United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

EPA530-R-08-011
November 2008

National Priority Chemicals Trends Report (2004-2006)

Section 1
Overview of the National PC Trends Report (2004-2006)

Hazardous Waste Minimization and Management Division
Office of Solid Waste
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Contact Information:

Bill Kline, Senior Data Analyst
Analysis & Information Branch
(540) 341-3631
kline.bill@epa.gov

Tammie Owen, Data Analyst
Analysis & Information Branch
(703) 308-4044
owen.tammie@epa.gov

Ben Lesser, Chief
Analysis & Information Branch
(703) 308-0314
lesser.ben@epa.gov

SECTION 1
OVERVIEW OF THE NATIONAL PRIORITY CHEMICALS TRENDS REPORT (2004–2006)

Introduction

EPA's Office of Solid Waste (OSW) annually produces this *National Priority Chemicals Trends Report* to assist states and EPA's regional offices identify opportunities for reducing wastes containing one or more of the 31 Priority Chemicals (PCs) (see Exhibit 1.1).

This year's Report shows that continued progress is being made toward achieving EPA's GPRA goal: *By 2011, reduce 4 million pounds of priority chemicals from waste streams as measured by National Partnership for Environmental Priorities (NPEP) contributions, Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEPs), and other tools used by EPA to achieve priority chemical reductions,* (see Section 2). EPA recruits partners who voluntarily pledge to reduce PCs through source reduction and/or increased recycling, and who set target dates to achieve those reductions. NPEP members serve as the foundation upon which EPA has built its chemicals reduction and management plan[1].

In this report, we also provide information and trends regarding the generation and management of PCs in wastes for the nation, EPA regions, states, counties, industry sectors, and federal facilities. We primarily rely on Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) data for this information but also, for the first time, include data about PCs derived from 2005 Hazardous Waste Biennial Report (BR) data, the most recent available at the time this Report was published.

What's New in This Report?

We made several improvements to this Report, including:

- Presenting, for the first time, data derived from a methodology to extract BR data applicable to PCs. We present these data, where available, by individual PC or by industry (NAICS code), to supplement the TRI data and provide a better picture of which industries and waste streams might offer the most promising waste minimization opportunities.
- Using North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes rather than the Standard Industrial Codes (SIC). Facilities began reporting NAICS codes to TRI for the 2006 reporting year. Because NAICS codes are based on a production-oriented approach to categorize establishments, facilities with similar production processes are classified in the same industry. The use of NAICS codes should enhance our ability to link PCs to similar production processes and also compare facilities within a given industry.
- Streamlining of the Report to only focus on the most recent three years (rather than the five years presented in previous versions of this Report) of PC data and the PCs and industries that account for the majority of non-recycled PC quantities.
- Formatting changes to provide a more reader-friendly and succinct document.

This Report is an evolving document and we continue to look for ways to better present the data. We hope to incorporate more maps in the next update of this Report. If you have any comments concerning this Report, please contact us. One way to do so is to use the Customer Feedback Survey at the beginning of this Report.

[1] NPEP is part of OSW's Resource Conservation Challenge (RCC). See http://epa.gov/rcc. For more information about the NPEP program see http://www.epa.gov/npep.

What Are Priority Chemicals And Why Is EPA Concerned About Them?

Exhibit 1.1. List of the Priority Chemicals*

PCs Reported to TRI**	
1,2,4 – Trichlorobenzene	Lindane
2,4,5 - Trichlorophenol	Mercury and mercury compounds
Anthracene	Methoxychlor
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Naphthalene
Cadmium and cadmium compounds	Pendimethalin
Dibenzofuran	Pentachlorobenzene
Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds	Pentachlorophenol
Heptachlor	Phenanthrene
Hexachloro-1, 3-butadiene	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
Hexachlorobenzene	Polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs)
Hexachloroethane	Quintozene
Lead and lead compounds	Trifluralin
PCs Not Reported to TRI* **	
1,2,4,5 - Tetrachlorobenzene	Endosulfan, alpha, beta-
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	Fluorene
Acenaphthene	Pyrene
Acenaphthylene	

* For the purposes of developing this list of 31 chemicals, endosulfan alpha and endosulfan beta were counted together as were heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide. Also, each of the three metals (lead, cadmium, and mercury) is combined with its associated compounds and addressed as a single PC in this Report (the metals and metal compounds are reported separately to TRI). Only the weight of the metal portion of metal compounds is reported to TRI.

