEAUTHOR THIS PORTION OF THE DOCUMENT? |
| 22 | A. YES, I DID. |
| 23 | Q. OKAY. SEE WHERE YOU WROTE, "THE |
| 24 | MESSAGE TO MICROSOFT IS THAT THEY ARE SLOWING TH |
| 25 | PACE OF NEW PRODUCT INTRODUCTION IN THE INDUSTRY |

THEY HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO THE INDUSTRY
BECAUSE OF THEIR DOMINANT MARKET SHARE IN THE
CORE OPERATING SYSTEM. THEY ARE NOT SPENDING THE
REQUIRED R&D TO KEEP THE PACE OF INNOVATION IN
THE OS CURRENT WITH THE INDUSTRY HARDWARE. THIS
WILL ESPECIALLY HURT GATEWAY BECAUSE OF OUR
FIRST-TO-MARKET SALES OF THE LATEST
TECHNOLOGIES."

WAS THIS YOUR VIEW AT THAT TIME THAT YOU WROTE THIS DOCUMENT?

- A. THIS WAS MY OPINION, YES.
- Q. IS INNOVATION IN THE OPERATING SYSTEM MARKET A GOOD THING FOR GATEWAY'S CUSTOMERS?
- A. INNOVATION IN THE INDUSTRY IS GENERALLY A GOOD THING, YES.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. WHY DID GATEWAY ASK TO REMOVE THE INTERNET EXPLORER ICON FROM WINDOWS 95?
- A. WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO, I GUESS, CLEAN UP, IF YOU WILL, THE ICONS THAT WERE ON THE DESKTOP AFTER THE CUSTOMER MADE CERTAIN SELECTIONS.
- Q. NOW, WHAT SELECTIONS ARE YOU REFERRING TO IN THAT ANSWER?
 - A. WELL, IF THEY--IF THEY, FOR EXAMPLE,

| | H |
|------------|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| L O | |
| L 1 | |
| 12 | |
| L3 | |
| L 4 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | l |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | Ш |

CHOSE AN ALTERNATE BROWSER PRODUCT AS THEIR--AS
THEIR DEFAULT BROWSER, THEN WE WANTED THE OPTION,
IF THE CUSTOMER REQUESTED, TO BE ABLE TO DELETE
THE ICONS FOR PRODUCTS THAT THEY DID NOT INTEND
TO USE.

- Q. DID GATEWAY HAVE ANY USER STUDIES THAT SUPPORTED ITS-OR THAT WENT TO ITS DESIRE TO CLEAN UP THE ICONS WITH THE DESKTOP?
- A. YEAH. THE GENERAL USABILITY STUDIES

 THAT WE DO INDICATE THAT THE LESS CLUTTERED THE

 DESKTOP IS THE MORE--THE LESS--THE LESS CONFUSING

 IT IS FOR THE CUSTOMER TO USE THE PRODUCT.

 (EXCERPT.)
- Q. DID GATEWAY HAVE A BUTTON THAT THE USERS CLICKED ON IN ORDER TO ACCESS THE SIGNUP PROCEDURE FOR GATEWAY.NET?
 - A. YES, WE DID.
 - Q. WHAT WAS IT CALLED?
- A. THE INTERNAL NAME FOR THAT WAS THE--THE BAB.
- Q. OKAY. AND PERHAPS I SHOULD NOT ASK
 THIS QUESTION, BUT WHAT DID BAB STAND FOR?
- A. WELL, THAT WAS THE INTERNAL CODE NAME, SO YOU UNDERSTAND. WE DID REFER THAT TO THE BIG-ASS BUTTON.

