A ppendix 3 Standard Error Tables

> This appendix includes tables of standard errors for all figures in the special analysis and all figures or tables in the indicators in sections 1- 6 that present data collected through sample surveys. There are no standard error table for figures or tables that present data from universe surveys (such asall school districts), compilations of administrativerecords, or statistical projections.

> The standard errors for supplemental tables in appendix 1 are not included here, but can befound on the NCESW eb Site.Go to http:// nces.ed.gov and select The Condition of Education volume appearing on the home page. The supplemental and standard error tables for each indicator (and all other supporting information) are included with each indicator in thatvolume.

## Standard Errors

The Reader's Guide in the front of this volume explains the basic concept of standard errors and why they should be considered in comparing the difference between two estimates. This section includes tables of the standard errors for all figures in the special analysis and all figures or tables in the indicators that present data collected through sample surveys. Tables of standard errors for all of the supplemental tables in appendix 1 are located on the N CES Web Site (http:// nces.ed.gov). The information below explains how standard errors can be used to make comparisons between sample estimates for readers who wish to make their own comparisons with the sample data provided in this volume.

Readers who wish to compare two sample estimates to see if there is an actual statistical difference between the two (or only an apparent difference due to sampling error) need to estimate the precision of the difference betw een the two sample estimates. This would be necessary to compare, for example, the mean proficiency scores between groups or years in the $N$ ational Assessment of Educational Progress or the percentage of the population ages 25-29 who have completed high school in various years according to the Current Population Survey. To estimate the precision of the difference between two sample estimates, one must find the standard error of the difference betw een the two sample estimates (sample estimate $A$ or $E_{A}$ and sample estimate $B$ or $E_{B}$ ). Expressed mathematically, the difference between the two estimates $E_{A}$ and $E_{B}$ is $E_{A}-E_{B}$.

The standard error of the difference (or $\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$ ) can be calculated by taking the square root of the sum of the two standard errors associated with each of the two sample estimates ( $\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) after each has been squared. This can be expressed as

$$
s e_{A-B}=\sqrt{s e_{A}^{2}+s e_{B}^{2}}
$$

After finding the standard error of the difference, one divides the difference between the two sample estimates by this standard error to determine the " $t$-value" or " $t$-statistic" of the difference betw een the two estimates. This t-statistic measures the precision of the difference between two independent sample estimates. The formula for calculating this ratio is expressed mathematically as

$$
t=\frac{E_{A}-E_{B}}{s e_{A-B}}
$$

The next step is to compare this t-value to 1.96, which is a statistically determined criterion level for testing whether the observed difference is due to sampling error instead of a true population difference. If this ratio or tstatistic is greater than 1.96, it can be concluded that 95 times out of 100 the difference betw een the two sample estimates ( $E_{A}$ and $E_{B}$ ) is not due to sampling error alone. If the t-statistic is equal to or less than 1.96, then the difference may be due to sampling error. This level of certitude or significance is known as the " 05 level of (statistical) significance."

As an example of a comparison between two sample estimates to see if there is an actual statistical difference betw een the two, consider the data on the performance of male and female 12th-grade students in the Geography Assessment in the 2001 N ational Assessment of Educational Progress (see supplemental table 13-2). $M$ ales had an average scale score of 287; females had an average scale score of 282 . Is the difference of 5 scale points between these two different samples statistically significant? The standard errors of these estimates are 0.9 and 0.8 , respectively (see standard error table S13-2 on the NCES Web Site). Using the formula above, the standard error of the difference is 1.20. The ratio or t-statistic of the estimated difference of 5 scale points to the standard error of the difference (1.20) is 4.15 . This value is greater than 1.96-the critical value
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of the t-distribution for a 5 percent level of significance with a large sample. Thus there is less than a 5 percent chance that the difference betw een the estimates of average scores for males and females is due to sampling error. This means that one can reasonably con-
clude that there was a difference between the performance of male and female 12th-graders in geography in 2001 and that, since the estimated score for males is higher than the estimated score for females, males outperformed females.
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## Reading- Young Children's Achievement and Classroom Experiences

