

Resource Use - Manage Resources To Promote Responsible Use and Sustain a Dynamic Economy

Performance Summary

FIGURE 2-6

End Outcome Goal	Measures Met (including estimates)			Measures Not Met (including estimates)			Measures Containing Preliminary Data			Measures Containing No Reports			Costs (in millions)		
	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06
Goal #1 - Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value : Energy	12	9	13	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$1,902	\$2,716	\$2,519
Goal #2 - Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Non-energy minerals	3	5	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$142	\$162	\$173
Goal #3 - Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Forage	3	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$76	\$90	\$77
Goal #4 - Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Forest products	1	4	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$58	\$60	\$76
Goal #5 - Deliver water, consistent with applicable State and Federal law, in an environmentally responsible and cost-efficient manner	6	7	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$1,134	\$681	\$898
Goal #6 - Generate hydro-power, consistent with applicable State and Federal law, in an environmentally responsible and cost-efficient manner	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$218	\$212	\$200
Total	30	32	33	10	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	\$3,530	\$4,121	\$3,943
% of Total Resource Use Measures	75%	80%	85%	25%	15%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%			

Selected Trends and Items of Note

Ref #57 – High oil and gas prices have resulted in a sharp increase in the number of applications for permits to drill (APDs). In response to the unprecedented number of new APDs in FY 2004, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) adjusted work programming to meet demand. With new processes in place, BLM was able to reduce the backlog of APDs, defined as pending for more than 60 days, from 2,780 in FY 2004 to 2,182. To respond to continued strong demand in FY 2005, BLM requested and received approval to reprogram funds from lower priority work into the Oil and Gas Management Program. Despite improved processes and increased funding, the number of APDs in backlog status at the end of FY 2005 increased to 2,461 due to growing demand. Although BLM was unable to reduce their targeted level, 2,310, against a target 1,226 in 2006, the backlog of APDs was reduced from 2,641 to 2,310. And there were 1,675 APDs in backlog over 60 days.

Ref #75 – Reclamation reported a significant increase in the number of acre-feet of restricted capacity from 16,831 acre-feet in FY 2004 and FY 2005 to 410,412 acre-feet in FY 2006. This change is attributable to the inclusion of the Mid-Pacific region (350,017 acre-feet) for the first time in FY 2006. An additional 43,900 acre-feet are attributed to a new restriction for Deer Flat Lower Dam (Lake Lowell) Boise Project, Idaho.

Ref #78 – In FY 2006, Reclamation's Facilities Reliability Rating evaluating water infrastructure in fair to good condition was targeted at 93% because the percentage of facilities in fair to good condition is cyclic and based on two interrelated issues. First, in many cases the cost to move a project into good condition can cost tens of millions of dollars. In such cases, there must be up-front funding by the project customers which does not normally exist. Reclamation and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) are working together on a program to address this issue. The second issue deals with facilities that are in or scheduled for corrective actions by the Safety of Dams program. After the work is done, the project moves from poor to good condition. Hence, the overall number of projects in any one facility condition is cyclic as projects decline in condition, get remediated, and then move from poor to good condition. If Reclamation and OMB are successful, the overall trend of

facility condition should move upward.

Ref #82 – From FY 2004, the potential number of acre-feet made available through completion of projects has seen a downward trend. The FY 2006 decrease is due to project sponsors placing more emphasis on completing sections of the core pipeline, which reduces the amount of funds available for the various distribution systems. However, this measure has an element of volatility and as revisions are made to expected completion dates, Interior may see an increase in potential acre-feet.

Ref #84 – Reclamation set the FY 2006 target of percentage of forced outage equal to or better (lower) than the industry average even though, historically, Reclamation has performed better than the industry in this category. Reclamation's forced outage factor (FOF) numbers may undergo a change after the revision of its reporting standards document, Facilities Instructions Standards and Techniques (FIST) 1-3. After FIST 1-3 is revised to include industry standards, Reclamation will be able to better predict the effect on the forced outage number and set targets accordingly.