| 1 | Q. OKAY. AND THAT WAS BECAUSE IT WAS A |
|----|---|
| 2 | BIG BUTTON? |
| 3 | A. IT WAS A BIG BUTTON. |
| 4 | Q. OKAY. WAS THERE AN EXTERNAL NAME FOR |
| 5 | IT? |
| 6 | A. I THINK WE REFERRED TO IT AS THE |
| 7 | INTERNET REGISTRATION BUTTON. |
| 8 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 9 | Q. YOU DISCUSSED GATEWAY'S DESIRE TO |
| 10 | REMOVE THE INTERNET EXPLORER ICON AFTER THE SETUP |
| 11 | ROUTINE IF A USER WERE TO CHOOSE AN ALTERNATE |
| 12 | BROWSER. |
| 13 | DID GATEWAY, IN FACT, REMOVE THE |
| 14 | INTERNET EXPLORER ICON FROM WINDOWS 95 IF AN |
| 15 | ALTERNATE BROWSER WAS CHOSEN? |
| 16 | A. NO, WE DID NOT. |
| 17 | Q. WHY NOT? |
| 18 | A. WELL, TWO REASONS. AT THE TIME WEWE |
| 19 | HAD NOT OFFERED AN ALTERNATE BROWSER, SO THAT |
| 20 | WASTHAT WAS THE BIGGEST REASON. |
| 21 | Q. THAT'S A PRETTY GOOD ONE. |
| 22 | A. AND THE OTHER REASON WOULD HAVE BEEN IF |
| 23 | WEIF WEIF WE ULTIMATELY DID END UP OFFERING |
| 24 | AN INTERNETAN ALTERNATE INTERNET BROWSER, OUR |
| 25 | TERMS WERE NOT WRITTEN TO ALLOW US TO DO THAT. |
| | |

| 1 | (EXCERPT.) |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. NOW, THE INTERNET SELECTION BUTTON |
| 3 | APPEARED ON THE DESKTOP IN WINDOWS 95 AS YOU |
| 4 | TESTIFIED; IS THAT CORRECT? |
| 5 | A. YES. |
| 6 | Q. AND DID THIS ONLYWAS THIS ONLY |
| 7 | ACTIVATED UPON A USER-INITIATED ACTION? |
| 8 | A. THE BUTTON? |
| 9 | Q. (NODS HEAD IN THE AFFIRMATIVE MANNER.) |
| 10 | A. YES. |
| 11 | Q. DID MICROSOFT AT SOME POINT COMPLAIN |
| 12 | ABOUT THE BUTTON IN ANY RESPECT? |
| 13 | A. YES, THEY DID. |
| 14 | Q. WHEN WAS IT THAT MICROSOFT COMPLAINED? |
| 15 | A. I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT TIME FRAME. |
| 16 | AFTERAFTER WEAFTER WE WERE SHIPPING THE |
| 17 | PRODUCT. |
| 18 | Q. WHEN DID YOU BEGIN SHIPPING THE |
| 19 | PRODUCT? |
| 20 | A. IN NOVEMBER OF '97. |
| 21 | Q. WHAT WERE MICROSOFT'S COMPLAINTS? |
| 22 | A. THEY FELT THAT WE WERE VIOLATING THE |
| 23 | TERMS OF OUR AGREEMENT ININ RESPECT TO THE SIZE |
| 24 | OF BUTTON. |
| 25 | Q. DID MICROSOFT HAVE ANY OTHER |

| | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 2 | | |
| | 3 | | |
| | 4 | | |
| | 5 | | |
| | 6 | | |
| | 7 | | |
| | 8 | | |
| | 9 | | |
| 1 | 0 | | |
| 1 | 1 | | |
| 1 | 2 | | |
| 1 | 3 | | |
| 1 | 4 | | |
| 1 | 5 | | |
| 1 | 6 | | |
| 1 | 7 | | l |
| 1 | 8 | | |
| 1 | 9 |) | |
| 2 | 0 | , | |
| 2 | 1 | | |
| 2 | 2 | | |

24

25

COMPLAINTS?