Table SA1. Standard errors for the percentage distribution of first-time kindergartners' reading scores, by type of reading knowledge and skills: Fall 1998, spring 1999, fall 1999, and spring 2000

|  | Reading knowledge and skills |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grade | Letter <br> recognition | Beginning <br> sounds | Ending <br> sounds | Sight- <br> words | Words <br> in context |
| Fall kindergarten | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Spring kindergarten | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Fall 1st grade | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Spring 1st grade | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 |

SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Public-Use Data File (NCES 2001-029) and First Grade Public-Use Data File (NCES 2002-134)

Table SA2. Standard errors for the percentage distribution of kindergartners at each quartile group of the overall skill distribution, by number of risk factors: Fall 1998

|  | Quartile groups of overall skill distribution |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of risk factors | Bottom quartile | $\mathbf{2 6 - 5 0}$ percent | $\mathbf{5 1 - 7 5}$ percent | Top quartile |
| Two or more | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| One | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| None | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 |

SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Restricted-Use Data File (NCES 2000-097), fall 1998.

Table SA3. Standard errors for the percentage of children demonstrating specific reading knowledge and skills in the spring of kindergarten, by proficiency in recognizing letters at kindergarten entry: Spring 1999

|  | Reading knowledge and skills |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Proficiency | Beginning sounds | Ending sounds | Sight-words | Words in context |
| Proficient in letters | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Not proficient in letters | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | $\ddagger$ |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met (too few cases).
SOURCE: Denton, K., and West, J. (2002). Children's Reading and Mathematics Achievement in Kindergarten and First Grade (NCES 2002-125), table 8a. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Public- Use Data File (NCES 2001- 029) and First Grade Restricted-Use Data File (NCES 2002-134).

## Reading- Young Children's Achievement and Classroom Experiences

Table SA4. Standard errors for the percentage of children demonstrating specific reading knowledge and skills in the spring of kindergarten, by their approaches to learning at kindergarten entry: Spring 1999

|  | Reading knowledge and skills |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach to learning | Beginning sounds | Ending sounds | Sight-words | Words in context |
| Demonstrates positive approaches <br> to learning often to very often | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |  |
| Demonstrates positive approaches <br> to learning less than often | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 |  |

SOURCE: Denton, K., and West, J. (2002). Children's Reading and Mathematics Achievement in Kindergarten and First Grade (NCES 2002-125), table 8a. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Public-Use Data File (NCES 2001-029) and First Grade Restricted-Use Data File (NCES 2002-134).

Table SA5. Standard errors for the average percentage of class time that public school kindergarten classes used various instructional approaches, by kindergarten program type: Spring 1999

|  | Instructional approach |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Program type | Teacher-directed |  |  | Individual | Child-directed |
|  | Whole class | Small group | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Full-day | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |

SOURCE:Walson, J., and West, J. (forthcoming). Full. Day and Half-Day Kindergarten in the United States (NCES 2003- 028). Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Public- Use Data File (NCES 2001- 029).

Table SA6. Standard errors for the percentage of public school kindergarten classes that used various grouping strategies daily for reading, by program type: Spring 1999

|  | Grouping strategies |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Program type | Mixed-level groups | Achievement groups | Peer-tutoring |
| Full-day | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Part-day | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |

SOURCE:Walson, J., and West, J. (forthcoming). Full-Day and Half-Day Kindergarten in the United States (NCES 2003- 028). Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K), Base Year Public- Use Data File (NCES 2001- 029).

## Reading- Young Children's Achievement and Classroom Experiences

TableSA7. Standard errorsforthe percentage of publicschool kindergarten classes that used certain reading activitiesdaily, by program type: Spring 1999

|  | Reading activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Learn <br> letter <br> names | Work on <br> phonics | Discuss new <br> vocabulary | Read books <br> kindergartners <br> have chosen | Read <br> Rloud | Wead <br> silently | Work on <br> reading <br> worksheet | Read from <br> basal text |
| Program type | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| Full-day | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2.6 |
| Part-day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE:Walston, J., and West, J. (forthcoming). Full. Day and Half-Day Kindergarten in the United States (NCES 2003- 028). Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECL-K K), Base Year Public- Use Data File (NCES 2001- 029).

