## **Issues Raised in Response to Proposal**

# **Natural Resource Impacts During and After Tree Removal**

- 1. Plants
- 2. Animals
- 3. Soil (compaction / erosion)
- 4. Water (sediment in streams)

# **Aesthetic Impacts During and After Tree Removal**

- 1. Noise (from chainsaws during removal, from roads long-term)
- 2. Viewshed
- 3. Privacy / screening
- 4. Loss of shade
- 5. Loss of fog capture
- 6. Increase in wind

#### **Threats Associated with Trees**

- 1. Wildland fire hazard
- 2. Falling debris or limbs
- 3. Emergency access / egress
- 4. Loss of homes (personal loss, and loss of property tax revenue to local government)

## **Costs to Property Owners**

- 1. Property values
- 2. Insurance coverage

## Tree Removal Options Should be Considered

- 1. Leave as is
- 2. Partial stand removal
- 3. Removal in phases (re-growth in stages)
- 4. Full stand removal
- 5. Stand thinning (select by size for removal)
- 6. Reduce understory
- 7. Retain buffer to address viewshed, noise, fog capture, and wind

## **Revegetation Options Should be Considered**

- 1. Limited information on historic condition -- What is "native"?
- 2. Why not plant redwood trees?
- 3. If oak woodland, what about Sudden Oak Death?
- 4. Age of plants -- why not reintroduce more mature plants, especially trees?

# **Long Term Vision**

- 1. What vegetation community is the goal and why?
- 2. How will the fire hazard change?
- 3. How long will it take to revegetate?
- 4. Will NPS guarantee plant establishment and long-term maintenance?
- 5. Tam Fire Site example is not favorable (not enough investment)