FIGURE 2-7

MISSION: RESOURCE USE - Manage Natural Resources To Promote Responsible Use and Sustain a Dynamic Economy							
END OUTCOME GOAL: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value : Energy							
49	DESCRIPTION: Number of onshore acres available for energy resource exploration/ development consistent with applicable management plans or permitting requirements	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	590,000,000 acres	590,000,000 acres	590,000,000 acres	590,000,000 acres	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	WO-310 and WO-320				
50	DESCRIPTION: Implement National Energy Policy by holding 17 offshore sales consistent with the Secretary's 5-Year Program	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	4 sales	4 sales	2 sales	2 sales	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	OMM Technical Information Management System				
51	DESCRIPTION: Average acreage disturbed per permitted energy exploration or development activity	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	2 acres	2 acres	2 acres	2 acres	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. BLM has been working with operators to reduce the disturbed areas. Progress was made in 2006 toward reaching this goal, which will make it more attainable in 2007.				
		Data Source:	Automated Fluid Mineral Support System (AFMSS)				
52	DESCRIPTION: Coal - Percent of active sites that are free of off-site impacts	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	93%	89%	93%	92%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Trend Data: FY03 = 92%; FY02 = 93%; FY01 = 94%				
		Data Source:	Annual reports from States				
53	DESCRIPTION: Coal - Number of acres where reclamation goals are achieved as evidenced by release from Phase III Performance Bonds	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	49,054 acres	53,353 acres	50,000 acres	49,796 acres	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Trend Data: FY03 = 48,528 acres; FY02 = 73,407 acres; FY01 = 81,853 acres				
		Data Source:	Annual reports from States				
54	DESCRIPTION: Royalties received for mineral leases are 98% of predicted revenues, based on market indicators in the production year	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	96%	98%	98%	99%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Minerals Revenue Management Support System (MRMSS)				
55	DESCRIPTION: Compliance work is completed within the 3-year compliance cycle for x percent of royalties for production year, y	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	69.0%	71.0%	72.0%	72.5%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Minerals Revenue Management Support System (MRMSS)				
56	DESCRIPTION: Percent of revenues disbursed on a timely basis per regulation	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	96%	98%	97%	95%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. In FY 2006, MRM focused on reducing open accounts receivable open and unapplied payments. This resulted in the processing of several older payments, which lowered our timely disbursements result to 95%, compared to 98% in FY 2005. MRM has completed this work; timely disbursements should increase during FY 2007. Trend Data: FY03 = 93%; FY02 = 80%; FY01 = 98%				
		Data Source:	Minerals Revenue Management Support System (MRMSS)				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Effectively manage and provide for efficient access and development							
57	DESCRIPTION: Number of pending cases of permits and lease applications that are in backlog status for fluid energy minerals (APDs) [BLM]	FY2004 Actual		FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	2,182 APDs	2,461 APDs	1,226 APDs	2,310 APDs	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. There was a significant increase in 2005/2006 applications due to an expanded interest in finding additional fluid energy sources.				
		Steps to Improve:	Actions have been implemented to shift employees and redirect applications to reduce backlog in 2007 to mitigate this impact in the future.				
Data Source:	Automated Fluid Mineral Support System (AFMSS)						

