

# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Glenwood Springs Field Office 50629 Highway 6 and 24 Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601 www.co.blm.gov



# CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

NUMBER: DOI-BLM-CO-N040-2009-0071 CASEFILE/PROJECT NUMBER: 0507647

PROJECT NAME: Grazing Permit Transfer for the Harris Gulch, SW Rifle Creek and Hayden Allotments.

<u>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</u>: T4S R93W Sec 6-9, 17, 19-20, 29-30 & T4S R94W Sec 1, 13, 24; Sixth Principal Meridian;

Garfield, County, Colorado. Refer to attached map.

**APPLICANT**: Grazing Permittee

<u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION</u>: The Proposed Action is to transfer a term grazing permit for the Harris Gulch (#18013), SW Rifle Creek (#18016) and Hayden (#08015) livestock grazing allotments. This permit is attached to leased base property. The grazing schedule and grazing preference animal unit months (AUMS) will remain unchanged from the previous permit. The permit will be issued for the term of the lease which ends May 31, 2012. The tables below summarize the scheduled grazing use and grazing preference for the permit.

**Scheduled Grazing Use** (mandatory terms and conditions):

Allotment Name & No.	Livestock Kind & No.	Period of use	%PL	AUMs
Harris Gulch #18013	165 Cattle	6/15 – 8/31	90	381
SW Rifle Creek #18016	108 Cattle	5/16 - 6/14	100	107
Hayden #08015	6 Cattle	6/15 – 8/31	100	15

**Grazing Preference (AUMS):** 

Allotment Name & No.	Active AUMs	Suspended AUMs	Permitted AUMs
Harris Gulch #18013	382	434	816
SW Rifle Creek #18016	108	173	281
Hayden #08015	16	0	16

#### Current Terms and Conditions that will be carried forward:

- Maintenance of range improvements is required and shall be in accordance with all approved cooperative agreements and range improvement permits. Maintenance shall be completed prior to turn out.
- The permittee and all persons specifically associated with grazing operations must be informed that any objects or sites of cultural, paleontological, or scientific value such as historic or prehistoric resources, graves or grave

markers, human remains, ruins, cabins, rock art, fossils, or artifacts shall not be damaged, destroyed, removed, moved, or disturbed. If in connection with allotment operations under this authorization any of the above resources are encountered, the proponent shall immediately suspend all activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery that might further disturb such materials and notify the BLM authorized officer of the findings. The discovery must be protected until notified in writing to proceed by the authorized officer (36CFR 800.110 & 112, 43CFR 0.4).

• Average utilization levels by livestock should not exceed 50% by weight on key grass species, and 40% of the key browse species current year's growth. Once these levels are reached, livestock should be moved to another portion of the allotment, or removed from the allotment entirely for the remainder of the growing season. Application of this term may be flexible to recognize livestock management that includes sufficient opportunity for regrowth, spring growth prior to grazing, or growing season deferment.

## LAND USE PLAN (LUP) CONFORMANCE REVIEW: The proposed action is subject to the following plan:

Name of Plan: Glenwood Springs Resource Management Plan

<u>Date Approved</u>: Jan. 1984, revised 1988, amended in November 1991 - Oil and Gas Leasing and Development - Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement; amended Nov. 1996 - <u>Colorado Standards and Guidelines</u>; amended in August 1997 - <u>Castle Peak Travel Management Plan</u>; amended in March 1999 - Oil and Gas Leasing & Development Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement; amended in November 1999 - Red Hill Plan Amendment; and amended in September 2002 – Fire Management Plan for Wildland Fire Management and Prescriptive Vegetation Treatment Guidance.

X The Proposed Action is in conformance with the LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

Decision Language: The action is in conformance with Administrative Actions (pg. 5) and Livestock Grazing Management (pg. 20). Administrative actions states, "Various types of actions will require special attention beyond the scope of this plan. Administrative actions are the day-to-day transactions required to serve the public and to provide optimal use of the resources. These actions are in conformance with the plan". The livestock grazing management objective as amended states, "To provide 56,885 animal unit months of livestock forage commensurate with meeting public land health standards."

The Proposed Action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions (objectives, terms, and conditions):

#### CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW:

The proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 11, Section: D. Range Management. Number 11. Issuance of livestock grazing permits/leases.

- (11) Issuance of livestock grazing permits/leases where
  - (a) The new grazing permit/lease is consistent with the use specified on the previous permit/lease, such that
    - (1) the same kind of livestock is grazed,
    - (2) the active use previously authorized is not exceeded, and
    - (3) grazing does not occur more than 14 days earlier or later than as specified on the previous permit/lease, and
  - (b) The grazing allotment(s) has been assessed and evaluated and the Responsible Official has documented in a determination that the allotment(s) is
    - (1) meeting land health standards, or
    - (2) not meeting land health standards due to factors that do not include existing livestock grazing.

The Departmental Manual (516 DM 2.3A(3) & App. 2) requires that before any action described in the following list of categorical exclusions is used, the exceptions must be reviewed for applicability in each case. The proposed action cannot be categorically excluded if one or more of the exceptions apply, thus requiring either an EA or an EIS. When no exceptions apply, the following types of bureau actions normally do not require the preparation of an EA or EIS.

None of the following exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

EXCLUSION			NO
1.	Have significant impacts on public health or safety.		
2.	Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.		X
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].		X
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		X
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		X
6.	Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.		X
7.	Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.		X
8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.			X
9.	Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.		X
10.	Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).		X
	Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).		X
12.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).		X

## INTERDISCIPLINARY REVIEW:

Name	Title (1885) 1814 Sense Kuji sense	Responsibility	
Isaac Pittman	Rangeland Management Specialist	Rangeland Management, NEPA Lead	
Dereck Wilson	Rangeland Management Specialist	Weeds	
Michael Kinser	Rangeland Management Specialist	Wetlands and Riparian Zones	
Kay Hopkins	Outdoor Recreation Planner	WSR, Wilderness, VRM	
Cheryl Harrison	Archaeologist	Cultural Resources and Native American Concerns	
Brian Hopkins	Wildlife Biologist	Migratory Birds, T&E, Terrestrial Wildlife	
Carla DeYoung	Ecologist	logist Vegetation, T/E/S Plants, ACEC	
Jeff O'Connell	Hydrologist	Soil, Air, Water, Geology	
Tom Fresques	Fisheries Biologist	Wildlife Aquatic, T&E Fish	

#### **REMARKS/MITIGATION:**

Cultural Resources and Native American Concerns: A grazing permit transfer is not an undertaking as defined in 36 CFR 800.2(o) since the action (a name change only) does not have the potential to affect historic properties; therefore, no further work is necessary to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. A grazing permit transfer that is just a change in permittee is not likely to affect sacred sites or traditional cultural properties, therefore the Ute tribes have not been contacted regarding this project. The Education/Discovery Stipulation needs to be added to the permit.

NAME OF PREPARER: Isaac Pittman, Range Management Specialist

DATE: 4/29/2009

DECISION AND RATIONALE: I have reviewed this categorical exclusion record and have decided to implement the proposed action.

This action is listed in the Department Manual as an action that may be categorically excluded. I have evaluated the action relative to the 12 criteria listed above and have determined that it does not represent an exception and is, therefore, categorically excluded from further environmental analysis.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL: Acting Field Manager

DATE SIGNED: 4/29/2009