## **What Works Clearinghouse**



Middle School Math

March 2009<sup>1</sup>

# **University of Chicago School Mathematics Project (UCSMP) Algebra**

#### **Program Description<sup>2</sup>**

University of Chicago School Mathematics Project (UCSMP) Algebra is a one-year course covering three primary topics: (1) linear and quadratic expressions, sentences, and functions; (2) exponential expressions and functions; and (3) linear systems. Topics from geometry, probability, and statistics are integrated with the

appropriate algebra. Problem-solving and real-world applications are used throughout to develop and maintain basic skills and concepts. Computer algebra system (CAS) technology is used in the classroom to aid in the development of properties and skills, and graphing calculators are used to complete assignments at home.

#### Research

One study of *UCSMP Algebra* meets the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) evidence standards with reservations. The study includes 36 eighth-grade students in a Nebraska junior high school. This study used the first edition of *UCSMP Algebra* as the intervention.<sup>3</sup>

Based on this one study, the WWC considers the extent of evidence for *UCSMP Algebra* to be small for the math achievement domain.

#### **Effectiveness**

UCSMP Algebra was found to have no discernible effects on math achievement.

#### Math achievement

#### Rating of effectiveness Improvement index<sup>4</sup>

No discernible effects

Average: -6 percentile points

- 1. This report has been updated from the previous version (posted March 26, 2007) to include reviews of 10 studies that have been released since 2005. All 10 studies are not within the scope of the protocol. A complete list and disposition of all studies reviewed is provided in the references. Additionally, one study that met standards with reservations in the previous version (Thompson, Senk, Witonsky, Usiskin, & Kaeley, 2006) will now be eligible for review as part of the WWC high school math topic area. (The protocol for the middle school math topic area was revised to narrow the scope from examining any students in grades 6 to 9 to examining only those students who are attending middle schools or junior high schools. Studies examining students in grade 9 who are attending high school are included in the high school math topic area.)
- 2. The descriptive information for this program was obtained from a publicly available source: the program's website (https://www.wrightgroup.com/ucsmp/index.html?PHPSESSID=50e67838d5e498ac7fed20fc7acf10ea&gid=228, downloaded August 2008). The WWC requests developers to review the program description sections for accuracy from their perspective. Further verification of the accuracy of the descriptive information for this program is beyond the scope of this review.
- 3. The evidence presented in this report is based on available research. Findings and conclusions may change as new research becomes available.
- These numbers show the average student-level improvement indices for all findings across the study.

### Additional program information

#### **Developer and contact**

Developed by the University of Chicago School Mathematics Project, *UCSMP Algebra* is distributed by the Wright Group/McGraw-Hill. Address: 220 East Danieldale Road, DeSoto, TX 75115. Email: WrightGroup@McGraw-Hill.com. Web: https://www.wrightgroup.com/ucsmp/index.html?PHPSESSID=50e67838d5e498ac7fed20fc7acf10ea&gid=228. Telephone: (800) 523-2371.

#### Scope of use

The first edition of *UCSMP Algebra* was developed and tested between 1985 and 1988, and the second edition was developed and tested between 1992 and 1994. The third edition was developed and tested between 2005 and 2007 and is now available through the Wright Group/McGraw-Hill. According to the developers at the University of Chicago, 3.5 to 4 million students in elementary, middle, and high schools are currently using *UCSMP* materials and curricula. The number of students using only *UCSMP Algebra* is not available.

#### Teaching

The *UCSMP Algebra* course includes the student book, teacher's edition book and teacher resources, assessment resources, and technology resources. Almost all lessons in the student book contain activities, full examples, guided examples, and "quiz yourself" questions to create a more active classroom. Questions in each lesson cover the lesson concepts, extensions and applications of those concepts, and previous lessons. The teacher's edition book contains additional examples as well as suggestions for differentiation to accommodate the broad population of students in algebra courses. Computer algebra system (CAS) technology is used in the classroom to aid in the development of properties and skills, and graphing calculators are used to complete assignments at home.