FIGURE 2-7

		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
58	DESCRIPTION: Number of pending cases of permits and lease applications that are in backlog status for solid energy minerals (LBAs)	Totals:	45 LBAs	35 LBAs	33 LBAs	33 LBAs	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Case Recordation (LR2000)				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Enhance responsible use management practices							
59	DESCRIPTION: Achieve an oil spill rate for offshore development of no more than x barrels spilled per million barrels produced	Totals:	7.9 spills	31.2 spills	5.0 spills	0.9 spills (E)	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estimated Data. The FY 2006 estimate for the amount (in barrels) of offshore oil spilled per million barrels produced is 0.9 (416/476,000,000). Estimated FY 2006 results are significantly lower than recent years because there were no major hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico or major spills during FY 2006. Production numbers are updated as MMS audits and reviews companies' OCS properties to verify Federal OCS production and royalty payments. These audits and reviews are normally conducted up to 3 years after the oil was produced. The result for this measure will continue to be revised in future years as "trapped" oil is recovered, previous unknown spills are found, and OCS production is verified, thus the following updates: FY 2003 - One spill was added (increasing the number of spills from 33 to 34) for 18.8 bbl. This increased the oil spill ratio from 4.1 to 4.2 per million produced. FY 2004 - Crude oil and condensate production revisions increased 20 million barrels and reduced oil spill ratio from 8.2 to 7.9 per million produced. FY 2005 - Crude oil and condensate production revisions increased 20 million barrels. Additional oil was also recovered through ongoing recovery efforts. Changes in both the numerator and denominator reduced the oil spill ratio from 33.0 to 31.2 per million produced. Future revisions are expected.				
		Data Source:	OMM Technical Information Management System				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Improve information base, information management and technical assistance [Energy]							
60	DESCRIPTION: Improve customer satisfaction rating with energy resources permitting process	Totals:	48%	No Report	50%	52%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Survey results				
61	DESCRIPTION: Number of targeted basins with oil and gas resource assessments available to support management decisions	Totals:	5 basins	7 basins	6 basins	6 basins	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Survey results; Lists of publication titles maintained				
62	DESCRIPTION: Percent of studies validated through appropriate peer review or independent review	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Survey results; Lists of publication titles maintained				
END OUTCOME GOAL: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Non-energy minerals							
63	DESCRIPTION: Number acres available for non-energy mineral resource exploration and development consistent with applicable management plans	Totals:	570,700,000 acres	570,699,000 acres	570,698,000 acres	570,698,000 acres	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	WO-320 and WO-210 data calls				
64	DESCRIPTION: Number of acres reclaimed to appropriate land condition and water quality standards	Totals:	1,786 acres	12,131 acres	8,000 acres	4,151 acres	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. The actual number of acres reclaimed each year is dependent on several interrelated variables, including commodity price, deposit grade, worldwide supply and demand for the commodity, etc. In 2006, demand for mineral materials was high and therefore not as many mined sites were closed and reclaimed as anticipated.				
		Steps to Improve:	Out-year targets will be adjusted to reflect this shift in demand.				
		Data Source:	Case Recordation (LR2000)				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Effectively manage and provide for efficient access and production							
65	DESCRIPTION: Average time for review and approval of saleable and leasable minerals processing actions.	Totals:	No Report	No Report	18 months	17 months	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. BLM has been working to establish a baseline for this measure. Out-year targets will reflect the new data.				
		Data Source:	Case Recordation (LR2000).				

FIGURE 2-7

INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Improve information base, information management and technical assistance [Non-energy]							
		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
66	DESCRIPTION: Average square miles of the United States with non-energy mineral information available to support management decisions	Totals:	2,401,329 square miles	3,097,647 square miles	3,332,038 square miles	3,318,208 square miles	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	National Geochemical Database; National Geophysical Database; and the Mineral Resource Data System				
67	DESCRIPTION: Percent of studies validated through appropriate peer or independent review	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Survey results; Lists of publication titles maintained				
END OUTCOME GOAL: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Forage							
68	DESCRIPTION: Percent of acres with DOI range improvements resulting in sustainable grazing	Totals:	7%	6%	7%	8%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. In the report for 2004, the denominator used was 214,000,000. This was an error. That number is the total Rangelands; there are only 160,000,000 grazing acres. With the correct denominator the % would be 6.7% and we are correcting our tables as necessary. In 2005, BLM inadvertently did not use cumulative numbers but recently discovered the error, and are back on track for FY 2006 with a goal of 7%. The goals should be 5% in 2004, 6% in 2005, and should be 7% in 2006.				
		Data Source:	Program elements from sub-activities: JA, JL, JM, JS, JT, JU, JW; Rangeland Improvement Program (RIPs)				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Provide access for grazing							
69	DESCRIPTION: Average time (average reduction, number of days) for processing and issuance of grazing permits	Totals:	215 days	207 days	207 days	204 days	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	WO-220 Rangeland Automated System and MIS for Program Element EE.				
END OUTCOME GOAL: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, promote responsible use, and ensure optimal value: Forest products							
70	DESCRIPTION: Volume of timber offered for sale	Totals:	188 MMBF	257 MMBF	270 MMBF	243 MMBF	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. A portion of the planned volume for 2006 (5MMBF) was inadvertently attributed to FY 2005. Focus in FY 2006 for Public Domain was shifted to the more time-consuming process of increasing the volume of biomass. As a result, the volume of biomass increased 71% over 2005. Trend Data: FY03 = 197 MMBF; FY02 = 189 MMBF; FY01 = 74 MMBF				
		Steps to Improve:	Procedures for counting units related to stewardship contracting were revised to prevent these type of errors in the future.				
		Data Source:	WO-270 MIS containing annual targets and quarterly accomplishments.				
71	DESCRIPTION: Percent of wood products offered consistent with applicable management plans	Totals:	80%	195%	108%	93%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. In January 2006, the Western Washington Federal District Court ordered in Northwest Ecosystem Alliance et al. v. Mark E. Rey et al., that the BLM and the Forest Service reinstate the 2001 Survey and Manage guidance in the NWF Plan, setting aside the 2004 decision that eliminated the guidance. The Survey and Manage guidance protects 296 species for which little information exists. An estimated 20-30% of the volume will be delayed until the surveys can be completed.				
		Steps to Improve:	Targets for out-years will be adjusted to reflect meeting the new guidance.				
		Data Source:	WO-270 MIS containing annual targets and quarterly accomplishments.				
72	DESCRIPTION: Percent of permitted acres maintained at appropriate land conditions and water quality standards	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	WO-270 data calls and information from the Timber Sale Information System (TSIS).				

