

## APPENDIX G

### LIVESTOCK USE LEVELS METHODOLOGY

#### Alternative A

The 5-year livestock forage use levels are based on the present 5-year average license use minus forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, desert land entries, special designation, historic and cultural areas.

The 20-year livestock forage use levels are identical to the 5-year forage use levels.

#### Alternative B

The 5-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Adding one-quarter (1/4) of the 20-year projected forage levels gained from seedings.

Twenty-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Adding forage gained on 18% of the remaining native range, ie., total acres minus custodial range, excellent condition range, good condition range, and seedings (in other words projecting an improvement of range condition due to increased management, fencing, and water development on 18% of the remaining native range).
3. Adding all of the 20-year projected forage gained from seedings.

#### Alternative C

The 5-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Subtracting forage lost to wildlife, watershed, and range condition improvement (27% reduction) from the 5-year average license use;
3. Adding one-quarter (1/4) of the 20-year projected forage levels gained from seedings.

Twenty-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Subtracting forage lost to wildlife, watershed, and range condition improvement (27% reduction) from the 5-year average license use;
3. Adding forage gained on 20% of the remaining native range, ie., total acres minus acres of custodial range, excellent condition range, good condition range, and seedings (in other words projecting an improvement of range condition due to increased management, fencing, and water development on 20% of the remaining native range; 10% due to management, 10% due to wildlife reductions).
4. Adding all of the 20-year projected forage gained from seedings.

#### Alternative D

The 5-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Adding one-quarter (1/4) of the 20-year projected forage levels gained from seedings.

Twenty-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Adding forage gained on 25% of the remaining native range, ie., total acres minus acres of custodial range, excellent condition range, good condition range, and seedings (in other words projecting an improvement of range condition due to increased management, fencing, and water development on 25% of the remaining native range).
3. Adding all of the 20-year projected forage gained from seedings.

#### Alternative E

The initial livestock forage use levels were determined by subtracting forage lost to existing land transfer applications currently being processed from the 5-year average license use.

The 5-year livestock forage use levels were determined by starting with the 5-year average license use subtracting forage lost to existing land transfer applications currently being processed, and adding approximately one quarter (1/4) of the 20-year projected forage levels gained from seedings.

Twenty-year livestock forage use levels were determined by:

1. Subtracting forage lost to land transfers, exchanges, DLEs, special designation, historic, and cultural areas from the 5-year average license use;
2. Subtracting forage lost to wildlife big game winter and summer range from the 5-year average license use;
3. Adding forage gained on 25% of the remaining native range, ie., total acres minus acres of custodial range, excellent condition range, good condition range, and seedings (in other words projecting an improvement of range condition due to increased management, fencing, and water development on 25% of the remaining native range).
4. Adding all of the 20-year projected forage gained from seedings.

APPENDIX H

AQUATIC HABITAT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Stream	Location			Proposed Alternatives	Existing Habitat Condition (miles)	Habitat Condition End of 20 Years	Project Treatment 1/
	Township	Range	Allotment				
Harris Creek	6 N.	2 E.	278	A,B,C,D,E	0.4 mi Fair	Good	Rip-rap road erosion, streambank planting
Shafer Creek	6 N.	2 E.	278,045,070	A,B,C,D,E	1.5 mi Fair	Good	Rip-rap road erosion, streambank planting, fence
Big Willow Creek	9 N.	1 W.	005,393,009	A,B,C,D,E	3.1 mi Fair	Good	Fence, rip-rap, streambank plantings, instream structure
Little Weiser R.	14 N.	1 E.	041	C,E	0.7 mi Fair	Good	Rip-rap road erosion, streambank plantings
Manns Creek	13 N.	5 W.	208	A,C,D,E	1.6 mi Good	Good	Rip-rap eroding areas, streambank plantings
Dennett Creek	14 N.	6 W.	377,378,380	A,B,C,D,E	3.4 mi Poor	Good	Fence, rip-rap eroding areas, streambank plantings, instream structures
Rock Creek	13 N.	6 W.	368,207,214 032	A,B,C,D,E	3.1 mi Poor	Good	Fence, streambank planting
N. Fk. Payette R.	17 N.	3 E.		A,B,C,D	3.0 mi Fair	Fair	Streambank planting
Deer Creek	9 N.	4 E.	003	C	1.1 mi Fair	Fair	Fence small meadows
Lt. Pine Creek	16 N.	4 W.	156	A,C	0.7 mi Fair	Good	Fence/streambank plantings
Grouse Creek	12 N.	7 W.	194	A,D	0.9 mi Fair	Good	Fence
Lt. Willow Creek	10 N.	2 W.	191	A,D	3.0 mi Fair	Good	Fence

<sup>1/</sup> These projects were designed primarily to improve aquatic habitat but will also improve riparian habitat.

