MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION Name (MFP) Twin Falls

Kange Management

Overlay Reference Step 1 RM-1.4 Step 3

Multiple Use Analysis

Most of these systems have been in operation for several years and are in existing AMPs. Some of them have encountered problems during the frequent recurring drought years during the last decade. The degree of use and climate have set the stage for rapid invasion of sagebrush into these ranges. It appears that there is no grazing management that can prevent this phenomenon; it is even happening in fenced livestock exclosures, often at a more rapid rate than properly grazed areas.

This recommendation to continue to use the existing deferred-rotation systems is not in conflict with any resource proposals and is supported by the wildlife recommendations to improve wetland/riparian and waterfowl nesting habitats.

(Decision) Multiple Use Recommendation:

Accept RM-1.4 -

Continue the existing deferredrotation grazing systems on the allotments listed in the activity recommendation.

49,322 acres

Support Needs:

R. A. Staff -Monitoring and evaluating.

ATROW -

Easements.

Operations and Area -

Land treatment projects to periodically reduce competition from invad ing sagebrush.

Reasons:

Experience of grazing management as documented through various studies shows that properly managed deferredrotation systems are adequate to maintain and improve seedings and native range. Not always as rapidly as restrotation on ranges suitable to restrotation, but at an acceptable level. These users and the allotments are suitable for the existing management and there is no reason identified showing a change is needed.

Alternatives Considered:

- 1. Reject RM-1.4.
- 2. Use rest-rotation.
- 3. Use spring grazing only.
- 4. Use fall grazing only.
- 5. Reduce intensity of grazing.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (Apr:1 1475

Name(MFP) Twin Falls

Activity Range Management

Overlay Reference Step 1 RM-1.5_{Step 3}

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Multiple Use Analysis

These allotments are small isolated tracts that are not suitable for operation of multi-treatment grazing systems. The desired ecologic and range condition of these allotments will be accomplished by establishing the proper season of use, keeping grazing levels at or below the biological use limits, and by selected land and vegetation manipulation treatments as shown in recommendation RM-2.1 and analyzed through the multiple resource planning process.

This recommendation does not conflict with any of the activity recommendations.

(Decision) Multiple Use Recommendation:

Modify RM-1.5 -Continue to allow livestock grazing on the seasonal use allotments:

No.	Name	Acres ·
4031	Western Stockgrowers	23,505
4036	Moore-Lost Creek	80
	Rock Creek-Coiner	148
	Courtnay	280
	Soldier Creek	284
	Salmon Tract	54
	Randell Iso.	103
	Lemmon-Ring	258
	Salmon Tract-Isolated	280
	Salmon Tract-U2	280
	Section 22-Salmon Tract	: 160
	Highway Unit	122
	East Kunkel	280
	Highway Kunkel	447
4024	Kunkel-Amsterdam	1,100
4074	Kunke i - Amster dam	27,381

Reasons:

The recommendation does not conflict with any other activity recommendation.

There are no reasons to change the existing management of these tracts. Monitoring will be necessary to ensure proper stocking levels, seasons and utilization.

The rationale for adding 4074 Kunkel-Amsterdam and 4031 Western Stockgrowers is contained in RM-1.1.

Support Needs:

R. A. Staff -Monitoring and evaluating.

Alternatives Considered:

- 1. Reject RM-1.5.
- 2. Custodial Management.
- 3. Implement grazing systems.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Multiple Use Recommendation:

Accept RM-1.6 -Allow livestock grazing on the listed allotments authorized for custodial grazing licenses.

No. Name 4037 North Big Creek 4043 4059 Green Private Frahm-7VGA 4060 Salmon Tract 4072 Lost Creek 4085 Salmon Tract 4097 Cameron 4114 Squaw Joe Iso. 4119 Ridge Iso. 4125 Iso. Tracts Kunkel 4128 Hot Creek 4135 Ellis-Tews-Berger Iso. Big Creek Isolated

The rationale for adding 4097 Cameron is contained in RM-1.1.

Suport Needs:

R. A. Staff -Monitoring and evaluation.

ATROW -Easements

SCS coordinated management plans.

Reasons:

This recommendation does not conflict with any other activity recommendation. This authorization is currently in effect and there apparently is no reason to change.

Name (MFP)

Alternatives Considered:

- 1. Reject RM-1.6.
- 2. Specify seasons and numbers.
- 3. Implement grazing systems.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975

Twin Falls Activity Range Management Overlay Reference

Step 1RM-1.6 Step 3

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Decision:

Modify the multiple use ecommendation by adding the PVGA's Franm Allotment (No. 4043) to the list in the multiple use recommendation. See RM-1.3 for more information.