** Twelve of the PCs are classified by the TRI program as persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (PBT) chemicals and, therefore , have lower reporting thresholds than non-PBT chemicals: benzo(g,h,i)perylene (10 pounds), dioxins and dioxin-like compounds (0.1 grams), heptachlor (10 pounds), hexachlorobenzene (10 pounds), lead and lead compounds (100 pounds), mercury and mercury compounds (10 pounds), methoxychlor (100 pounds), pendimethalin (100 pounds), pentachlorobenzene (10 pounds), polychlorinated biphenyls (10 pounds), polycyclic aromatic compounds (100 pounds), trifluralin (100 pounds).

***Although we do not have TRI data for these seven PCs, we have developed a methodology by which to estimate the quantities of these PCs in hazardous waste reported for the Hazardous Waste Biennial Report. These quantities are presented in Section 4 of this Report.

The PC list is composed of 28 organic chemicals and three metals/metal compounds that are frequently found in releases to water, air, and land. They are present in soil, sediment, ground water, surface water, air, and/or biota, with several serving as the basis for classifying a waste as hazardous under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Most of the PCs are generated as products or ingredients in products, byproducts from the production process, or impurities, and continue to be released to the environment. Once there, many of these PCs also pose remediation difficulties, resulting in costly cleanups. We selected the three metals/metal compounds because they occur frequently in U.S. industrial waste streams and have also been recognized as international concerns.

Priority Chemicals in TRI

The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) is a database that contains information about the release and management of 581 individually listed chemicals and 30 chemical categories reported by industrial and federal facilities whose primary business activity is on the Section 313 list of North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes. For 2006, 22,880 facilities reported to TRI.

The TRI contains annual reports from certain facilities on 24 of the 31 PCs listed in Exhibit 1-1. For most chemicals in TRI, facilities with more than 10 full-time employees in most industrial sectors must submit detailed reports if they manufacture or process 25,000 pounds—or otherwise use 10,000 pounds—of the chemical during the reporting year. However, for chemicals designated as persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (PBT), the threshold for reporting is either 10 or 100 pounds during the same period (for dioxins the threshold is 0.1 grams). Twelve of the 24 PCs are PBTs in TRI; routine reporting thresholds apply to the remainder of the TRI-reported PCs.

Nationwide, for 2006, approximately 9,900 industrial and federal facilities reported approximately 1.2 billion pounds of PCs in wastes, of which 1.1 billion pounds were lead and lead compounds. Mining and primary/secondary smelting facilities reported approximately 48 percent of the total quantity of PCs generated[2], while hazardous waste treatment and disposal facilities reported approximately 7 percent of the PCs generated. In general, the large quantities of lead and lead compounds reported in TRI (often more than an order of magnitude larger than the nearest quantity) tend to distort the summaries and statistics for PCs. Thus, excluding lead and lead compounds from the totals, facilities managed approximately 115 million pounds of PCs. However, as noted below, only a subset of the total quantity of PCs reported to TRI is used for this Report.

In general, TRI includes chemical quantities that are released, recycled, treated, or sent to energy recovery. Under TRI, releases include chemical quantities in air emissions, surface water discharges, underground injection wells, and various releases to land, including RCRA hazardous waste landfills and other landfills. TRI also counts transfers to publicly owned treatment works and to off-site facilities. Exhibit 1.2 shows the quantities of PCs and management methods reported by TRI facilities for 2006.

