School and Teacher Demographics

| Per pupil expenditures (CCD, 2000-01) |  | \$10,716 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of districts (CCD, 2001-02) |  | 703 |
| Number of charter schools (CCD, 2001-02) |  | 0 |
| Number of public schools (CCD) |  |  |
|  | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary | 2,422 | 2,484 |
| Middle | 666 | 745 |
| High | 661 | 788 |
| Combined | 131 | 138 |
| Total | 3,880 | 4,155 |
| Number of FTE teachers (CCD) |  |  |
|  | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary | 82,375 | 92,161 |
| Middle School | 32,788 | 38,934 |
| High School | 42,234 | 51,025 |
| Combined | 5,046 | 5,687 |
| Total | 162,443 | 187,807 |

Percentage of teachers with a major in the main subject taught, grades 7-12 (sASs)

|  | 1994 | 2000 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| English | $89 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Math | 84 | 79 |
| Science | 85 | 86 |
| Social Studies | 87 | 95 |

## Sources of funding

District average

| (CCD, 2000-01) | Local |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $46 \%$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

KEY: * = Less than 0.5 percent
$\begin{aligned}- & =\text { Not applicable } \\ \text { n/a } & =\text { Not available }\end{aligned}$
\# = Sample size too small to calculate

## Student Demographics

| Public school |  | $1993-94$ | $2001-02$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| enrollment (CCD) | Pre-K | 31,687 | 40,184 |
|  | K-8 | $1,813,727$ | $1,907,043$ |
|  | $9-12$ | 743,933 | 789,906 |
|  | Total (K-12) | $2,557,660$ | $2,696,949$ |


| Race/ethnicity (CCD) | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaskan Natives | * | * |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 5\% | 6\% |
| Black | 20 | 20 |
| Hispanic | 17 | 19 |
| White | 58 | 55 |
| Other | - | - |


|  | $1993-94$ <br> $10 \%$ | $2001-02$ <br> Students with disabilities (OSEP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $1993-94$ | $2001-02$ |

Migratory students (ome)

Students with limited
1993-94 2000-01

English proficiency (ED/NCBE)
All schools by percent of students eligible to participate in the Free and Reduced-Price Lunch Program ${ }^{\dagger}$ (CCD, 2001-02)


## Statewide Accountability Information

- (Collected from states, January 2002 for 2001-02 school year)
- Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment

Ninety percent of students at or above Level 2 on English
or language arts and math at grades 4,8;90 percent meet
graduation test requirements.

- Expected School Improvement on Assessment
- Improve percentage of students moving from Level 1 to 2
and Level 2 to 3, reduce specified percent gap toward 90
percent target, based on two years' test scores.
Title I Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools
Same as statewide goal.


## Title I 2001-02

Schoolwide
Targeted Total Programs Assistance
(ED Consolidated Report, 2001-02)
Number of schools
Schools meeting AYP goal
Schools in need of improvement

|  | 1,050 | 1,648 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $39 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | 308 | 1,271 |
|  | 1,579 |  |
|  | $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
|  | 278 | 156 |
|  | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ |

Title I allocation \$879,083,463
(Includes Basic, Concentration, and LEA grants, Capital Expenditures, Even Start,
Migrant Education, and Neglected and Delinquent, ED, 2001-02)

## NAEP State Results

| Reading, 2003 Grade 4 Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | 34\% | 35\% |
| Basic level and above | 67 | 75 |
| Math, 2003 |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | 33\% | 32\% |
| Basic level and above | 79 | 71 |

Student Achievement 2001-02
Assessment Grades 4, 8: English Languag Arts and Mathematics. High School: Regents exam.

State Definition of Proficient Score at levels of at least 3.

## Elementary School <br> Grade 4

English Language Arts

|  |  | Proficient $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |  |
| All Schools | $9 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $20 \%$ |  |
| Title I Schools | 9 | 33 | 40 | 18 |  |
| Economically | 14 | 42 | 34 | 10 |  |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  | 44 | 42 | 12 |  |
| English Proficiency | 44 |  |  |  |  |
| Migratory Students | 14 | 47 | 33 | 6 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 28 | 41 | 27 | 4 |  |

Grade 4

| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | $8 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Title I Schools | 8 | 27 | 45 | 20 |
| Economically | 14 | 36 | 40 | 10 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 4 |  |
| English Proficiency | 28 | 43 | 24 | 5 |
| Migratory Students | 14 | 46 | 31 | 9 |
| Students with Disabilities | 27 | 36 | 32 | 5 |


| KEY: * | $=$ Less than 0.5 percent |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\overline{n / a}$ | $=$ Not applicable |
| \# | $=$ Not available |
| High Poverty Schools | $=$ Sample size too few to calculate |
|  | $=75-100 \%$ of students qualify for lunch subsidies |

Middle School
Grade 8
English Language Arts

| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | \| Proficient ${ }^{\square}$ <br> - Level 3 |  | Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Schools | 8\% | 48\% | 1 | 34\% | 10\% |
| Title I Schools | 8 | 51 |  | 32 | 9 |
| Economically | 14 | 62 |  | 21 | 3 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | I |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | I |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 40 | 56 | I | 4 | * |
| Migratory Students | 9 | 75 | , | 16 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 27 | 60 |  | 12 | 1 |

Grade 8
Mathematics


| All Schools | $20 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Title I Schools | 22 | 34 | 35 | 9 |
| Economically | 34 | 39 | $\boxed{ }$ | 23 | Disadvantaged Students

Students with Limited $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { English Proficiency } & 54 & 31 & 13 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Migratory Students } & 36 & 40 & \| & 22 & 2\end{array}$

High School
Grade (Multiple)
English Language Arts

|  |  | \| Proficient ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | I | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | $3 \%$ | 12\% | I | 58\% | 27\% |
| Title I Schools | 5 | 15 | I | 58 | 22 |
| Economically | 6 | 20 | I | 62 | 12 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | I |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 26 | 33 | 1 | 39 | 2 |
| Migratory Students | 18 | 29 | I | 53 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 | 35 |  | 45 | 4 |

## Grade (Multiple)

 Mathematics|  |  | \| Proficient ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | 1 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | 7\% | 12\% | I | 39\% | 42\% |
| Title I Schools | 10 | 15 | 1 | 40 | 35 |
| Economically | 12 | 21 | 1 | 44 | 23 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 24 | 21 | 1 | 35 | 20 |
| Migratory Students | 17 | 24 | I | 47 | 12 |
| Students with Disabilities | 17 | 40 | 1 | 32 | 11 |

## High School Indicators

| High school | $1993-94$ | $2000-01$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| dropout rate (CCD, event) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | $1994-95$ | $2000-01$ |
|  | $70 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Postsecondary enrollment <br> (NCES, High school graduates enrolled in college) |  |  |