- A. NO, THAT WAS--THAT WAS THE PRIMARY COMPLAINT.
- Q. WHAT ABOUT THE SIZE OF BUTTON DID MICROSOFT FEEL WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE AGREEMENT?
- A. THEY FELT LIKE IT WAS AN ICON, AND THE TERMS OF OUR AGREEMENT ALLOWED FOR ICONS TO BE ADDED TO THE DESKTOP AS LONG AS THEY DID NOT EXCEED THE SIZE OF--THE SIZE AS SPECIFIED IN OUR AGREEMENT.
- Q. AND I TAKE IT THAT THE ICON WAS BIGGER THAN THE SIZE SPECIFIED IN THE AGREEMENT?
 - A. THE--THE BUTTON WAS BIGGER.
- Q. THE BUTTON. WHEN MICROSOFT EXPRESSED
 THIS COMPLAINT TO YOU, DID IT EXPRESS ANY REASONS
 FOR THIS RESTRICTION?
- A. THE REASONS WERE THAT THE CONTRACT
 STATED THAT WE WOULD COMPLY WITH THE AGREEMENT.
- Q. OKAY. OTHER THAN CITING THE CONTRACT,
 DID MICROSOFT COMMUNICATE ANY JUSTIFICATIONS FOR
 THE RESTRICTION ON THE SIZE OF YOUR BUTTON?
- A. I DON'T RECALL THAT THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE.
- Q. I TAKE IT THAT GATEWAY WANTED THE BUTTON TO BE LARGER THAN THE PRE-CONFIGURED ICONS

| 1 | BY MICROSOFT? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. YES, WE DID. |
| 3 | Q. WHY DID GATEWAY WANT THE BUTTON TO BE |
| 4 | LARGER? |
| 5 | A. WE WANTED TO PROMOTE OUR PRODUCT. |
| 6 | Q. AND HOW WOULD HAVING A LARGE BUTTON |
| 7 | HELP GATEWAY PROMOTE ITS PRODUCT? |
| 8 | A. IT WOULD BE MORE EASILY VIEWABLE, |
| 9 | VISIBLE TO THE CUSTOMER. |
| 10 | Q. AS A CONSEQUENCE OF MICROSOFT'S |
| 11 | COMPLAINT, DID GATEWAY ALTER THE SIZE OF THE |
| 12 | BUTTON IN ANY RESPECT? |
| 13 | A. YES, WE DID. |
| 14 | Q. DID GATEWAY MAKE IT SMALLER? |
| 15 | A. YES, WE MADE IT SMALLER. |
| 16 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 17 | Q. OKAY. NOW I'M GOING TO ASK SOME |
| 18 | QUESTIONS ABOUT WINDOWS 98 IN THE WINDOWS 98 TIM |
| 19 | FRAME. |
| 20 | DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT |
| 21 | TIME FRAME I'M TALKING ABOUT? |
| 22 | A. YES. |
| 23 | Q. HAS GATEWAY ALSO ASKED MICROSOFT FOR |
| 24 | SOME FLEXIBILITY TO REMOVE THE VISIBLE MEANS OF |
| 25 | ACCESSING INTERNET EXPLORER FROM WINDOWS 98? |

| 1 | A. IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, WE'VEWE'VE |
|----|--|
| 2 | HAD THOSE DISCUSSIONS. |
| 3 | Q. AND WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE THOSE BEEN? |
| 4 | A. IN PARTICULAR, WHERE WE WOULD OFFER |
| 5 | GATEWAY.NET ISP SERVICE TO A CUSTOMER AND THAT |
| 6 | CUSTOMER CHOSE A COMPETING BROWSER PRODUCT. |
| 7 | Q. SO, IF THE CUSTOMER WERE TO CHOOSE A |
| 8 | COMPETING BROWSER PRODUCT, WHAT DID GATEWAY WANT |
| 9 | TO DO? |
| 10 | A. WE WANTED TO HAVE THE OPTION AT THE |
| 11 | REQUEST OF THE CUSTOMER TO GO AHEAD AND REMOVE |
| 12 | THE ICONS FOR IE. |
| 13 | Q. AND WAS THIS AN OPTION THAT GATEWAY |
| 14 | REQUESTED OF MICROSOFT? |
| 15 | A. YES, WE DID. |
| 16 | Q. OKAY. WHEN WAS THAT? |
| 17 | A. PRIOR TO THE RELEASE OF WINDOWS 98. |
| 18 | Q. WHO MADE THOSE REQUESTS OF MICROSOFT? |
| 19 | A. PRIMARILY, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MYSELF |
| 20 | AND RICK BROWNRIGG. |
| 21 | Q. AND WHO AT MICROSOFT DID YOU ASK? |
| 22 | A. OUR PRIMARY INTERFACES WERE OUR ACCOUNT |
| 23 | TEAM, GAYLE MCLAIN AND PASCAL MARTIN. |
| 24 | Q. WHAT WAS MICROSOFT'S RESPONSE TO YOUR |
| 25 | REQUEST TO REMOVE THE IE ICON FROM WINDOWS 98? |

