R A N G E

# MANAGEMENT

	Allotment		Acres		_	Season	Livestock	Percent	Preference	5-year
	Name	Federal	Private	State	Total	of use	Class	Public Land	AUMs	AUMs
	Alder Creek	6322	576	580	7378	05/11-06/15	C	87	501	493
	Elbow	7129	359	0	7488	05/01-05/15	С	100	330	459
	Beaverland Pass	7168	61	651	7880	05/01-09/30	S,C	100	1024	321
	Arco Peak	6935	677	0	7612	04/16-07/31	С	100	257	81
	King Spring	3960	36	0 -	3996	06/16-10/31	. С	100	460	426
	Serviceberry	4576	286	37	4899	06/16-10/31	С	100	382	382
	Deadman	56051	150	2502		04/01-06/15,10/16-12/15	C	100	2550	2049
	Blizzard Mountain	2052	75	157	2284	06/16-10/15	С	100	540	234
	Ory Fork	4116	0 ·	640	4756	07/01-11/15	С	15	640	639
	Judd Brown Canyon	4048	598	315	4961	05/01-06/30,10/11-11/30	C	3390	540	529
4	N. Lava Creek	11642	1080	643	13365	05/20-09/30,09/01-11/30	S,C	2578	817	359
	Crawford Canyon	212	56	0	268	05/10-05/17	C	100	35	. 31
	Marsh Canyon	1289	100	0	1389	05/18-06/15	С	100	139	111
	Waddoups Canyon	14047	404	348	14799	05/01-11/15	' C	100	1384	1223
	Earl Smith	2409	22	0	2431	05/01-09/30	С	100	426	307
	Sheep Mountain	6066	17	0	6083	05/01-11/15	C	75100	720	705
	Leslie Butte	1141	116	0	1257	05/10-07/19	Ċ	100	142	159
	Beck Canyon	1852	677	Ō	2529	05/01-10/15	Č	35	175	175
	Newman Canyon	3699	50	4	3753	05/10-11/20	č	100	428	394
	Sorenson	1148	826	Ó	1974	05/20-10/19	č	100	152	150
	Harger Poing	3008	122	Ŏ	3130	05/01-05/31.11/01-11/30	ı Ö	100	320	272
	Dry Canyon	80	400	ŏ	480	06/01-06/30	č	14	23	22
~.	Mahogany	3861	160	296	4317	05/01-06/30	č	100	300	180
	McGee-Berry Canyon	4366	84	0	4450	05/12-10/11	н,с	100	442.	353
	Hammond Canyon	2675	1353	Õ	4028	05/01-10/30	C.	50	205	206
	Techick Canyon	2723	876	ŏ	3599	07/16-09/15	č	62	139	112
	Latham Hollow	4777	356	732	5865	05/01-06/30	Č	100	665	651
	Champagne Creek	1812	349	732	2161	05/07-08/08	č	100	205	204
	Chicken Creek	5530	4949	37	10516	05/01-09/30	č	352	585	465
	GITTERETT GTEEK	3330	4242	37	10310	03/01-03/30	·	90,100	303	405
	Trail Creek	4598	328	314	5240	05/01-11/31	H.C	5088	400	384
	Goodman Canyon	1411	587	0	1998	05/01-09/30	C	43	129	122
	Appendicitis Hill	5214	294	344	5852	06/01-09/30	Č	100	360	360
	Aikele	1871	377	. 0	2248	05/15-08/05	Č	82100	120	100
	George	972	841	0	1813	05/01-10/31	C /	<u>-</u> 60	94	37
		603	726	. 0	1329	07/01-08/31	č j	10	10	10
	Nickles				4077		- ,			
	Bliss	940	2856	281		05/01-12/15	<u>C</u>	20	118 86	119
	Stoddard Creek	877	55	0	932	05/01-06/30	. <b>C</b>	1225	80	86
	Era Flat	907	463	0	1370	05/01 11/20		50		
	Rocky Canyon	597	-	-		05/01-11/30	H	15	55	10
١.,	ROCKY Canyon	597	17	0	614	05/01-07/15	С	100	300	144
. 1	Mantin Dagania	1650					_ `			
	Martin Pasture	1658	656	0	2314	10/16-11/30	Ç	48	97	39
	Ramshorn	4240	73	0	4313	5/01-06/30,10/15-11/10	Ç	70100	974	943
	Huggins	686	120	0	806	05/01~08/25	С	100	58	58
	TOTALS	199268	22208	7881	229257				17327	14104
				.001	227237				1/36/	14104

<sup>\*</sup> The Deadman allotment is located in the Big Desert Unit, but is used in conjunction with allotments in the Big Lost Unit.

-2.71

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)				
	Big Lost				
Activity					
	Range Management RM-1				
	Overlay Reference				
	Step 1 Step 3				

#### DECISION

Classify allotments into the  $\underline{\text{maintain}}$  category in accordance with the following criteria.

#### Maintain Category

The principle objective is to maintain or improve the existing situation. The five criteria for management of an allotment in the maintain category are the following:

- 1. Present range condition and trend are satisfactory.
- Present management is satisfactory.
- 3. There are no, or very limited, land use conflicts.
- 4. The allotment has high or moderate resource production potential but has limited opportunity for economic return from improved production.
- 5. More than 20 percent of the allotment is public land.

#### Maintain Category Allotments

Arco Peak
King Spring
Judd Brown Canyon
Sorensen
Mahogany
Techick Canyon

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range Management
Objective Number
1

Rationale (continued)

Riparian areas provide habitat for many wildlife species. They are also concentration areas for livestock which can result in damage to the vegetation under unmanaged conditions.

If fencing is required livestock water should be provided through installation of water gaps in the fence or by trough.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Objective.
- 2. Under a heading "Objective," enter a concise quantified statement of the specific activity objective.
- 3. Under a heading "Rationale," enter a detailed statement fully covering all the reasons necessary to justify the proposed action in the objective. Also describe all anticipated positive and negative impacts. (See BLM Manual section 1608 for additional instructions)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1 ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

	-
Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range Management	
Objective Number	
1	

#### <u>Objective</u>

Maintain and or improve quantity and quality of the vegetative resource through selective range management. This will be done by grouping Big Lost grazing allotments into the selective management categories of maintain, improve and custodial by using the management criteria identified in URA 3 . 44 and managing the allotments according to the criteria.

The livestock grazing management program would be based on a "selective management" approach. This approach is based upon a central concept that:
(1) grazing allotments can be grouped into three resource management categories according to renewable resource, social, economic, and management criteria; (2) the intensity of grazing management can be developed based on the level of resource management needed for allotments within each category; and (3) the grouping of grazing allotments between and within categories can establish a priority ranking for the investment of public funds and management efforts.

Manage allotments to protect quality of water and vegetation in riparian areas. Accomplish through grazing systems or fencing if needed.

#### Rationale

Instruction Memorandum No. 82-292 sets forth the new Grazing Management Policy for BLM administered public lands. A selective management approach will be used to assign management priorities among allotments or a group of allotments within a planning unit.

This selective management approach allows for the primary objective of identifying those allotments where resource condition and conflicts warrant immediate management action. All allotments would be managed; however, those grouped in the <a href="improve">improve</a> category are those with a significant resource conflict or with the most immediate potential for increased vegetative productivity and positive return on investments.

Based on the selective management approach, <u>improve</u> category allotments would receive priority for intensive livestock grazing management. These allotments would be the first to have grazing systems developed and funds expended for range improvements. <u>Maintain</u> category allotments would be second in priority for intensive management, and range improvements for <u>custodial</u> category allotments would be last.

The placement of allotments into categories does not preclude them from being moved from one category to another if management goals are achieved or if resource conflicts develop which may require intensive management to rectify.

Nylander 8/82

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Objective.
- 2. Under a heading "Objective," enter a concise quantified statement of the specific activity objective.
- 3. Under a heading "Rationale," enter a detailed statement fully covering all the reasons necessary to justify the proposed action in the objective. Also describe all anticipated positive and negative impacts. (See BLM Manual section 1608 for additional instructions)

į	Name (MFP)					
	Big Lost					
	Activity					
	Range RM-1					
	Overlay Reference					
į	Sten 1 Sten 3					

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

#### MAINTAIN RECOMMENDATION

Maintain the present level of forage production, range condition and trend on the following allotments by establishing the following seasons and levels of livestock use and maintaining existing levels of management. (See URA 3 .44, Table .44 A for information on present levels of livestock and season-of-use.)

#### 1003 Arco Peak

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 4/16 10/15 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 303 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 303 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1004 King Spring

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 6/16 10/31 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 460 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 460 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

Name (MFP)
Rig Lost
Activity Range RM-1
Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

#### 1009 Judd Brown Canyon

1. Seasonal grazing system.

2. 5/1 - 6/30 and 10/1 - 11/30 season-of-use.

3. 33% and 100% F.R. Part of the livestock use on the allotment will be at 33% F.R., because of extensive use of hay fields in the allotment is 90%. This will be adjusted to 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment, not to exceed 44 AUMs.

4. Proposed stocking level is 540 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable

of sustaining the proposed level of 540 AUMs.

5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP) Big Lost				
	Activity Range RM-1				
	Overlay Reference				
	Sten 1 Ste	en 3			

#### 1019 Sorensen

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/20 10/19 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 152 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 152 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)					
Big Los	t				
Activity					
Range	RM-1				
Overlay Re	eferenc	e			
Step 1		Step 3			

#### 1022 Mahogany

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 6/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 300 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 300 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

မေးသောက်ပြီးလို့သည်။ ရည်သွားသည်။ မေးသည့်သည် သင်းသော သည်သည် သည် သည် သည် မေးမေးမေးမည့် နော်သွားသည် သွားသွားသည့် လည်းမေးမေးသည် သည် မေးသည် မေးသည် မြန်မေးမြော့ မေးသည် သည်သည် သည် နေသည်သည် သည် သွေးသည် သွေးသည် သွေးသည် သွေးမေးသည် မေးသည် သည် သည်သည် သည် သည် သည် မြန်မေးမေးသည် သည် သည် သည် သည် မေးမေးမေးသည် မြန်မေးသည်။

and the same of the contract o

Name (MFP)					
Big Lost					
Activity Range RM-1					
Overlay Reference					
Step 1	Step 3	-744.			