APPENDIX I

RIPARIAN HABITAT CONDITION

Creek	Length	Existing Habitat Condition	Allotment	Location			Alternatives					Comments
				Town-	ship	Range	A	B	C	D	E	
Gold Springs Cr.	0.3 mi	Excellent	276	18 N.	1 W.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives	
Lt. Johnson Cr.	*1.1 mi	Good	016	16 N.	2 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	0.3 mi	Good	161			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Goodrich Cr.	*0.8 mi	Excellent	016	16 N.	2 W.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives	
Spring Cr. (West)	*1.6 mi	Fair	095	15 N.	3 W.	F	F	G	F	F	To fair in C due to livestock reduction	
	*1.1 mi	Good	095			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Camp Cr.	0.3 mi	Good	033	15 N.	3 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.9 mi	Good	095			G	F	G	F	F	To fair in B,D,E due to livestock increases	
Deep Cr. (North)	*1.6 mi	Poor	056	14 N.	4 W.	P	P	P	P	P	Maintain in all alternatives	
Hopper Cr.	*1.4 mi	Good	233	14 N.	4 W.	G	F	G	F	G	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases	
Keithly Cr.	*1.6 mi	Good	233	14 N.	4 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Keithly Cr. Trib.	*1.1 mi	Good	233	14 N.	4 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.3 mi	Fair	208	14 N.	5 W.	F	P	G	P	F	To poor in B,D stock increases, to good in C stock reductions	
Fir Cr.	*1.1 mi	Good	208	14 N.	5 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*1.5 mi	Excellent	208	14 N.	5 W.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives	
	1.2 mi	Good	SD	13 N.	5 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Manns Cr.	1.0 mi	Good	068			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	0.2 mi	Good	027			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Trib. to "Canal Cr."	*1.6 mi	Fair	208	13 N.	5 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
Indian Cr. (North)	*1.8 mi	Fair	208	14 N.	5 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
Summer Cr.	0.7 mi	Good	280	19 N.	4 W.	G	G	G	F	G	To fair in D due to livestock increases	
	0.4 mi	Excellent	280			Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	To good in D due to livestock increases	
Trib. to Summer Cr.	1.2 mi	Good	280	18 N.	4 W.	G	G	G	F	G	To fair in D due to livestock increases	
Jackson Gulch	0.6 mi	Good	280	18 N.	6 W.	G	G	G	F	G	To fair in D due to livestock increases	
	*0.2 mi	Fair	170	16 N.	6 W.	F	G	G	G	G	To good in B,C,D,E due to livestock reductions	
Lone Pine Gulch	*0.7 mi	Good	170			F	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	To fair in A due to downward trend; to excellent in B,C,D,E due to livestock reductions	
	*0.6 mi	Fair	249	16 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
Lick Cr.	*0.8 mi	Good	249			F	F	F	F	F	To fair in A,B,C,D,E due to livestock levels/downward trend	
	*1.5 mi	Good	249	15 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	To fair in A,B,C,D,E due to livestock levels/downward trend	
Trib. to Lick Cr.	*0.6 mi	Good	249	15 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	To fair in A,B,C,D,E due to livestock levels/downward trend	
Sheep Cr.	*0.6 mi	Fair	249	15-16 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternative	
	*0.6 mi	Good	249			F	G	G	G	G	Good to fair in A due to stocking rate/downward trend	
Dennett Cr.	0.2 mi	Good	377	15 N.	6 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain due to fencing proposal	
	*0.5 mi	Fair	380			G	G	G	G	G	To good in all due to fencing proposal	
	*1.6 mi	Good	380			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all due to fencing proposal	
Golden Goose Canyon	*0.2 mi	Fair	369	14 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.8 mi	Good	369			F	F	G	F	G	To fair in A,B,D due to livestock levels/downward trend	
Sumac Cr.	*1.3 mi	Fair	369	14 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
Thorn Spring Cr.	*0.4 mi	Fair	369	14 N.	6 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
Trib. of Wolf Cr.	*1.0 mi	Good	142	13 N.	6 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Rock Cr.	*0.4 mi	Fair	207	13 N.	6 W.	G	G	G	G	G	To good in all due to fencing proposal	
	1.0 mi	Good	214			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain due to fencing proposal	
Trib. to Rock Cr.	0.3 mi	Fair	214			G	G	G	G	G	To good in all due to fencing proposal	
	1.1 mi	Good	032	13 N.	6 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Indian Cr. (South)	*0.5 mi	Fair	005	9 N.	2 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*1.7 mi	Good	370,005			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.7 mi	Excellent	370			Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives	
Rattlensake Cr.	*0.8 mi	Fair	191	9 N.	2 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.5 mi	Good	191			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Weiser River	*0.5 mi	Poor	066,361,202	11-12 N.	4 W.	P	P	P	P	P	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*1.9 mi	Fair	230,202,361			F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*2.4 mi	Good	243, 187, 230,361,243			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Crane Cr.	1.3 mi	Fair	361,304,062	11-12 N.	2-3 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*2.8 mi	Good	361,304,062			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	
Trib. to Crane Cr.	*0.7 mi	Fair	361	11 N.	3 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives	
	*0.4 mi	Good	361			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives	

Creek	Length	Existing Habitat Condition	Location			Alternatives					Comments
			Allotment	Town-ship	Range	A	B	C	D	E	
S. Fk. Payette River	0.8 mi	Fair	N/A	9 N.	3-4 E	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	2.0 mi	Good	N/A			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Deer Cr.	*1.0 mi	Good	003	9 N.	4 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Trib. to Deer Cr.	*1.9 mi	Good	003	9 N.	4 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Cove Cr.	0.8 mi	Fair	301,021	10 N.	3 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	0.7 mi	Good	301,021			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Little Willow Cr.	*2.3 mi	Fair	191	10 N.	2 W.	G	F	F	G	F	To good in A,D due to fencing proposal
	1.2 mi	Good	191			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Big Willow Cr.	*1.0 mi	Fair	393	9 N.	1 W.	G	G	G	G	G	To good in A,B,C,D,E due to fencing proposal
	*1.1 mi	Good	393			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Trib. to Big Willow	*0.6 mi	Fair	005	9 N.	1 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Four Mile Cr.	*1.6 mi	Fair	005	9 N.	1 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Dry Cr.	*1.8 mi	Good	005	9 N.	1-2 W	G	G	G	F	G	To fair in D due to livestock increases
Coonrod Gulch	*0.6 mi	Fair	005	9 N.	1 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	*0.1 mi	Good	005			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Sucker Cr.	*1.1 mi	Good	393	8 N.	1 W.	G	F	G	F	G	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases
Squaw Cr.	*0.6 mi	Good	391	8 N.	1 E.	G	F	G	F	G	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases
Box Cr.	0.9 mi	Excellent	N/A	20 N.	3 E.	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives
Kennally Cr.	0.2 mi	Poor	178	17 N.	4 E.	P	P	P	P	P	Maintain in all alternatives
	0.4 mi	Good	178			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
	0.2 mi	Excellent	178			Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Ex	Maintain in all alternatives
Rapid Cr.	0.1 mi	Poor	178	17 N.	4 E.	P	P	P	P	P	Maintain in all alternatives
	0.1 mi	Fair	178			F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	0.3 mi	Good	178			C	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Sloans Cr.	1.0 mi	Good	038	16 N.	4 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Shafer Cr.	1.0 mi	Good	070,045,278	6 N.	2 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Harris Cr.	0.3 mi	Good	278	6 N.	2 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Grizzly Cr.	1.0 mi	Good	041	13 N.	1 E.	G	G	C	F	G	To fair in D due to livestock increases
Mill Cr.	1.0 mi	Fair	041	13 N.	1 E.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	*1.3 mi	Good	261			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Road Gulch	*1.7 mi	Good	006	12 N.	1 E.	G	F	G	F	G	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases
Sheep Cr.	*0.4 mi	Fair	006	11 N.	1 E.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	*1.0 mi	Good	006			G	F	G	F	C	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases
Spring Cr. 1	*1.0 mi	Unsuit.	284	12 N.	1 E.	Uns	Uns	Uns	Uns	Uns	Maintain in all alternatives
Spring Cr. 2	*0.6 mi	Unsuit.	284	12 N.	1 W.	Uns	Uns	Uns	Uns	Uns	Maintain in all alternatives
Grays Cr.	*1.8 mi	Good	043	14 N.	1 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
N. Fk. Grays Cr.	0.3 mi	Good	293	15 N.	1 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Middle Fk. Weiser R.	0.1 mi	Good	236	15 N.	1 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Cottonwood Cr.	2.2 mi	Good	311	3-4 N	3 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Trib to Cottonwood Cr	0.7 mi	Fair	311	4 N.	3 E.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Orchard Gulch	1.2 mi	Good	311	4 N.	3 E.	C	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Hulls Gulch	2.8 mi	Good	311	4 N.	3 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Picket Pin Cr.	0.5 mi	Fair	311	3 N.	3 E.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Deer Cr.	*0.9 mi	Fair	043	14 N.	1 E.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
	*1.3 mi	Good	043			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Crumley Gulch	2.3 mi	Good	278	6 N.	3 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
King Hill Cr.	0.4 mi	Good	041	14 N.	1 E.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Grouse Cr.	*0.8 mi	Good	194	12 N.	7 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Adams Cr.	0.2 mi	Fair	SD	13 N.	5 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Picket Pin Cr.	*1.6 mi	Fair	309	3 N.	3 E.	G	G	G	G	G	To good in A,B,C,D,E due to revised AMP
Wildhorse River	1.9 mi	Good	280,013	18 N.	4 W.	G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Unnamed Drainages of	4.5 mi	Fair	225,194,195	11-16	6-7 W	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Brownlee Reservoir			364,368	N.							
	8.5 mi	Good				G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Unnamed Drainages	*3.5 mi	Fair	016	15-16	2 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
				N.							
Unnamed Trib. of	*1.0 mi	Fair	233	14 N.	4 W.	F	F	F	F	F	Maintain in all alternatives
Hopper Cr.	*2.3 mi	Good	233			G	G	G	G	G	Maintain in all alternatives
Polk Cr.	*1.0 mi	Good	393	8 N.	1 W.	G	F	G	F	G	To fair in B,D due to livestock increases

\* Portions of these streams are in allotments with proposed new or revised AMPs. Improvement will occur though it may not be to the next higher condition class.  
 Unsuit. - Unsuitable Rating  
 SD - Stock Driveway



## APPENDIX K

### VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The Visual Resource Management (or VRM) system provides Bureau managers with a means for determining visual resource values so that visual resources can be considered in the planning and design of management activities. The inventory consists of a scenic quality evaluation, sensitivity level analysis, and a determination of distance zones. Based upon these three factors, each area of land is then classified into one of four visual resource classes. Each class has an objective for maintaining visual resources, with allowances for visual change in the existing landscape. The various classes are then submitted, as inventoried, for consideration in developing Resource Management Plans (RMP). Following management review, adjustments to the visual classes are made if necessary and the approved class objectives become part of the Resource Management Plan.

The objective of Class I is to preserve the existing character of the landscape. Only congressionally authorized wilderness areas, wild rivers, and designated natural areas will be recommended for Class I. The level of change to existing visual resources conditions will probably be extremely low because only very limited development (e.g., hiking trails) will occur in these areas. This class is primarily intended to accommodate the needs of programs such as wilderness management and botanical or scientific studies.

The objective of Class II is to retain the existing character of the landscape. The change to existing visual resource conditions can be low. Management activities can be seen but should not be noticeable to the casual observer. Changes must repeat the basic elements of form, line, color and texture found in the predominant features of the characteristics landscape.

The objective of Class III is to partially retain the existing character of the landscape. The change to existing visual resource conditions can be moderate. Management activities can attract attention but should not dominate the view of the casual observer. Changes should repeat the basic elements found in the predominant features of the characteristic landscape.

The objective of Class IV is to plan for major modification of the existing character of the landscape. The change to existing visual resource conditions can be high. Management activities can dominate the view and be the major focus of viewer attention. Repetition of the basic elements is not necessary, but should be done if feasible. (See Bureau Manuals 8400, 8410, 8431 for more detail on the VRM system.)