FIGURE 2-7

		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
73	DESCRIPTION: Administrative cost per thousand board feet of timber offered for sale	Totals:	\$176	\$105	\$125	\$135	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. In January 2006, the Western Washington Federal District Court ordered in Northwest Ecosystem Alliance et al. v. Mark E. Rey et al., that the BLM and the Forest Service reinstate the 2001 Survey and Manage guidance in the NWF Plan, setting aside the 2004 decision that eliminated the guidance. The Survey and Manage guidance protects 296 species for which little information exists. An estimated 20-30% of the volume will be delayed until the surveys can be completed. The lower target increases the cost per million board feet.				
		Steps to Improve:	BLM will continue to incur the additional cost of completing the survey requirement in the out-years. These new costs will be added to future goals. As the surveys are completed and the units can be counted, the cost will decline slightly.				
		Data Source:	The Bureau of Land Management Cost Management Website, calculated by WO program group.				
END OUTCOME GOAL: Deliver water, consistent with applicable State and Federal law, in an environmentally responsible and cost-efficient manner							
74	DESCRIPTION: Acre-feet of water delivered consistent with applicable substantive and procedural requirements of Federal and State water law	Totals:	29 MAF	28 MAF	28 MAF	31 MAF	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. This goal was exceeded due to increased water releases and delivery due to unforeseen dry weather and drought in some areas. Reclamation will continue to develop targets with the best information available at the time. Trend Data: FY03 = 26 MAF; FY02 = 29 MAF; FY01 = 29 MAF				
		Data Source:	Water Records. Each region uses several methods of recording water delivery because of the varied ages of the equipment				
75	DESCRIPTION: Amount of acre-feet of restricted capacity	Totals:	16,831 acre-feet	16,831 acre-feet	410,412 acre-feet	410,412 acre-feet	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Safety of Dams Decision Reports (DEIS)				
76	DESCRIPTION: Percent of water facilities that do not receive Federal or State notices of violation under environmental requirements as defined by Federal and State law	Totals:	100%	100%	97%	100%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Formal notices of violations, tracked by legal department. Information is held in several correspondence systems by region.				
77	DESCRIPTION: Percent increase in Reclamation's cost to operate and maintain its water storage infrastructure compared to the 5-year rolling average.	Totals:	New FY 2006 Measure	New FY 2006 Measure	Establish Baseline	Baseline Not Established	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. Baseline Not Established. Reclamation was unable to validate the proposed estimation methodology using past and partial FY 2006 data. As a result, no accurate "estimated" baseline could be established. Actual data will be available after November 2006 and baseline reported with the annual update or next year's PAR.				
		Steps to Improve:	Final data will never be available for a November 15 report date. Reclamation will work with DOI to establish a valid and verifiable methodology by which acceptable estimates for this measure can be developed each year.				
		Data Source:	Cost = Financial Records; Capacity = Reservoir Capacity Allocation				
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Operate and maintain a safe and reliable water infrastructure							
78	DESCRIPTION: Water infrastructures are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Reliability Rating	Totals:	97%	96%	93%	98%	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. The goal was exceeded because Reclamation regional and area offices have continued to make extraordinary efforts to maintain these structures even though many are on the verge of exceeding their economic life-span.				
		Data Source:	Database of facility condition ratings, indices, etc. maintained by the regional/area offices				
79	DESCRIPTION: Facilities (exclusive of Facilities Reliability Rating facilities) are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index [results pertain to both water and hydropower facilities]	Totals:	Baseline Not Established	0.016	0.016	0.016 (E)	✓
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Estimated Data.				
		Data Source:	Building inventory, deferred maintenance (MAXIMO), FCI data; other property and maintenance systems				

