School and Teacher Demographics

| Per pupil expenditures (CCD, 2000-01) | \$7,223 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of districts <br> (CCD, 2001-02) | 582 |
| Number of charter schools (CCD, 2001-02) | - |
| Number of public schools (CCD) |  |
| 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary 957 | 835 |
| Middle 102 | 102 |
| High 318 | 303 |
| Combined 24 | 40 |
| Total 1,401 | 1,280 |
| Number of FTE teachers (CCD) |  |
| 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary $\quad 9,874$ | 10,503 |
| Middle School 2,796 | 3,165 |
| High School 6,874 | 7,072 |
| Combined 76 | 113 |
| Total 19,620 | 20,853 |

Percentage of teachers with a major in the main subject taught, grades 7-12 (sASS)

|  | 1994 | 2000 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| English | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Math | 83 | 89 |
| Science | 79 | 80 |
| Social Studies | 90 | 81 |



KEY: * = Less than 0.5 percent
$\begin{aligned}- & =\text { Not applicable } \\ \text { n/a } & =\text { Not available }\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=$ Not available
$\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} & =\text { Not available } \\ \# & =\text { Sample size too small to calculate }\end{array}$

## Student Demographics

| Public school | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| enrollment (CCD) Pre-K | 3,577 | 5,064 |
| K-8 | 199,849 | 189,589 |
| 9-12 | 81,671 | 90,442 |
| Total (K-12) | 281,520 | 280,031 |
| Race/ethnicity (CCD) | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Natives | 1\% | 2\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | 2 |
| Black | 6 | 7 |
| Hispanic | 4 | 8 |
| White | 88 | 83 |
| Other | - | - |
| Students with disabilities (osep) | $\begin{gathered} 1993-94 \\ 11 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001-02 \\ 12 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Migratory students (OME) | $\begin{gathered} 1993-94 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001-02 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1993-94 | 2000-01 |

Students with limited
1\%
English proficiency (ED/NCBE)
All schools by percent of students eligible to participate in the Free and Reduced-Price Lunch
Program ${ }^{\dagger}$ (CCD, 2001-02)


## Statewide Accountability Information

- (Collected from states, January 2002 for $2001-02$ school year)

Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment
Public reporting, accreditation.
Expected School Improvement on Assessment
Improvement over time.

- Title I Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools

Meet annual progress goals for each school to attain
100 percent proficiency in 10 years.

| Title I 2001-02 | Schoolwide <br> Programs | Targeted <br> Assistance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ED Consolidated Report, 2001-02) |  |  |

> Title I allocation \$40,110,331
(Includes Basic, Concentration, and LEA grants, Capital Expenditures, Even Start,
Migrant Education, and Neglected and Delinquent, ED, 2001-02)

## NAEP State Results

| Reading, 2003 | Grade 4 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proficient level and above | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | 66 | 77 |
| Math, 2003 |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | 79 | 74 |

## Student Achievement 2001-02

Assessment Multiple assessment tools; Normed Referenced Test only for Reading.

State Definition of Proficient District determined, in accordance with state standards.

| Elementary School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |
| Students in: | Not Proficient | Proficient |
| All Schools | 38\% | 62\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Students with Limited English Proficiency |  |  |
| Migratory Students |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |
| Grade 4 |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| Students in: | Not Proficient | Proficient |
| All Schools | 22\% | 78\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Students with Limited English Proficiency | 42 | 58 |
| Migratory Students |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 44 | 56 |


| Middle School |  |  | - High School |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 8 |  |  | Grade 11 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  | Reading |  |  |  |
| Students in: | Not Proficient | Proficient | Students in: | Not Proficient |  | Proficient |
| All Schools | 40\% | 60\% | All Schools | 37\% |  | 63\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  | Title I Schools |  |  |  |
| Economically |  |  | Economically |  |  |  |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | Disadvantaged Students |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | Students with Limited |  |  |  |
| English Proficiency |  |  | English Proficiency |  |  |  |
| Migratory Students |  |  | Migratory Students |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 |  |  | Grade 11 |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| Students in: Not Proficient Proficient |  |  | Students in: Not Proficient <br> All Schools $29 \%$ |  |  | Proficient |
| All Schools 28\% 72\% |  |  |  |  |  | 71\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  | Title I Schools |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |  | Economically |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Disadvantaged Students |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | Students with Limited |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | English Proficiency | 58 |  | 42 |
| Migratory Students |  |  | Migratory Students |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 59 | 41 | Students with Disabilities | 66 |  | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | High School In | ndicators |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | High school |  | 1993-94 | 2000-01 |
|  |  |  | dropout rate (cco, event) |  | 5\% | 4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1994-95 | 2000-01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Postsecondary enrol | ment | 60\% | 59\% |
|  |  |  | (NCES, High school graduate enro | Iled in college) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| KEY: $\quad$ | $=$ Less than 0.5 percent |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\bar{n} / a$ | $=$ Not applicable |
| \# | $=$ Not available |
| High Poverty Schools | $=$ Sample size too few to calculate |
|  | $=75-100 \%$ ofstudents qualifyfor lunch subsidies |

