

October 1, 2007

Dear Ms. Morris,

I urge you to reject any new rules such as S7-16-07 and S7-17-07 that will further erode the shareholders' rights of ownership. The current system leaves the shareholders' with few ways to impact the leadership of companies, and these new rules will only further limit the "little guy's" ability to guide his investment.

By implementing limits and thresholds on ownership, many small investors will lose the opportunity to speak out about firm leadership. The SEC is silencing voices of many people who are truly impartial and looking to better the leadership of the company. The SEC seems like it is taking rights away from investors and giving even more power to management, a dangerous position after recent scandals. It is very important for any investor to have a voice and I am for protecting investor's historical rights. While there are practical limitations with the current rules, putting further restrictions in place on investors' rights is not the answer.

I usually agree with propositions such as chat rooms to discuss issues and provide information, but I think this will just waste valuable time of the board of directors. Chat rooms are not the place to discuss resolutions. Existing Proxy statements are already long and complex to read and I cannot imagine further complicating the dialogue with other people attempting to explain proposed resolutions. The SEC should reject any changes to current practices that will create more confusion.

I understand that the SEC is trying to protect the interests of the investor. I believe proposed rules, S7-16-07 and S7-17-07, are actually limiting the rights of investors rather than empowering them. Please reject these rules.

Sincerely,

David Barbarics