Table SA8. Standard errors for the public school first-time kindergartners' mean reading scores and mean reading gain scores (unadjusted), by program type: Fall 1998 to spring 1999

|  | Reading score |  |  | Spring 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Program type | Fall 1998 | 0.4 | Gain score |  |
| Full-day | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |
| Half-day | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |

SOURCE: Walston, J., and West, J. (forthcoming). Full- Day and Half-Day Kindergarten in the United States (NCES 2003-028). Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS- K); Teacher Questionnaire and Child Assessments, Base Year Public-Use Data File.

## Family Characteristics of 5- to 17-Year-Olds

TableS2. Standard errors for the percentage of 5- to 17-year-olds whose parents had at least completed high school or attained a bachelor's degree or higher, by race/ethnicity: Selected years 1979-2001

| Parents' education | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High school completion or higher | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | Total |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| High school completion or higher | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | Black | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| High school completion or higher |  |  |  | White | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| High school completion or higher | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher |  |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |  |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey (CPS), March Supplement, various years, previously unpublished tabulation (January 2003).

## Language Minority Students

| Standard errorsforthe percentage of 5- to 24-year-oldswho spokealanguage otherthan English athomeand who spoke English with difficulty: Selected years 1979-99 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Language ability | 1979 | 1992 | 1995 | 1999 |
| Total who spoke language other than English at home | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total who spoke English with difficulty | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey (CPS), November 1979 and October 1992, 1995, and 1999, previously unpublished tabulation (December 2002)

## Foreign-Born Students in Postsecondary Institutions

Table S6. Standard errors for the percentage of undergraduate and graduate/first-professional students in the United States who were foreign borm, by citizen status and type of degree program: 1999-2000

| Citizenship status | Undergraduate | Graduate/first-professional |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Foreign-born U.S. citizens | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Non U.S. citizens |  |  |
| Permanent residents/resident aliens | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Foreign students with a visa | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1999-2000 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000), previously unpublished tabulation (January 2003). |  |  |

## Participation in Adult Education

| Standard errorsforthe percentage of population age 16 and above who participated in adulteducation, bytype of activity: 1991, 1995, 1999, and 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of activity | 1991 | 1995 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Overall participation | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Work-related courses | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Personal interest courses | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| College or university credential programs | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Other activities | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

- Data not available for 1991.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Adult Education and Lifelong Learning Survey of the National Household Education Surveys Program (AELL- NHES:2001), and Adult Education Survey of the National Household Education Surveys Program (AE- NHES:1991, 1995, and 1999), previously unpublished tabulation (January 2003).

## Students' Reading and Mathematics Achievement Through 1st Grade

Table S9. Standard errors for the children's overall reading and mathematics performance from kindergarten through 1st grade, by mother'seducation: 1998-2000

|  | Kindergarten |  |  | 1st grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Mother's education | Fall | Spring |  | Spring |  |
| Less than high school |  |  | Reading |  |  |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Some college, including vocational/technical | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  |
| Less than high school | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |  |  |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 0.2 | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| Some college, including vocational/technical | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |  |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |

SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS-K), Longitudinal Kindergarten-First Grade Data files, fall 1998 through spring 2000, previously unpublished tabulation (March 2001).