FIGURE 2-7

INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Effective water management to optimize supply							
80	DESCRIPTION: Number of agreements, partnerships and management options exercised resulting in improved water supply	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	59	67	64	105	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. This goal was exceeded because one region added 37 additional contracts for surplus water due to increased hydrology.				
Data Source:	A variety of different formal documents are used by the regions. They include contracts, leases, grants, and other agreements.						
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Address environmental/resource stewardship concerns							
81	DESCRIPTION: Percent of environmental audit findings and reviews addressed (results pertain to both water and hydropower facilities)	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	56%	77%	80%	89%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. The goal for this measure was exceeded because the findings and recommendations from the previous period were not available when the target was set.				
Data Source:	Hazardous materials audits are kept in a Denver database.						
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Complete construction projects to increase delivery infrastructure and water availability							
82	DESCRIPTION: Potential acre-feet made available through completion of projects	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	103,598 acre-feet	51,720 acre-feet	34,349 acre-feet	47,739 acre-feet	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. This goal was exceeded due to organizational flexibility to take advantage of unforeseen water conservation opportunities.				
Data Source:	Water Records, documentation with districts						
END OUTCOME GOAL: Generate hydro-power, consistent with applicable State and Federal law, in an environmentally responsible and cost-efficient manner							
83	DESCRIPTION: Reclamation base Operation and Maintenance (O&M) costs for power, expressed as \$/MW, will not increase annually beyond the 5-year rolling average percent increase in cost, + 5%.	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	New FY 2006 Measure	New FY 2006 Measure	7.15%	7.15% (E)	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Estimated Data.				
Data Source:	O&M financial data as defined by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Form in A-40. Power O&M capacity is found in PO&M 59 reports.						
84	DESCRIPTION: Percent of time in forced outage equal to or better (lower) than the industry average	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	0.7%	0.4%	2.5%	1.2%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Reclamation exceeded its forced outage factor due to its focus on preventive maintenance and asset planning to replace equipment before it fails. Trend Data: FY03 = 1.5%; FY02 = 1.3%; FY01 = 1.6%				
Data Source:	Monthly Power Operations & Maintenance (PO&M) 59 Reports						
85	DESCRIPTION: Percent of power facilities that do not receive notice of violations under environmental requirements as defined by Federal and State law	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	98%	100%	96%	100%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
Data Source:	A variety of different formal documents are used by the regions. They include contracts, leases, grants and other agreements.						
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Operate and maintain reliable, safe and secure power facilities							
86	DESCRIPTION: Hydropower facilities are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Reliability Rating	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	100%	98%	95%	100%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. The target for this measure was exceeded due to an extraordinary effort by management and maintenance personnel to service and maintain existing equipment and to identify and replace equipment in poor condition before failure.				
Data Source:	Database of facility condition ratings, indices, etc. (FCAS)						
INTERMEDIATE GOAL: Improved power generation management to maximize supply							
87	DESCRIPTION: Percent of time that Bureau of Reclamation hydroelectric generating units are available to the interconnected Western Electrical System during daily peak summer demand periods	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?	
		Totals:	92%	93%	92%	93%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
Data Source:	Monthly Power Operations & Maintenance (PO&M) 59 Monthly Reports						