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

#### 1025 Techick Canyon

1. Seasonal grazing system.

2.7/16 - 9/15 season-of-use.

License will remain F.R. 3. 100% F.R. The present 62% F.R. will be adjusted to 100%. Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment not to exceed 85 AUMs.

Proposed stocking level is 159 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, the present range and watershed resources are in good condition and the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 159 AUMs. This is an increase of 20 AUMs over the present active preference of 139 AUMs.

If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of

the initial grazing decision.

(Instructions on reverse)

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

kara digaran bada bada kalanda da jada bakkayang di dalah kalanda

with the state of the signal and

and the contribution of planting the second state of the contribution of

in the section of the first term of the section of

The state of the s

g(x) . As the case g(x) is the g(x) and the g(x) is g(x) . We have g(x) is

 $\varphi_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{i} (\{i,j,N_{i}\}) \cap \{i,j,N_{i}\} \cap \{i,j,N_{i}\}$ 

The Allerth Park Commence of Landing Towns GPO 836 - 084 The Commence of the Allerth Commence of Comme

The second of the second of the second

San San Garager

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	name year
Activity	_
Range RM-1	
Overlay Referen	ce
Step 1	Step 3

Range improvement projects needed for improving the present level of livestock management in the maintain category allotments.

Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
1004	King Spring	Fence 5 miles	T. 4 N., R. 27 E. Section 5
1019	Sorensen	Spring development	T. 4 N., R. 25 E. Section 33
1022	Mahogany	Install trough on pipeline from McGee-Berry allotment.	T. 4 N., R. 26 E. Section 6
1025	Techick Canyon	Spring Development Spring Development pipeline 3/4 mile. Pond	T. 4 N., R. 25 E.  Section 33 Section 31 Section 31 Section 32

#### Project Summary

Ponds	1	ea.
Springs	3	ea.
Pipelines	.75	miles
Fence	.5	miles
Water	1	
troughs		

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework  $Plan Step \ 1$  in the MFP narrative.

GPO 836-084

الى دروغوني د 120 ي. مەنە كار دىدىي

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range RM-1	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1 Step 3	· ·

#### Analysis

Based on analysis of allotment specific data on hand and soil/vegetation production inventory data collected on these allotments during the 1980 and 1981 field seasons, the present range condition and apparent trend is satisfactory. In addition, present management is satisfactory and there are no significant land use conflicts on the allotments. The allotments have high or moderate resource production potential, however, there would be limited opportunity for any economic return from any projects designed to improve production or range condition.



- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)

Big Lost
Activity

Range Management RM-2
Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

#### Decision

Classify allotments into the  $\underline{\text{custodial}}$  category in accordance with the following criteria.

#### Custodial Category

The principle objective is to protect existing resource values. There are also five criteria for management of an allotment in this category:

1. Range condition and trend are not primary factors.

2. Present management is satisfactory or is the only logical practice under existing conditions.

3. No serious resource conflicts exist.

4. Vegetation production is below potential, but improvement is limited by technical or economic factors.

5. Land ownership pattern is not a factor.

#### Custodial Category Allotments

° 1008	Dry Fork Smill
1021	Dry Canyon
1030	Goodman Canyon
1032	Aikele
1033	George
1035	Bliss
1037	Era Flat

(Instructions on reverse)

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP	·)
Big Lo	st
Activity	
Range	RM-2
Overlay Re	ference
Step 1	Step 3

#### CUSTODIAL RECOMMENDATION

Protect the existing level of forage production, range condition and trend on the following allotments by establishing the following seasons and levels of livestock use. Management of the allotments will be through a custodial management arrangement with the permittees. (See URA 3, .44 for information on present levels of livestock and seasons-of-use.)

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

was a second of the second of

 $(x_1, x_2) \leq k_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_4$ 

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)		
Big Lost		
Activity		
Range RM-2		
Overlay Reference	ce	
Step 1	Step 3	

#### Analysis

Based on analysis of these allotments and inventory data collected on them, present range condition and trend is not a primary factor for establishing other than custodial management. Present management is satisfactory or is the only logical practice under existing management goals on these allotments while protecting existing resource values. No serious resource conflicts exist on these allotments that would preclude their being placed under custodial management. Vegetation production may be below potential, but improvement of allotment conditions is limited by technical or economic factors.

Nylander 9/82

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)	
i	Big Lost	
	Activity Range - RM-	2
	Overlay Referenc	e
	Step 1	Step 3

#### 1008 Dry Fork

1. Seasonal grazing system.

2. 7/1 - 11/15 season-of-use.

3. 15% F.R.

4. Proposed stocking level is 640 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 640 AUMs.

5. If the present level of management is not maintained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing condition.

#### 1021 Dry Canyon

1. Cancel allotment.

Permittee no longer owns private land.

3. The 80 acres of public land is not used by livestock.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Big Los	t
Activity Range R	M-2
Overlay Refe	erence
Step 1	Step 3

#### 1030 Goodman Canyon

- 1. Seasonal-grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 9/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. The present federal range is 43 percent. This will be adjusted to 100 percent F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 171 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 129 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 129 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1032 Aikele

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/15 8/5 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. The present federal range is 82% and 100% for two permittees. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on the permittees property that is presently listed as 82% F.R. because percent federal range will be adjusted to 100%. The Exchange-of-Use will not exceed 46 AUMs. An Exchange-of-Use will also be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 120 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 120 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Big Lost	
Activity Range RM-2	
Overlay Referen	ice
Step 1	Step 3

#### 1033 George

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 6/1 8/31 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. The present federal range is 60 percent. This will be adjusted to 100 percent F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 84 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 94 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 94 AUMs.
- If the present level of mangement is not maintained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1035 Bliss

- Seasonal grazing system.
- 5/1 12/15 season-of-use. 2.
- 20% F.R. 3.
- Proposed stocking level is 118 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 118 AUMs.
- If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

I Hame (Int. 1)		
Big Lost		
Activity		
Range RM-2		
Overlay Reference		
Step 1 St	ер 3	

Name (MFP)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

#### 1037 Era Flat

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 11/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. The present federal range is 15 percent. This will be adjusted to 100 percent F.R. An exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 312 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 55 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 55 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained through consultation, cooordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (M)	=P)
Big Lo	ost
Activity	
Range	Management RM-3
	Reference
Step 1	Step 3

#### Decision

Classify allotments into the <a href="improve">improve</a> category in accordance with the following criteria.

#### Improve Category

The principle objective is to improve existing unsatisfactory resource conditions. There are five criteria for management of an allotment in this category:

1. Present range condition and trend are unsatisfactory.

2. Present management practices are inadequate to meet the principle objective for this category.

3. Serious resource conflicts may exist on the allotment.

4. Proposed range improvements have potential for a positive economic return through increased resource production.

5. More than 20 percent of the allotment is public land.

#### Improve Category

	1000	Alder Creek	1041	Ramshor	n Canyon
	1001	Elbow	1051	Huggins	
	1002	Beaverland Pass	1034	<del>Nickle</del>	Actions
	1005	Serviceberry		•	
	1006	Deadman		•	
	1007	Blizzard Mountain			
	1010	North Lava Craters			
	1011	Crawford Canyon			
	1012	Marsh Canyon			
	1013	Waddoups-Cherry Creek			
	1014	Earl Smith			
	1015	Sheep Mountain			
	1016	Leslie Butte			
	1017	Beck Canyon			
	1018	Newman Canyon			
	1024	Hammond Canyon			
	1020	Harger Point			
	1023	McGee-Berry Canyon			
	1026	Latham Hollow			
	1028	Chicken Creek			
	1027	Champagne Creek			
	1029	Trail Creek			
	1031	Appendicitis Hill			
	1036	Stoddard Creek			
	1039	Rocky Canyon			
	1040	Martin Pasture			
dditic	nal sheets, if need	ed		DeVoe	9/82

ote: Attach additional sheets, if needed

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFF Big Lo	st	
Activity Range	RM-3	
Overlay Re	ference	
S4 1	C4 2	

#### 1001 Elbow

1. Rest rotation grazing system.

2. 5/1 - 5/15 season-of-use.

3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 330 AUMs. Based on monitoring and evaluation of the range condition, trend and suitability, the stocking level may be increased to 495 AUMs in the third or fifth year following issuance of the initial grazing decision. This would be an increase of 165 AUMs over present active preference of 330 AUMs. As some to 430 see at active document

5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1002 Beaverland Pass

1. Deferred rotation grazing system.

2. 5/1 - 9/30 and 11/1 - 11/30 season-of-use.

3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 538 AUMs. Present range condition is fair and good, however, suitability restricts use on a major part of the allotment due to slope and available livestock water. Substantial non-use has occurred on the allotment and full activation of the present AUMs of preference would result in a deteriorated watershed condition. In order to protect the allotment resources from deterioration, a reduction of 486 AUMs from the present active preference of 1,024 AUMs is required.

5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with permittees and if monitoring of the allotment indicates no deterioration or increased deterioration of the water shed, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

(Instructions on reverse)

#### Revision of AUMs in Elbow Allotment #11001

An Area Manager Decision dated 1/28/85 states that the allotment shall remain at 330 AUMs. Table 1 list how the AUMs were allocated in 1985.