Exhibit 1.2. Release and Management Quantities of Priority Chemicals Reported to TRI (2006)

Release or Management Method	Lead and Lead Compounds (pounds)	Other Priority Chemicals (pounds)	Total (pounds)
Recycled	669,700,744	29,728,043	699,428,787
Land Disposal (landfills, surface impoundments, underground injection)	453,010,171	13,748,926	466,759,097
Treatment (including transfers to POTWs)	1,283	50,033,290	50,034,573
Energy Recovery	0	18,526,786	18,526,786
Air Emissions	1,025,065	2,872,835	3,897,900
Surface Water Discharges	127,851	54,765	182,616
Total	**1,123,865,114**	**114,964,645**	**1,238,829,759**

However, it is important to note that the PC quantities reported to TRI do not represent the full universe of PCs in wastes. For example, neither small businesses (with less than 10 full-time employees) nor certain non-industrial sectors are required to report to TRI.

Our primary focus in this Report are the quantities of PCs amenable to waste minimization. Thus, this Report focuses on the subset of the PC quantities reported to TRI as land disposal, treatment, and energy recovery, including the approximately 76 million pounds of PC quantities reported for 2006. We exclude quantities of PCs that are subject to the Bevill Amendment[3]. To avoid double-counting, PC quantities transferred by the generating facility to offsite management facilities (e.g., hazardous waste treatment and disposal facilities) also were excluded. For a more detailed discussion of the PC quantities considered in this Report, please see the following discussions of the methodologies we used.

How Did EPA Derive the Priority Chemicals Quantities Used for Trends Analyses in This Report?

Of the 31 PCs, 24 are reported to the TRI[4]. We use the TRI database as the primary source of information to analyze and identify where each of these PCs is generated, the industry sectors that generate them, the methods used by facilities to manage them, and the extent to which the quantities of PCs in wastes have increased or decreased over time. For the first time in this Report, we also provide information derived from BR waste streams for PCs reported to TRI and for six of the seven PCs not reported to TRI—for which up to now we have not had any data regarding generation and management.

[2] In this Report, "generated" means that a facility , as a result of manufacturing, processing, or otherwise using a Priority Chemical, produced a waste containing one or more Priority Chemicals , and managed that waste using disposal, energy recovery, or treatment methods.

[3] In October, 1980, RCRA was amended by adding section 3001(b)(3)(A)(ii), known as the Bevill exclusion, to exclude "solid waste from the extraction, beneficiation, and processing of ores and minerals" from regulation as hazardous waste under Subtitle C of RCRA. For additional information concerning the Bevill Exclusion, please see http://www.epa.gov/compliance/assistance/sectors/minerals/processing/bevilltraining.html#bevillexclusion.

[4] Facilities are required to annually report to TRI under the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act (EPCRA § 313). The TRI is a publicly available EPA database that contains information about a list of 581 individually listed chemicals and 30 chemical categories that are being used, manufactured, treated, transported, released into the environment, or recycled. This information must be reported to TRI by July 1 of the year following the year for which the information is being reported. For example, chemicals subject to reporting requirements for the 2006 TRI reporting year must have been reported by July 1, 2007.

Methodology to Extract Priority Chemical Data from Toxics Release Inventory

We have developed a measurement methodology[5] (referred to as the PC-TRI methodology) to extract the applicable data from the TRI database that encompass these PC quantities and focus on those quantities reported by the primary generation facilities, and excluding those with negligible waste minimization opportunities. Therefore, data reported by offsite treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facilities were excluded from the analysis in order to avoid double-counting of wastes reported by both generating and treatment facilities. Likewise, facilities that are undertaking RCRA corrective action or Superfund activities, and do not offer waste minimization opportunities at the primary generation level (e.g., with primary NAICS code 924110) were also excluded. Finally, facilities in certain NAICS codes or with specific processes that generate wastes exempted from RCRA regulation by the Bevill amendment, including mining primary smelting , the titanium dioxide (TiO_2) process, and red muds process, were excluded.

