New York

http://www.nysed.gov

	hools		Students			Staff		
Number of districts	1993-94	2002-03	Public school	1993-94	2002-03	Number of FTE	1993-94	2002-03
(CCD)	714	703	enrollment (CCD) Pre-K	31,687	41,752	teachers (CCD) Elementary	82,229	61,708
()			• K-8	1,813,727	1,901,889	• Middle	32,788	28,47
			•			• High	42,222	38,08
			9-12	743,933	802,393	Combined	5,027	5,25
Number of public scho			• Total (K-12)	2,557,660	2,704,282	Other	17,147	77,41
Elementary	2,423	2,521	•			Total	179,413	210,92
Middle	669	758	• Race/ethnicity (CCD)			•	175,115	210,52
High	710	797	American Indian/Alaskan Native	*	*	Number of FTE non-teache	r staff (CCD)	
Combined	135	152	Asian/Pacific Islander	5%	6%	Instructional aides	26,272	42,47
Other	139	242	Black, non-Hispanic	20	20	 Instructional coordinators 	2,176	2,16
Total	4,076	4,470	• Hispanic	17	19	Administrators	9,755	11,36
			White-non-Hispanic	58	54	• Other	135,987	161,10
Number of charter sch	IOOIS (CCD)	37	• • •	J0	J 4	Total	174,190	217,11
			• Students with disabilities (OSEP)	10%	12%	Percentage of teachers with	h a maior in tho	main subioc
Finances			•				1994	200
Total current expendit	uroc 1003-0/	2001-02	Students with limited	7%	6%	taught, grades 7-12 (SASS)	89%	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0.		2001-02	•	7 %	0%	English		81
		\$22,001,202	• English proficiency (NCELA)			• Mathematics	84	79
Instructional	\$19,054,911		•			• Science	85	86
Noninstructional	801,393 8,384,585	866,866 9,350,907	Migrant students	*	1%	Social studies	87	95
Support Total	28,240,888	32,218,975	(OME)		170	· Percentage of core courses	taught by high	v qualified
TOLAI	20,240,000	52,210,575	(UIVIL) •			teachers, 2002-03 (As defined		
			•	lin 1006	2003	•	ailable	
			 Eighth-grade students enrolled 		2005	 Not Av 		
Per-pupil expenditures	\$ 10,330	\$11,218	 Eighth-grade students enrolled Algebra I for high school credit 		9%	Not Av	allable	
Per-pupil expenditures (CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0		\$11,218	 Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) 			Not Av		
		\$11,218	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP)	t 10%	9%	Not Av	anasie	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0		\$11,218	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate	t 10% e in the Free o	9% r Reduced-	Not Av	allable	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding	2)	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP)	t 10% e in the Free o	9%	Not Av	allable	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02)	2)		Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate	t 10% e in the Free o	9% r Reduced-	Outcomes	1993-94	2000-0
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding	2)	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03	t 10% e in the Free o	9% r Reduced- 484,488		1993-94	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02)	2)	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N	1993-94 ^(CES) n/a	
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6%	2) eral	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to	Outcomes	1993-94 ^{ICES)} n/a œ (NCES) 68%	49
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6%	2)	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD)	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES	1993-94 ^{ICES)} n/a œ (NCES) 68%	49 62
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6%	2) eral	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/INCES) NAEP state results (NCES)	1993-94 ICES) n/a ICE (NCES) 68%) 70	49 62 64
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6%	2) eral	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD)	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES) NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4	1993-94 ICES) n/a IPE (NCES) 68%) 70 1994	4 62 64 200
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6%	2) eral	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD) 0-34% 35-49%	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4 Proficient level or above	1993-94 ICES) n/a IPE (NCES) 68%) 70 1994 27%	44 62 64 200 34
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6% St 48	2) eral ate 3%	Local 46%	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD) 0-34%	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES) NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4 Proficient level or above Basic level or above	1993-94 ICES) n/a IRE (NCES) 68%) 70 1994 27% 57	4' 62 64 200 34 67
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6% St 48 Title I allocation 2001	2) eral ate 3%	Local	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD) 0-34% 35-49% 460 50-74%	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES) NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4 Proficient level or above Basic level or above Math, Grade 8	1993-94 ICES) n/a IRE (NCES) 68%) 70 1994 27% 57 1996	4 62 64 200 34 67 200
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding (CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6% St 48	2) eral ate 3%	Local 46%	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD) 0-34% 35-49%	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4 Proficient level or above Basic level or above Math, Grade 8 Proficient level or above	1993-94 ICES) n/a Re (NCES) 68%) 70 1994 27% 57 1996 22%	4 62 64 200 34 67 200 32
CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-0 Sources of funding CCD, 2001-02) Fede 6% St 48 Title I allocation 2001-	2) eral ate 3%	Local 46%	Algebra I for high school credit (NAEP) Students eligible to participate Price Lunch Program, 2002-03 Number of schools, by percent participate in the Free or Redu 2002-03 [†] (CCD) 0-34% 35-49% 460 50-74%	t 10% e in the Free o (CCD) of students e ced-Price Lun	9% r Reduced- 484,488 ligible to ch Program,	Outcomes High school dropout rate (N Avg. freshman graduation rat College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES) NAEP state results (NCES) Reading, Grade 4 Proficient level or above Basic level or above Math, Grade 8	1993-94 ICES) n/a IRE (NCES) 68%) 70 1994 27% 57 1996	4 62 64 200 34 67 200