Table 1 - 1985 Decision AUMs

Animal #	Dates	% FR	<u>AUMs</u>
46 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	23
8 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	4
67 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	34
24 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	12
60 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	30
60 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	30
39 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	16
114 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	57
250 Cattle	5/1-5/15	100	125
64 Cattle	5/1-5/15	(EU	32)
	46 Cattle 8 Cattle 67 Cattle 24 Cattle 60 Cattle 60 Cattle 39 Cattle 114 Cattle 250 Cattle	46 Cattle 5/1-5/15 8 Cattle 5/1-5/15 67 Cattle 5/1-5/15 24 Cattle 5/1-5/22 60 Cattle 5/1-5/15 60 Cattle 5/1-5/15 39 Cattle 5/1-5/15 114 Cattle 5/1-5/15 250 Cattle 5/1-5/15	46 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 8 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 67 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 24 Cattle 5/1-5/22 100 60 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 60 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 39 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 114 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100 250 Cattle 5/1-5/15 100

Total = 732 Cattle Total BLM = 331 AUMs

The decision in 1985 also allows for some temporary nonrenewable from 1985 thru 1987. The amount of AUMs allocated to the permittees from the decision with the temporary nonrenewable added in is listed in table 2. The decision also reduces the percent federal range in the allotment to 91% to give credit for 44 AUMs on the Challis National Forest.

Table 2 - 1985 Decision with TNR AUMs

Name	Animal #	Dates	%FR	<u>AUMs</u>
H. Crawford	46 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	32
A. Fullmer	8 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	6
D. Fullmer	67 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	47
J. Jones	24 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	17
A. Deboer	60 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	42
J. Mcaffee	60 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	42
V. Price	39 Cattle	5/1-5/22	2 91	26
V. Woodbury	114 Cattle	5/1-5/23	91	80
Ramshorn Assn.	250 Cattle	5/1-5/23	64	154

Total 732 Cattle

Total = 446 AUMs

A memorandum of Understanding between the Challis National Forest and the BLM in 1986 states that the USFS Elbow Canyon area of Ramshorn C&H will be combined with the BLM Elbow allotment and managed as one unit by the BLM. Billing would be at 91% BLM and 9% USFS for 450 BLM AUMs and 44 AUMs USFS. In 1993 the USFS informed this office that they did not recognize any Forest Service AUMs in this area and asked to terminate the MOU for the Elbow area.

An Allotment Management Plan was written for Elbow Allotment in 1985. It is signed by BLM Area Manager Brent Jensen, Pass Creek Grazing Association President Albert Fullmer, and Lost River Ranger District Ranger Jim Mckllen. The AMP allocates a total of 526 AUMs to Elbow allotment with 450 on BLM, 44 on USFS, and 32 on private land. Table 3 is a summary of how AUMs were allocated in the allotment in the AMP.

#### Table 3- AMP AUMs

H. Crawford	46 Cattle	5/1-5/22	34 AUMs
A. Fullmer	7 Cattle	5/1-5/22	5 AUMs
D. Fullmer	67 Cattle	5/1-5/22	49 AUMs
J. Jones	30 Cattle	5/1-5/22	22 AUMs
A. Deboer	60 Cattle	5/1-5/22	44 AUMs
J. Mcaffee	60 Cattle	5/1-5/22	44 AUMs
V. Price	39 Cattle	5/1-5/22	29 AUMs
V. Woodbury	114 Cattle	5/1-5/22	84 AUMs
Ramshorn Assn.	250 Cattle	5/1-5/22	183 AUMs
EU (Ramshorn)	64 Cattle	5/8-5/22	32 AUMs
Total	737 Cattle	Total	526 AUMs

An evaluation of Elbow allotment completed in 1993 determined that there is sufficient forage in Elbow allotment to convert the Temporary Nonrenewable AUMs allocated in 1985 to permanent AUMs in Elbow Allotment. Utilization and trend studies show that the current three pasture rest rotation grazing system employed in the allotment is successful in improving the range condition in the allotment.

The Jim Jones and David Fullmer permits in the allotment have been canceled since the 1985 decision. They accounted for 91 cattle and 46 AUMs. These permits will be dropped from the stocking rate of the allotment. This will reduce the number of cattle authorized to use the allotment from 732 to 641.

The new authorized use for the allotment is summarized in table 4. The grazing dates for the allotment shall be the same as those used in the AMP - 5/1 to 5/22. This is one day less than the dates used for the TNR issued in 1985. Cattle numbers for each permittee will be the same as those used in the decision in 1985. Percent federal range for all permittees will be 100% except for Ramshorn Grazing Association.

Ramshorn Grazing Association shall receive an exchange of use of 32 AUMs for their 320 Acres of private land located in the allotment.

Table 4 - Revised 1993 Schedule

Name	numbers	date %	FR	<u>AUMs</u>
H. Crawford	46 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	33
A. Fullmer	8 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	6
A. Deboer	99 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	72
J. Mcaffee	60 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	43
V. Woodbury	114 Cattle	5/1-5/22	100	82
Ramshorn Assn.	314 Cattle	5/1-5/22	86	195
Total	641 Cattle	T	otal=	431 AUMs

The Authorized use in Elbow Allotment is summarized below:

- 1) Season of use shall be 5/1-5/22.
- 2) Authorized BLM AUMs in the Allotment is 431 AUMs.
- 3) Exchange of Use for private land in the allotment is for 32 AUMs.
- 4) No AUMs shall be authorized for the Forest Service.
- 5) Number of cattle authorized in the allotment is 641.

Den Trento 2/26/93

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)		
	Big Lost		
	Activity		
	Range RM-3 Overlay Reference		
ļ	Step 1 Ste	ер 3	

### 1005 Serviceberry

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 6/16 10/31 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 382 AUMs. Based on evaluation of present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 382 AUMs by rotating grazing use with private lands outside the allotment.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)		
	Big Lost		
	Activity		
	Range RM <del>-</del> 3		
Ì	Overlay Refere	nce	
	Step 1	Step 3	•

#### 1006 Deadman

- 1. Rest Rotation grazing system.
- 2. 4/1 10/31 season of use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on non-federal land located with in the allotment and leased by Robert Thornton but will not exceed 34 AUMs. Exchange-of-Use will be considered for other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 2,669 AUMs. Deadman allotment is used in conjunction with AEC Riverfield and Riverfield allotments located in the Big Desert Planning Unit. The AEC Riverfield and Riverfield allotments were analized for livestock grazing impacts in the Big Desert EIS. In order to coordinate and improve livestock use on the allotments, AEC Riverfield and Riverfield will be combined with Deadman and the entire area will be called Deadman allotment. Based on evaluation of the present range condition, trend and suitability the Deadman area is capable, sustaining the present authorized level of livestock use of 2,669 AUMs resulting from the combining of these areas.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring indicates deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	l
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range R	M- 3
Overlay Ref	erence
Step 1	Step 3

#### IMPROVE RECOMMENDATION:

Improve the present level of forage production, range condition and trend on the following allotments by establishing seasons-of-use and adjusting the present levels of livestock use over a five year implementation period. In addition management will be intensified through development of rest rotation, deferred, or seasonal grazing systems and the implementation of range improvement projects. (See URA 3, .44, Table .44A for information on present levels of livestock and seasons-of-use.)

### 1000 Alder Creek

- 1. Deferred grazing system.
- 2. 5/16 6/15 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. Prsent F. R. will be adjusted from 87% to 100%. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment not to exceed 74 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 501 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range condition, trend and suitability, the initial stocking rate will be at the present level of 501 AUMs of authorized use.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

(Instructions on reverse)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	<u> </u>
Activity	
Range RM-	3
Overlay Referen	nce
Step 1	Step 3

### 1007 Blizzard Mountain

- 1. Deferred Rotation grazing season.
- 2. 6/16 10/15 season of use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 270 AUMs. Present range condition indicates over-utilization of the lower areas. Most of the upper areas are in good condition, however, suitability restricts use on most of these area due to slope and available livestock water. In order to protect the allot-ment resources from further deterioration in the lower areas, a reduction of 270 AUMs from the present active preference of 540 AUMs is required.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the watershed and range resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels may not be required. If watershed and range conditions have not stabilized or improved, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

GPO 835 - 084

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range RM-	-3
Overlay Refere	nce
Step 1	Step 3

### 1010 North Lava Craters

It is recommended that this allotment be made into two allotments as follows:

#### A. Lava Creek 1010

That area of a present allotment located north and west of the Blizzard Mountain Road and that section of highway 20/26/93 between it's junction with the Blizzard Mountain Road and the Craters of the Moon Boundary.

- 1. Seasonal rotation grazing.
- 2. 5/20 11/1 season of use.
- 3. 100% F.R. Percent F.R. will be adjusted from 25 and 78 percent to 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 105 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level on Lava Creek is 475 AUMs. Evaluation of this area indicates that the majority or all of the present livestock use is occurring in this part of the allotment (North Lava Craters). Based on range condition and trend, this area is capable of providing 475 AUMs of livestock use which is 342 AUMs less than the present authorized use of 817. The remaining 342 AUMs will be authorized in the Craters area located south and east of highway 20/26/93.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

GPO 835 - 084

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	·
Activity	
Range RM-3	3
Overlay Referen	ce
Step 1	Step 3

### 1011 Crawford Canyon

- Deferred Rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/10 5/17 season of use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 12 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, about 60 percent of the area is unsuitable for livestock grazing. Range and watershed conditions are deteriorating on the suitable areas. In order to prevent further deterioration of the range and watershed condition, a reduction of 23 AUMs from the present active preference of 35 AUMs is required.