Methodology to Extract Priority Chemical Data from Hazardous Waste Biennial Reports

We also developed a second methodology (referred to as the PC-BR methodology) that estimates the quantity of PCs contained in Hazardous Waste Biennial Report (BR) waste streams that are reported under RCRA. The data derived from applying this methodology to the BR data supplements the data for the 24 PCs reported to TRI and, for the first time, provides data for six of the seven PCs that are not reported to TRI. The PC BR Measurement Methodology is designed to identify hazardous waste streams reported to the BR that are likely to contain PCs and estimate the quantity of PCs in these waste streams. For this methodology, OSW uses data from the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo) System. RCRAInfo is a national program management and inventory system that contains information about RCRA hazardous waste handlers. It characterizes facility status, regulated activities, and compliance histories. Data on hazardous waste generation and management activities contained in RCRAInfo are obtained from the Hazardous Waste Report (also called the Biennial Report). A BR must be submitted by large quantity generators (LQGs)[6] and treatment, storage, and disposal facilities (TSDFs) every two years.

The focus of the PC-BR methodology is on the primary generation activities because the waste streams associated with primary generation represent an opportunity to reduce PCs in hazardous waste streams. It only includes waste streams generated from a production process, service activity, or routine/periodic cleanup, where potential opportunities for direct waste minimization (e.g., source reduction, recycling) are the greatest. Waste streams not associated with primary generation, such as leachate (liquids that have percolated through land disposed wastes) resulting from the disposal of more than one hazardous waste, are not included because they generally do not offer opportunities for direct waste minimization. In addition, we identified and excluded waste streams generated by facilities in the Waste Management and Remediation Services industry (NAICS Code 562). Waste streams generated by these waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities are not considered primary generation waste streams. In an effort to better identify opportunities for waste minimization, we also excluded primary generation waste streams with more than ten EPA hazardous waste codes from the analysis. These waste streams may offer limited opportunities for waste minimization given their highly heterogeneous nature and/or unique characteristics.

The methodology employs the following steps:

1. Identify waste streams reported in GM Forms of the BR that are likely to contain PCs. These waste codes were identified based on review of technical background documents and knowledge of the feedstock, processes, and by-products of the industries generating these hazardous wastes.
2. Classify waste streams as “non-wastewater” or “wastewater” based on both the form code and the management method code.
3. Collect data on PC concentrations from best demonstrated available technology (BDAT) background documents and hazardous waste listing background documents. BDAT background documents provide EPA’s rationale and technical support for developing the land disposal restriction (LDR) treatment standard. Listing background documents provide EPA’s rationale and technical support for listing a waste as a hazardous waste. These documents also provide constituent-specific concentration data for the EPA hazardous waste codes for which the LDR treatment standard or the listing is being established. In addition to the BDAT and listing background documents, we also referred to the National Hazardous Waste Constituent Survey (NHWCS) to collect data on PC concentrations. The NHWCS was a voluntary survey that OSW administered, in 1996, to 221 of the largest generators and managers of hazardous industrial process waste in the U.S.

[5] The TRI dataset used to develop the PC-TRI database contains data for reporting years 2002 through 2006. The data were frozen as of October 19, 2007. The PC-TRI methodology might differ from the methodology used by the TRI program to show trends for the EPCRA Section 313 chemicals in the annual TRI Public Data Release. For further information about either the PC-TRI or PC-BR methodologies, please see http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/minimize/trends.htm.

[6] An LQG is a generator that generates greater than 1,000 kilograms (2,200 pounds) of hazardous waste in a calendar month.

4. Once all available concentration data were collected, we assigned constituent-specific concentrations to each EPA hazardous waste code and waste form code combination. The assigned concentrations were based on the descriptions of the waste in the documents. Because the BDAT and listing background documents do not identify BR form code groups, we assigned a BR form code group to the PC concentration based on the descriptions in the documents. In instances in which a waste stream has an EPA hazardous waste code, but there is no available information on waste form, we used an average of the concentrations for the waste forms reported for that waste code. In instances in which a PC in a waste stream is represented by more than one waste code, an average of the concentrations was used.
5. Once we had a PC concentration for each EPA hazardous waste code/waste form combination, we multiplied the chemical concentration (in pounds/ton) by the quantity of waste (in tons) to estimate the amount of the PC (in pounds) in the waste stream.[7]

Comparing TRI versus BR Derived Priority Chemicals Quantities

In this Report, for the first time, we present data derived from a methodology to extract BR data applicable to PCs. We present these data within each of the PC-specific (Section 4) and industry-specific (Section 6) analyses. The BR and TRI reporting processes are substantially different (Exhibit 1.3). These reporting differences, among others, can cause significant variation in the number of reporting facilities and quantities of chemicals reported. Therefore, we caution readers against making casual one-to-one comparisons between the TRI and BR data. We are continuing to evaluate if and how the TRI and BR quantities of PCs can be correlated.