#### Cost

A student textbook costs \$63.00. A bundled, complete teacher resource package consisting of the Teacher's Edition (Volumes 1 & 2), Teacher's Resources (Volumes 1 & 2), Assessment CD Rom, and "eTe with Answers and Solutions" (Volumes 1 & 2) costs \$346.50. See the publisher's website for pricing of individual teacher resource items.

#### Research

Thirteen studies reviewed by the WWC investigated the effects of *UCSMP Algebra*. One study (Peters, 1992) is a randomized controlled trial with randomization problems that meets WWC evidence standards with reservations. The remaining 12 studies do not meet either WWC evidence standards or eligibility screens.

#### **Meets evidence standards with reservations**

Peters (1992) conducted a randomized controlled trial design with randomization problems.<sup>5</sup> The study's sample included 36 "math-talented" students from one junior high school in

Nebraska.<sup>6</sup> Most of the students were Caucasian. The district borders two large cities (Lincoln and Omaha) and has a mix of students living in rural and suburban locations. Students in the intervention group used the *UCSMP Algebra* first edition textbook, while students in the comparison group used the *Saxon Middle School Math* curriculum.

#### **Extent of evidence**

The WWC categorizes the extent of evidence in each domain as small or medium to large (see the What Works Clearinghouse

- 5. Peters (1992) compared *UCSMP Algebra* with *Saxon Middle School Math*. The author indicates that a random selection of numbers was used to divide participants between the intervention and comparison groups. However, the assignment of students was altered to accommodate scheduling difficulties and student requests for other course offerings. Therefore, the study meets standards with reservations, according to WWC criteria.
- 6. The "math-talented" designation is based on teacher recommendations and prior academic achievement. No information is provided on the specific thresholds that were used in delineating the math-talented criteria; however, all students in the sample scored at or above the 87th percentile on the California Achievement Test total math battery.

#### **Research** (continued)

Extent of Evidence Categorization Scheme). The extent of evidence takes into account the number of studies and the total sample size across the studies that meet WWC evidence standards with or without reservations.<sup>7</sup>

The WWC considers the extent of evidence for *UCSMP Algebra* to be small for math achievement.

#### **Effectiveness**

#### **Findings**

The WWC review of middle school math curricula addresses student outcomes in the math achievement domain. The findings below present the author's estimates and WWC-calculated estimates of the size and the statistical significance of the effects of *UCSMP Algebra* on students.<sup>8</sup>

Peters (1992) reported no statistically significant differences in Orleans-Hanna Algebra Prognosis test scores between the *UCSMP Algebra* group and the *Saxon Middle School Math* group. Further, the effect size was neither statistically significant nor large enough to be considered substantively important by WWC criteria (at least 0.25).

In sum, one study of *UCSMP Algebra*, first edition, showed an indeterminate effect.

#### **Rating of effectiveness**

The WWC rates the effects of an intervention in a given outcome domain as positive, potentially positive, mixed, no discernible effects, potentially negative, or negative. The rating of effectiveness takes into account four factors: the quality of the research design, the statistical significance of the findings (as calculated by the WWC), the size of the difference between participants in the intervention and the comparison conditions, and the consistency in findings across studies (see the WWC Intervention Rating Scheme).

## The WWC found *UCSMP*Algebra to have no discernible effects on math achievement.

#### Improvement index

The WWC computes an improvement index for each individual finding. In addition, within each outcome domain, the WWC computes an average improvement index for each study and an average improvement index across studies (see Technical Details of WWC-Conducted Computations). The improvement index represents the difference between the percentile rank of the average student in the intervention condition versus the percentile rank of the average student in the comparison condition. Unlike the rating of effectiveness, the improvement index is entirely based on the size of the effect, regardless of the statistical significance of the effect, the study design, or the analysis. The improvement index can take on values between

-50 and +50, with positive numbers denoting results favorable to the intervention group.

The average improvement index for math achievement is –6 percentile points in the study.

#### **Summary**

The WWC reviewed 13 studies on *UCSMP Algebra*. One of these studies meets WWC evidence standards with reservations; the remaining 12 studies do not meet either WWC evidence standards or eligibility screens. Based on this one study, the WWC found no discernible effects on students' math achievement. The conclusions presented in this report may change as new research emerges.