  In an effort to improve management and resource conditions, the use of this allotment will be closely coordinated with Marsh Canyon allotment and additional acreage along Antelope Valley Road and a part of Judd Brown allotment that presently receives no use.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required. If watershed and range conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1012 Marsh Canyon

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/18 6/15 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 139 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range condition, trend and suitability, the authorized level of livestock use will remain at 139 AUMs. This allotment will be used in close coordination with Crawford
  - Canyon allotment and additional acreage along Antelope Valley Road and a part of Judd Brown allotment that presently receives no use.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.

We have the second of the sec

- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework

  Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

and and the second of the seco

payment for proceedings of the control of payment proceedings care the light speci-

legis i seggese sengti biran at tigi ventri sa nasabili en salah gengalari da

ti kangang dan di katalawan beranjakan kengangan beranjak berang dalam kanalah dalam Najar Berang dan penjaran penjarangan beranggan berang dan penjaran palampan berang dalam berang dalam berang

Consumer of the control of the section of the secti

en julikan mengalah membanjuan kembanjukén mengan kalin kebangan palibi, menguan kebangan menguni mengan belan Ranggan menguni mengunikan mengunikan keranggan mengunikan di kembangan kebanggan belanggan kembangan belangga Referensigan kebangan mengunikan mengunikan mengunikan mengunikan di kembanggan belanggan belanggan kembanggan

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Big Lost	
Activity Range R	M-3
Overlay Refe	erence
Stop 1	C4 2

### B. <u>Craters</u> 1037

That area of the present allotment located east of the Blizzard Mountain Road and that section of highway 20/26/93 between its junction with the Blizzard Mountain Road and the Craters of the Moon boundary.

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/20 11/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level on Craters is 342 AUMs. Evaluation of this area indicates no use has occurred on this area for many years. In an effort to establish use on this area, 342 AUMs of the original 817 AUMs authorized on the old allotment (North Lava Craters will be the proposed authorized stocking level on Craters allotment.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### ANALYSIS:

Grazing records and monitoring of livestock use on North Lava Craters indicates no use of that area located east of highway 20/26/93. In order to promote use of this area, it needs to be separated from the remainder of the allotment and have seasons and levels of use established. This will prevent all of the present use occurring on the North Lava Creek area and promoting more even livestock distribution on the allotment.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- 2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan - Step 1 in the MFP narrative. ေရး မက်မန္တန္တမည္း ေရးျမန္မာေက်န္တုိင္တြဲမ်ား မလိုမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ားမွာမ်ား က်န္တ

24 6, 5 7, 5 7, 5 34 more grants or a more than the control of GPO-836 ros4 (5 5 7 ) Tenth in a ្នាក់ស្គ្រា បញ្ចូល**ស្ថិតបន្ថែក សេស**្តា

كالكرية لإنشقاف الدرايي المرتدرين ومصادر فالعاف المساب المراز والمسار والمعافرة المستراك والمراج والمساب

الأنهار والقولة أراعان إعلام إلأوار المعتفر والعالم والمرتق الأنهاج المتعار للعار المعتور المعتور الهورانواني والمصابيط والرواز والرواز والمرازي والمنافي والمنافي والمرازي والمراز والمراز والمعار والمرازي والمرازي 

يواد ڪونيڪ پرسطرو ۾ انجيزو ۾ ڳاڳي آئي. آهن جل بي انجي ڳيمطري جي ۾ پڪ برندي ۾ انجيڪيون 化环烷二十二烷 经工作 医多子氏 医大胆病 医多种毒性 化海霉素 化氟基 化氯化二甲基乙

Programme and State of

The Committee with the committee of the and the second s المراجي والأنجاب الجنوات فرازعا أروعه 

in a construction of the construction of the con-

Name (MFP) Big Los	t _
Activity Range R	M-3
Overlay Refe	rence
Step 1	Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

#### 1015 Sheep Mountain

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 11/15 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. Percent F.R. will be adjusted from 75 and 100% F.R. to 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment not to exceed 112 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 720 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range condition, trend and suitability, the allotment is capable of sustaining the present 720 AUMs of authorized livestock use.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring 1 indicates deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### 1016 Leslie Butte

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/10 7/9 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 116 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range condition and trend the allotment is in fair and good condition however, due to available livestock water, deterioration is occurring in the low areas where fair range condition exists and it is close to available water. Due to the lack of water only part of the allotment is suitable for livestock use and in order to prevent further deterioration of the fair and poor areas and reduction of 26 AUMs from the present active preference of 142 AUMs is required.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required. If watershed and range conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third of fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

\* Note: Areamangers dearson 2/4/47 Deduced the English of the all: thent to 13 Jacums
Areamangers dearson of 6/29/89 (attacked) changed the Season of one to 8/1 - 5/31 and
fixed the stading habe at 133 aums.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.

والمرابع والمحارب والمحاج فيراه والمكار والمستعدد والمتاريخ والمحارب والمحارب والمحارب والمحارب والمحارب والمحارب

proceeding the second of the control of the control

الهاجة حادا والمهائل المناهية الوالي فالمعاف فالمسار الشعمول م

4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.

the responsible and the contract of the contra

ander von der skrivingen in de kommen er van de kommen ster van de propositiere in de van de van de van de van De de van de De van de va

والقريات القميد كينا وعاديها والمحار التهواج لهوار الجارا المراج والمام والحاري والرازي والمعقومين

i de la composition La composition de la

بها لها الصفحول الماري الشابي المناسبين المناسب المحاسبين المارين المراكب المساب

5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan - Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

GPO 835 - 084

عامر المرمة والمراثث الرابالي يراب الأسال

June 29, 1989

### Centified BLM - 80032

AETNA Casualty and Surety o/o Doane Western Company P.O. Box 3661 2504 West Main Street, Suite H Bozeman, Montana 59772-3661 Att: Mr. William H. Miller

Dear Mr. Miller:

Enclosed you will find an Area Manager's Decision dealing with the Leslie Butte allotment.

This decision is a follow-up to two decisions sent either to First Continental Corporation or Donna Barr an authorized representatives of Aetha Casualty and Surety. A decision issued on 1/11/85, reduced First Continental Corporation's preference in the Leslie Butte allotment by 9 ALMs. The 9 ALM reduction was scheduled to be implemented in 1987. This decision also established a schedule where an additional 17 ALMs was to be implemented over a five year period of time based upon BLM's monitoring studies and actual grazing use in the allotment.

An Area Manager's Decision issued on 2/4/87 reduced AETNA's (originally First Continental) privileges in the allotment 9 ALMs, and set the grazing season in the allotment from 5/1 to 5/31. The allotment was also to be monitored through the 1988 grazing season for responses to the reduction and change of season of use.

Monitoring studies through the 1988 grazing season has shown excellent improvement in plant vigor, livestock utilization and distribution patterns, and seed production on forage species in all key grazing areas of the allotment. All of these factors point to a definite upward trend in ecological conditions throughout the allotment.

In light of the monitoring studies, I feel that any further reductions or changes in grazing use would be unwarranted at this time. Therefore, I have decided to continue to manage the aliotment under the current conditions imposed by the 1987 decision. The stocking rate and season of use will remain at the 1987 levels:

Your new grazing preference is outlined in the attached "Notice of Area Manager's Proposed Decision". If you have any questions or feel the need for any additional information, please give me a call at 529-1020.

Sincerely,

/S/Barbara Klingenber, Acting

for LeRay Cook Area Manager Big Butte Resource Area

Enclosure TTaylor:tn:06:29:89

## NOTICE OF AREA MANAGER'S PROPOSED DECISION LESLIE BUTTE ALLOTMENT

#### AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY

- Your active preference in the Leslie Butte allotment #11016 shall remain at 133 AUMs consistent with the grazing capacity of the allotment, (43 CFR 4110.2-2(a) and 4110.3-2(b))
- 2. The authorized season of use in the allotment shall remain from 5/1 to 5/31.
- 3. Authorized grazing use shall be recognized in a permit with a term of ten years beginning in 1990 and running through 1999. The 1990 permit will be as follows:
  - 130 Cattle 5/1 to 5/31 100% Public Land Use 134 AUMs (1 AUM temporary Non-renewable)
- 4. If you wish to protest this decision, you have 15 days from receipt of this proposed decision to file a protest at the office of the authorized officer. Your protest should state clearly and concisely why you think this decision is in error (43 CFR 4160.2).

In the absence of a timely filed protest, the proposed decision will become the authorized officers final decision without further notice in accordance with 43 CFR 4160.3.

Should the Decision become the authorized officers final decision, 30 days are allowed from receipt of the final decision in which to file an appeal at the office of the authorized officer. (See  $43 \, \text{CFR} \, 4160.3 \, \text{(c)}$  and  $4160.4 \, \text{)}$ .

Area Manager, Big Butte Resoure Area

Date 137

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range RM-3
Overlay Reference
Step 1 45 A 4 Step 3

### 1013 Waddoups - Cherry Creek

1. Seasonal grazing system.

2. 05/10 - 06/10 season-of-use.

3. 100 percent F.R. -An-Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 1,384 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range condition, trend and suitability, the authorized level of livestock use will remain at 1,384 AUMs.

5. If the desired level of management is not attained thorugh consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring indicates deterioration of the allotment watershed and range resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

Control channel erosion on Wood Canyon (recommended method-grazing reduction or a rest rotation grazing system).

### 1014 Earl Smith

1. Deferred rotation grazing system.

2. 05/01 - 06/30 season-of-use.

 100 percent F.R. An Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 196 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, present range condition is fair and poor in several areas. Utilization is very heavy in some areas and deterioration of the range and watershed resources indicate the need of reduced livestock use. In order to protect the allotment resources from further deterioration, a reduction of 230 AUMs from the present active preference of 426 AUMs is required.

5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the watershed and range resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels may not be required. If watershed and range conditions have not stabilized or improved, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

\* note: 1987 Attemenagers decision (attached) cancelled the crysional decision and implemented a new and ing system with a town and date of Mr. with the later town ach and the 3 pasters system No Reduction in Stocking included.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Nylander 9/82

**10**%

Certified BLM - 3898

February 4, 1987

### NOTICE OF AREA MANAGER'S PROPOSED DECISION EARL SMITH ALLOTMENT

Orville Smith
Darlington, Idaho 83231

Dear Mr. Smith:

The Area Manager's decision issued on January 11, 1985, requires me to address livestock use adjustments in the Earl Smith Allotment. As stated in that decision, the impacts of grazing use would be monitored throughout the 1986 grazing season. Monitoring studies have included condition and trend studies; actual use reports and cattle counts; and the mapping of utilization and distribution patterns.

These studies have shown an increase in the vigor of the key forage species under the present "trial" deferred grazing system, indicating improving range conditions.

In light of this information, my proposed decision is as follows:

- 1. Cancel reduction schedule as outlined in the original decision letter \* dated 1/16/85.
- 2. Defer all turnouts in the Earl Smith allotment until 7/1/87.
- 3. Rotate turnouts in the three existing pastures every year. Turnout will occur in each pasture every third year.

Your grazing preference shall remain at 426 AUMS.

Authorized grazing shall be recognized in a permit with a term of two years from 1987 through 1988. The 1987 permit will read as follows:

150 cattle 07/01/87 - 09/25/87 100% PL use 425 AUMs (1 AUM Non-use)

If you wish to protest this decision as provided by Title 43 CFR 4160.2, you have 15 days from receipt of this letter to file your protest with the Area Manager at the Idaho Falls District Office. Your protest should state clearly

and concisely why you think this decision is in error. In the absence of a protest, this decision will become final without further notice. Final decisions may be appealed under the provisions of 43 CFR 4160.4 and 43 CFR 4.470 within 30 days after the receipt of the letter.

Shirt Dersin

2-9-87

Date

TTaylor: tn:02:04:87

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	•
١	Name (MFP)
1	Big Lost
Ī	Activity
1	Range RM-3
- 1	Overlay Reference
	Step 1 Step 3

### 1017 Beck Canyon

Deferred rotation grazing system.

100% F.R. Present federal range is 35 percent for 175 AUMs. 1. The present total use on the allotment, including private and 2. federal range is 500 AUMs. Percent federal range will be adjusted from 35% to 100% F.R. for 128 AUMs. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but, due to the deteriorated range and watershed conditions, the Exchange-of-Use will not exceed

Proposed stocking level is 128 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, present range condition is good and fair. Utilization is very heavy in the low areas and heavy to moderate on the steeper a deteriorated condition and reduced level of livestock use is needed to prevent further deterioration. In order to protect and improve the range and watershed resources, an adjustment in percent federal from 35 to 100 percent federal is required and a reduction of 47 AUMs from the present authorized active preference of 175 AUMs is required.

It is also recommended that Beck Canyon be managed under a pasture system that would include Huggins allotment No. 1051 and a parcel of private land in Beck Canyon under an Exchange-

Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources additional adjustments in stocking levels may not be required. If range and watershed conditions have not stabilized or improved, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

> DeVoe 9/82 Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

GPO 836 - 08

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range RM-3	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1	Step 3

### 1018 Newman Canyon

1. Deferred rotation grazing system.

2. 5/10 - 11/20 season-of-use.

3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 251 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment over 50 percent of the area is unsuitable for livestock grazing due to steep slopes. The steep slopes are in good condition however, the areas suitable for grazing are in fair and poor condition. Canyon bottoms are eroding and deterioration of the range and watershed resources are occurring. In order to prevent further deterioration and protect the range and watershed resources, a reduction of 177 AUMs from the present active preference of 428 AUMs is required.

5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required. If watershed and range conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### 1024 Hammond Canyon

1. Deferred rotation grazing system.

2. 5/1 - 10/30 season-of-use.

3. 100% F.R. Present F.R. will be adjusted from 50 percent to 100 percent. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment not to exceed 205 AUMs.

4. Proposed stocking level is 205 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the percent ragge condition, trend and suitability, the allotment is capable of sustaining the present authorized level of 205 AUMs of livestock use.

5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- 2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608:3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan - Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

Marie Arthur 1980 and the second control of the contro

with the file of the control of the first of the second of

ا المنظمة التحرير في الأرفيطين الأراب المنظمية المنظمين المنظم المنظم والمنظم المنظمية المنظم المنظم المنظمة ا

and the second of the second o

4.

And the second second of the second s

the residence of the war there have been about the

english sakaban persenting

GPO\*836-084

والمحاودة

sa nakang kalang talah sa ang dalaman ang gantan ng gayar **g**i sa ang talah sa sa sa sa gayar an ang talah sa sa

المكروق والمقطور الرائي وكالهزارة المارات المار المدا

Sugar Sugar Sugar Sugar

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
RECOMMENDATION	-ANALYSIS-	DECISION

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range RM-3
Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

### 1020 Harger Point

- 1. Rest rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 5/31 and 11/1 11/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 280 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, present range condition is fair and poor in areas frequently grazed. Utilization is heavy in these areas and deterioration of the range and watershed resources indicate the need of reduced livestock use. In order to protect the allotment resources from further deterioration, a reduction of 40 AUMs from the present active preference of 320 AUMs is required.
- 5. Based on the level of mangement attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the watershed and range resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels may not be required. If watershed and range conditions have not stabilized or improved, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

GOT GETT

The second second

GPO 836-084

and an est was first for the property of the second second

Color of Services and Property and Color of the

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

į	Name (MFP)	
	Big Lost	
	Activity Range RM-3	<del>-</del>
Overlay Reference		
į	Step 1 Ste	ъ 3

#### 1023 McGee-Berry

- 1. Rest rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/12 10/11 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal land located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 442 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 442 AUMs.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained and/or improved through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

and with the control of the control

المري الوطيعة التي المحمد المتاريخ

والإنقيد وينتاه والأناوال والوصولي والأواد والجرائية والمارات والأناف والأرافي والمراكي والمعاطون

registrer in the graph of the configuration of the second contract of the configuration of th

GPO 836 - 084

ائي المحيد (<mark>محيد) ۾ در مانجو ان ٻياني پريان محيد تا</mark>**ت** جي ر

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Los	t
Activity	
Range	RM-3
Overlay Refe	erence
Step 1	Step 3

### 1026 Latham Hollow - Timber Mountain

Deferred rotation grazing system. 2.

2. 5/1 - 6/30 season-of-use.

100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 545 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, the Timber Mountain area is in fair and good condition with little deterioration of the resources and will show improvement through close management. However, the range and watershed is in poor condition in the low areas of Latham Hollow and a reduction in use and intense management is needed to prevent further deterioration. In order to improve the range and watershed resources a reduction of 120 AUMs from the present active preference of 665 AUMs is required.

5. Based on the level of management attained on Latham Hollow - Timber Mountain allotment through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittees and if monitoring indicates and improved condition of range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required.

If range and watersheds conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### 1028 Chicken Creek

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 9/30 season-of-use.
- 100% F.R. Present F.R. is 3, 52, 90, and 100% for a total of 585 AUMs. This will be changed to 100% for 585 AUMs and an Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 294 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 585 AUMs. Evaluation of the allotments range and watershed condition indicates that it is capable of sustaining its present active preference of 585 AUMs. Private property located along Dry Fork Creek and is used for hay production will be excluded from the allotment.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which
  it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3
  would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2
  would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan Step 1 in the MFP narrative.

李二十二八年,李二十二<u>年</u>,本

GPO 836 - 084

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Los	<u>st</u>
Activity	
Range	RM-3
Range Overlay Re	ference
Step 1	Step 3

### 1027 Champagne Creek

Allotments 1027, Champagne Creek SW and 1050, Champagne Creek NE will be combined into one and will be identified as allotment 1027, Champagne Creek.

- Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 5/7 8/8 season of use.
- 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- Proposed stocking level is 182 AUMs. Based on evaluation of allotments about 40% of the area is unsuitable for livestock use due to steep slopes and lack of available livestock water. As a result of this, heavy livestock use is occurring on the more suitable areas and the range and watershed condition is deteriorating. In order to prevent further deterioration of the range and watershed resources, a reduction of 23 AUMs from the present active preference of 205 AUMs is required.
- Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required. If range and watershed conditions do not stabilize or improve, furthur adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

(Instructions on reverse)

- 1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
- 2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
- 3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
- 4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
- 5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) Management Framework Plan - Step I in the MFP narrative. CHI GO BAR BEGIN CANDON SECTION OF THE CONTRACTOR

rescurred to the company of the first the part of the entering of the contract In Other particular than the property of the first transfer of the second of the secon or for the second boy set a rose control of the respect to a second, in the

e disangger of the contract was been been as a second contract of the and the special of the second of the second

A discount of the days w

1.10

and the first of the deal of the same

en variante de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del c

Consultant Grand Consultant Consu

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)
	Big Lost
1	Activity
	Range RM-3
	Overlay Reference
-	Step 1 Step 3

#### 1029 Trail Creek

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 11/31 season-of-use.
- 3. 50% and 100% F.R. The permittee has several horses that run on the allotment 50% of the time. Therefore, he will be authorized 35 AUMs at 50% F.R. His present livestock percent federal range is 88%. This will be adjusted to 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 50 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 320 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment over 40 percent of the area is unsuitable for livestock grazing due to steep slopes. The steeper slopes away from the valley bottoms are in good and fair condition, however, the slopes and valley bottoms suitable for grazing are in fair and poor condition. Gulley and stream erosion indicates a deteriorating range and watershed condition. In order to prevent further deterioration and protect the range and watershed resources, a reduction of 80 AUMs from the present active preference of 400 AUMs is required.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required. If watershed and range conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Nylander 9/82

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP	)
Big De	sert
Activity	
Range	_RM-3
Overlay Re	ference
Step 1	Step 3

### 1031 Appendicitis Hill

Seasonal grazing system.

