

United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management



Montana State Office

October 1992

Judith Valley Phillips Resource Management Plan Environmental Impact Statement

VOLUME ONE

The Bureau of Land Management is responsible for the stewardship of our public lands. It is committed to manage, protect, and improve these lands in a manner to serve the needs of the American people for all times. Management is based on the principles of multiple use and sustained yield of our nation's resources within a framework of environmental responsibility and scientific technology. These resources include recreation; rangelands; timber; minerals; watershed; fish and wildlife; wilderness; air; and scenic, scientific, and cultural values.

I

The second s

5 - 1 - 1 - 1

- and a first of the production of

Ç,

12

BLM-MT-ES-93-001-4410



United States Department of the Interior

TAKE PRIDE IN AMERICA

IN REPLY TO

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Montana State Office 222 North 32nd Street

> P.O. Box 36800 Billings, Montana 59107-6800

Dear Reader:

Enclosed is the proposed final Judith-Valley-Phillips Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (RMP/EIS).

The proposed final RMP/EIS presents the Preferred Alternative for multiple-use management of public lands administered by BLM within the Judith, Valley and Phillips Resource Areas and analyzes the environmental impacts of implementing the proposed plan and four other alternatives. It incorporates comments and suggestions made on the draft RMP/EIS during the public review period which began in July, 1991 and ended in December, 1991. Volume 1 of the final RMP/EIS contains the alternatives, environmental analysis and supporting information. Volume 2 contains the public comments received along with the Bureau's responses to those comments. Changes to the draft and new information are highlighted in the final RMP/EIS.

Changes were made to the Preferred Alternative in the draft RMP/EIS including: specific tracts of land for acquisition are not listed or shown; the document clearly states that BLM would not use condemnation to implement land tenure adjustment under this land use plan; off-road vehicle travel would be allowed for game retrieval on BLM lands limited to designated roads and trails; elk habitat management is consistent with the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks 1992 elk management plan; the South Moccasin Mountains are not included in the Judith Mountains Scenic Area ACEC; and Collar Gulch would not be designated an ACEC and would remain open to mineral entry. Other changes have been made to the Preferred Alternative and are summarized on pages ii through v, and described in detail on pages 84 through 97 of the final RMP/EIS. The proposed plan includes the Preferred Alternative to resolve the issues and Management Common To All Alternatives which is described on pages 9 through 36.

The resource management planning process includes an opportunity for administrative review via a plan protest to the BLM's Director. Any person who participated in the planning process and has an interest which is or may be adversely affected by the approval of an RMP may protest such approval. Careful adherence to the following guidelines will assist in preparing a protest that will assure the greatest consideration to your point of view.

Only those persons or organizations who participated in the planning process may protest. A protesting party may raise only those issues which were commented on during the planning process. New issues may be raised at any time but should be directed to the Lewistown District for consideration in plan implementation, as potential plan amendments, or as otherwise appropriate.

The period for filing protests begins when the Environmental Protection Agency publishes in the *Federal Register* its Notice of Receipt of the final environmental impact statement containing the proposed RMP. The protest period extends for 30 days. There is no provision for any extension of time. To be considered "timely," your protest must be postmarked no later than the last day of the protest period. Also, although not a requirement, we suggest that you send your protest by certified mail, return receipt requested.

Protests must be filed in writing to:

Director (760) Bureau of Land Management 1849 "C" Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20240 In order to be considered complete, your protest must contain, at a minimum, the following information:

- 1. The name, mailing address, telephone number, and interest of the person filing the protest.
- 2. A statement of the issue or issues being protested.
- 3. A statement of the part or parts of the plan being protested. To the extent possible, this should be done by reference to specific pages, paragraphs, sections, tables, maps, etc. included in the proposed RMP.
- 4. A copy of all documents addressing the issue or issues that you submitted during the planning process or a reference to the date the issue or issues were discussed by you for the record.
- 5. A concise statement explaining why the BLM State Director's decision is believed to be incorrect. This is a critical part of your protest. Take care to document all relevant facts. As much as possible, reference or cite the planning documents, environmental analysis documents, available planning records (i.e. meeting minutes or summaries, correspondence, etc.). A protest which merely expresses disagreement with the Montana State Director's proposed decision, without any data will not provide us with the benefit of your information and insight. In this case, the Director's review will be based on the existing analysis and supporting data.

At the end of the 30-day protest period, the BLM may issue a Record of Decision, approving implementation of any portions of the proposed plan not under protest. Approval will be withheld on any portion of the plan under protest until the protest has been resolved.

We thank the individuals and organizations who participated in our planning process, helping us to prepare a plan that will lead to more effective and efficient management of public lands and minerals. Your interest is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Jan.