Most of the analyses presented in this Report are based on the TRI data. We present the BR data in order to provide another perspective on hazardous wastes that might contain PCs.

Exhibit 1.3. Comparison of Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) and Hazardous Waste Biennial Report (BR) Reporting

Item	TRI Data	BR Data
Statutory Authority	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) and the Pollution Prevention Act	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976, as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984
Frequency of Reporting	Annual	Minimum of biennial (odd years) by federal law. States may require more frequent reporting.
Chemicals/Wastes Reported	Chemical-based: release and waste management quantities of individual chemicals—per a list of 581 individually listed chemicals and 30 chemical categories. (Note: TRI does provide a distinction between RCRA Subtitle C landfills and other landfills (non-Subtitle C) and also for Class I underground injection wells (used for hazardous wastes) versus Class II-V wells. However, there is no such distinction for chemicals in wastes that are managed onsite using treatment or energy recovery).	Waste-based: any wastestream that exhibits characteristics (ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, toxicity) or is specifically listed on one of four hazardous wastes lists. These wastes must be treated, stored, and disposed in RCRA regulated Subtitle C units. (Note: BR wastestreams might include any number of TRI chemical constituents or none.)
Reporting Universe	Facility must meet the following criteria: 1) Be in one of the designated industries (NAICS codes), 2) Have 10 or more full-time employees or total hours worked by all employees is greater than 20,000 hours, 3) Manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses the individual chemical in quantities greater than established thresholds in calendar year.	Large quantity generators or facilities that treat, store, or dispose of RCRA hazardous wastes onsite in units subject to RCRA permitting requirements

What Does This Report Cover and How Is It Organized?

In this Report, we primarily use TRI data reported for calendar years 2004 through 2006 for our analyses. In addition, when available, we also present BR data, to supplement the TRI data.

Section 2 evaluates progress toward OSW's GPRA goal to reduce PCs. Because of the particularly high Agency priority of mercury and mercury compounds, we also include an expanded section on this chemical in which we describe the various OSW projects to reduce and eliminate mercury.

[7] OSW recognizes that chemical concentrations vary among waste streams and facilities. However, for purposes of this analysis, OSW made the simplifying assumption that all waste streams represented by a particular hazardous waste code/waste form combination have similar chemical concentrations.

Section 3 provides an overview of the generation and management of the 24 PCs reported to TRI from national, state, county, and industry (North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code perspectives.

Section 4 presents generation and management trends for ten of the PCs reported to TRI, showing them from national, EPA region and state, county, and industry sector perspectives. The ten PCs include the three metals and their compounds, dioxins, and the next six PCs with the greatest non-recycled quantities. We also provide basic information regarding each PC— including its Chemical Abstracts Service number, alternative names, and general uses. Where available, we also provide information derived from our PC-BR methodology to supplement the TRI data. For the first time, we present BR data, using this methodology, for six of the seven PCs not reported to TRI—for which up to now we have not had any data regarding generation and management.

Section 5 analyzes generation and management trends for the PCs which federal facilities reported to TRI.

Section 6 presents generation and management trends for the five industries (excluding the National Security sector) with the largest total quantities of PCs. Facilities in these industries reported approximately 55 percent of the total non-recycled quantity of PCs for 2006. For each of these industries, we analyze trends from national, EPA region, state, county and federal agency perspectives. Again, where available, we also provide information derived from the new PC-BR methodology.

We have also included three appendices:

- **Appendix A** provides a list of the states within each EPA region.
- **Appendix B** provides an index of terms used in this Report.
- **Appendix C** provides contact information.