

Contents

PREFACE	xv
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	1
1 INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	17
What Is Poverty?, 19	
The Official U.S. Poverty Measure, 24	
Alternative Poverty Measures and Criteria for a Measure, 31	
A New Approach to Poverty Measurement: Recommendations, 39	
Use of the Poverty Measure in Government Programs, 89	
2 POVERTY THRESHOLDS	97
Thresholds Concepts, 98	
Recommendations, 100	
Expert Budgets, 107	
Relative Thresholds, 124	
Subjective Thresholds, 134	
Conclusions, 140	
Implementing the Proposed Approach, 145	
3 ADJUSTING POVERTY THRESHOLDS	159
Adjustments by Family Type, 159	
Adjustments by Geographic Area, 182	
4 DEFINING RESOURCES	203
Overview and Recommendation, 203	
Alternatives for Defining Resources, 206	
Proposed Resource Definition, 218	

5	EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED POVERTY MEASURE	247
	Data and Procedures, 248	
	Results, 256	
	Data Sources, 280	
6	OTHER ISSUES IN MEASURING POVERTY	293
	Time Period, 293	
	Unit of Analysis and Presentation, 301	
	Indexes of Poverty, 308	
	The Limited Scope of Measuring Economic Poverty, 314	
7	USE OF THE POVERTY MEASURE IN GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS	317
	Recommendation, 318	
	Government Assistance Programs, 320	
	Using the Proposed Poverty Measure, 327	
8	THE POVERTY MEASURE AND AFDC	335
	Determining Program Benefit Levels, 336	
	Determining State AFDC Standards of Need, 352	
APPENDICES		
A	DISSENT, <i>John F. Cogan</i>	385
B	DATA SOURCES FOR MEASURING POVERTY	391
C	THE INTERDEPENDENCE OF TIME AND MONEY	421
D	ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS FOR PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOMES	433
REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY		
449		
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES OF PANEL MEMBERS AND STAFF		
483		
INDEX		
489		

FIGURES

- 1-1 Alternative poverty thresholds for four-person families, in constant 1992 dollars, 35
- 1-2 Alternative equivalence scales, 61
- 1-3 Poverty status of hypothetical three-person (one-adult/two-child) families under current and proposed poverty measures, 1992, 73

- 1-4 Effects of the proposed measure on the percentage of poorer people in working families and families receiving cash welfare, 76
- 1-5 Effects of the proposed poverty measure on the geographic distribution of poor people, 77
- 1-6 Effects of selected components of the proposed measure on the poverty rate, 78
- 1-7 Poverty rates under the current and proposed measures, 1992, 79
- 3-1 Equivalence scale implicit in the current poverty thresholds: increment for each added family member (relative to a scale value of 1.00 for a single adult under age 65), 165
- 3-2 Engel method for equivalence scales, 171
- 3-3 Rothbarth method for equivalence scales, 173
- 3-4 Alternative equivalence scales: increment for each added family member (relative to a scale value of 1.00 for a single adult), 179
- 3-5 Current and proposed equivalence scales expressed relative to a value of 1.00 for a family of two adults and two children, 182
- 8-1 AFDC eligibility and benefits of hypothetical families in states with different eligibility and benefit determination methods, 378
- C-1 Time and money tradeoffs in the poverty threshold for a household, 429

TABLES

- 1-1 Elements of the current and proposed poverty measures, 41
- 1-2 Policy and other changes affecting poverty statistics, 42
- 1-3 Poverty thresholds for two-adult/two-child (or four-person) families set by various methods for 1989-1993, in 1992 dollars (rounded), 47
- 1-4 Poverty thresholds for two-adult/two-child (or four-person) families set by various methods for 1989-1993, as developed and converted, in 1992 dollars (rounded), 54
- 1-5 Poverty thresholds adjusted for differences in cost of housing, expressed as percentages above or below a national poverty threshold, 63
- 1-6 Poverty statistics, 1992: current measure and proposed measure, keeping the overall poverty rate constant, 75
- 2-1 Comparison of updated poverty thresholds for a two-adult/two-child family using the Orshansky multiplier, the official threshold, and two relative thresholds, 1950-1992, in constant 1992 dollars, 112
- 2-2 Comparison of poverty thresholds for a two-adult/two-child family using two multiplier approaches, selected years, in constant 1992 dollars, 115
- 2-3 Relative poverty thresholds for a four-person family derived as one-