| 1 | A. THEYTHEY DID NOT ALLOW US TO DO THAT. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. DID MICROSOFT COMMUNICATE TO YOU WHY |
| 3 | THEY DID NOTTHIS WAS SOMETHING THEY WOULD NOT |
| 4 | ALLOW? |
| 5 | A. YES, THEY DID. |
| 6 | O. WHAT WERE THEIR REASONS? |
| 7 | A. IT WAS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS |
| 8 | OF OUR AGREEMENT. |
| 9 | O. OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT IT WAS NOT IN |
| 10 | COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT, DID |
| 11 | MICROSOFT GIVE YOU ANY OTHER REASONS FOR ITS |
| | |
| 12 | REFUSAL TO ALLOW GATEWAY TO DO THIS? |
| 13 | A. YEAH. THEY INDICATED THEY WOULD ALSO |
| 14 | LIKE TO GIVE THE CUSTOMER AN OPTION TO SELECT IE. |
| 15 | Q. AND HOW WOULD YOUR REMOVAL OF THE IE |
| 16 | ICON TAKE AWAY THIS OPTION? |
| 17 | A. IT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN PRESENT ON THE |
| 18 | DESKTOP. |
| 19 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 20 | BY MS. GIULIANELLI: |
| 21 | Q. WHY DID GATEWAY REQUEST THIS OPTION OF |
| 22 | REMOVING INTERNET EXPLORER FROM THE DESKTOP? |
| 23 | A. GENERALLY, WE WANTED TO REMOVE THE |
| 24 | CLUTTER OF THE DESKTOP SO THAT IT WOULD BE AN |
| 25 | EASIER-TO-VIEW PRODUCT WHEN THE CUSTOMER RECEIVED |
| | , ———————————————————————————————————— |

IT.

ALSO, WE WERE CONCERNED THAT IF A NEW USER WHO PURCHASED THE SYSTEM AND DIDN'T UNDERSTAND, THEY MIGHT HAVE A DIFFERENT EXPERIENCE IF THEY CLICKED ONE ICON VERSUS ANOTHER. WE WERE CONCERNED THAT THE CUSTOMERS WOULD BE CONFUSED IF THEY HAD THEIR SYSTEMS SET UP WITH NETSCAPE, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THEN ACCIDENTALLY CLICKED ON THE IE ICON AND THEN WERE PRESENTED A DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENT.

- Q. IF GATEWAY'S CUSTOMERS DID BECOME

 CONFUSED AS A RESULT OF HAVING BOTH BROWSERS ON

 THERE, WOULD THERE HAVE BEEN ANY COSTS TO

 GATEWAY?
- A. TYPICALLY, OUR CUSTOMERS WOULD CALL US

 IF THEY'RE CONFUSED AND NEED TO UNDERSTAND HOW TO

 GET HELP WORKING WITH THEIR SYSTEM.
- Q. AND THIS WAS SOMETHING GATEWAY WAS ATTEMPTING TO AVOID?
- A. WE--WE ATTEMPT TO REDUCE OUR SUPPORT
 COSTS HOWEVER WE CAN.
 (EXCERPT.)
- Q. NOW, IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THE
 INTERROGATORIES WHICH YOU HAVE--THE INTERROGATORY
 RESPONSES THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU WHICH ARE

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

LABELED AS TRIAL EXHIBIT 652, IF YOU WOULD PLEASE
LOOK AT INTERROGATORY RESPONSE TEN, WHICH IS ON
PAGE 15.