- 7. The extent of evidence categorization was developed to tell readers how much evidence was used to determine the intervention rating, focusing on the number and size of studies. Additional factors associated with a related concept—external validity, such as the students' demographics and the types of settings in which studies took place—are not taken into account for the categorization. Information about how the extent of evidence rating was determined for *UCSMP Algebra* is in Appendix A5.
- 8. The level of statistical significance was reported by the study authors or, when necessary, calculated by the WWC to correct for clustering within class-rooms or schools and for multiple comparisons. For an explanation, see the WWC Tutorial on Mismatch. For the formulas the WWC used to calculate the statistical significance, see Technical Details of WWC-Conducted Computations. In the case of Peters (1992), no correction for clustering or multiple comparisons was needed.

#### References

#### Meets WWC evidence standards with reservations

Peters, K. G. (1992). Skill performance comparability of two algebra programs on an eighth-grade population. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *54*(01), 77A. (UMI No. 9314428)

### Studies that fall outside the Middle School Math protocol or do not meet WWC evidence standards

- Davis, J. D., & Shih, J. C. (2007). Secondary options and post-secondary expectations: Standards-based mathematics programs and student achievement on college mathematics placement exams. *School Science and Mathematics*, 107(8), 336–346. This study is ineligible for review because it does not use a sample within the age or grade range specified in the protocol.
- Fan, L., & Zhu, Y. (2007). Representation of problem-solving procedures: A comparative look at China, Singapore, and U.S. mathematics textbooks. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 66(1), 61–75. This study is ineligible for review because it does not include an outcome within a domain specified in the protocol.
- Herbel-Eisenmann, B. A., Lubienski, S. T., & Id-Deen, L. (2006). Reconsidering the study of mathematics instructional practices: The importance of curricular context in understanding local and global teacher change. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, *9*(4), 313–345. This study is ineligible for review because it does not include an outcome within a domain specified in the protocol.
- Lee, K. (2005). Student conceptual understanding and application on algebra-problem-based curricula. *Research in Mathematical Education*, 9(2), 125–133. This study is ineligible for review because it does not include an outcome within a domain specified in the protocol.
- Mathison, S., Hedges, L. V., Stodolsky, S., Flores, P., & Sarther, C. (1989). *Teaching and learning algebra: An evaluation of UCSMP Algebra* (Evaluation Rep. No. 88/89-ALG-1). Chicago: University of Chicago. This study is ineligible for review because it does not disaggregate findings for the age or grade range specified in the protocol.

- Thompson, D. R., & Senk, S. L. (2006). Methods for controlling for opportunity-to-learn. *Conference Papers—Psychology of Mathematics & Education of North America, 2,* 179–186. This study is ineligible for review because it does not include an outcome within a domain specified in the protocol.
- Thompson, D. R., Senk, S. L., Witonsky, D., Usiskin, Z., & Kaeley, G. (2006). *An evaluation of the second edition of UCSMP Algebra*. Chicago: University of Chicago School Mathematics Project. This study is ineligible for review because it does not use a sample within the age or grade range specified in the protocol.
- UCSMP. (2007). Fidelity of implementation in the UCSMP secondary component. *UCSMP Newsletter*, 9. This study is ineligible for review because it does not include an outcome within a domain specified in the protocol.
- UCSMP. (2007). Opportunity to learn: A critical variable in UCSMP curriculum research. *UCSMP Newsletter*, 3–6. This study is ineligible for review because it is not a primary analysis of the effectiveness of an intervention.
- Wood, F. R. (2006). The relationship between the measured changes in mathematics scores of eighth grade New Jersey students and the implementation of a standards-based mathematics program. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Widener University, Chester, PA. This study is ineligible for review because it does not use a comparison group.
- Zahrt, L. T. (2001). School reform math programs: An evaluation for leaders. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI. This study is ineligible for review because it does not use a sample within the age or grade range specified in the protocol.
- Zhu, Y., & Fan, L. (2006). Focus on the representation of problem types in intended curriculum: A comparison of selected mathematics textbooks from mainland China and the United States. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *4*(4), 609–626. This study is ineligible for review because it does not take place in the geographic area specified in the protocol.

For more information about specific studies and WWC calculations, please see the WWC UCSMP Algebra Technical Appendices.