## International Comparisons of Reading Literacy in Grade 4

| Table S10. Standard errors for the average combined reading literacy scale score of 4th-graders, by country: 2001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Country | Total reading achievement |
| International average | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| Argentina | 5.9 |
| Belize | 4.7 |
| Bulgaria | 3.8 |
| Canada | 2.4 |
| Colombia | 4.4 |
| Cyprus | 3.0 |
| Czech Republic | 2.3 |
| England | 3.4 |
| France | 2.4 |
| Germany | 1.9 |
| Greece | 3.5 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 3.1 |
| Hungary | 2.2 |
| Iceland | 1.2 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 4.2 |
| ssrael | 2.8 |
| Italy | 2.4 |
| Kuwait | 4.3 |
| Latvia | 2.3 |
| Lithuania | 2.6 |
| Macedonia, Republic of | 4.6 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 4.0 |
| Morocco | 9.6 |
| Netherlands | 2.5 |
| New Zealand | 3.6 |
| Norway | 2.9 |
| Romania | 4.6 |
| Russian Federation | 4.4 |
| Scotland | 3.6 |
| Singapore | 5.2 |
| Slovak Republic | 2.8 |
| Slovenia | 2.0 |
| Sweden | 2.2 |
| Uurkey | 3.5 |
| United States | 3.8 |

SOURCE:Mullis, I.V.S., Martin, M. O., Gonzalez, E.J., and Kennedy, A.M. (2003). PIRLS 2001 International Report: IEA's Study of Reading Literacy Achievement in Primary Schools in 35 Countries, exhibit 1.1. Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA), Progress in International Reading Literacy Study, 2001.

## Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

TableS11. Standarderrorsforthe average mathematicsscale scores for 4th-, 8th-, and 12th-graders: 1990, 1992, 1996, and 2000

| Average scale score | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grade 4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Grade 8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Grade 12 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000 (NCES 2001-517), figure 2.1 and table B.1. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1990, 1992, 1996, and 2000 Mathematics Assessment.

## Poverty and Student Mathematics Achievement

TableS12. Standard errors for the average scale score of public school students in 4th-grade mathematics, by the percentage of students in the school eligible for free or reduced-price lunch and whether the student was eligible for free or reduced-price lunch: 2000

| Characteristic | $\mathbf{0 - 1 0}$ percent | $\mathbf{1 1 - 2 5}$ percent | $\mathbf{2 6 - 5 0}$ percent | $\mathbf{5 1 - 7 5}$ percent | More than $\mathbf{7 5}$ percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All students | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| Student is eligible for <br> free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | $\ddagger$ | 4.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Not eligible | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 5.4 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met (too few cases).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2000 Mathematics Assessment, previously unpublished tabulation (October 2001).

## Geography Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

TableS13. Standard errors for the percentage distribution of students performing at each geography achievement level, by grade: 1994 and 2001

| Achievement level | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 2001 | 1994 | 2001 | 1994 | 2001 |
| Below Basic | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Basic | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Proficient | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Advanced | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |

SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2002). The Nation's Report Card: Geography 2001 (NCES 2002-484), table B.3. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1994 and 2001 Geography Assessments.

## U.S. History Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

Table S14. Standard errors for the percentage distribution of students performing at each U.S. history achievement level, by grade: 1994 and 2001

| Achievement level | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 2001 | 1994 | 2001 | 1994 | 2001 |
| Below Basic | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Basic | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Proficient | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Advanced | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2002). The Nation's Report Card: U.S. History 2001 (NCES 2002- 483), table B.3. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1994 and 2001 U.S. History Assessments.

## Voting Participation

Table S15. Standard errors for the registration and voting ratesfor U.S. citizens ages 18 and older, by educational attainment: November 2000

|  | Less than <br> high school | High school <br> diploma <br> or equivalent | Some college, <br> including <br> vocational/ <br> technical | Bachelor's <br> degree |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Election participation | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Registered | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Voted | 0.3 higher |  |  |  |

## Status Dropout Rates, by Race/Ethnicity

Table S17. Standard errorsfor the dropout rates of 16-to 24-yearo olds, byrace/ethnicity: October 1972-2001

| Year | Race/ethnicity (percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic |
| 1972 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1973 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1974 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| 1975 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 1976 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1977 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1978 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1979 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1980 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1981 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1982 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1983 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1984 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1985 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1986 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1987 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1988 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| 1989 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| 1990 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1991 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1992 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1993 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1994 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| 1995 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 1996 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1997 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1998 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 2000 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 2001 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey (CPS), October 1972-2001.

