## School and Teacher Demographics

| Per pupil expenditures <br> (CCD, 2000-01) | \$6,037 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of districts <br> (CCD, 2001-02) | 66 |
| Number of charter schools (CCD, 2001-02) | 20 |
| Number of public schools (CCD) |  |
| 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary 764 | 801 |
| Middle 273 | 284 |
| High 221 | 249 |
| Combined 104 | 150 |
| Total 1,362 | 1,484 |
| Number of FTE teachers (CCD) |  |
| 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| Elementary 22,824 | 23,885 |
| Middle School 9,323 | 9,569 |
| High School 10,917 | 11,820 |
| Combined 3,308 | 3,397 |
| Total 46,372 | 48,671 |

Percentage of teachers with a major in the main subject taught, grades 7-12 (sAss)

|  | 1994 | 2000 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| English | $65 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Math | 63 | 58 |
| Science | 57 | 45 |
| Studies | 67 | 60 |



KEY: * = Less than 0.5 percent

- = Not applicable
n/a = Not available
\# $\quad$ Sample size too small to calculate


## Student Demographics

| : | Public school |  | $1993-94$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| : | enrollment (CCD) | Pre-K | 12,857 |
| : | K-8 | 546,168 | 517,834 |
| : | $9-12$ | 202,283 | 193,516 |
| - |  | Total (K-12) | 748,451 |
| 710,971 |  |  |  |


| - | Race/ethnicity (ccol) | 1993-94 | 2001-02 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | American Indian/Alaskan Natives | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| - | Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 |
| Black | 45 | 48 |  |
| : | Hispanic | 1 | 2 |
| - | White | 52 | 49 |
|  | Other | - | - |


|  | $1993-94$ <br> $9 \%$ | $2001-02$ <br> Students with disabilities (OSEP) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Migratory students (0ME) | $1993-94$ | $2001-02$ |
|  |  | $1 \%$ |

Students with limited
1\%
1\%

## Statewide Accountability Information

- (Collected from states, January 2002 for 2001-02 school year)
- Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment
- Ten-year goal on lowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS): 55th
- percentile, Louisiana Educational Assessment Program
- (LEAP): All students at Basic.
- 20-year goal on ITBS: 75th percentil, LEAP: All students - at Proficient.
- Expected School Improvement on Assessment

Steady growth toward 10 year goal, with growth
evaluation every two years.

- Title I Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools

Same as statewide goal.

## Title I 2001-02 <br> Schoolwide Targeted Programs Assistance

Total
(ED Consolidated Report, 2001-02)
Number of schools
Schools meeting AYP goal
Schools in need of improvement

| 753 | 146 | 899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $84 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 734 | 146 | 880 |
| $83 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 17 | 0 | 17 |
| $100 \%$ | - | $2 \%$ |

Title I allocation \$204,981,342
(Includes Basic, Concentration, and LEA grants, Capital Expenditures, Even Start,
Migrant Education, and Neglected and Delinquent, ED, 2001-02)

## NAEP State Results

| Reading, 2003 | Grade 4 | Grade 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proficient level and above | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | 49 | 64 |
| Math, 2003 |  |  |
| Proficient level and above $22 \%$ $17 \%$ <br> Basic level and above 68 57. |  |  |

Student Achievement 2001-02
Assessment Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP).

State Definition of Proficient

| Elementary School |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| English Language |  |  |  | Arts

Grade 4
Mathematics

| Students in: | Unsatisfactory | Approach. <br> Basic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I Profici } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | ent $\square$ <br> Mastery | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Schools | 25\% | 25\% | - $38 \%$ | 10\% | 2\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Economically | 33 | 29 | 132 | 5 | 1 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 20 | 21 | 1144 | 12 | 4 |
| Migratory Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 56 | 23 | -19 | 2 | 0 |

## Middle School <br> Grade 8 <br> English Language Arts

| Students in: | Unsatisfactory | Approach. Basic | I Basic | ent $\square$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ad}- \\ \text { vanced } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Schools | 13\% | 39\% | 31\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Economically | 18 | 48 | 1126 | 8 | 0 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 24 | 41 | 122 | 12 | 1 |
| Migratory Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 49 | 41 | 118 | 1 | 0 |

## Grade 8

Mathematics
$\begin{array}{lcc:ccc}\text { Students in: } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unsatis- } \\ \text { factory }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Approach. } \\ \text { Basic }\end{array} & \text { Proficient } & \text { Basic }\end{array}$ Mastery $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Ad- } \\ \text { vanced }\end{array}\right]$

A student at this level has demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter and is well prepared for the next level of schooling.

## High School

Grade 10
English Language Arts

| Students in: | Unsatisfactory | Approach. Basic | ${ }^{1}$ Basic | ient $\stackrel{ }{\square}$ <br> Mastery | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ad- } \\ \text { vanced } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Schools | 24\% | 23\% | - $38 \%$ | 13\% | 1\% |
| Title I Schools |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Economically | 37 | 28 | 30 | 6 | 0 |
| Disadvantaged Students |  |  | I |  |  |
|  |  |  | I |  |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | I |  |  |
| English Proficiency | 54 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 0 |
| Migratory Students |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 79 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 0 |

## Grade 10

Mathematics


## High School Indicators

| High school | $1993-94$ | $2000-01$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| dropout rate (ccD, event) | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  | $1994-95$ | $2000-01$ |
|  | $53 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Postsecondary enrollment <br> (NCES, High school graduates enrolled in college) |  |  |


| KEY: * | $=$ Less than 0.5 percent |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\overline{n / a}$ | $=$ Not applicable |
| \# | $=$ Not available |
| High Poverty Schools | $=$ Sample size too few to calculate |
|  | $=75-100 \%$ of students qualify for lunch subsidies |