Name (MFP) Twin Falls Activity Range Management Overlay Reference Step 1RM-1.6 Step 3

Rationale:

These allotments on public lands fit the criteria for custodial use management by having a combination of several criteria. Some criteria are: small percentage of the allotment is public land, BLM management is burdensome to land owner, land can be more effectively managed by the land owner on a custodial license.

The Bureau will actively engage in coordinated planning on these tracts with the SCS and permittees, with the permittees having the lead responsibility for management.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

2

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Recommendation:

RM-1.7 - Install the following facilities to allow implementation of intensive grazing systems and development of AMPs:

.75 miles pipe-4001 Buhl Group-Berger line 2 troughs 1 cattleguard 4012 Lanting-Berger 2 cattleguards 4013 Martens-Berger 2 cattleguards 4014 Noh-Berger 1,255miles pipe-4017 Berger-Schnitker line 1 trough 1.5 miles pipe-4023 Baker-Deep Creek line 1 trough 4 reservoirs 4031 Western Stockgrowers 8 miles pipeline 10 troughs 2 springs 1.5 miles fence 1 cattleguard 4034 Point Ranch 1 mile pipeline 1 trough 5 cattleguards 4035 Whiskey Creek 1.5 miles pipeline 1 trough 2.5 miles fence .5 mile pipe-4038 Kerr-Lost Creek . line 1 trough 1.0 mile pipe-4039 Noh-White Rock line 1 trough 1 reservoir 4040 Noh-Sections 2.5 miles fence .5 mile pipe-4041 PVGA-Mule Creek line 2 reservoirs 1 trough 1 cattleguard 4044 South Mule Creek .5 mile pipeline 2 troughs

Rationale:

Name (MFP)

Activity

Twin Falls

Overlay Reference

Range Management

Step 1 RM-1.7 Step 3

The facilities portrayed in this recommendation are needed to implement the grazing systems. The fences shown will be for deferment or total rest of a part of the range in each allotment, by excluding livestock use. The water facilities will provide for the needs of the livestock and improve distribution of cattle over the range. The cattleguards will reduce the problem of unauthorized use resulting from the inability to keep gates closed.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1000-21 (April 1074

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION Twin Falls Activity

Range Management Overlay Reference

Name (MFP)

StepRM-1.7 Step 3

					mile fence
	1010	Peters		1	spring mile pipeline
	4045	reters		3	troughs
					mile fence
		Hub Butte-WSGA Hub Butte			mile pipeline mile pipeline
		Fuller		1	cattleguard
					mile pipeline
	4066	Barton-Schutte			trough mile fence
	4000	bar ton schutte		.3	miles pipeline
					trough
	40/4	Amsterdam-Kunkel			miles pipeline troughs
				1	well
				-	reservoir miles fence
	4079	Lilly Grade			miles pipeline
	4075			3	troughs
•	4101	Magic Common			miles pipeline troughs
					miles fence
	4097	Cameron			mile fence
					well mile pipeline
					trough
	4102	Lost Creek			mile pipeline
	1106	Stewart			trough cattleguard
		Lost Creek-U2		1.25	miles pipeline
					miles fence
	4114	Squaw Joe			miles pipeline troughs
				2.75	miles fence
		Ridge	τw		reservoir mile fence
		Gravel Pit-Salmon South Big Creek	11'•		mile fence
	,000			1	cattleguard

Support:

Operations: (Survey & Design, installation) Administration: (Contracting) Archeologist: (Cultural Clearances)

• •

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

(Decision) Multiple Use Recommendation:

Modify RM-1.7 -

Analysis of the recommendations RM-1.1, RM-1.2, RM-1.3, RM 1.4, and RM-1.5 has resulted in modifications that change the needed facilities.

Install the following listed facilities to allow implementation and continuaiton of intensive grazing management.