## Immediate Transition to College

Table S18. Standarderrorsfor theimmediate enrollment in postsecondary education, by race/ethnicity: October 1972-2001

|  | Actual rates of enrollment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black | White | Hispanic |
| 1972 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 9.7 |
| 1973 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 9.0 |
| 1974 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 8.9 |
| 1975 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 8.4 |
| 1976 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 8.0 |
| 1977 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 8.0 |
| 1978 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 8.4 |
| 1979 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 7.9 |
| 1980 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 8.7 |
| 1981 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 8.2 |
| 1982 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 8.0 |
| 1983 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 9.0 |
| 1984 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 7.7 |
| 1985 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 9.8 |
| 1986 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 8.9 |
| 1987 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 8.3 |
| 1988 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 10.1 |
| 1989 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 10.5 |
| 1990 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 10.8 |
| 1991 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 9.6 |
| 1992 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 8.5 |
| 1993 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 8.2 |
| 1994 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 6.3 |
| 1995 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
| 1996 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 5.8 |
| 1997 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 |
| 1998 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
| 1999 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 4.8 |
| 2000 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 5.0 |
| 2001 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 5.6 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey (CPS), October 1972-2001.

## Transfers From Community Colleges to 4-Year Institutions

TableS19. Standard errors for the percentage of students beginning at public 2-year institutions in 1995-96 who transferred to a 4-year institution by initial degree goal, and percentage of transfers and students who began at 4-year institutions who persisted through June 2001


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1996/01 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS:96/01).

## Institutional Retention and Student Persistence at 4-Year Institutions

## TableS20. Standard errors for the percentage distribution of 1995-96 first-time beginning students at 4-year institutions according to their enrollment status or degree attainment at the first and at all institutions attended as of June 2001

| Enrollment status or degree attainment | At first institution | Anywhere | Transfers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transferred from first institution | 0.8 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Left postsecondary education | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Enrolled at less-than-4-year institution | $\dagger$ | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Enrolled at 4-year institution | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Attained associate's degree or certificate | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Attained bachelor's degree | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| $\dagger$ Not applicable. |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1996/01 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS:96/01). |  |  |  |

## Time to Bachelor's Degree Completion

Table S21. Standard errors for the average numberof monthsbetween postsecondaryentry and degreecompletion among 1999-2000first-time recipientsof bachelor'sdegreeswho did not stop out of college for6 monthsormore, by control of degree-granting institution and numberof institutionsattended

| Number of institutions attended | Total | Public | Private <br> not-for-profit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| One | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Two | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Three or more | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.9 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 2000/01 Baccalaureate and Beyond Longitudinal Study (B\&B:2000/01).

## Postsecondary Attainment of 1988 8th-Graders

TableS22. Standard errorsfor the percentage of 1988 8th-graders in selected categories who had completed at least a bachelor's degree by 2000, byfamily socioeconomicstatus

| Family socioeconomic status | 8th-grade mathematics achievement quartile |  | Calculus by 12th grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Did not study | Studied |
| Lowest SES quartile | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 6.9 |
| Middle two SES quartiles | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| Highest SES quartile | 6.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 |

[^0]
## Persistence and Attainment of Students With Pell Grants

TableS23. Standard errors for the percentage of 1995-96 low- and middle-income beginning postsecondary students who attained a certificate or degree or werestill enrolled in 2001, by receipt of Pell Grant and type of institution first attended

| Receipt of Pell Grant | Bachelor's degree | Associate's degree <br> or certificate | No degree or <br> certificate, <br> still enrolled |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pell Grant recipient | 1.8 | Public 2-year <br> Nonrecipient | 1.5 |
| Private for-profit less-than-4-year |  |  |  |
| Pell Grant recipient | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Nonrecipient | 0.3 | 4.7 | 2.2 |
| Pell Grant recipient |  | Public 4-year | 0.9 |
| Nonrecipient | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Pell Grant recipient | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Nonrecipient | 2.8 | Private not-for-profit 4-year | 1.2 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1996/01 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS:96/01). | 0.8 | 1.3 |  |

## Trends in English and Foreign Language Coursetaking

TableS24. Standard errors for the percentage of high school graduateswho completed regular and advanced levels of English and low level and advanced foreign language courses, by highest level of coursetaking completed: Selected years 1982-2000

| Level of courses | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English <br> $75-100$ percent honors courses | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| $50-74$ percent honors courses | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Less than 50 percent honors courses | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Regular English (no low level or honors) courses | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Foreign language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AP | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Year 4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Year 3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Year 2 or lower | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, High School and Beyond Longitudinal Study of 1980 Sophomores, "First Follow-up" (HS\&B-S0:80/82); National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS::88/92), "Second Follow-up, High School Transcript Survey, 1992"; and National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), 1987, 1990, 1994, 1998, and 2000 High School Transcript Studies (HSTS).

## Student Characteristics in English and Foreign Language Coursetaking

Table S25. Standard errors for the percentage of 2000 high school graduates who had completed advanced academic courses in English and in a foreign language, by selected characteristics: 1999-2000

| Selected characteristic | Completed some honors <br> English courses | Completed year 3 or higher of a <br> foreign language |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex <br> Male | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Female | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Control of school | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Public | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Private | 6.0 | 3.7 |
| Race/ethnicity | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| American Indian | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Black | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| White |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2000 High School Transcript Study (HSTS). |  |  |

## Instructional Activities for 8th-Grade Mathematics

Table S26. Standard errorsfor the average percentage of 8th-grade mathematics lessons spent studying new content and reviewing previously studied content, by country: 1999

|  | Australia | Czech <br> Republic | Hong Kong <br> SAR | Japan | Netherlands | Switzerland | United <br> States |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Practicing new content | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| Introducing new content | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| Reviewing previously studied content | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |

\#Rounds to zero.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2003). Teaching Mathematics in Seven Countries: Results From the TIMSS 1999 Video Study (NCES 2003- 013), appendix C. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), Video Study, 1999.

## Public Alternative Schools for At-Risk Students

TableS27. Standard errors for the percentage of school districts with publicalternative schools and/or programs for at-risk students, by selected district characteristics: 2000-01

| District characteristic | Districts with alternative schools <br> and programs for at-risk students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrollment <br> Less than 2,500 | 1.8 |
| 2,500 to 9,999 | 2.1 |
| 10,000 or more | 1.4 |
| Community type | 5.4 |
| Urban | 2.1 |
| Suburban | 1.7 |
| Rural | 2.4 |
| Region | 3.6 |
| Northeast | 2.2 |
| Southeast | 2.4 |
| Wentral |  |

SOURCE: Kleiner, B., Porch, R., and Farris, E. (2002). Public Alternative Schools and Programs for Students At Risk of Education Failure: 2000-01 (NCES 2002-004), table B-1. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Fast Response Survey System (FRSS), "District Survey of Alternative Schools and Programs," FRSS 76, 2001.

## Out-of-Field Teaching in Middle and High School Grades

Table S28. Standard errors for the percentage of public school students in middle and high school grades taught by teachers without a major or certification in the field they teach, by subject area: 1999-2000

| Course subject area | Middle school | High school |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| English | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Foreign language | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics | 2.3 | 0.6 |
| Science | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| Social science | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Arts and music | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Physical education | 0.6 | 0.6 |

SOURCE: Seastrom, M.M., Gruber, K.J., Henke, R.R., McGrath, D.J., and Cohen, B.A. (2002). Qualifications of the Public School Teacher Workforce: Prevalence of Out-of-Field Teaching 1987-88 to 1999-2000 (NCES 2002-603), tables C-9 and C-10. Data from U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Public Teacher Questionnaire," $1999-2000$ and "Charter Teacher Questionnaire," 1999-2000.