State Director

FINAL

JUDITH—VALLEY—PHILLIPS **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN** AND **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

OCTOBER 1992

Prepared by

United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management Montana State Office Lewistown District Office Judith Resource Area Valley Resource Area Phillips Resource Area

Prepared by:

Area Manager, Judith Resource Area

Area Manager, Valley Resource Area

Area Manager, Phillips Resource Area

Recommended by:

District Manager, Lewistown

Approved by:

State Director, Montana

Date

October 1992

Date

Date

1992 Date

992 Date

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LEWISTOWN DISTRICT OFFICE LEWISTOWN, MONTANA

PROPOSED FINAL

JUDITH-VALLEY-PHILLIPS RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

ABSTRACT

This proposed final resource management plan and environmental impact statement (RMP/EIS) addresses future management options for approximately 2.8 million surface acres and 3.4 million acres of federal mineral estate in northcentral Montana. These lands are administered by the Bureau of Land Management through the Judith, Valley and Phillips Resource Areas and includes BLM land and federal minerals in Fergus, Petroleum, Judith Basin, Phillips and Valley Counties and the southern half of Chouteau County.

The plan focuses on management options to resolve these nine issues: land acquisition and disposal, access to BLM land, offroad vehicle designations, oil and gas leasing and development, hardrock mining, riparian and wetland management of watersheds, elk and bighorn sheep habitat management, prairie dog and black-footed ferret management, and areas with special management concerns.

The preferred alternative and four other alternatives have been developed to provide management options for resolving the issues. The alternatives include Alternative A-Current Management (No Action); Alternative B; Alternative C; Alternative D; and Alternative E-The Preferred Alternative. The preferred alternative plus the guidance given in the Management Common To All Alternatives section constitutes the proposed final plan.

ACRONYMS

ACEC	Area of Critical Environmental Concern
AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act
ATV	All Terrain Vehicle
AMP	Allotment Management Plan
AO	Authorized Officer
APD	Application for Permit to Drill
APHIS	Animal and Plant Health Inspection
Service	
ARPA	Archaeological Resources Protection Act
AUM	Animal Unit Month
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practices
BR	Bureau of Reclamation
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CER	Categorical Exclusion Review
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental, Response,
CLICCLIT	Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMR	Charles M. Russell National Wildlife
CIMIK	Refuge
COE	Corps of Engineers
CRMP	Coordinated Resource Management Plan
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
DSL	Montana Department of State Lands
EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Environmental Protection Agency Endangered Species Act
FLPMA	Federal Land Policy Management Act
FMZ	Fire Management Zone
FOOGLRA	Federal Onshore Oil and Gas Leasing
TOODERA	Reform Act
FR	Federal Register
FS	United States Forest Service
FUP	Free Use Permit
FWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
HMP	Habitat Management Plan
IBLA	Interior Board of Land Appeals
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
ITRR	Institute for Tourism and Recreation
III	Research
JVP	Judith Valley Phillips
KGRA	Known Geothermal Resource Area
LCNHT	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail
LU	Land Utilization
MBF	Thousand Board Feet
MBFCC	Montana Black-Footed Ferret Coordination
	Committee
MCF	Thousand Cubic Feet
MDFWP	
	Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks
MFP	Management Framework Plan
1111 1	management i ramework i fall

MLA	Mineral Leasing Act
MMBF	Million Board Feet
MMCF	Million Cubic Feet
MMS	Minerals Management Services
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MTNHP	Montana Natural Heritage Program
NAERC	North American Electric Reliability
	Council
NAWMP	North American Waterfowl Management
	Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NOS	Notice of Staking
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSO	No Surface Occupancy
NTL	Notice To Lessee
ONA	Outstanding Natural Area
OPT	Ounces per Ton
ORV	Off-Road Vehicles
PD	Public Domain
PILT	Payment In Lieu of Taxes
PL	Public Law
PLO	Public Land Order
PNC	Potential Natural Community
PSC	Powersite Classification
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PSR	Powersite Reserve
RA	Resource Area
RFD	Reasonable Foreseeable Development
RMA	Recreation Management Area
RMP	Resource Management Plan
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	Right-of-Way
R&PP	Recreation and Public Purposes
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SEP	State Equalization Payment
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SO	Secretarial Order
SOP	Standing Operating Procedures
SPG	Supplemental Program Guidance
SRMA	Special Recreation Management Area
SSS TDS	Special Status Species Total Dissolved Solids
T&E	
TPD	Threatened and Endangered
UMNWSR	Tons per Day Upper Missouri National Wild &
UNINWSK	Scenic River
URA	Unit Resource Analysis
USDI	United States Department of Interior
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VRM	Visual Resource Management
VUD	Visitor User Day
WSA	Wilderness Study Area
WSR	Wild and Scenic River System
WSRA	Wild and Scenic River Act
•	

4

. And