4001	Buhl	Group	-Berner		i mi.pipeline troughs
4012	lant	ing-Be	raer		cattleguard
4012		ing-Be			cattlequards
4014		Berger		2	
4014			Berger		mi.pipeline
4017	Jenn	i unci	Der ger	1	
4031	W St	tockgr	owers	4	
4001		o o o caraga		1	
				7	
				1	
				6	troughs
4034	Poin	t Ranc	h	1	cattleguard
		•		1.5	mi.pipeline
				1	
4035	Whis	key Cr	eek	5	cattleguards
		0		1.5	mi.pipeline
				1	trough
				2.5	mi.fence
4038	Kerr	-Lost	Creek	0.5	mi.pipeline
				1	· · · · ,
4039	Noh-	White	Rock	1	
4040	Noh-	Sectio	on s	1	
					mi.fence
4041	PVGA	-Mule	Creek		mi.pipeline
					troughs
				1	
					mi.fence
4049	Pete	rs		1	
					troughs
				1	
4053	Hub	Butte	-WSGA	1	mi.pipeline

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Name (MFP) Twin Falls Activity Range Management Overlay Reference

Step 1 RM-1.7 Step 3

Reasons:

To implement grazing systems discussed in RM-1.1 and RM-1.2 and facilitate existing operational grazing systems to realize additional livestock forage, wildlife habitat, and watershed cover from the improved vegetation cover. Facilities are needed in some seasonal use allotments to meet resource objectives, such as Western Stockgrowers.

Form 1600-21 (April 1975

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

4055 Hub Butte	1 mi.pipeline
4057 Fuller	1 cattleguard .75 mi.pipeline
	1 trough
4066 Barton-Schutte	0.5 mi.fence
	0.3 mi.pipeline
к с	1 trough
4074 Kunkel Amsterdam	1 reservoir
4079 Lilly Grade	2.75 mi.pipeline 3 troughs
4092 South Big Creek	1 cattlequard
4092 South big creek	1 mi.fence
4101 Magic Common	2.25 mi.pipeline
HIGE C HIGHC BOUNDA	3 troughs
	2.75 mi.fence
4102 Sharp Lost Creek	0.2 mi.pipeline
	1 trough
	1 cattleguard
4106 Stewart	1 cattlequard 1.25 mi.pipeline
4108 Lost Creek-U2	1.25 mi.fence
4114 Squaw Joe	.75 mi.pipeline
4114 Squan 880	2 troughs
	.75 mi.fence
4119 Ridge	1 reservoir
4120 Gravel Pit-	
Salmon Tract	1 mi.fence
Total miles fence	13.75
Total miles pipelin	
Total troughs	29
Total springs	2
Total reservoirs	2 7 ons 1
Total pumping stati	
Total cattleguards	15

Support Needs

Complete the EIS and benefit cost analysis for each project.

Operations -Survey and design, installation, and contracting.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Name (MFP)

Twin Falls

Activity Range Management Overlay Reference

Step 1 RM-1.7 Step 3

Alternatives Considered:

- Reject the project proposals and continue management as present.
- Accept only the projects in proposed systesm.
- 3. Accept only the projects in the existing systems.

Form 1600-21 [April 1973

Name (MFP) <u>Twin Falls</u> Activity <u>Range Management</u> Objective Number RM-2

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Objective:

Treat over the next 15 years, 86,244 acres of public land in the Twin Falls Planning Unit to increase production of livestock forage and improve grazing conditions over the next 17 years.

Rationale:

This objective is designed to solve problems of over obligation and deteriorating range condition identified in URA Step 3 and to help meet the expected 70% increase in demand for AUM's by the year 2000 as identified in the Malad Hill PAA by providing an additional 25,695 AUM's of livestock forage. This objective fulfills the URA Step 4 opportunities for increasing production through land treatments. Analysis of the vegetative inventory, actual use, utilization and trend information shows 27 allotments producing less livestock forage than the 5 year average actual use. The proposed land treatments will increase the livestock forage production and reduce the long term social and economic impacts associated with reduction in use of public land for grazing. 86% of the respondents to the 1980 Twin Falls interview, conducted by Paul Card, indicated that it is important to help ranchers maintain their unique life style. Only 16% of the respondents were in the livestock industry.

Response to an issue statement mailed by the Burley District showed that 64% of the respondents were in favor of land treatments by burning or spraying to increase the proportion of productive pasture on public lands. An additional 9% of the respondents were in favor of improving production by burning but not spraying.

Those areas on which the existing vegetation is predominantly big sagebrushcheatgrass-Sandbergs bluegrass would not be expected to improve in condition from the use of grazing management. Land treatment provides the only viable proposal for improvement.

Section 2 of the Taylor Grazing Act gave as part of the Bureau's responsibility, "to provide for the orderly use, improvement and development of the Range".

Section 2(b)(2) of the Public Range Land Improvement Act states in part, "manage, maintain and improve the condition of the public rangelands so that they become as productive as feasible for all rangeland values".

BLM Manual 1603.12G3B oulines the Bureau's objective to provide forage to help meet the needs of the nation, to help stabilize the economy of the livestock industry, individual users, and dependent communities.