## Beginning Teachers

TableS29. Standard errors for the percentage of full-time school teacherswith 3 or fewer years of teaching experience, by control of school and by schools with the lowest and highest minority enrollments: 1999-2000

| School characteristics | $\mathbf{3}$ or fewer years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Public school total | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| Private school total | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| Percent minority in public schools <br> Less than 10 | 0.3 |
| More than 75 | 0.8 |
| Percent minority in private schools |  |
| Less than 10 | 0.7 |
| More than 75 | 1.9 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Schools and Stafing Survey (SASS), "Public Teacher Questionnaire, Charter Teacher Questionnaire, and Private Teacher Questionnaire" and "Public School |  |
| Questionnaire, Charter School Questionnaire, and Private School Questionnaire," 1999- 2000. |  |

## Size of High Schools

Table S30. Standard errors for the percentage distributions of all secondary schools according to enrollment, by location: 1999-2000

|  | Enrollment |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| School characteristic | Less than $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 - 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0 - 8 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ or more |
| Central city | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Urban fringe/large town | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Rural/small town | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 |

[^1]
## Student Victimization

Table S31. Standard errorsfor the percentage of students ages 12-18 who reported criminal victimization at school according to type of victimization, by their perception of conditions at school: 1999

|  |  | Victimization |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Perception of conditions at school | Response rate | Any | Violent | Property |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |
| Street gangs at school <br> Yes | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| No | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Knew a student who brought a gun to school <br> Yes | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| No | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Saw a student with a gun at school <br> Yes | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| No | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

SOURCE:Addington, L.A., Ruddy, S.A., Miller, A.K., and DeVoe, J.F. (2002). Are America's Schools Safe? Students Speak Out: 1999 School Crime Supplement (NCES 2002- 331), tables S1, 58, and S10. Data from U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, School Crime Supplement (SCS) to the National Crime Victimization Survey, January- June 1999.

## Undergraduate Diversity

Table S32. Standard errorsfor the percentage of undergraduates with selected student characteristics: 1999-2000

Student characteristics

| Sex <br> Male | 0.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Female | 0.4 |
| Race/ethnicity | 0.1 |
| American Indian | 0.2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.6 |
| Black | 0.8 |
| White | 0.6 |
| Hispanic | 0.2 |
| Age | 0.5 |
| 18 and under | 0.3 |
| $19-23$ | 0.3 |
| $24-29$ | 0.3 |
| $30-39$ |  |

[^2]
## Services and Accommodations for Students With Disabilities

Table S34. Standard errorsfor the percentage and percentage distribution of students reporting disabilities, and among students reporting disabilities, their service receipt status, bytype of institution: 1999-2000

| Students reporting disabilities | Total | Public 4-year | Private not-for-profit 4-year | Public <br> 2-year | Private for-profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of students with disabilities | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Among students with disabilities, percentage who |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received services | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Needed services, but did not receive them | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Percentage distribution of students with disabilities | $\dagger$ | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Percentage distribution of all students | $\dagger$ | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| $\dagger$ Not applicable. <br> SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1999-2000 National Postsec | Study (N |  |  |  |  |

## Changes in Faculty Tenure Policy and Hiring

Table S35. Standard errors for the percentage of research and doctoral institutions that had taken actions related to tenure during the previous 5 years, by type and control of institution: Fall 1998

|  | Actions related to tenure |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Offered <br> Took at <br> least one action <br> related to tenure | Made <br> early or phased <br> retirement to <br> tenured faculty | standards more <br> stringent for <br> granting tenure | Downsized <br> tenured faculty |
| Type and control of institution | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Public research | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Private not-for-profit research | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Public doctoral | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Private not-for-profit doctoral |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99).

## Home Literacy Environment and Kindergartners' Reading Achievement

Table S36. Standard errors for the mean fall kindergarten reading scale score according to home literacy index, by children's poverty status: 1998-99

| Home literacy index | Nonpoor | Poor |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS-K), Base Year Public-Use Data File, 1998-99, February 2001.

