| Districts and schools |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of districts | $1993-94$ |
| (CCD) | 88 |
|  |  |
| Number of public schools (CCD) |  |
| Elementary |  |
| Middle | 420 |
| High | 139 |
| Combined | 125 |
| Other | 9 |
| Total | 708 |

Number of charter schools (CCD)

## Finances Total current expenditures 1993-94

(CCD, adiusted for inflation to 2001-02, in thousands)

| Instructional | $\$ 992,210$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Noninstructional | 97,902 |
| Support | 604,163 |
| Total | $1,694,275$ |

Per-pupil expenditures
\$5,256
(CCD, adjusted for inflation to 2001-02)

Sources of funding
(CCD, 2001-02)


Title I allocation 2001-02
(ED; Includes Tite 1 , Part A)
\$82,193,013

KEY: * = Less than 0.5 percent

- $=$ Not applicable
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ Not available
\# = Sample size too small to calculate
FTE = Full Time Equivalent


[^0]| : Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Number of FTE | 1993-94 | 2002-03 |
| - teachers (CCD) Elementary | 9,029 | 10,279 |
| : Middle | 4,020 | 4,685 |
| : High | 4,338 | 5,657 |
| : Combined | 54 | 218 |
| : Other | 964 | 334 |
| Total | 18,404 | 21,172 |
| : Number of FTE non-teacher staff (CCD) |  |  |
| : Instructional aides | 4,066 | 5,158 |
| : Instructional coordinators | 468 | 660 |
| : Administrators | 1,278 | 1,849 |
| : Other | 12,478 | 14,987 |
| : Total | 18,290 | 22,654 |
| $\therefore$ Percentage of teachers with a major in the main subject |  |  |
| : taught, grades 7-12 (sass) | 1994 | 2000 |
| : English | 76\% | 65\% |
| : Mathematics | 69 | 52 |
| : Science | 71 | 55 |
| : Social studies | 60 | 39 |
| : Percentage of core courses taught by highly qualified <br> : teachers, 2002-03 (As defined and reported by states, collected by ED) |  |  |
| : All schools | 77\% |  |
| : High-poverty schools | 71\% |  |
| : Low-poverty schools | 77\% |  |
| : Outcomes |  |  |
|  | 1993-94 | 2000-01 |
| : High school dropout rate (ncEs) 8\% 5\% |  |  |
| : Avg. freshman graduation rate (nces) 67 |  |  |
| : College-going rate (IPEDS/NCES) 54 |  |  |
| : NAEP state results (nces) |  |  |
| : Reading, Grade 4 | 1994 | 2003 |
| : Proficient level or above | 21\% | 19\% |
| : Basic level or above | 49 | 48 |
| - Math, Grade 8 | 1996 | 2003 |
| Proficient level or above | 14\% | 15\% |
| Basic level or above | 51 | 52 |

## New Mexico

## Statewide Accountability Information

Student Achievement 2002-03

See Appendix B for New Mexico's definitions of proficient for reading and mathematics for grades 4, 8, and 10.

State assessment for NCLB accountability: -
State student achievement levels: Beginning Proficiency, Nearing Proficient, Proficient, Advanced

NCLB Accountability Goals

|  |  | 2001-02 Annual measurable <br> objective starting point | Target <br> $(2002-03)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | Reading | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | Mathematics | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Grade 8 | Reading | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | Mathematics | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Grade 10 | Reading | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | Mathematics | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

2002-03 NCLB accountability results, applied to 2003-04 school year AYP outcomes and consequences* Title I schools All schools All districts Made AYP Identified for improvement
Year 1 n/a n/a n/a

Year 2
Corrective action
Restructuring
Exited improvement status (made AYP twice after missing twice or more, includes total
"made" above)
Other indicator, 2002-03
State Targ
n/a
n/a

High school indicator: n/a

| NCLB choice participation | Number of Title I students | Percent of eligible students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Title I school choice: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Supplemental educational services: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |
| *Some AYP outcomes for this state are not available due to issues with data collection, measurement, |  |  |
| or other reasons. For more information please visit the state's Web site, above. |  |  |

New Mexico Standards Based Assessment, not used for NCLB accountability Reading

## Proficient level or above for: <br> Grade 4

 All studentsGrade 8
Economically disadvantaged students
Migrant students
53
35
Students with disabilities
Students with limited English proficiency
Black, non-Hispanic students
Hispanic students
44
37
63
64
White non-Hispanic students 64
Student achievement trend: Reading percent proficient level or above

NCLB choice participation Number of Title I students Percent of eligible students

Student achievement trend: Mathematics percent proficient level or above



[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger} 1$ school did not report.