76

- # = Sample size too small to calculate FTE = Full Time Equivalent

New York

Statewide Accountability Information

See Appendix B for New York's definitions of proficient for English language arts and mathematics for grades 4, 8, and high school.

See http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2004/home.shtml for more details on the statewide account-ability system.

State assessment for NCLB accountability: New York State Tests **State student achievement levels:** Basic, Basic Proficiency, Proficiency, Advanced

NCLB Accountability Goals				
	20	001-02 Annual measura objective starting poin	5	
Grade 4	English language arts	PI of 123	PI of 123	
	Mathematics	136	136	
Grade 8	English language arts	107	107	
	Mathematics	81	81	
High schoo	ol English language arts	142	142	
	Mathematics	132	132	

2002-03 NCLB accountability results, applied to 2003-04 school year

AYP outcomes and consequences*	Title I	school	s All so	hools	All d	istricts
Made AYP	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Identified for improvement:						
Year 1	194	(7%)	194	(5%)	26	(3%)
Year 2	92	(3%)	92	(2%)	19	(3%)
Corrective action	105	(4%)	105	(2%)	0	
Restructuring	137	(5%)	137	(3%)	0	
Exited improvement status (made AYP twice after missing twice or more, includes total "made" above)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other indicator, 2002-03			State targe	t	State of	outcome

Elementary/middle indicator: Science assessment			Performance in or increase from		Met
	High school indicator: Graduation rate	n/a		n/a	
	NCLB choice participation	Number of	of Title I students	Percent of eligib	le students
	Title I school choice: Supplemental educational services:		7,364 67,180	1% 10%	

*Some AYP outcomes for this state are not available due to issues with data collection, measurement, or other reasons. For more information please visit the state's Web site, above.

Student Achievement 2002-03

New York State Tests, used for *NCLB* accountability English language arts

Performance index:	Grade 4	Grade 8	High school
All students	158	136	160
Economically disadvantaged students	142	116	136
Migrant students	131	100	115
Students with disabilities	92	69	98
Students with limited English proficiency	116	103	90
Black, non-Hispanic students	137	108	130
Hispanic students	137	111	126
White, non-Hispanic students	171	151	175

Student achievement trend: English language arts percent proficient level or above

Mathematics

mathematics			
Performance index:	Grade 4	Grade 8	High school
All students	173	136	156
Economically disadvantaged students	162	109	127
Migrant students	144	72	127
Students with disabilities	124	68	99
Students with limited English proficiency	122	67	106
Black, non-Hispanic students	152	95	114
Hispanic students	157	99	114
White, non-Hispanic students	186	158	173

Student achievement trend: Mathematics percent proficient level or above