## Early Literacy Activities

Table S37. Standard errors for the percentage of children ages 3 - 5 not yet enrolled in kindergarten who participated in home literacy activities with a family member three or more times in the week before the survey, by poverty status: 1993 and 2001

|  | Read to |  | Told a story |  | Taught letters, words, or numbers |  | Taught songs or music |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poverty status | 1993 | 2001 | 1993 | 2001 | 1993 | 2001 | 1993 | 2001 |
| Below poverty threshold (poor) | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| At or above poverty threshold (nonpoor) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, School Readiness and Early Childhood Education Program Participation Surveys of the National Household Education Surveys Program (SR-NHES:1993 and ECPP-NHES:2001).

## Care Arrangements for Children After School

TableS38. Standard errors for the percentage of children in kindergarten through 8th grade who participated in parental and nonparental care arrangements after school, by race/ethnicity: 2001

| Child characteristic | Parental care | Nonparental care |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| Black | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| White | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Hispanic | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| SOHCE |  |  |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Before- and After-School Programs and Activities Survey of the National Household Education Surveys Program (ASPA- NHES:2001).

## Federal Grants and Loans

Table S42. Standard errors forthe percentage of all undergraduates and low-income dependent undergraduates, among full-time, full-year undergraduates, who received federal loans and grants, and the average percentage of federal aid received asloans: 1992-93 and 1999-2000

| Financial aid status | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2} \mathbf{- 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All undergraduates | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Percent with federal loans | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Percent with federal grants | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Loans as percent of federal aid | 1.8 |  |
| Low-income dependent undergraduates | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Percent with federal loans | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Percent with federal grants |  |  |
| Loans as percent of federal aid |  |  |

## Changes in the Net Price of College Attendance

Table S43. Standard errors for the average net price among full-time, full-year undergraduates, in 1999 constant dollars, by type of institution: 1992-93 and 1999-2000

| Type of institution and income quartile | 1992-93 1999-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public 2-year |  |
| Total | 150 | 130 |
| Income quartile |  |  |
| Low quartile | 230 | 160 |
| Middle quartiles | 180 | 150 |
| High quartile | 190 | 160 |
|  | Public comprehensive and baccalaureate |  |
| Total | 180 | 170 |
| Income quartile |  |  |
| Low quartile | 220 | 200 |
| Middle quartiles | 160 | 150 |
| High quartile | 260 | 190 |
|  | Public research and doctoral |  |
| Total | 170 | 80 |
| Income quartile |  |  |
| Low quartile | 120 | 140 |
| Middle quartiles | 110 | 90 |
| High quartile | 190 | 140 |
|  | Private not-for-profit comprehensive and baccalaurea |  |
| Total | 530 | 350 |
| Income quartile |  |  |
| Low quartile | 490 | 460 |
| Middle quartiles | 410 | 310 |
| High quartile | 690 | 440 |
|  | Private not-for-profit research and doctoral |  |
| Total | 310 | 450 |
| Income quartile |  |  |
| Low quartile | 410 | 550 |
| Middle quartiles | 410 | 700 |
| High quartile | 390 | 450 |

## Employer Support for Adult Education

Table S44. Standard errors for the percentage of employed adults ages 25-64 participating in adult education according to receipt of employerfinancial support, bytype of adult education: 2001

| Type of adult education | Among those who took a course and were employed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent receiving no employer support | Percent receiving some employer support |
| Work-related education |  |  |
| For credit | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Noncredit | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Nonwork-related education |  |  |
| For credit | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Noncredit | 1.2 | 1.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Adult Education and Lifelong Learning Survey of the National Household Education Surveys Program (AELL- NHES:2001).


[^0]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88/2000), "Fourth Follow-up, 2000."

[^1]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS),"Public School Questionnaire, Charter School Questionnaire, and Private School Questionnaire," 1999- 2000.

[^2]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, 1999-2000 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000).