- half of median before-tax and after-tax four-person family income, 1947-1992, in constant 1992 dollars, 132
- 2-4 Subjective poverty thresholds for a four-person family derived from survey data, 1947-1993, in constant 1992 dollars, 138
- 2-5 Examples of poverty thresholds for four-person families set by various methods for years around 1980 and 1990, in constant 1992 dollars, 142
- 2-6 Percentile values of expenditures on the panel's basic bundle by two-adult/two-child families, 1989-1991 Consumer Expenditure Survey, in constant 1992 dollars, with multiplier, 150
- 2-7 Poverty thresholds developed under panel's proposed procedure, in constant 1992 dollars, 156
- 3-1 Equivalence scale implicit in official weighted average poverty thresholds for 1992, 164
- 3-2 Selected alternative equivalence scales: increment in the scale value for a spouse and each added child (relative to a scale value of 1.00 for a single-adult family), 167
- 3-3 Estimates of the cost of children (using Rothbarth method), 178
- 3-4 Alternative equivalence scales, with scale values expressed relative to a value of 1.00 for a family of two adults and two children, 181
- 3-5 Hedonic model price indexes for rent and rental equivalence, and combined multilateral index, selected areas, July 1988-June 1989, 192
- 3-6 Cost-of-housing index values (relative to 1.00 for the United States as a whole) by region (census division) and size of metropolitan area, 196
- 4-1 Annual family out-of-pocket expenses for personal medical care services as a percent of family income, percentage distribution, 1987, 227
- 4-2 Poverty rates with and without insurance values for public and private medical care benefits under different valuation approaches, selected age groups, 1986, in percent, 229
- 5-1 Official poverty thresholds in 1992, by family size and type, 250
- 5-2 Poverty thresholds in 1992 under proposed measure, by family size and type, 251
- 5-3 Housing cost adjustments for proposed poverty thresholds, 252
- 5-4 Distribution of gross money income, with amounts deducted for out-of-pocket medical care expenditures, child care expenses, and other work-related expenses, 1992, in dollars, 257
- 5-5 Change in poverty status and income-to-poverty ratios under the current and proposed poverty measures, with total poverty rate held constant at 14.5 percent, 1992, 258
- 5-6 Composition of the total and poverty populations under the current

- and proposed measures, with total poverty rate held constant at 14.5 percent, 1992, 260
- 5-7 Poverty rates by population group under the current and proposed measures, with total poverty rate held constant at 14.5 percent, 1992, 261
- 5-8 Poverty rates by population group under the current and proposed measures, 1992, 265
- 5-9 Effect of individual components of the proposed measure on percentage point changes in the official poverty rates, 1992, 268
- 5-10 Effect of alternative scale economy factors in the proposed measure on poverty rates, by family size, 1992, 270
- 5-11 Poverty rates under the current and proposed measures: 1992, 1989, 1983, 1979, 276
- 5-12 Poverty rates calculated from the Consumer Expenditure Survey, Current Population Survey, and Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1980-1991, 279
- 7-1 Government assistance programs that link eligibility to income, fiscal 1992, 321
- 7-2 Government assistance programs that link eligibility or benefits to the current poverty measure, by program type and poverty cutoff for eligibility, fiscal 1992, 322
- 8-1 AFDC need standards, maximum AFDC benefits, and maximum combined AFDC and food stamp benefits for a family of three, January 1994, 337
- 8-2 State approaches to setting AFDC need standards in the 1970s and 1980s, 355
- 8-3 AFDC need standards, maximum AFDC benefits, and maximum combined AFDC and food stamp benefits for a family of three, as a percentage of the 1993 weighted average monthly poverty threshold, January 1994, 361
- 8-4 State median family income and state-adjusted poverty thresholds under the panel's proposed measure, 363
- 8-5 Mean and distribution of state AFDC need standards, maximum AFDC benefits, and maximum combined AFDC and food stamp benefits for a family of three, as reported by the states and as adjusted for differences in income and cost of housing, January 1994, in dollars, 365
- 8-6 Equivalence scale implicit in maximum AFDC benefits for two-person through six-person families, January 1994, 366
- 8-7 AFDC need standards for a family of three, July 1970, July 1980, and January 1991, in constant (January 1991) dollars, 370
- 8-8 AFDC maximum benefits for a family of three, July 1970, July 1980, and January 1991, in constant (January 1991) dollars, 372
- B-1 Summary Comparisons of CEX, March CPS, PSID, and SIPP, 404
- C-1 A Comparison of the Value of Time in Two Households, 423
- D-1 Expenditures on government assistance programs for low-income people, by type of income test, fiscal 1992, 434

The National Academy of Sciences is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. Upon the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The National Academy of Engineering was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Robert M. White is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The Institute of Medicine was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Kenneth I. Shine is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The National Research Council was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts and Dr. Robert M. White are chairman and vice chairman, respectively, of the National Research Council.