 $\frac{2}{2}$ . 6/1 - 9/30 season-of-use.

-3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

4. Proposed stocking level is 300 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment over 65 percent of the area is unsuitable for livestock grazing due to steep slopes. The higher steep slopes are in good condition, however, the lower slopes and areas suitable for grazing are in fair and poor condition. In order to protect the range and watershed resources and prevent further deterioration, a reduction of 60 AUMs from the present active preference of 360 AUMs is required.

 Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittee and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not

be required.

If watershed and range conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

i	Name (MFP)
	Big Lost
	Activity
	Range RM-3
	Overlay Reference
	Step 1 Step 3

### 1036 Stoddard Creek

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 6/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100 percent Federal Range. Present federal range is 12, 25 and 51 percent for 86 AUMs. This will be adjusted to 100 percent federal range for 86 AUMs. An Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment not to exceed 660 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 86 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment. Most of the use occurs on wet meadows located on private property within the allotment. Public land is located mostly in Stoddard Creek proper where 49 AUMs of use occurs on BLM managed land and 48 AUMs of use on U.S. Forest Service managed land. All of Stoddard Creek area needs to be combined under management of one agency in order to maintain or improve the present range condition and improve livestock distribution to prevent any deterioration of the allotment resources. Active preference on Stoddard Creek allotment will be 86 AUMs.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination, and cooperation with the permittee and minitoring of the allotment indicates deterioration of the allotment resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity .
Range RM-3
Overlay Reference
Stem 1 Stem 2

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

### 1039 Rocky Canyon

1. Seasonal grazing system.

2. 5/1 - 7/15 season-of-use.

3. 100% F.R. An Exchange of Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.

- 4. Proposed stocking level is 120 AUMs. BLM grazing records indicate that much of the use authorized on this allotment was of temporary nature and based on the amount of forage production from the seeding. Evaluation of the allotment indicates that forage production is depleted and present range condition is poor. Based on the allotment evaluation a reduction of 180 AUMs, (to be administered to the permittees in accordance with their present percent preference on the allotment), from the present active preference of 300 AUMs is required to bring livestock use in line with forage production and prevent further deterioration of the allotment resources.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with the permittees and if monitoring indicates an improved condition of the range and watershed resources, additional adjustments in stocking levels will not be required.

If range and watershed conditions do not stabilize or improve, further adjustments in stocking levels will be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range RM-3	3
Overlay Referen	
Step 1	Stan 3

### 1040 Martin Pasture

- 1. Seasonal grazing system.
- 2. 10/16 11/30 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. The present federal range is 48 percent. This will be adjusted to 100 percent F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment but not to exceed 105 AUMs.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 97 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the present range and watershed conditions, the allotment is capable of sustaining the proposed stocking level of 97 AUMs. The allotment boundary will be adjusted to exclude most of the private land on the west end of the allotment along Lava Creek.
- 5. If the present level of management is not maintained through consultation coordination and cooperation with the permittee and monitoring indicates a deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

## MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range RM-3
Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

### 1041 Ramshorn Canyon

- 1. Rest rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 6/30 and 10/15 11/10 season-of-use.
- 3. 100%Federal Range (F.R.) is all 100% except for one permittee who has 70% F.R. An Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on his private property located within the allotment but not to exceed 27 AUMs. An Exchange-of-use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed level of stocking is 974 AUMs. Based on evaluation of the allotment, the range condition is about evenly distributed in good, fair and poor conditions. However, due to poor distribution livestock use is light in the good condition, moderate in the fair condition, and heavy in the poor condition areas. An existing water facility is being improved and will provide for the continued active grazing preference of 974 AUMs through improved distribution and improve range condition.
- 5. Based on the level of management attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation and if monitoring indicates deterioration of the range and watershed resources, adjustments in stocking level may be required in the third or fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)						
Big Lost						
Activity						
Range RM-3						
Overlay Reference						
Step 1 Step 3						

#### 1051 Huggins

- 1. Deferred rotation grazing system.
- 2. 5/1 8/25 season-of-use.
- 3. 100% F.R. An Exchange-of-Use will be considered for livestock use on other non-federal lands located within the allotment.
- 4. Proposed stocking level is 58 AUMs. Based on evaluation of this allotment, it is capable of sustaining the present active preference of 58 AUMs. Past uncontrolled use by livestock from Beck Canyon allotment in conjunction with the authorized 58 AUMs has created over-utilization problems.
  - In order to more effectively manage this allotment, it is recommended that it be combined with Beck Canyon allotment and an Exchange-of-Use for Huggins private and the entire area be placed under an intensive management system to improve range and watershed conditions.
- 5. If the desired level of management is not attained through consultation, coordination and cooperation with permittees and monitoring indicates deterioration of the allotment range and watershed resources, stocking levels may be adjusted in the third and fifth year after issuance of the initial grazing decision.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Nylander 9/82

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP) Big Lost					
	Activity Range RM-4					
Overlay Reference						
ĺ	Step 1 Step 3					

#### Decision

Range improvement projects considered to improve range condition and trend and enhance forage production are listed by allotment. Range improvements necessary to attain the management intensity selected in Alternative E of the Big Lost Mackay EIS are denoted by "E" in the following list.

Maintain native vegetation within 100 yards of either side of live streams and water sources such as spring, lakes and impoundments. Also maintain native vegetation within  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile of strutting grounds and agricultural lands. Reseeding of rangelands, when necessary, will be done using a mixture of grasses, forbs and shrubs to achieve a diversity of vegetation.

Allot. No.	Allotment Name		Project Name	Location
1000	Alder Creek			T. 6 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Pond Development	Sec. 9
		E	Pond Development	Sec. 15
		E	Pond Development	Sec. 23
		E	Pond Development	Sec. 21
			Develop Spring	Sec. 9
1.3 A. S.			with trough	
		Ε	Develop Spring	Sec. 24
-			with trough	
		E	Develop Spring	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 10
			with trough	
		E	Develop watergap	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 22
			Remove seeding protection fence 0.5 mile	Sec. 10
			Sagebrush control 800 ac.	Sec. 3, 4, 10, 11 14 and 15
			Sagebrush control 400 ac.	Sec. 13, 18, 23, and 24
				T. 6 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 18
			Develop Spring	Sec. 19
			with trough	
1001	Upper Elbow		•	
1001	LIBOW		Pipeline with trough going east from well	T. 7 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 30
			l mile	
2N.			Pipeline extension with trough from existing	Sec. 31
, " · ). ·			pipeline l mile	·

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Big Lost

Activity

Range RM-4
Overlay Reference

Step 1

I Step 3

Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
·		E Relocate existing storage tank and with pump jack at well $3\frac{1}{2}$ mile	(to) NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 19
	Upper Elbow	Water trough at well E Sagebrush control 800 acres	Sec. 30 Sec. 31 and 32
			T. 6 N., R. 26 E.
			Sec. 5 and 6
			T. 7 N., R. 25 E.
			Secs. 23, 24, 26, 27, 34, and 35
			T. 7 N., R. 26 E.
		Pipeline with trough from proposed storage tank re-location 3/4 mile	(to) NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 24
1002	Beaverland Pass		T. 5 N., R. 27 E.
		Water storage tank at Beaverland Spring	Sec. 30
		Burn sagebrush draws 300 acres	Secs. 19 and 30
			T. 5 N., R. 26 E.
		Sagebrush control 1000 acres	Secs. 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14
1006	Deadman		T. 3 N., R. 28 E.
		Extend drift fence along highway 20/26 (2-miles) way along the boundary	Secs. 15, 23, 24,
		(1 mile) 3 miles	
			T. 3 N., R. 29 E.
•			

Secs. 18 and 19

ANAGEMENI	Activity
	Range RM-4
EWORK PLAN	Overlay Reference
LYSIS-DECISION	Step 1 Step 3

Name (MFP)

Big Lost

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Deadman (continued) Project Description Location Allotment Name Allot. No. T. 4 N., R. 28 E. Secs. 26, 27, 32 Fence both sides highway 88/22 from intersection 33, 34 and 35 highway 20/26 to allotment boundary on the north and along boundary to prevent drift ... 10 miles T. 3 N., R. 28 E. Secs. 5, 6 and 7 T. 5 N., R. 28 E.  $NW_{4}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  Sec. 31 Cattleguard T. 4 N., R. 27 E. Waterhaul road Secs. 26 and 35 development ...  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mi. E Waterhaul road Secs. 27 and 34 development ... 1 mi. T. 3 N., R. 28 E. Waterhaul road development Secs. 31, 30, and 19 ...  $2\frac{1}{2}$  mi. T. 3 N., R. 27 E. Sec. 2 Drift fence ...  $\frac{1}{2}$  mi. Drift fence ...  $\frac{1}{2}$  mi. Sec. 1 Pond development Sec. 2 Sagebrush control ... Secs. 1, 2 and 3 2,500 acres T. 3 N., R. 28 E. Secs. 5 and 6 T. 4 N., R. 28 E. Secs. 21, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33 and 34

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Nylander 9/82

(Instructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range RM-4
Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
1007	Blizzard Mountain		T. 2 N., R. 23 E.
		Develop spring with trough	Sec. 13
	E	Develop spring with trough	SE <sup>1</sup> Sec. 13
	JAME?		T. 2 N., R. 24 E.
	Е	Pipeline with trough from spring in SE <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> , Sec. 13, T. 2 N.,	
		R. 23 E 3/4 mi.  Develop spring with trough and pipeline ½ mi.	Sec. 8
	North Lava Craters		T. 2 N., R. 24 E.
	E	Pond development Extend boundary fence	Sec. 4 Sec. 18
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fence road boundary and Craters of the Moon National Monument $2\frac{1}{2}$ mi.	Secs. 13, 24, 23
1010			÷
1012	Marsh Canyon		T. 5 N., R. 25 E.
	E	Drift fence ¼ mi. Drift fence ¼ mi. Pond development Sagebrush control 160 acres	Sec. 18 Sec. 19 Sec. 17 Secs. 17, 18, 19

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range RM-4	
Overlay Reference	

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

	RECOMMENDATION-ANA	LYSIS	-DECISION	Step 1 Step 3
Allot. No.	Allotment Name		Project Description	Location
1013	Waddoups - Cherry Creek			T. 5 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Pond development	NW¼ Sec. 19 NW¼ Sec. 20 NW¼ Sec. 20 SE¼ Sec. 34 SW¼ Sec. 26 NE¼ Sec. 26 SW¼ Sec. 23 SW¼ Sec. 23 SW¼ Sec. 25 SE¼ Sec. 25 SE¼ Sec. 25 SE¼ Sec. 13
				T. 5 N., R. 25 E.
			Develop spring and pipe to existing trough ¼ mile	Sec. 19 T. 5 N., R. 24 E.
<b>V</b> ince the second of the seco		E	Develop spring in Sec. 22 and pipeline with troughs 2 mi. Pipeline with trough fro spring in Sec. 28 1 mile	Secs. 22, 26, 27 35 om (to) NE½ Sec. 32
			Develop spring with trough	Sec. 30
			Relocate larkspur protection fence ½ mile	(to) $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}NW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 15
			Sagebrush control 700 acres	Sec. 19, 32, 30
				T. 4 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Pond development	$NE^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 4
				T. 5 N., R. 24 E.
			Sagebrush control 1,000 acres	Secs. 25, 35, 36
				T. 5 N., R. 25 E.
				Sec. 30 and 31

Name (MFP) Big Lost Activity Range RM-4
Overlay Reference

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

	RECOMMENDATION-	ANAL	YSIS-DECISION	Step 1 Step 3
Allot. No.	Allotment Name		Project Description	Location
1014	Earl Smith			T. 6 N., R. 25 E.
		E	Sagebrush control 400 acres	Secs. 21, 28, and 3
1015	Sheep Mountain			T. 6 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Cattleguard  Develop spring and pipe  water to trough	$SE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 18 Sec. 19
Q:			l mile Drift fence $\frac{1}{2}$ mile Drift fence $\frac{1}{2}$ mile	Sec. 20 Sec. 20 Sec. 29
				T. 6 N., R. 25 E.
		E	Sagebrush control 500 acres	Sec. 20, 21, 29
刀 ,	Hammond Canyon			T. 3 N., R. 24 E.
7024		Е	Develop spring with trough	$NE_{4}^{1}$ Sec. 14
•			Water gap fence $\frac{1}{4}$ mile	$NE^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 14
1026	Latham Hollow-			•
	Timber Mountain	n		T. 4 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Develop spring with trough	Sec. 15 Sec. 22 Sec. 25
		E	Pond development	Sec. 26
			Fence existing pond and install water trough	Sec. 21 Sec. 14
·		_	Cattleguard	Sec. 26
		E	Sagebrush control 400 acres	Secs. 10, 11, 14 15 and 23
				T. 4. N., R. 25 E.
		E	Develop spring with trough	Sec. 31
<i>يا</i> (			Drift fence ½ mile	Sec. 30

Name (MFP) Big Lost Activity Range RM-4 Overlay Reference

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

	RECOMMENDATION-AN	ALYSI	S-DECISION	Step 1 Step 3
Allot. No.	Allotment Name		Project Description	Location
1028	Champagne-Creek			T. 3 N., R. 23 E.
			Burn sagebrush 600 acres	Secs. 2, 3, 10, 11
1029	Trail Creek			T. 4 N., R. 25 E.
- -		E	Pond development Pond development Drift fence $l\frac{1}{2}$ mi.	Sec. 16 Sec. 7 Sec. 9
				T. 4 N., R. 24 E.
		E	Spring development with trough Cattleguard	$SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}Sw_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 12 $NE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}Sec. 14$
				T. 5 N., R. 26 E.
ورگر -	Rocky Canyon	E	Install trough Sagebrush control - 500 ac.	Sec. 31 Sec. 32
1036	Stoddard Creek			T. 4 N., R. 24 E.
			Sagebrush control 80 ac.	Sec. 17
			Fence 3/4 mile	Sec. 19
1018	Newman Canyon			T. 4 N., R. 25 E.
		E E E	Fence ½ mile Pond Pond Pond Pond Sagebrush control 200 acres	Sec. 9 $NW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 4 $SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 6 $NW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 5 $SW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 8  Sec. 9

Name (MFP)					
Big Lost	<u>.                                    </u>				
Activity					
Range F	KM-4				
Overlay Refer	ence				
Step 1	Step 3				

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
1017	Beck Canyon		T. 4 N., R. 25 E.
	E	Sagebrush control 600 acres	Sec. 20, 21, 28
	E E E E	Spring developments Spring development Spring development Pond Pond	SW½ Sec. 19 NE¼ Sec. 30 NW¼ Sec. 28 SW¼ Sec. 29 NW¼ Sec. 30
ą.			
1041	Ramshorn Canyon		T. 5 N., R. 26 E.
		Pipeline extension with trough $\frac{1}{2}$ mile	Sec. 3
			T. 6 N., R. 26 E.
)) -	E	Cattleguard Cattleguard Sagebrush control 600 acres	NE¼ Sec. 34 SE¼ Sec. 34 Sec. 26, 35
	•		
1050	Champagne Creek NE		T. 3 N., R. 24 E.
	E E	Develop spring with trough Drift fence $\frac{1}{2}$ mile	$SW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sec. 23

Name (Mrr)
Big Lost
Activity
Range RM-4
Overlay Reference

#### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Step 1 Step 3

	RECOMMENDATION-ANAL	r SIS-DECISION Ste	p 1 Step 3
Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
1005	Serviceberry		T. 4 N., R. 27 E.
	•	Sagebrush control 600 acres	Sec. 13, 14, 15
		Pipeline with troughs troughs $1\frac{1}{4}$ miles	Sec. 12, 13
		Storage tank (5,000 gal.)	Sec. 13
- -			T. 5 N., R. 27 E.
		Pond Pond Spring development	Sec. 26 Sec. 35 Sec. 35
1020	Harger Point	oping development	
1020	Harger Point		T. 4 N., R. 25 E.
<u>)</u> )		Pasture fence 1 mile Sagebrush control 200 acre	Sec. 14, 15, 23 Sec. 15, 14, 23, 24
1023	McGee-Berry Canyon		T. 5 N., R. 25 E.
		Water haul road 2 miles	Sec. 24
			T. 5 N., R. 26 E.
			Sec. 18, 19
			T. 5 N., R. 26 E.
		Sagebrush control 300 acres	Sec. 29, 30, 31
		Pipeline, pump, and troughs l½ mile	Sec. 31
			To T. 4 N., R. 26 E.
			Sec. 6
1040	Martin Pasture		T. 2 N., R. 24 E.
· }.	1984.	Cattleguard	Sec. 1
		Mailin Division fence	

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Nylander 9.82

MANAGEMENT	FRAMEWORK	PLAN
COMMENDATION	-ANAL YSIS-	DECISIO

Name (MFP)

Big Lost
Activity

Range RM-4

Overlay Reference
Step 1 Step 3

			otep 1 Step 3
Allot. No.	Allotment Name	Project Description	Location
1031	Appendicitis Hill		T. 5 N., R. 25 E.
	W.S.A	Water haul road $\frac{1}{2}$ mile	Sec. 14, 23
1034	Nickles Nickala		T. 3 N., R. 25 E.
-	70000	Sagebrush control 300 acres	Sec. 13, 14, 15, 23
1051	Huggins	•	T. 4 N., R. 25 E.
		Sagebrush control 200 acres	Sec. 20, 21
		Spring development Fence $\frac{1}{4}$ mile	Sec. 20 Sec. 19, 20
		Project Summary	
-		Ponds Springs	31 ea. 26 ea.
		Fence removal	0.5 miles
		Pipelines	12.75 miles
		Brush control	13,140 acres
		Storage tanks Fence	2 ea. & 1 relocate 24.5 miles
		Cattleguards	7 ea.
		Waterhaul	8.0 miles

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)		
Big Lost		
Activity		
Range F	M-4	
Overlay Reference		
Step 1	Step 3	

#### Analysis

Leaving sufficient vegetation in crucial locations provides cover for sage grouse life cycle processes within close proximity of water sources.

A diversity of plants is more beneficial for wildlife species and by planting a seed mixture more benefits to wildlife can be expected. Areas supporting less than 30 percent native shrub canopy cover should be maintained for wildlife needs unless other overriding resource values are at risk of being lost, in which cases reseeding may be necessary. Reseeding of rangelands can concentrate livestock use thereby relieving grazing pressure on lands valuable for other uses such as big game winter ranges.

The projects identified include all those proposed by BLM and permittees. This is an all inclusive list with all projects that may be needed or desired. Projects preceded by the letter "E" are those included in Alternative E of the Big Lost grazing EIS, and are the projects necessary to implement proper management. Federal funding will be used only in construction of "E" projects. Others could be funded entirely by permittees if they desire or need them for personal reasons.

(Instructions on reverse)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

	Name (MFP)
į	Big Lost
	Activity Range Management RM-5
	Overlay Reference
į	Step 1 Step 3

#### DECISION

Implement intensive monitoring of the range land resource and of management practices in effect.

#### ANALYSIS

In order to improve or maintain the present level of forage production, range condition and trend on all allotments, close consultation, coordination and cooperation will be carried through with all permittees. This effort will be used to establish initial levels of livestock use on which initial livestock grazing decisions will be based. Monitoring of the allotments will be conducted to determine if additional adjustments in levels of livestock use are needed. Monitoring procedures will be established in a monitoring plan for the Big Lost Planning Unit. Key elements of the plan will be the monitoring of utilization, condition, trend and actual use.

#### Monitoring Criteria

1. The level of overall average utilization of key perennial forage grasses on the allotments without management plans will not exceed 50 percent.

After management plans are implemented, utilization will be done on a key area - key species concept and utilization may exceed 50 percent when authorized under a management system.

Overall average range condition will be maintained in its present condition except where poor or fair condition range exists, efforts will be made to improve it to fair or good condition when feasible.

4. All downward trend areas will be stabilized and improved where possible. All stabilized trend areas will be maintained or improved where possible. All upward trend areas will be maintained.

Actual use data will be collected through permittee and BLM cooperation.

The collection of this data, which will be further defined in the Big Lost monitoring plan, will be summarized at the end of the third year following issuance of the initial decisions to determine if additional adjustments in livestock use are needed. These adjustments will be identified in a supplemental decision at that time.

Monitoring will continue for two more years and if the summary of data collected indicates further adjustments in livestock use, the adjustments will be identified in a final decision that will be issued in the fifth year following issuance of the initial decision.

Nylander 9/82

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

Unstructions on reverse)

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP) Big Lost		
Activity Range Ma	nagement	RM-6
Overlay Refe	rence	
Step 1	Step 3	

#### Decision

Issue percent public land use licenses and enter into exchange-of-use agreements as appropriate on private and leased state lands intermingled with public lands.

ALLOT. NO.	ALLOTMENT NAME	ACRES PRIVATE	ACRES STATE
1000 1001	Alder Creek Elbow	576 359	580
.1002	Beaverland Pass	61	651
1003	Arco Peak	677	
1004	King Spring	36	
1005	Serviceberry	286	37
1006	Deadman	150	with 1,282 157 Marbin pushing
1007	Blizzard Mountain	150 75 combined 2	vela 157 Martin Pasture
1008	Dry Fork		
1009	Judd Brown Canyon	596	<u>3</u> 15
_ <sub>0.00</sub> 10 .	North Lava Craters	508	€643
	Crawford Canyon Combined	56	
12		100	
ار 1013	Waddoups Canyon	403	348
1014	Earl Smith	22	
1015-	Sheep Mountain	17	
1016	Leslie Butte	115	
1017	Beck Canyon	67.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1018	Newman Canyon	49	4
1019	Sorensen	825	
1020	Harger Point	122	005
1022	Mahogany	160	296
1023	McGee-Berry Canyon	84	



DeVoe 9/82

# Big Lost Activity Range Management RM-6 Overlay Reference Step 1 Step 3

Name (MFP)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

ALLOT. NO.	ALLOTMENT NAME	ACRES PRIVATE	ACRES STATE
1024	Hammond Canyon	1,352	
1025	Techick Canyon	876	
1026	Latham Hollow	356	732
1027	Champagne Creek	349	
1028	Chicken Creek	4,948	37
1029	Trail Creek	328	314
1030	Goodman Canyon	586	
1031	Appendicitis Hills	294	344
1032	Aikele	377	
<sup>2</sup> 1033	George	841	
1034	Nickles	726	
1035	Bliss	2,856	281
1036	Stoddard Creek	55	
1037	ERA Flat	463	•
1039	Rocky Canyon	17	chat bull
1040	Martin Pasture	656 Combined	wich Bleggad Mt
1041	Ramshorn Canyon	73	·
1051	Huggins	120	
	TOTAL	21,627	6,321

#### Analysis

Percent public land use licenses and Exchange-of-use agreements on those acres owned or under control of the livestock permittee will give consideration for all livestock use of the range resources and provide for more complete management. Determination of actual acres and AUMs to be covered will be made prior to issuing final grazing decisions on all allotments.

Washington Office Instruction Memo. No. 83-432 contains policy and guidance on Exchange-of-use agreements and percent public land use (PLU) permits and leases.

(Instructions on reverse)

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	<b>L</b>
Activity	
Range Ma	anagement RM-7
Overlay Refe	rence
Step 1	Step 3

#### Decision

Develop rangeland management agreements between Challis National Forest and Idaho Falls BLM for the management of lands administered by both agencies. The following allotments are candidates for such agreements.

ALLOT. NO.	ALLOTMENT NAME	*TOTAL ACRES	MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY
1000	Alder Creek	7,036	USFS BLM BLM or USFS BLM or USFS BLM
1015	Sheep Mountain (Marsh Canyon)	6,081	
1028	Chicken Creek	10,513	
1036	Stoddard Creek	932	
1041	Ramshorn Canyon	4,312	

Total acres are those found within allotment boundaries for which the BLM presently has administrative responsibility.

#### ANALYSIS

By combining acreages administered by the USFS and BLM into one management unit and under one agencies responsibility will provide more effective management of the natural resources. Livestock distribution would be more uniform, rotation of livestock and seasons-of-use could be adjusted and analysis of livestock management can be easily evaluated to determine if existing levels of forage production, range condition and trend are being maintained or improved.

MANAGEMENT FR	AMEWORK	PLAN -	STEP	•
---------------	---------	--------	------	---

ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)
Big Lost
Activity
Range - Wild Horse
Objective Number
<u> </u>

#### Objective

Seek agreement with Challis National Forest to eliminate the wild horse herd and territory in the Sheep Mountain area on public lands managed by both BLM and USFS.

#### Rationale

This herd has a marginal suitable range area, frequent severe death losses are common. Five horses died during the winter of 1982-83, no young animals survived and none were born during 1983. Another winter could eliminate the remaining four animals - 3 females and one male.

Six wild horse herd areas already exist on public lands in Idaho where this symbol of our heritage has been preserved.

### MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Range WH-1	<u> RM-8</u>
Overlay Reference	e
Step 1	Step 3

#### Decision

Eliminate the Sheep Mountain (Antelope Creek, Waddoups Canyon-Cherry Creek) wild horse herd.

#### Analysis

This herd occupies ranges on both Idaho Falls BLM District and Challis National Forest. During the winter of 1982-83, 5 horses winter killed leaving 4 animals. The range area provides marginal habitat due to deep snows. Severe winter death loss is common even in normal winter snowfall years. Largest known population level of the herd was 14 animals. Methods for eliminating the herd include;

- 1. Relocation to Challis or other herd area.
- 2. Capture and adoption.
- 3. Allow natural death loss to eliminate herd.

Reasons for eliminating the herd follow;

- 1. Marginal suitable range area.
- 2. Marginal grazing capacity.
- Small herd size not a genetically viable number are able to survive winters.
- 4. There are 6 other wild horse areas in Idaho to maintain representative herds under PL91-195 and IM-No. 83-289.

Coordination is required with Challis National Forest.

5 is the Lover gathered (Inde, Yendes) in September 1886. Horses were transported to Salven for adoption. Toosel

As a Sept 1989 No horses how reappeared

(Instructions on reverse)



### United States Department of the Interior

#### **BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**

Idaho Falls District 940 Lincoln Road Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

4700

IN REPLY 4700

September 3, 1985

TO:

Wild Horse File

FROM:

Big Butte Area Manager

SUBJECT:

Telephone Conversation with Manual Hernandez Wild Horse Committeeman

on the Idaho Horse Council

I called Mr. Hernandez to discuss the BLM/FS proposal to gather and adopt all horses in the Sheep Mountain/Alder Creek/Antelope Creek herd. He was given a short history of the horses including their struggle for survival in a marginal winter range. Five of the nine horses died during the winter of 1982. I explained that we planned to gather the horses in the fall of 1986 in conjunction with the Challis gathering, then truck the horses to Salmon for adoption.

Mr. Hernandez said that the position of the Idaho Horse Council was that the horses should be gathered and adopted in accordance with the proposal of our land use plan. He is interested in the gathering operation and would like to be involved in locating captive corrals and helping with the gathering plan. He would like to visit the site. He also expressed concern that with so few animals that inbreeding would greatly reduce the quality of the horses.

I informed Mr. Hernandez that we intended to locate the horses by airplane in the near future, I would then inform him of horse location, numbers, condition and etc.

Manual Hernandez
Idaho Horse Council
Box 63
Fort Hall, ID 83204
Phone 208-237-0042

Brent Densen



Reply to: 2260

Date: March 5, 1985

Brent Jensen
Area Manager, Big Butte Resource Area
Bureau of Land Management
Idaho Falls District Office
940 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Dear Brent:

I contacted Ben Garechana of the Salmon BLM office concerning funding for gathering horses in the Salmon District. He indicated the earliest they would have funding available would be 1986 with the round-up taking place in September.

Our Forest Management Plan is scheduled for final approval in January 1986. This coincides with the Bureau's present wildhorse round-up plans. We can see no problems, at this time, with gathering the Alder Creek wildhorses in conjunction with the Salmon District round-up scheduled for 1986.

Please contact us if you have questions.

WILLIAM R. PADDOCK

Resource Coordinator

		Allu.	Act.	Init.
st. M	dt.			7.
Asso. N	igr.		T	9
H. Same	e Memt.			
Operati	ons	1		1
RE	CEIVED -	Idaho I	alis D.	О.
USDI	MAR 1	1 19	85	BLM
	stration	1		
Admin				
Admin			1	1
	Affairs		<del> </del>	Z
PALC			-	X
P&EC Public			-	X