NOW, AGAIN, WHEN YOU SIGNED THESE

INTERROGATORY RESPONSES, YOU BELIEVED THAT THEY

WERE TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF YOUR

KNOWLEDGE: ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

- A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- Q. OKAY. NOW I'M GOING TO POINT YOU TO
 THE PARAGRAPH IN THE MIDDLE OF PAGE 15 WHICH
 SAYS, WITH REGARD TO COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING
 GATEWAY'S OFFER OR INSTALLATION OF PRODUCTS OF
 SOFTWARE MANUFACTURERS OTHER THAN MICROSOFT,
 AFTER GATEWAY BEGAN OFFERING NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR,
 A COMPETITIVE BROWSER PRODUCT, WITH ITS SYSTEMS,
 MICROSOFT REPRESENTATIVES REPEATEDLY TOLD GATEWAY
 REPRESENTATIVES THAT MICROSOFT CONSIDERS
 GATEWAY'S OFFER OF THE COMPETITIVE PRODUCT A,
 QUOTE, SERIOUS, UNQUOTE, ISSUE THAT WOULD, QUOTE,
 AFFECT OUR WORKING RELATIONSHIP, UNQUOTE.

MR. LAVELLE: THAT COULD.

- Q. DO YOU SEE THAT PARAGRAPH?
- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. OKAY. AND THAT PARAGRAPH ALSO REFERS
 TO THE HIGH VISIBILITY THAT GATEWAY'S OFFERING OF

Q. WAS THERE A TIME THAT GATEWAY OFFERED

| 1 | NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR ON ITS INTRANET FOR INTERNAL |
|----|---|
| 2 | USE? |
| 3 | A. YES. |
| 4 | Q. DID THIS STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS WITH |
| 5 | MICROSOFT? |
| 6 | A. AGAIN, I WAS ONLY WITH THE COMPANY LESS |
| 7 | THAN 30 DAYS AT THE TIME OF THIS DOCUMENT BEING |
| 8 | ISSUED, SO II DON'T KNOW A REFERENCE POINT WHEN |
| 9 | YOU SAY DID THIS STRAIN THE RELATIONSHIP. |
| 10 | Q. OKAY. DID MICROSOFT COMPLAIN ABOUT |
| 11 | GATEWAY'S USAGE OF NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR INTERNALLY? |
| 12 | A. YES, ACCORDING TO THIS DOCUMENT, THEY |
| 13 | DID. |
| 14 | Q. COULD YOU LOOK AT YOUR INTERROGATORY |
| 15 | RESPONSES AGAIN, PLEASE. |
| 16 | A. YES. |
| 17 | Q. PAGE 15. |
| 18 | MR. SCHECHTER: OOPS. WHICH EXHIBIT |
| 19 | WAS THAT? |
| 20 | MS. GIULIANELLI: IT WAS TRIAL |
| 21 | EXHIBIT 652, I BELIEVE. |
| 22 | BY MS. GIULIANELLI: |
| 23 | Q. AND I'M GOING TO REFER YOU TO THE |
| 24 | SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH OF YOUR ANSWER |
| 25 | MR. SCHECHTER: PAGE? |

-1

ME.

FIFTEEN.

MS. GIULIANELLI: TO RESPONSE TEN.

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

Q. SEE WHERE IT SAYS, IN ADDITION, WHEN
GATEWAY ELECTED TO USE NETSCAPE NAVIGATOR AS THE
BROWSER ON ITS INTRANET, MICROSOFT
REPRESENTATIVES AGAIN STRENUOUSLY OBJECTED. IN
FACT, MICROSOFT REPRESENTATIVES STRONGLY IMPLIED
TO TROY MILLER OF GATEWAY THAT MICROSOFT WOULD
COMPENSATE GATEWAY FOR ITS, QUOTE, INVESTMENT IN
NETSCAPE, UNQUOTE.

MR. SCHECHTER: WITH NETSCAPE.

MS. GIULIANELLI: WITH NETSCAPE, EXCUSE

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

Q. IF GATEWAY WOULD REMOVE THE NETSCAPE
BROWSER AND REPLACE IT WITH IE. IN ADDITION,
MICROSOFT REPRESENTATIVES THREATENED THAT
MICROSOFT WOULD AUDIT GATEWAY'S INTERNAL USE OF
MICROSOFT PRODUCTS AND, CONTRARY TO A VERBAL
AGREEMENT BETWEEN EXECUTIVES OF THE COMPANIES,
MICROSOFT WOULD CHARGE GATEWAY A ROYALTY FOR EACH
COPY OF AN (SIC) MICROSOFT PRODUCT USED AT A
GATEWAY FACILITY.

DOES THIS--DOES THIS REFLECT YOUR--DOES

| 1 | THIS REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHAT |
|----|---|
| 2 | HAPPENED AT THE TIME THAT GATEWAY USED NETSCAPE |
| 3 | NAVIGATOR INTERNALLY? |
| 4 | MS. WHEELER: OBJECTION. FORM OF THE |
| 5 | QUESTION. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: II WASN'T DIRECTLY |
| 7 | INVOLVED IN ALL THESE DISCUSSIONS. |
| 8 | AND MANY OF THESE RESPONSES TO THE |
| 9 | INTERROGATORY WERE PREPARED BY OTHER PARTIES AT |
| 10 | GATEWAY. AND TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, ALL |
| 11 | THOSE PARTIES WERE TRUTHFUL. |
| 12 | BY MS. GIULIANELLI: |
| 13 | Q. SO, DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DOUBT THE |
| 14 | TRUTHFULNESS OR VALIDITY OF THIS STATEMENT? |
| 15 | A. II DON'T. |
| 16 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 17 | Q. OKAY. HAS GATEWAY BEEN CONCERNED THAT |
| 18 | OFFERING A BROWSER CHOICE TO ITS USERS WOULD |
| 19 | STRAIN ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH MICROSOFT? |
| 20 | A. WE WOULD BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT, YES. |
| 21 | (EXCERPT.) |
| 22 | Q. OKAY. HOW LARGE WAS THE SQUARE BUTTON? |
| 23 | A. I DON'T RECALL PRECISELY. IT WAS |
| 24 | LARGER THAN THE ICONS THAT WERE ON THE DESKTOP. |
| 25 | Q. WAS IT ALMOST TO THE SIZE OF THE |

| 2 | |
|---|---|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | , |
| _ | |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

1

"WELCOME TO WINDOWS" BOX?

- A. I'D SAY APPROXIMATELY, THAT'S RIGHT.

 (EXCERPT.)
- Q. IN WINDOWS 95, THE BUTTONS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT, THE TWO ITERATIONS OF THE BUTTONS FOR SIGNING UP FOR GATEWAY.NET, WAS AN END USER EVER GIVEN A CHOICE OF SIGNING UP FOR NETSCAPE COMMUNICATOR OR INTERNET EXPLORER 4.0 AS THEIR DEFAULT BROWSER?
 - A. NO.
- Q. SO, YOU TESTIFIED THIS MORNING THAT THE REASON THAT GATEWAY ASKED MICROSOFT TO REMOVE THE INTERNET EXPLORER ICON FROM WINDOWS 95 WAS THAT IT WANTED TO OFFER A BROWSER CHOICE; IS THAT CORRECT?
- A. WE WANTED THE FLEXIBILITY OR OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT, YES.
 - Q. OKAY. BUT GATEWAY DIDN'T DO THAT?
 - A. WE DID NOT DO THAT.
 - O. WHY NOT?
- A. IT'S MOSTLY A MATTER OF CODE

 DEVELOPMENT, CODE DEVELOPMENT AND SCHEDULES, SO

 WE WERE--WE WERE WORKING TO PUT THE--PUT THE

 PRODUCT CODE TOGETHER AND NEVER COMPLETED THAT IN

 THE WINDOWS 95 ENVIRONMENT.

(EXCERPT.)

Q. ALL RIGHT. NOW, MS. GIULIANELLI ASKED
YOU SOME QUESTIONS THIS MORNING ABOUT GATEWAY
ASKING TO REMOVE THE INTERNET EXPLORER ICON FROM
WINDOWS 98.

DO YOU RECALL THAT?

- A. I THINK SO.
- Q. NOW, IF YOU'LL LOOK AT PAGE 19 OF YOUR DEPOSITION ON APRIL 30TH, YOU TESTIFIED, QUOTE, IF THERE'S AN INTERNET EXPLORER OR ICON SPECIFICALLY LABELED, QUOTE, INTERNET EXPLORER, OR THAT IS NOT CONFUSING WITH SOME OTHER PRODUCT THAT IS ALSO ON THEIR DESKTOP, WE HAVE LESS CONCERN. OUR CONCERN IS THAT THERE WOULD BE AN ICON LABELED, QUOTE, CONNECT TO THE INTERNET THAT REMAINS ON THE DESKTOP.

IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOUR--THAT
GATEWAY WAS STILL CONCERNED WITH THE INTERNET
EXPLORER ICON BEING ON THE DESKTOP?

A. IT'S A CONCERN, BUT IT'S LESS OF A

CONCERN. IT STILL ALLOWS FOR AN AREA OF

CONFUSION FOR THE CUSTOMER TO CLICK THERE AND

THEN START USING THE INTERNET IN A DIFFERENT VIEW

THAN THEY MIGHT--MIGHT BE ACCUSTOMED TO IF THEY

HAD SELECTED NETSCAPE, NETSCAPE'S BROWSER AS

| 1 | THEIR DEFAULT. | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q. AS FAR AS REMOVING ICONS, DOES THIS | | | | | | |
| 3 | REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOUR PRIMARY | | | | | | |
| 4 | CONCERN WAS THE "CONNECT TO THE INTERNET" ICON? | | | | | | |
| 5 | A. AT THIS TIME, THAT'S RIGHT. | | | | | | |
| 6 | (EXCERPT.) | | | | | | |
| 7 | Q. HAS ANYONE AT MICROSOFT ASKED GATEWAY | | | | | | |
| 8 | NOT TO PROVIDE A CHOICE OF BROWSERS? | | | | | | |
| 9 | A. NO. | | | | | | |
| 10 | MS. GIULIANELLI: SINCE MAY 26TH OR | | | | | | |
| 11 | EVER? | | | | | | |
| 12 | MS. WHEELER: SINCE MAY 26TH. | | | | | | |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: NOTNOT ME. THEY | | | | | | |
| 14 | HAVEN'T ASKED ME. | | | | | | |
| 15 | Q. HAS ANYONE AT MICROSOFT EVER ASKED YOU | | | | | | |
| 16 | NOT TO PROVIDE A CHOICE OF BROWSERS? | | | | | | |
| 17 | A. NO. | | | | | | |
| 18 | (EXCERPT.) | | | | | | |
| 19 | Q. HAVE YOU HAD ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH | | | | | | |
| 20 | ANYONE AT MICROSOFT WHERE MICROSOFT ASKED GATEWAY | | | | | | |
| 21 | NOT TO LOAD NETSCAPE ON A PC SOLD TO AN END USER? | | | | | | |
| 22 | A. NOT THAT I RECALL. | | | | | | |
| 23 | Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY THREATS FROM | | | | | | |
| 24 | MICROSOFT AS A RESULT OF GATEWAY'S DECISION TO | | | | | | |
| 25 | ALLOW USERS TO CHOOSE THEIR BROWSER AS PART OF | | | | | | |

| | 1 | |
|---|---|----|
| | 2 | |
| | 3 | |
| | 4 | |
| | 5 | |
| | 6 | |
| | 7 | |
| | · | |
| | 8 | |
| | 9 | |
| 1 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 | |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 1 | 3 | |
| 1 | 4 | |
| 1 | 5 | |
| 1 | 6 | |
| 1 | 7 | |
| 1 | 8 | |
| 1 | 9 | |
| 2 | 0 | |
| 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 2 | |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 2 | 4 | |
| | | 11 |

| THE | GATEW | Y NI | ET SI | IGNUP I | · PROC | CESS? | |
|-----|-------|------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | | | 170 |
| | Α. | I'M | NOT | AWARE | OF. | ANY, | NO. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |