BENNETT HILLS-TIMMERMAN HILLS MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN LANDS SUMMARY

Very little direction as to disposal/retention actions surfaced in the MFP Lands activity recommendations. This is, primarily, due to the fact that classification on a site specific basis is a prerequisite to any disposal action. Disposal actions within the district are mainly under the Desert Land entry program or Public Sale Acts. Lack of sufficient, accurate data at the time of URA/MFP formulation, particularly in regards to soils and water, made it impossible to take a firm stand for either disposal or retention. In addition, none of the NRL within these planning units qualify for disposal under the criteria set forth by the State Multiple Use Advisory Board.

Nearly All NRLs within these planning units are included within a Multiple Use Classification. This classification was done in 1970. Lands recognized the need to review the MUC to determine if it is still proper in light of recent development of new irrigation systems, national and worldwide demands for food, fiber and related products, urbanization of agricultural lands, and other resource needs. The same review process was identified as needed on all land withdrawals to determine if they are still necessary, as intended by the withdrawal order.

The public need was addressed, in terms of communication, energy, and transportation, by the designation of communication site areas and the decision to allow transportation and power transmission routes within existing or designed corridors. The corridor concept included environmental considerations as a major component.

Identification and inventory of problem areas such as unauthorized dumping and agricultural trespass was identified as a major need. Support needs include current district wide aerial photography coverage and mineral determinations by the State Office. Greater public support and participation are needed to alleviate the above problems.

The lands activity recommendations are primarily geared to acquire sufficient information to help in processing casework and reduce the existing backlog of lands cases.



LÁNDS

OBJECTIVE NO.	SUBJECT
L-1	Urban/Suburban
L-2	Recreation & Public Purposes
L-3	Agriculture
L-4	Utility Systems/Corridors
L-5	Communications
L-6	Agricultural Trespass
L-7	Unauthorized Enclosures
L-8	Excavated Areas
L-9	Unauthorized Dumping
L-10	Reclamation Withdrawals
T11	Stock Driveway Withdrawals

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity Lands	
Objective Number L-1	

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Objective:

Urban/Suburban

Reserve 200 acres for possible expansion along I-80N west of Bliss.

Rationale:

According to the Planning Area Analysis, no demand exists for urban/suburban expansion on National Resource Lands in the foreseeable future. This is essentially due to the declining population trend for the county and city populations within the planning units. All the local communities, except Bliss, are encompassed by private lands and, if growth should occur, it is reasonable to assume that the private lands would be developed first due to the availability of water, sewer, power, and other services.

The area north of Bliss has had much of the recent lands filing activity. In addition, the Clover Creek area has been identified as valuable prospectively for geothermal resources, and approximately 17,000 acres have been filed on for geothermal resource leasing. Should geothermal and agricultural development occur based upon current filings, this area will undergo extensive growth and development.

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity Lands

Overlay Reference

B.H. - **.

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

URBAN/SUBURBAN

RECOMMENDATION

L - 1.1 C

Withdraw these lands from mineral entry and retain MU classification until an obvious need is shown for expansion and a comprehensive development plan is approved, and dispose of specific lands when appropriate authority for disposal is available.

Support Needs

State Office - Minerals.

RATIONALE

The MUC segregates against appropriation under Homestead Entry, Desert Land Entry, Indian Allotment, and Public Sale. Special Land Use Permits and Free Use Permits could continue to be issued on these lands. The Bliss area currently has heavy lands and minerals filing activity. Should agricultural and mineral development occur in this area, Bliss will undoubtedly undergo extensive growth.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No significant conflicts exist with other activities for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I recommendation

Reason

See Rationale

Support Needs

Minerals investigation.

Alternatives Considered

Withhold action on recommendation until legislation becomes available to effect recommendation.

ecision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hil
Activity	•
Lands	
Objective Number	•
T 7	

Objective:

Recreation & Public Purposes

Provide approximately 400 acres of National Resource Land for Recreational & Public Purposes development.

Rationale:

There is currently one 10-acre site classified for R&PP. This site has been used in the past for a county dump. Although Blaine County presently has a sanitary landfill in the Hailey-Ketchum area it is rather distant from the public who use the subject site.

Currently lands in Sections 17 and 18, T. 2 S., R. 21 E., are being managed for recreational purposes under a cooperative agreement between the BLM and the Idaho Fish & Game Dept. This land lies along Silver Creek, a well-known fly fishing stream Much of the land traversed by Silver Creek is in private ownership which creates access problems for the public. Recreation facilities development under R&PP authorization would enhance and protect existing resource values.

Approximately 160 acres along Mormon Reservoir has potential for development into a popular recreation site. Present use is approximately 16,000 visitor days annually Visitor use is expected to increase significantly with development of better facilities.

Approximately 40 acres of National Resource Lands near Bliss has been developed into a rodeo ground/cutter track. Making this land available for lease would terminate the present trespass situation.

T.H.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity Lands	-
Overlay Reference	-
Step 1 TH 1 Step 3	

Page 1 of 2

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.1 P1

Lease the lands in T. 1 S., R. 18 E., B.M., Section 35; NW\2SW\2SW\4, to Blaine County for the continued operation of a refuse disposal site when State standards are met.

Support needs

Appraisal

RATIONALE

This land is classified for Recreation & Public Purposes. It was under lease to Blaine County for use as a refuse disposal site from 2/24/66 until 2/24/74. Blaine County has since applied for an additional 5-year lease. The Idaho Dept. of Environmental and Community Services adopted the new Idaho Solid Waste Management Regulations and Standards in June, 1973. Under these new regulations the current use of this site does not meet the criteria for environmental protection. Blaine County presently operates a sanitary landfill in the Ohio Gulch area and may desire continue use of this site as a pick-up point for refuse material.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only conflicts with this recommendation are wholly within the Lands activity.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Lette	•	
Name (MFP))	
Bennett :	Hills-Timmerman	Hi1:
Activity		•
Lands		
Overlay Re	ference .	•
Step 1 TH	1 Step 3	

Page 2 of 2

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation and adopt L-2.3 P. 1 Step 2 multiple use recommendation which allows for disposal of only certain types of bulk waste.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Reasons

Blaine County has an operative sanitary land fill located in Ohio Gulch. The terrain, soils, and economic considerations of operating this site for total refuse disposal make it an impractical endeavor. Due to its limited use, by reason of its remote location; complete control and monitoring as required by the State of Idaho, Dept. of Environmental and Community Services, would create a severe hardship on the county.

Certain types of wase materials such as rocks, trees, etc., can be disposed of without constant surveillance and control by a local agency, under a conditional use permit.

Alternatives considered:

Step I Recommendations

- 1. L-2.2 P1 closure of dump area
- 2. L-2.3 P1 alternative method of operation.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill
Activity
Lands
Overlay Reference
Step 1 T.H.1 Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.2 P1

Close the dump site and require that the site be rehabilitated to standards acceptable to the BLM and the State of Idaho, Dept. of Environmental and Community Services.

RATIONALE

Blaine County presently operates a sanitary landfill which meets all State environmental requirements for refuse disposal. Pick up oints for refuse materials have been established in the area. The materials are picked up by the county and transported to the sanitary landfill site.

T.H.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only significant impacts identified with this recommendation were within the Lands activity.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation and adopt L-2.3 P1 which is a modification of L-2.1 P1.

Reasons

Although Blaine County has an operative sanitary landfill at the present time in Ohio Gulch its location is too remote to be of beneficial and economic use to local residents. There is a definite continuing need for a refuse disposal site in this vicinity for dumping bulky, nonpolluting, materials such as rocks and trees. The complete closure of this dump area would result in a hardship to local area residents and bring about a proliferation of dumping on other National Resource Lands.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Alternatives considered:

Step I Recommendations

1. L-2.1

2. L-2.3

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

TH	
Balla	
Name (MFP)	•
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	_Hil.
Activity	
Lands	_
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 2H 1 Step 3	

an a <mark>kas</mark> malaga itaka a la la gira liigha.

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

permit.

L - 2.3 P1 posal of those materials (rocks, trees, posal in sites which do not carry the appropriate state agency, determines are eligible for a conditional disposal

RATIONALE

Lease this site to Blaine County for dis- Some types of refuse are suitable for disetc.,) which the BLM, in conjunction with stringent restrictions of a sanitary landfil The present site may be suitable for these types of materials disposal.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only conflicts with this recommendation are wholly within the Lands activity.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept this recommendation . This is a modification of L-2.1 Pl. This recommendation limits the types of refuse materials which may be disposed of on this site.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation which is a modification of L-2.1 Pl Step I ecommendation.

Reasons

This site has been classified for Recreation and Public Purposes and is currently being utilized as a disposal area for some materials. The continued use of this site for disposal of bulky materials such as rocks, trees, and stumps could be allowed under a conditional use permit. This would aid the local area residents without creating a hardship on Blaine County and would reduce the chances of unauthorized dumping of refuse on other National Resource Lands in this area.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

		
Name (MFP)		
Bennett Hills-	[immerman	Hi
Activity		-
Lands		_
Overlay Poference		

Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

Page 1 of 2

Step 1 TH 1

T.H.

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.4 P2

to a qualified minicipality or groups for development as a recreational site.

Support Needs:

Classification Survey of boundaries Appraisal

RATIONALE

Most of these lands are currently being Dispose of these National Resource Lands managed for recreational purposes under a cooperative agreement with the Idaho Fish & Game Dept. This land lies along Silver Creek, a well-known fly fishing stream. Much of the land traversed by Silver Creek is privately owned which creates access problems for the public. Recreation facilities development under R&PP regulation would enhance and protect existing resource values

Multiple-Use Analysis

Priest Campground is located along Silver Creek, a widely acclaimed fly fishing stream. This is one of the few areas along Silver Creek where there is public access and improvements for picnicking and camping. Further development of this area could be economically beneficial to nearby communities by increasing the visitor use potential of this site. High quality recreational experiences are becoming more in demand due to higher standards of living, more crowded urban conditions, and a more mobile society. Providing more recreational developments through the Recreation and Public Purpose Act would be consistent with current Bureau Policy.

Recreation (R-10.4) has proposed retention of this land in public ownership; to be maintained under the existing cooperative agreement between the BLM and the Idaho Fish & Game Dept., until such time as funding becomes available for the BLM to develop this site on its own. This is supported by Lands (L-2.5 and L-2.6).

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

В.Н.	*
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerm	an Hill
Activity	
Lands	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 TH 1 Step 3	

Page 2 of 2

Multiple-Use Recommendations

Retain these lands in public ownership and continue to manage under the cooperative agreement until such time as the BLM has funds to further develop and manage the site under its recreation program.

Support Needs:

Engineering, survey of boundaries.

Decision

Adopt Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Reasons

Combined L-2.5 and L-2.6 to correlate with R-10.4. Management of this site is currently being effected under the cooperative agreement with the Idaho Fish & Game Dept. The Fish & Game Dept. has indicated an interest in getting out of the recreation management aspect because their function is primarily fish and game management. The BLM presently lacks sufficient funding to take over the entire management and development c the area.

Acceptance of L-2.4 could lead to some problems for the BLM in terms of classification monitoring, and compliance.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

T.H. Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill Activity Lands Overlay Reference

Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.5 P2

Update the cooperative agreement with the Idaho Fish & Game Dept., to clarify area of responsibility, further improve- and the time frame involved. ments needed or desirable, and establish a time frame for development.

RATIONALE

This area has been under a cooperative agree ment for some time; however, there is some question as to what should be done, by whom,

Step 1 TH 1

Multiple Use Analysis

Refer to L-2.4

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Refer to L-2.4

Decision

Adopt the Step I recommendation

Reasons

(See reasons and multiple use analysis under L-2.4 P2)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

T.H. Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity	7.
Lands	_
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 TH 1 Step 3	

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.6 P2

For the BLM to develop this land and

manage it for recreational purposes.

Support Needs:

Engineering.

RATIONALE

Management of this land by the BLM would be

consistent with Instruction Memorandum No.

75-543 and 43 CFR 6000.06.

Multiple-Use Analysis

Refer to L-2.4

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Refer to L-2.4.

Decision

Adopt Step I recommendation

Reasons

(See reasons and multiple use analysis under L-2.4 P2)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.7 P1

Lease the land in the NW4SW4, Section 32, T. 5 S., R. 13 E., B.M., to Bliss for continued use as a dump site when state standards for a refuse disposal site are met.

Support Needs: Appraisal.

RATIONALE

This land is already classified for Recreation & Public Purposes. The original lease to Bliss has expired. The Idaho Dept. of Environmental & Community Services adopted the new Idaho Solid Waste Management Regulations and Standards in June, 1973. Under these new regulations the current use of this site does not meet the criteria for environmental protection.

Multiple=Use Analysis

The only conflicts with this recommendations are wholly within the Lands activity.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation.

Refer to L-2.9 Pl Step 2

multiple use recommendation

which is a modification of this recommendation.

Reasons

Gooding County has an operative sanitary lan fill located near Tuttle. The terrain, soils, and economic considerations of operating this site for total refuse disposal make it an impractical endeavor. Due to limited funds available complete control and monitoring as required by the State of Idaho, Dept. of Environmental & Community Services, would create a severe hardship on the village of Bliss.

Alternatives Considered:

Step I Recommendations

- 1. L-2.8 P1 closure of dump area.
- 2. L-2.9 P1 alternative method of

operation.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

L - 2.7 Pl (Continued)

Reasons

(Refer to L-2.9 P1, which modifies this recommendation)

B.H.

Name (MFP)

Overlay Reference

Step 1 BH 1

Page 2 of 2

Activity Lands

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Step 3

Decision

Adopt Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

В.Н.	٠,	<u></u>
Name (MFP)		
Bennett Hills-Timmerma	ın	Hill
Activity LANDS		-
Overlay Reference		-
Step 1 BH 1 Step 3		

Page 1 of 2

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.8 P1

Close the dump site and require that the site be rehabilitated to standards acceptable to the BLM and the State of Idaho, Dept. of Environmental and Community Services.

RATIONALE

Gooding County presently operates a sanitary landfill which meets all State requirements. Pickup points for refuse materials have been established in the Bliss area. The material are picked up by the county and transported to the sanitary landfill site. The City of Bliss went on record supporting the sanitary landfill site and resolved to rehabilitate the present dump site when the county wide sanitary landfill became operable. (Letter of 11/11/70.)

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only significant impacts identified with this recommendation were within the Lands activity.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation. Refer to L-2.9 P 1 Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Reasons

Although Gooding County has an operative sanitary landfill at the present time near Tuttle, its location is too remote to be of total beneficial and economic use to local residents. There is a definite continuing need for a refuse disposal site in this vicinity for dumping bulky, nonpolluting, materials such as rocks and trees. The complete closure of this dump area would result in a hardship to local area residents and bring about a proliferation of dumping

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

D • II •	
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hil
Activity Lands	_
Overlay Reference	_
Step 1 BH 1 Step 3	

Page 2 of 2

Reasons (continued)

on other National Resource Lands.

Alternatives Considered:

Step I Recommendations

- 1. L-2.7
- 2. L-2.9

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

(Refer to L-2.9 P1 Step 2 recommendation which modifies this recommendation.)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

D.II.	U ·:	0,99	1.34
Name (MF)	>)		
Bennett	Hills-Tim	merman	Hil:
Activity			_
Lands			
Overlay Re	ference		_

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.9 P1

permit.

Lease this site to Bliss for disposal of those materials (rocks, trees, etc.,) which the BLM, in conjunction with appropriate State agency, determines are eligible for a conditional disposal

RATIONALE

posal in sites which do not carry the stringent restrictions of a sanitary land-fill. The present site may be suitable for these types of materials disposal.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only conflicts with this recommendation are wholly within the Lands activity.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept this recommendation.

Reasons

This site has been classified for Recreation & Public Purposes and is currently being utilized as a disposal area for some materials. The continued use of this site for disposal of bulky materials such as rocks, trees, and stumps could be allowed under a conditional use permit. This would aid the local area residents without creating a hardship on the village of Bliss and would reduce the chances of unauthorized dumping of refuse on other National Resource Lands in this area.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

(Refer to L-2.7 P1, and L-2.8 P1)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

В	H	

Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity Lands

Overlay Reference BH 1 Step 3 Step 1

Page 1 of 2

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.10 P2

qualified agency, municipality, or county

for development as a recreational site.

Support Needs

Classification, appraisal, survey.

RATIONALE

Make these lands available for lease to a site. Many local and out-of-state people use this area for various recreation purposes. Limited development currently exists at the reservoir and the addition of boat ramps, camping, picnicking, and sanitary facilities would enhance the recreational experience as well as provide needed control of adverse environmental effects.

Mormon Reservoir is a very popular fishing

Multiple-Use Analysis

Mormon Reservoir is noted as a trophy fishing area. Increased summer and winter use has been noted and the existing facilities are overcrowded during certain heavy-use -periods. Further development of this area could be economically beneficial to nearby communities by increasing the visitor use potential of this site. High quality recreational experiences are becoming more in demand due to higher standards of living, more crowded urban conditions, and a more mobile society. Providing more recreational developments through the Recreation & Public Purpose Act would be consistent with current Bureau Policy.

Recreation (R-10.1) proposes retention of these lands in Federal ownership and develop ment of the area as part of the BLM recreation program when funding becomes available. Lands (L-2.11) supports the Recreation recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation and adopt Recreation (R-10.1) which correlates with Lands (1-2.11).

Reasons

Administrative and management problems could result from the acceptance of L-2.10 in term of classification, monitoring and compliance The BLM presently has some development at the site and, with additional funding, could develop the site to accommodate additional

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

D.R.	1, 1, 2, 3
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hill
Activity	_
Lands	_
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 BH 1 Step 3	

Page 2 of 2

Reasons (continued)

use while offering protection to the existing environment.

Support Needs:

Engineering, roads, fences, sanitary facilities, posting.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

/ Helle

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H.
Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill
Activity

Lands
Overlay Reference

BH 1 Step 3

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.11 P2

The BLM shoulddevelop and manage these lands for recreational purposes.

Support Needs: Engineering.

RATIONALE

Development and management of this land, by the BLM, for recreational purposes would be consistent with Instruction Memorandum

No. 75-543 and 43 CFR 6000.06. The recreational experience of the public would be greatly enhanced by orderly and controlled development of this area.

Step 1

Multiple-Use Analysis

Refer to L-2.10.

Decision

Adopt Step I recommendation

Reasons

(See reasons and multiple use analysis under L-2.10)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill
Activity
Lands

Overlay Reference Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

B.H.

RECREATION & PUBLIC PURPOSES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 2.12 P3
Lease this tract to a qualified organization for development and maintenance
under the Recreation & Public Purposes
Act.

Support Needs

Classification Appraisal

RATIONALE

The tract is currently being used, in trespass, as a rodeo ground/cutter track. Legalizing the use under the provisions of the R&PP Act would provide for better control and maintenance and would terminate the trespass situation.

Multiple-Use Analysis

This recommendation is supported by Recreation (R-11.1) and does not conflict with other activities. Under an R&PP classification the lands could be leased to a city, county, or state government entity, or a nonprofit group such as a 4-H club or a rodec club. If no applications are filed for the lands the existing facilities should be removed from the land.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Recreation (R-11.1) recommendation.

Reasons

See Recreation (R-11.1)

Alternatives considered:

Trespass termination and removal of existing facilities and improvements.

Support Needs Classification Appraisal

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

(Refer to Recreation, R-11.1 for reasons and analysis)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)	(F) 13
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hil:
Activity	-
Lands	
Objective Number	•
T3	

Objective:

Agriculture

Make lands with Class I or Class II irrigation potential classification available for agricultural development over the next 25 years.

Rationale:

The PAA indicates there is no demand to make National Resource Lands available for agricultural development. However, district—wide, there are over 30,000 acres of National Resource Lands under application for agricultural development indicating a public desire. Making only those lands with Class II or better potentially irrigable classification available would lessen the chances of an agricultural development failing. Extensive surveys of water availability and sufficiency, soil factors, economics, energy, and environmental impacts would have to be conducted before any disposals were allowed.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

D.II. T.II.	
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hil.
Activity	_
Lands	_
Overlay Reference	_

AGRICULTURE

Page 1 of 2

Step 1 TH/1 BH\$tep 3

RECOMMENDATION

L - 3:1 A

For lands not within a MUC, dispose of potentially irrigable Class I or Class II lands when found to be consistent with classification criteria set forth in 43 CFR 2410, 2430.1.

Support Needs

Classification.

RATIONALE

These lands are all classified potentially irrigable, Class 1 or Class 2 type. Some of these lands are being farmed at the current time in trespass. There is a current desire and/or demand that some lands be made available for agricultural development and only the better lands should be considered for this type development.

Multiple-Use Analysis

Although the PAA notes a 'lack of demand' for National Resource Lands to be used for agricultural purposes, the current applications on hand and over the counter inquiries indicate otherwise. There are 21 Desert Land Entry applications awaiting classification action in the Shoshone office for lands located in the Bennett Hills planning unit. These are all for lands not included in a Multiple Use Classification. In addition, numerous requests have been made to reclassify lands previously classified as unsuitable for Desert Land Entry and lands presently included within an MUC.

The impacts related to disposal would include both social and economic. New families would be expected to move into the area creating additional demands for various services while putting more money into the local economy. Additional commercial and industrial growth in Bliss would undoubtedly occur. Current, local lifestyle is not expected to be significantly effected. Open space values would be altered, some livestock operations would be impacted, and environmental qualities such as air and water could be reduced. Additional tax revenues would likely be offset by the necessity of providing additional school, fire protection, and transportation maintenance services.

Range Management (RM 1&2.2) proposes to implement an AMP with a rest-rotation grazing system on "...all public lands which can reasonably be expected to remain in Federal ownership for multiple use management...". Since these lands have the potential for disposal, any AMPs developed should have a contingency plan for grazing management when and if disposal does occur.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step TH/1 BH/3tep 3

Continue to the continue to

Page 2 of $_2$

Multiple-Use Analysis (continued)

Wildlife (WL 2.8). Retain National Resource Lands in Federal ownership which are identified as being in the deer winter range area. This area includes the lands in T. 4 S., Rs. 12 and 13 E. The disposal and development of agricultural purposes of this land would eliminate native forage and restrict movement of local deer populations.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Dispose of potentially irrigable Class I or II lands in T. 5 S., Rs. 12 and 13 E. B.M., not included within the Multiple Use Classification when found to be consistent with classification criteria set forth in 43 CFR 2410, 2430.

Support Needs

Classification

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

Modified L-3.1A to exclude the lands in T. 4 S., Rs. 12 and 13 E. which are identified as deer winter range.

Alternatives Considered

Lands Step I

L-3.3 A-Al Prepare an EIS on agricultural entry program before processing any agricultural entry applications.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H. Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill Activity Lands Overlay Reference Step 1 BH 1/THStLo 3

AGRICULTURAL

Page 1 of 2

RECOMMENDATION

L - 3.2 A1

For lands within a Multiple-Use Classification having a potentially irrigable Class I or II classification; reevaluate MUC on a site specific basis, for possible disposal under agricultural entries when found to be consistent with classification criteria set forth in 43 CFR 2410 and 2430.

