MAR 23 1983

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
RECOMMENDATION	-ANALYSIS-	DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreatio	n
Overlay Referen	ce
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

### Decision:

Accept the recommendation as written with the following additions:

The SRMA must be in consultation, coordination and consistent with PLO 4153, cooperative agreement with State Fish and Game and Oregon National Historic Trail use plan as developed, and in accord with W/L-5.2.

No surface occupancy will be permitted within 1/4 mile of Cove Arm recreation site.

[see R-1.1(1)]

Name (M	FP)		
Brune	au	*	
Activity Recre	ation	 l	
Overlay I	Referen	ice	
Step 1	0_7	Step 3	

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

- (4) a) Provide opportunities for Primitive, and Semi-Primitive motorized and non-motorized recreation as indicated below.
  - b) Primitive Recreation
    - 1) Little Jacks Shoofly Creeks Area

Recommend as suitable for wilderness (see WN-1.4) and delete those lands outside the WSA boundaries.

- 2) Big Jacks Creek Area
  - MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.3.
- 3) Bruneau River Sheep Creek Area

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.8 and R-1.1(2).

4) Lower West Fork Bruneau

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.8 and 3.1 and R-1.1(2).

5) Owyhee River, Battle Creek, Deep Creek

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.1 and R-1.1(2).

6) Upper East Fork Owyhee River

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.1 and R-1.1(2).

e: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(structions on reverse)

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	
Overlay Reference	
Ston 1 m 7 Ston 3	

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

R-1.1(4)

### mi-Dai-itima Nas Market

### Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized

1) Pole Creek Area

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.6.

2) Upper Sheep Creek Area

MFP I rejected in preference to WN-1.7.

### Semi-Primitive Motorized

1) Upper West Fork Bruneau Area

MFP I rejected in preference to R-1.1(2).

2) Duncan Creek Area

MFP 1 rejected in preference to WN-1.2.

### Analysis:

As these areas are recommended as Wilderness Areas and/or Wild and Scenic Rivers in Wilderness Decision, they are rejected as primitive and semi-primitive recreation areas.

#### Decision:

Accept MFP II R-1.1(4) as written. See Wilderness MFP III decisions for management actions [this also rejects R-1.1(4)a)].

# Name (MFP) Bruneau Activity Recreation Overlay Reference Step 1 R-7 Step 3 D-3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

e) Evaluate the possibilities of a research natural area in T.9S., R.3E., Section 5, 6, 7, and 8.

### Analysis:

This is undoubtedly an excellent location for research natural area on excellent range condition class, however, more detailed evaluation of the exact location, size and impact on wilderness and bighorn sheep should be considered before a decision is made.

#### Decision:

### Modify:

Manage and protect this area for a Research Natural Area. Fence to exclude livestock if necessary to protect RNA values. Also see RM-1.4(2)c).

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MF	P)		
Brunea	u		
Activity			
Recrea	tion		
Overlay R	eferenc	e	
Step 1 R	-7	Step	3

R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

d) Rejected in preference to R-1.1(4)b.

### Analysis:

This recommendation deals with factors required for managing the Primitive and Semi-Primitive (non-motorized or motorized areas) in R-1.1(4)b which was rejected in preference to Wilderness and Wild and Scenic Rivers.

### Decision:

Accept MFP II as written.

1.3-

### **UNITED STATES** DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 R-7 Step	3 D-3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

> e) Evaluate the possibilities of a research natural area in T.9S., R.3E., Section 5, 6, 7, and 8.

### Analysis:

This is undoubtedly an excellent location for research natural area on excellent range condition class, however, more detailed evaluation of the exact location, size and impact on wilderness and bighorn sheep should be considered before a decision is made.

#### Decision:

Accept.

Manage and protect this area for a RNA. This will in all probability require fencing.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	
Overlay Referen	ce
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

f,g,h,i) Reject in preference to Aquatic Wildlife and Terrestrial Wildlife recommenations.

### Analysis:

Although viewing cultural and historical sites, viewing and hunting and fishing are considered a recreation opportunity. These recommendations become support for cultural and wildlife recommendations.

### Decision:

Accept as written.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	n
Overlay Refere	nce
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

### R-1.1(4): Multiple Use Recommendation

j) The MFP II recommendation is to reject MFP I which was to designate areas providing primitive and semi-primitive non-motorized areas as "closed" to ORV use. Designate semi-primitive motorized as "limited" to designated roads and trails.

### Analysis:

The decision on MFP II recommendation for primitive and semi-primitive recreation areas was to reject in preference to wilderness and wild and scenic rivers. Further, it is Bureau policy not to designate closed, or limited unless there is a definite problem and then after an indepth analysis.

### Decision:

Accept the MFP II recommendation to reject MFP I in its entirety.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	
Overlay Reference	e
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

Land not included in special recreation management areas and WSA's will be extensive recreation areas where recreation management will be minimal and implemented through the Bureau's basic stewardship responsibilities.

The following actions will be taken to manage the extensive use areas:

(1) Retain most lands in public ownership.

Land exchanges with state and private owners will be supported to block-up land for ease of management. Lands within the Ag ES area will be disposed of and or retained for wildlife and recreation as outlined in the Ag Decision document (see L-2.1).

### Analysis:

Most lands will be retained and result in minor changes in existing land pattern.

#### Decision:

Accept with the following addition:

If in the public interest, in accordance with FLPMA and further in accordance with L-2.1.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreatio	n
Overlay Refere	nce
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(2) Support public acquisition of access where access is denied and required for public recreation use.

### Analysis:

In some cases there is physical access on existing roads to the public lands but not legal access. As time goes by and populations increase and thus demand increases there may be more and more access denied.

### Decision:

Accept as written with the following addition:

Acquire access if physical access is denied and access cannot reasonably be obtained another way, either through cooperative agreement or building another road across federal land.

Name (MFP)
Bruneau
Activity
Recreation
Overlay Reference
Step 1 p_7 Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(3) Maintain the C&MU classification on Hole-in-Rock and Deer Water Spring to protect cultural resource values.

### Analysis:

These are significant cultural resource sites recognized under the C&MU Act of 1968. The CMU classification segregated them against the 1872 mining laws.

#### Decision:

Accept as written (also see CRM-1.1).

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
RECOMMENDATION	-ANALYSIS-	DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	on
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 R-7	Step 3

13 100

R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(4) Maintain a system of well-signed roads for all-weather use.

### Analysis:

This is activity planning and not land use recommendations.

#### Decision:

Reject.

Name (MFP)		
Bruneau		
Activity	•	
Recreati	lon	
Overlay Refe	rence	
Step 1	Step 3	

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

- (5) Maintain or improve ORV opportunities:
  - a) Designate the extensive recreation management areas as "open" to ORV use. Further, use may be seasonally restricted in consideration of wildlife needs, and on a case by case basis for other resource uses and requirements.
  - b) Allow organized cross-country motorcycle races on a case by case basis consistent with other resource uses and values.
  - c) Develop a trail network for ORV's spanning the entire District. Provide areas for parking, loading ramps, and rest stops along the trails.
  - d) Allow casual unorganized snowmobile use in the Summit Springs-Mud Flat Area until/unless the use reaches a point where other resource uses and values are significantly adversely impacted.

### Analysis:

ORV use in the area has been very low and has not been a significant problem in the past nor should it be in the foreseeable future. Cross country motorcycle races have been allowed in the past with very little conflict.

#### Decision:

Modify or reject as follows:

- a) Reject in preference to W/L.
- b) Accept (see RM-1.3).

1	Name (MFP)	
Bruneau		
Г	Activity	
	Recreation	
Ţ	Overlay Reference	
:	Step 1	Step 3

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION R-1.2(5)

c) As demand and funding substantially increase develop as indicated consistent with other uses.

d) Accept.

lore: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
RECOMMENDATION	N-ANALYSIS-	DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreation	
Overlay Referen	ice
Step 1	Step 3

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

- (6) To maintain or improve fishing opportunities:
  - a) Intensively manage streamside zones of those streams with game fish populations to enhance the fishery habitat.
  - b) Provide good public road or foot access to better fisheries, acquiring access easements when needed for foot access along fishing waters crossing private land.

Further wilderness interim management policy will constrain what can be developed.

### Analysis:

See aquatic wildlife recommendations.

### Decision:

- a) Accept as written.
- b) Reject in favor of decision under WL-aq-Objective 2.

#### Reasons:

Although recreation viewing and fishing is a recreation activity it actually is a support function. Foot access along fishing waters crossing private lands is completely out of the federal scope and is under the State Fish and Game.

istructions on reverse)

Name (MFI	<b>'</b> )
Bruneau	1
Activity	
Recreat	ion
Overlay Re	ference
Step 1	Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

- (7) To maintain or improve hunting opportunities:
  - a) Intensively manage livestock grazing to improve wildlife habitat.
  - b) Change vegetative composition of range where necessary to improve wildlife habitat.
  - c) Encourage waterfowl use of some reservoirs by fencing and vegetative planting and by providing livestock water at specific points on reservoirs or away from reservoirs.

Wilderness Interim Management policy will contrain this recommendation. If lands are disposed of for agricultural development, "leave areas" will be retained in accordance with Ag ES Decision document.

#### Analysis:

See Wildlife Objective Recommendation 3 and 4 and Aquatic Wildlife Objective 4.

#### Decision:

Reject in preference to wildlife/aquatics/range recommendations.

#### Reason:

These are support for range, wildlife and fisheries.

ore: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity Recreation	
Overlay Referen	ce
Step 1	Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

- (8) To optimize viewing enjoyment of wildlife:
  - a) Properly manage habitat to maintain or increase wildlife populations.
  - b) Fence certain springs to exclude livestock.
  - c) Manage the plateaus adjacent to the Bruneau River drainages to provide hunting habitat for raptors.

### Analysis:

See Wildlife and Aquatic and Wilderness recommendations.

### Decision:

Reject in preference to wildlife/aquatics/wilderness/range recommendations.

#### Reasons:

These recommendations are actually support.

instructions on reverse)

Name (MFP)	
Bruneau	
Activity	
Recreati	on
Overlay Refe	rence
Step 1	Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(9) Along the Snake River utilize lands below C.J. Strike Reservoir and near Grand View for the development of boat ramps and sportsman's access.

#### Decision:

Accept with the following modification:

The area must be considered under the SRMA plan as authorized for SRBOP Area.

There presently is not legal access to either parcel. They further should be coordinated with State Fish and Game as sportsman's access.

No surface occupancy inside or within 1/4 mile of Snake River and associated reservoirs for mineral leasing (M-1.1).

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
COMMENDATION	LANAI YSIS	-DECISIO

	Name (MFP)	
Bruneau		
Activity		
Recreation		
	Overlay Reference	
	Step 1 Ste	n 3

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

- (10) Set aside the following archaeological areas for public sightseeing use, provide interpretive signing, and develop good road access if not presently available.
  - a) Five Finger and Y "Buffalo Jumps".
  - b) Indian artwork at Deer Water Spring.

### Decision:

Reject in preference to Cultural Resource Management Recommendations (see CRM-2.1 and 2.4).

MAR 2 3 1983 Name (MFP)
Bruneau
Activity
Recreation
Overlay Reference
Step 1 R-7 Step 3

6400

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(11) Maximize opportunities for rock collecting by providing public access to and maintaining public use of areas having desired specimens. Retention of collecting areas in public ownership is a necessity. Disposal of public lands for farm developments in accordance with Ag Es Decision will continue. Validity determinations of unpatented mining claims will be done as time and priorities are established.

### Analysis:

See R-1.2 MFP I and II.

### Decision:

Accept generally as written. However, disposal of public lands will be done in accordance with lands decision L-5.1 and as shown on Overlay D-1.

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION	N-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)	
	Bruneau	
	Activity	<b>b</b>
į	Recreation	
	Overlay Reference	
į	Step 1	Step 3

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(12) Designate the following area as a research natural area to protect interesting and rare plant species:

Oolytic Limestone - Sections 5, T.7S., R.3E.

### Analysis:

See Special Report prepared for proposed area.

### Decision:

Reject (see M-1.1).

#### Reason:

The area is covered by a mining claim for Oolitic limestone. The claimant made patent application in 1982 but because of legal requirements was rejected, however it was not because of the lack of mineral material. There is still a question of whether to designate as RNA and an ACEC if it has a valid claim under 1872 mining laws.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN	
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION	

Name (MFP)		
Bruneau		
Activity		
Recreation		
Overlay Reference		
Step 1	Step 3	

### R-1.2: Multiple Use Recommendation

(13) Designate the fossil beds along Shoofly Creek (Section 4 and 5, T.7S., R.3E.) as an environmental education area.

### Analysis:

- 1. Public ownership is necessary to assure availability of lands for existing and future recreation use.
- Access to some recreation resources on public lands is across private lands.
   Recreation opportunities are also found on private land.
- 3. Driving for pleasure and sightseeing are popular recreation activities. Good access is necessary to optimize use of recreation opportunities.

#### Decision:

Defer this decision [see R-1.2(12)]. However, the area can be used for environmental education upon demand.

#### Reason:

See R-1.2(12).