RATIONALE

There has been public interest in developing these lands for agricultural purposes. draft State Water Plan recommends developing these lands for agricultural purposes. The agricultural entry - DLE EAR has identified all lands within these planning units as being High Conflict areas with varying soil capabilities. If taken on a site specific basis, the environment should not be adversely impacted since a more thorough analysis can be made.

Multiple-Use Analysis

Disposal of some of these lands could create management problems for Range (RM 1&2.2) if an AMP is implemented. Any grazing system developed should have a contingency plan in case disposals are made.

Wildlife (WL 2.8, WL 6.2, WL 7.1, WL 9.0) recommendations all show varying degrees of conflict with a disposal action. Classification action would bring out the location and degree of the specific conflict after further identification of sites have been made by the wildlife activity.

Wildlife (WL - 8.1) proposes to "Retain in public ownership and exclude livestock from areas identified as pheasant escape and winter habitat..." Particular lands identified include those in Sections 1-5, T. 5 S., R. 15 E. B.M., lands immediately north of Walker Reservoir in T. 4 & 5 S., R. 11 E., B.M; lands within 1/4 mile of Clover Creek in Section 10, T. 5 S., R. 12 E. B.M. In disposal classification, consideration should be given to retaining strips of National Resource Lands adjacent to these gracts.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H T.H.	
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hil.
Activity	-
Lands	
Overlay Reference	-

Page 2 of 2

Step 1 BH 1/THStep 3

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation.

Reasons

See Rationale & Analysis.

Alternatives Considered Make no reviews until further wildlife inventories have been completed.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Area Wide
Name (MFP)
Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil
Activity
Lands
Overlay Reference
Step TH 1/BH \$tep 3

5 **353** ... (15.) - 505 ... (15.)

AGRICULTURAL

RECOMMENDATION

L - 3.3 Al

Retain Multiple Use Classification on these lands until an EIS has been prepared on the agricultural entry program within the District.

Support Needs

Idaho State Office.

RATIONALE

The agricultural entry - DLE EAR, prepared be the State Office, has identified all lands within these planning units as being High Conflict Areas with Variable Soil Capabilities. Based on this analysis, agricultural development within these areas may result in significant adverse impacts. Most of the public comments received on this report indicated a desire or need for an EIS before proceeding with allowance of agricultural entries.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts with other activities exist for this recommendation. See Rationale.

Multiple-Use Recommendation Reject this recommendation and Accept Step I Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation Lands (L-3.2 Al).

Reasons

See Rationale (L-3.2 Al). Also, these lands have undergone previous analysis by resource and a determination was made to retain for multiple use management. Little significant information has become available to indicate that the MUC is not still valid. However, new technology and systems of irrigation, now available, indicate that additional lands may be developed without adversely affecting other resources or degrading the environment.

Support Needs:

Soil Survey, classification

Alternatives Considered

Lands Step I Recommendations (L-3.2 Al and L-3.4 A-Al).

Area Wide

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1TH 1/BH Step 3

Page 2 of 2

L - 3.3 A1 (continued)

Decision

Reason

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

(Refer to recommendation L-3.2 A1)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T. H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step BH1/TH1 Step 3

AGRICULTURE

RECOMMENDATION

L - 3.4 A-A1

Prepare an EIS on the agricultural entry program before processing any agricultural entry applications.

RATIONALE

The agricultural entry - DLE EAR, prepared by the State Office, has identified all lands within these planning units as being High Conflict Areas with Variable Soil Capabilities. Based on this analysis, agricultural development within these areas may result in significant adverse impacts. Most of the public comments received on this report indicated a desire or need for an EIS before proceeding with allowance of agricultural entries.

Multiple-Use Analysis

See Rationale

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation and accept L-3.2 A1 recommendation.

Reasons

See Lands L-3.2 A1.

An agricultural entry EIS will be done in FY 77 and will include these lands. State policy is to proceed with application processing on a site specific basis rather than defer action pending the EIS completion.

Alternatives Considered:

Reconsideration of all present MUCs in consideration of Bureau Motion Classification for agricultural entry allowance.

Reason

(Refer to reasons above and under L-3.2 A1)

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)	
Sennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi1
Activity	
Lands	
Objective Number	-
ΤΔ	

Objectives:

Utility Systems/Utility Corridors

Eliminate haphazard and scattered development and installation of major utility systems throughout the planning units.

Rationale:

No local, county, state, or utility company needs have been identified. Existing projects are rather localized or amount to an uprating of existing systems. Keeping the development within areas of existing systems will confine environmental impacts to areas which have already undergone analysis for the various impacts. It will control haphazard and scattered development and will reduce application processing time substantially.

Potential need for additional rights-of-way exists if geothermal resources and oil and gas developments occur in areas of current interest.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. T.H.
Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil

Activity Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 TH 1/BHStlep 3

UTILITY CORRIDORS/UTILITY SYSTEMS

RECOMMENDATIONS

L - 4.1 UC/US

Allow future development of major utility systems along existing systems or along utility corridors identified in URA Step 4.

Support Needs: Appraisal

RATIONALE

The public has become much more aware and concerned about the numerous 'systems' traversing National Resource Lands. The use of corridors for development and installation of major systems will localize the impacts associated with the projects. This will also allow for more timely and efficient processing of the applications since the areas will have undergone previous analysis of the associated impacts.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only conflict with this recommendation is with Recreation (R-9.1) which states, in part "...avoid any intensive developments..." for the portion of land within the utility corridor in T. 4 S., R. 11 E. B.M. The proposed recreation proposal for this area is its designation as a backcountry area. Development in this area should be allowed only when it is economically unfeasible to locate it within the corridor to the south.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I recommendation.

Support needs

Appraisal.

Reasons

See Rationale.

Alternatives Considered

Allowance in all areas.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hill:
Activity	-
Lands	
Overlay Reference	•
Step 1 TH/1 StepBH/1	

Page 2 of 2

UTILITY CORRIDORS/UTILITY SYSTEMS

L - 4.1 UC/US

Decision

Retain the previous MFP 3 decision to allow major utilities along existing systems and within existing corridors. However, in addition to corridors previously identified, one is hereby designated along the route described as Alternative 3, Railroad Avoidance Alternative, in Environmental Assessment ID-050-1-068. When existing utility systems are removed, their routes will no longer be considered to be utility corridors.

Reasons

This amendment is based on the analysis conducted as a result of a request by Idaho Power Company to construct a new 138 Kv power line. The new corridor will reduce access and maintenance problems, while protecting wildlife habitat, visual resources, and other public values.

Recommended by:

Grun R. Coule Area Manager

12/4/81 Date

Approved by:

12/4/8/ Date

Concurred by:

white State Director

12/11/81 Date

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

les mections on reverse)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step TH 1/BH Step 3

Page 2 of 2

UTILITY CORRIDORS/UTILITY SYSTEMS

L - 4.1 UC/US (Continued)

Decision

Paganta

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

All went de services de la service de la ser

11/11

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP). Bennett Hills-Timmerma:	n Hil
Activity Lands	
Objective Number	

Objective:

Communications Sites

Provide for development of communications sites atop Davis Mountain and Johnson Hill in accordance with BLM directives and with full consideration of open space and aesthetic values. Evaluate potential sites near Bliss, north of Shoshone, and future sites as identified on the Basis of need and environmental quality.

Rationale:

The topographic features in the western portion of the Bennett Hills limit communications capabilities. Development of the Davis Mountain facility would enhance BLM and Idaho Department of Transportation efficiency at a minimum. The Johnson Hill site will enhance normal and emergency operations associated with maintenance of State Highway 68. Other prospective sites should be carefully evaluated as to their potential before development proceeds.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. T.H.

矿 基础条件 经管理证明 医静脉体

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

COMMUNICATION SITES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 5.1 CS

Allow communication site development on Davis Mountain and Johnson Hill in conjunction with communication facilities already constructed.

Support Needs; Appraisal

RATIONALE

Communication site development creates an unpleasant visual impact in many cases. These sites are well suited for communication site development due to the topograp and they are well removed from areas frequented by the public. The use of one facility for several users will reduce the cluttered effect often-times associated with communication sites. Allowance at these locations will speed up processing time since the impacts will already have undergone analysis.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts with other activities exist for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation

Support Needs:

Appraisal.

Reasons

See Rationale.

Alternatives Considered

- 1. No development.
- 2. Development of only one site.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

Page 2 of 2

L - 5.1 CS (Continued)

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 BH 1 Step 3

Page 2 of 2

L - 5.1 CS (Continued)

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

	Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
	Activity	
	Lands	
į	Objective Number	
-	r.–6	

Objective:

Agricultural Trespass

Eliminate agricultural trespass situations within the planning units by F.Y.'78, by processing applications filed under the Act of September 26, 1968. Make further identification of trespass situations and initiate trespass action against trespassers by 1985.

Rationale:

The Act of September 26, 1968, expired on September 25, 1971; therefore, all applications filed under its provisions are at least 4 1/2 years old. Prompt processing of Public Sale applications under the Unintentional Trespass Act and the prompt identification and termination of illegal agricultural trespass not covered in the Act will serve to alert the public that the BLM will not condone this use. Only when these trespasses are cleared up will the BLM be able to effectively manage those lands.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

1 365	**
B.H./T.H.	
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity	- 1
Lands	_
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 TH 1 Step 3	

AGRICULTURAL TRESPASS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 6.1 TlA

Process all unintentional trespass public sale applications by F.Y. '78'.

Support Needs

Reclassification from MUC Appraisal

RATIONALE

All applications filed under this act have been in backlog since 1971. Prompt processing of these applications will help to clear up a management problem and improve the BLM image.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation

Support Needs

Appraisal

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

See Rationale

Alternatives Considered

1. Longer time frame for processing.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H./T.	H.	jay d
	Hills-Timmerman	H111
Activity		

Lands
Overlay Reference

Step 1 TH 1 Step 3 1

AGRICULTURAL TRESPASS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 6.2 T1 A

Dispose of these lands which were applied for under the Unintentional Trespass Act of 1968.

Support Needs

Classification, appraisal

RATIONALE

The Act (PL-90-516) made provisions for individuals who were occupying National Resource Lands to file for up to 120 acres of lands occupied or farmed in trespass. Disposal of these lands would satisfy the inten of the Act, remove a management problem, reduce the case file backlog, allow the applicants to fully develop and consolidate these lands into their farming operation and put these productive lands on the local tax rolls.

Multiple-Use Analysis

This recommendation conflicts in part with Lands (L-6.3 T1 A) and Wildlife WL 9.1, WL 9.2) which call for the management and/or retention of National Resource Lands along live streams and/or canals to insure access for recreation and water quality, and protect waterfowl nesting sites.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Dispose of these lands which were applied for under the Unintentional Trespass Act of 1968 which do not lie adjacent to live streams.

Support needs

Classification, appraisal.

Reasons

Modified L-6.2 Tl A to exclude lands lying along live streams from disposal.

Alternatives Considered

Accept L-6.2 Tl A as proposed in Step I.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil:
Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference BH 1

Step 1 TH 1 Step 3

Page 2 of 2

B.H. - T.H.

L - 6.2 T1 A (Continued)

Decision

Adopt multiple use recommendation with the following modification:

Lands under application situated adjacent to live streams may be included for disposal, provided a determination is made they are not necessary recreation, waterfowl, or fish habitat, protection or support of another resource, i.e. buffer strips, or other public benefit.

Reason

"Live streams" should not be used as a single criteria for retention. Each tract should be considered for its value and support of a multiple use program to meet Bureau goals and objectives.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. - T.H.

Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity

Lands

Overlay Reference

Step TH 1/BH Step 3

AGRICULTURAL TRESPASS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 6.3 T1 A

Retain those lands in agricultural trespass, under Public Sale application, which lie adjacent to live streams.

Support Needs

Classification, appraisal.

RATIONALE

Retention of lands along live streams for multiple use management would be consistent with Instruction Memo No. ISO 75-194 which states, in part, "as a general rule, no lands will be sold which contain live streams." Consideration must be made for fish habitat, access, and buffer strips.

Multiple-Use Analysis

Refer to L-6.2 T1 A. This recommendation is supported by Wildlife (WL 9.1 and WL 9.2).

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reject this recommendation and accept L-6.2 T1 A Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Support Needs

Classification

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

Refer to L-6.2 T1 A

Reasons

(Refer to L-6.2 T1 A)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

ı	
Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity	-
Lands	
Overlay Reference	_
	Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman Activity Lands Overlay Reference

Step 3

Page 1 of 2

B.H. T.H.

Step 1

AGRICULTURAL TRESPASS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 6.4 T1

Dispose of lands currently under agricultural trespass for which no applications exist under PL 90-516 when appropriate authority for disposal is available.

RATIONALE

source Lands. This situation makes the land unmanageable. The fact that they are currently being farmed is a pretty good ind: cation of the agricultural potential. Lands Support Needs. Classification, appraisal.determined suitable for agricultural develop ment can be expected to reach their most

profitable legal use in private ownership.

(43 CFR 2430.5(a)).

The lands under agricultural trespass are

usually fenced away from other National Re-

Multiple-Use Analysis

At the present time, no means for disposal is available for lands under agricultural trespass unless they have been applied for under PL 90-516 or are isolated and qualify for disposal under R.S. 2455.

Lands (L-6.5) proposes to "...initiate an active program of agricultural trespass identification...". Until this has been done the extent of conflicts with other activities cannot readily be determined.

Wildlife (WL 9.1) proposes to "...exclude livestock and other noncompatible uses from the areas identified for waterfowl nesting...". Special areas of identified conflict include:

T. 5 S., R. 11 E., Boise Meridian Section 25; SINWI4, SWI4SWI4 Section 26; SE'4NE'4, SE'4SE'4

These are lands which are traversed by canal laterals and include small pond areas which are used or suited to waterfowl nesting. Continued agriculture use and development would reduce nesting habitat.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

RECO

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	
•	
MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN	
OMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION	

В.Н.	т.н.	1 0	
Name (M	IFP)		
Bennet	t Hills-	-Timmerman	Hil:
Activity			-
Lands			_
Overlay	Reference		
Step 1	Ste	en 3	

Page 2 of 2

Multiple-Use Analysis (continued)

Disposal of, as yet unidentified tracts, could also affect Range Management AMP implementation and use.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Dispose of lands currently under agricultural trespass for which no applications exist under PL 90-516 except for the following: T. 5 S., R. 11 E., B.M., Sec. 25; $S_{2}^{1}NW_{4}$, SW4SW4; Sec. 26, SE4NE4, SE4SE4.

Support Needs: Legislation, classification and appraisal.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Reasons

Modified Step I to exclude specific parcels identified in conflict with Wildlife. Refer to Rationale and Analysis.

Alternatives Considered:

- 1. Disposal of all lands under agricultural trespass when authority is available.
- 2. Retain all lands under agricultural trespass and settle trespass.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H./T.H. Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill

Activity Lands

Overlay Reference

Step 1 None Step 3

AGRICULTURAL TRESPASS IDENTIFICATION

RECOMMENDATION

L - 6.5

Initiate an active program of agricultural trespass identification and update

all current agricultural trespass files.

RATIONALE

Proper land management can be effective only when proper land status and use are identified and measures to correct these conflicts have been taken.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts were identified for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation.

Support Needs

District-wide up-to-date aerial photography.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Reasons

See Rationale.

194

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MF	P)	
Bennett	Hills-Timmerman	Hil:
Activity		
Lands		
Objective	Number	_
T _7		

Objective:

Unauthorized Enclosures

Terminate unauthorized enclosures on National Resource Lands by 1980.

Rationale:

Termination of these unauthorized enclosures will serve to make more forage available for livestock and help to identify land ownership. This will reduce the possibilities of agricultural and/or occupancy trespasses occurring within these areas and will help to more accurately identify property lines.

B.H./T.H.

/ [

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill
Activity
Lands
Overlay Reference H 1

Step 1 TH 1 Step 3

UNAUTHORIZED ENCLOSURES

RECOMMENDATION

L - 7.1 T2

Initiate trespass action for payment of damages and removal of unauthorized enclosures on National Resource Lands.

Support Needs

Appraisal

RATIONALE

This action would be consistent with the provisions of 43 CFR 9239.2. In addition, the termination of these unlawful enclosures would help to more accurately identify property boundaries as well as remove a restriction on wildlife, livestock, and public movement.

Multiple-Use Analysis

The only possible conflict with this recommendation is with Range Management (RM 1 & 2.4) Bennett Hills, which calls for fencing of all allotments. Some unauthorized fences could be used as part of a Bureau fencing system.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Initiate trespass action for payment of damages and removal of unauthorized fences on National Resource Lands which cannot be effectively utilized in a Bureau management program.

Reasons

Modified Step I recommendation to allow some unauthorized fences to be incorporate into a Bureau fencing program.

Alternatives Considered

No action.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse) Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP) Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hills
Activity Lands	-
Objective Number L-8	-

Objective:

Lands Quality (Excavated Areas)

Rehabilitate excavated areas to minimize or eliminate adverse environmental impacts.

Rationale:

Resource values such as wildlife habitat, livestock forage, watershed, and aesthetic values can be enhanced upon proper reclamation of these diturbed lands.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

В.Н. /Т.Н.	1 Office .
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timm	erman Hil
Activity	
Lands	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 TH 1 Step 3	

LAND QUALITY EXCAVATED AREAS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 8.1 L.P. 1

Review all past and current Free Use

Permit cases to determine responsibility

for rehabilitation.

RATIONALE

Stipulations for rehabilitation are a part of each free use permit. If land examinations indicate the rehabilitation requirements have not been met the permittees can be required to take the required steps for rehabilitation.

Multiple-Use Analysis

This recommendation does not conflict with any other activities.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I recommendation.

Reasons

See Rationale.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hi
Activity Lands	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 TH 1-BH 13	

LANDS QUALITY EXCAVATED AREAS

RECOMMENDATION

RATIONALE

L - 8.2 L.P. 1

Require rehabilitation of material site rights-of-way before accepting any relin- have been properly rehabilitated. quishments from the State Dept. of Transportation, Division of Highways.

Land which have been disturbed are not proper for return to BLM management unless they

Multiple-Use Analysis

There are no conflicts with other activities for this recommendation. If proper rehabilitation has been accomplished on excavated areas, resource values such as livestock forage, wildlife food, nesting, and escape cover will be upgraded; in addition, there is less likelihood that these areas will be used for unauthorized materials removal or unauthorized dumping.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Reasons

Accept Step I Recommendation.

See Multiple Use Analysis.

Support Needs

Compliance checks.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Hill
Activity	
Lands	
Objective Number	-
T9	

Objective:

Land Quality (Unauthorized Dumping)

Identify, clean up, rehabilitate, post, and monitor unauthorized dumping areas.

Rationale:

A complete inventory of unauthorized dumping sites is a 'must' before further steps can be taken. Upon clean up and rehabilitation the resource values of these areas will be enhanced. Posting of the lands and monitoring will serve to put the public on notice that dumping will not be tolerated. Public hazards and environmental impacts will also be reduced or eliminated.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H. T.H.
Name (MFP)

Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hil
Activity
Lands
Overlay Reference

Book that we will be a room of

Step 1 BH 1 THStep 3

LANDS QUALITY UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING

RECOMMENDATION

L - 9.1 LP 2

Establish programs with service organizations to accomplish some of the cleanup, rehabilitation, and posting of these sites.

Support Needs

State Office administration

RATIONALE

There are several areas in which unauthorized dumping has occurred. Current
manpower limitations and priority program
limit the availability of BLM permanent
personnel to pursue these objectives.
Various service organizations have been
active in this type activity with the BLM
for some time with considerable success.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts with other activities exist for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation.

Reasons

See Rationale.

Alternatives Considered

For the BLM to handle all cleanup, rehabilitation, and posting of these sites.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN. RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

•	
Name (MFP)	
Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Η
Activity Lands	-
Overlay Reference	•
Step 1 None Step 3	

LANDS QUALITY - UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING

RECOMMENDATION

L - 9.2

Make an intensive inventory of unauthorized dumping areas and initiate a cleaning-up, rehabilitation, posting, and monitoring program on these sites.

RATIONALE

These areas are unsightly and conditions may exist that create a hazard to the public, livestock, and wildlife. Cleaning up and rehabilitation of the sites will eliminate the visual impacts and Hazards, posting will alert the public to this unauthorized use and monitoring will reduce the likelihood of the recurrence of this activity.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts with other activities exist for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation

Reasons

See Rationale.

Support Needs

District-wide up-to-date aerial photography.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

	Name (MFP) ennett Hills-Timmerman Hills			
	Activity Lands			
	Objective	Number		

Objective:

Reclamation Withdrawals

Make lands currently under reclamation withdrawals on National Resource Lands, which do not serve the purpose of the intended withdrawal, available to the operation of the public land laws.

Rationale:

Some Reclamation Withdrawals on National Resource Lands presently serve no useful purpose. The revocation of these withdrawals and removal of the notations from the official status plats would facilitate interpreting information provided on these plats and would make additional lands subject to the operation of the public land laws.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

B.H./T.H.

| Name (MFP) |
| Bennett Hills-Timmerman Hill |
| Activity | Lands |
| Overlay Reference | Step 1 | TH 1- RH 1

RECLAMATION WITHDRAWALS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 10.1 W

Review reclamation withdrawals to determine those which no longer serve the intent of the withdrawal and revoke those no longer necessary.

RATIONALE

Some of these withdrawals have never been used for the purpose of the withdrawal and impose an unnecessary restriction upon the land. Revoking the withdrawals and restoring the land to the operation of the public land laws would make these lands available to public application for various uses.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts exist with other activities for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation

Reasons

See Rationale.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

144

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

wante (Mr. 1.)			
Sennett Hills-Timmerman Hill:			
Activity			
Lands			
Objective Number			

Objective:

Stock Driveway Withdrawals

Make lands currently included within stock driveway withdrawals, which are no longer needed for stock driveway purposes, available to the operation of the public land laws.

Rationale:

Many of these withdrawals serve no useful purpose. Much of the land included within the withdrawals has been identified as having better agricultural potential than some of the presently available lands. Restoration of these lands to the operation of the public land laws would allow some of the better lands to be considered for development.

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	1				
]	Bennett Hills-Timmerman	Ηi			
į	Activity	_			
İ	Lands				
	Overlay Reference				
	Step 1 BH 1 Step 3				

STOCK DRIVEWAY WITHDRAWALS

RECOMMENDATION

L - 11.1 W 1

Review stock driveway withdrawals and terminate those which are no longer needed for livestock movement and support.

RATIONALE

Some of these withdrawals are no longer needed for the purpose of livestock movement. In many cases the lands covered by the withdrawals may have a higher and best use for agriculture or some other purpose. Revocation of the withdrawals and restoring the lands to the operation of the public land laws would make these lands available to public application for various uses.

Multiple-Use Analysis

No conflicts exist with other activities for this recommendation.

Multiple-Use Recommendation

Accept Step I Recommendation.

Reasons

See Rationale.

Decision

Adopt the Step 2 multiple use recommendation

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed