

APPENDIX F:
MAXIMUM PREDICTED MID-FIELD AND FAR-FIELD IMPACTS

LIST OF TABLES

Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts

- Table F.1.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources
- Table F.1.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.1.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.1.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.1.5 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.1.6 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.1.7 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.1.8 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.1.9 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.1.10 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.1.11 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.1.12 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.1.13 Maximum Far-field Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.1.14 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

- Table F.1.15 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.16 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.17 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.18 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.19 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.20 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.21 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.22 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.23 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.24 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.25 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.1.26 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Table F.1.27 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts

Table F.2.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Table F.2.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Table F.2.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Table F.2.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Table F.2.5 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Table F.2.6 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Table F.2.7 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Table F.2.8 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Table F.2.9 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Table F.2.10 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Table F.2.11 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Table F.2.12 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Table F.2.13 Maximum Far-field Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Table F.2.14 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

- Table F.2.15 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.16 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.17 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.18 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.19 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.20 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.21 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.22 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.23 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.24 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.25 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.2.26 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Table F.2.27 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts

Table F.3.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Table F.3.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Table F.3.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Table F.3.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Table F.3.5 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Table F.3.6 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Table F.3.7 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Table F.3.8 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Table F.3.9 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Table F.3.10 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Table F.3.11 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Table F.3.12 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Table F.3.13 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Table F.3.14 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

- Table F.3.15 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.16 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.17 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.18 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.19 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.20 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.21 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.22 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.23 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.24 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.25 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.3.26 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Table F.3.27 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts

Table F.4.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Table F.4.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Table F.4.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Table F.4.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Table F.4.5 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Table F.4.6 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Table F.4.7 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Table F.4.8 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Table F.4.9 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Table F.4.10 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Table F.4.11 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Table F.4.12 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Table F.4.13 Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Table F.4.14 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

- Table F.4.15 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.16 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.17 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.18 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.19 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.20 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.21 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.22 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.23 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.24 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.25 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.4.26 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Table F.4.27 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Modeled Impacts Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.1 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.2 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR250) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.3 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR150) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.4 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR75) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.5 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B Sources (WDR250) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.6 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B Sources (WDR150) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.7 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B Sources (WDR75) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.8 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR250) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.9 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR150) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.10 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR75) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.11 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR250) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.12 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR150) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.13 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR75) – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Table F.5.14 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from No Action and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

- Table F.5.15 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Maximum Proposed Action Production and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.16 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.17 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.18 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.19 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.20 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.21 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.22 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.23 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.24 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.25 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.26 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Table F.5.27 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources – Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Modeled Nitrogen (N) and Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts

- Table F.6.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative Sources

- Table F.6.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative and Regional Sources
- Table F.6.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative Sources
- Table F.6.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled Cumulative Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative and Regional Sources

Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity

- Table F.7.1 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources
- Table F.7.2 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.7.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.7.4 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.7.5 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.7.6 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.7.7 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.7.8 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.7.9 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.7.10 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.7.11 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

- Table F.7.12 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.7.13 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.7.14 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from No Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.15 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.16 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.17 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.18 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.19 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.20 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.21 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.22 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.23 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.24 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.25 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.26 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.7.27 Maximum Far-field Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas

- Table F.8.1 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources
- Table F.8.2 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.8.3 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.8.4 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.8.5 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.8.6 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.8.7 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.8.8 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.8.9 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.8.10 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.8.11 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.8.12 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.8.13 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.8.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

- Table F.8.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.8.28 Bridger Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Δdv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.29 Bridger Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Δdv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.30 Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Δdv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

- Table F.8.31 Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.32 Popo Agie Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.33 Popo Agie Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.34 Wind River Roadless Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.8.35 Wind River Roadless Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations

- Table F.9.1 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources
- Table F.9.2 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.9.3 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.9.4 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.9.5 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.9.6 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.9.7 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.9.8 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.9.9 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

- Table F.9.10 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.9.11 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)
- Table F.9.12 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)
- Table F.9.13 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)
- Table F.9.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from No Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

- Table F.9.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources
- Table F.9.28 Big Piney - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.29 Big Piney - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.30 Big Sandy - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.31 Big Sandy - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.32 Boulder - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.33 Boulder - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.34 Bronx - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.35 Bronx - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.36 Cora - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.37 Cora - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.38 Daniel - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.39 Daniel - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.40 Farson - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)
- Table F.9.41 Farson - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.42 La Barge - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.43 La Barge - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.44 Merna - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.45 Merna - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.46 Pinedale - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.9.47 Pinedale - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data Predicted Å dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Summary of Maximum Modeled Impacts

Table F.10.1 Summary of Maximum Modeled Far-field Direct Project NO₂ Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas.

Table F.10.2 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Far-field NO₂ Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

Table F.10.3 Summary of Maximum Modeled Far-field SO₂ Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Impacts.

Table F.10.4 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Far-field SO₂ Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Source Impacts.

Table F.10.5 Summary of Maximum Modeled Far-field PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Impacts.

Table F.10.6 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Far-field PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Source Impacts.

Table F.10.7 Summary of Maximum Far-field Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Impacts.

- Table F.10.8 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Far-field PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Source Impacts.
- Table F.10.9 Summary of Maximum Modeled In-field Pollutant Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) from Direct Project Sources Within the JIDPA Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards.
- Table F.10.10 Summary of Modeled Cumulative In-field Pollutant Concentrations Within the JIDPA ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) from Direct Project and Regional Sources Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards.
- Table F.10.11 Summary of Maximum Modeled Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive Class II Areas from Direct Project Impacts.
- Table F.10.12 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Far-field Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.
- Table F.10.13 Summary of Modeled Far-field Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.
- Table F.10.14 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Far-field Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.
- Table F.10.15 Summary of Modeled Far-field Change in ANC ($\mu\text{eq}/\text{L}$) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Direct Project Sources.
- Table F.10.16 Summary of Modeled Far-field Cumulative Change in ANC ($\mu\text{eq}/\text{L}$) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Direct Project and Regional Sources.
- Table F.10.17 Summary of Modeled Far-field Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources using FLAG Background Data.
- Table F.10.18 Summary of Modeled Far-field Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources using IMPROVE Background Data.
- Table F.10.19 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Far-field Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas, from Direct Project Sources using FLAG Background Data.
- Table F.10.20 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Far-field Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources using IMPROVE Background Data.
- Table F.10.21 Summary of Modeled Far-field Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations using FLAG Background Data from Direct Project Sources.

Table F.10.22 Summary of Modeled Far-field Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations using IMPROVE Background Data from Direct Project Sources.

Table F.10.23 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations using FLAG Background Data from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

Table F.10.24 Summary of Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations using IMPROVE Background Data from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

Table F.1.1 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production
Proposed Action Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PSD Significance Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.026	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.43
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40
		Popo Agie WA	0.009	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40
		Wind River RA	0.006	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.2 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.132	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.53	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.044	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.026	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.3 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)						
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.091	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.49	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.031	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.019	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.4 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)						
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.057	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.021	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.012	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.5 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration (µg/m ³)			
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.153	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.55	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.050	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.030	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.6 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration	WAQI NAAQS
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.103	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.50	100 100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100 100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100 100
		Popo Agie WA	0.035	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100 100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100 100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100 100
		Wind River RA	0.021	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100 100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100 100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.7 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration (µg/m ³)			
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.062	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.023	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.013	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.8 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact	Applicable PSD Significance Level	Applicable PSD Increment	Background Concentration	Total Concentration	WAAQS	NAAQS
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.121	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.52	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.041	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.024	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.9 Maximum Monitored NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Significance Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.080	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.48	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.028	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.016	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.10 Maximum Monitored NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Significance Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAQIS	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.045	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.017	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.010	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.11 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD		Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
				Significance Level	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.141	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.54	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.046	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.027	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.12 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Significance Level	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration		WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
							Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.096	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.50	100	100	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	
		Popo Agie WA	0.033	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100	
		Teton WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	
		Wind River RA	0.019	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.13 Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration (µg/m ³)			
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.063	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.023	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.013	1.0	25.0	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.1.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration	
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.119	2.5	3.4	3.52	3.43	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	2.5	3.4	3.41	3.40	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.029	2.5	3.4	3.43	3.40	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.027	25.0	3.4	3.43	3.41	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	3.40	100
		Washakie WA	0.009	2.5	3.4	3.41	3.40	100
		Wind River RA	0.024	25.0	3.4	3.42	3.41	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	3.40	100

Table F.1.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.143	2.5	3.4	3.54	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.029	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.036	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.030	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.245	2.5	3.4	3.64	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.017	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.070	25.0	3.4	3.47	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.051	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.203	2.5	3.4	3.60	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.015	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.057	25.0	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.043	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	(µg/m ³)			
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.170	2.5	3.4	3.57	100	100	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.014	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	
		Grand Teton NP	0.029	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100	
		Popo Agie WA	0.047	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100	
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	
		Wind River RA	0.036	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100	
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	

Table F.1.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.265	2.5	3.4	3.67	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.017	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.076	25.0	3.4	3.48	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.055	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.216	2.5	3.4	3.62	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.016	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.060	25.0	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.045	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.175	2.5	3.4	3.57	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.014	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.049	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.037	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Increment	Concentration	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.233	2.5	3.4	3.63	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.016	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.067	25.0	3.4	3.47	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.048	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Increment	Concentration	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.192	2.5	3.4	3.59	100	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.015	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.054	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.041	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100	100

Table F.1.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.159	2.5	3.4	3.56	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.013	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.029	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.044	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie VA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.034	25.0	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.254	2.5	3.4	3.65	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.017	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.072	25.0	3.4	3.47	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.052	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.209	2.5	3.4	3.61	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.015	2.5	3.4	3.42	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.058	25.0	3.4	3.46	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie VA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.044	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

Table F.1.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
NO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.176	2.5	3.4	3.58	100	100
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.014	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	2.5	3.4	3.43	100	100
		Popo Agie WA	0.049	25.0	3.4	3.45	100	100
		Teton WA	0.007	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Washakie WA	0.010	2.5	3.4	3.41	100	100
		Wind River RA	0.038	25.0	3.4	3.44	100	100
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	2.5	3.4	3.40	100	100

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.2.1 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (ug/m ³)			Total Concentration WAAQS (ug/m ³)	NAAQS (ug/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (ug/m ³)	Background Concentration (ug/m ³)	Total Concentration (ug/m ³)			
SO ₂	Annual	Briderger WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	
		Briderger WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Teton WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Wind River RA	0.000	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	
		Briderger WA	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Popo Agie WA	0.002	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Washakie WA	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Wind River RA	0.001	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.2 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)			
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80	80
		Bridger WA	0.073	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.1	43.1	260	365	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.013	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Wind River RA	0.010	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Bridger WA	0.229	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.2	132.2	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.019	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.008	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.081	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.007	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.006	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.037	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.3 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS	
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.002	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Bridger WA	0.046	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.008	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.006	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Bridger WA	0.143	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.055	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.024	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.4 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources
(WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact			Applicable PSD Significance Level			Background Concentration			Total Concentration		WAQIS NAAQS	
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80				
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.022	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Popo Agie WA	0.005	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Teton WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Wind River RA	0.004	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365				
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.073	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Popo Agie WA	0.026	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Teton WA	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Washakie WA	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Wind River RA	0.011	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300				

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register*/vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.

Table F.2.5 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources
(WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Significance Level (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Bridger WA	0.090	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.1	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.003	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.016	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Teton WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.013	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Bridger WA	0.280	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.3	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.023	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.009	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.100	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.009	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.007	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.045	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.6 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources
(WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact			Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS		
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Significance Level	Applicable PSD	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)						
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.003	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	60	80	60	80	
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.056	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.1	43.1	260	260	365	260	365	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Popo Agie WA	0.010	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Wind River RA	0.008	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	260	365	
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.174	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.2	132.2	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.015	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Grand Teton NP	0.006	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Popo Agie WA	0.067	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Teton WA	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Washakie WA	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Wind River RA	0.029	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	
		Yellowstone NP	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register*/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.

Table F.2.7 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources
(WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS	
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	9.00	60	80
		Bridger WA	0.027	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.006	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Teton WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.004	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Bridger WA	0.089	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	132.1	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.008	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.032	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.014	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register*/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.

Table F.2.8 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Significance Level	PSD Increment	Background Concentration		
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	80
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.073	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.1	260
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260
		Popo Agie WA	0.013	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260
		Wind River RA	0.010	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.227	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.2	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.019	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.008	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.081	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300
		Teton WA	0.007	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.006	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.036	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.9 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Applicable	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact	Significance Level	PSD Increment	Concentration	Concentration		
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.002	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Bridger WA	0.045	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.008	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.006	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365
		Bridger WA	0.140	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.054	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.023	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.10 Maximum Modeled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS		
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridge WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	60	80	80
		Bridge WA	0.022	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.005	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Teton WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Wind River RA	0.004	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	260	365	365
		Bridge WA	0.071	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.026	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.011	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.11 Maximum Modelled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Total Concentration			WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Background Increment	Concentration (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.004	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.079	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.1	260	365	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Wind River RA	0.011	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.254	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.3	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.021	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.009	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.090	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.008	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.007	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.041	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.12 Maximum Modelled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR 150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS	
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.002	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Wasatchie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Wind River RA	0.001	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.050	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.009	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Wasatchie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Wind River RA	0.007	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.157	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.2	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.014	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.060	25.0	512	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.005	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wasatchie WA	0.004	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.026	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.002	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.13 Maximum Modelled SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration			Total Concentration WAAQS	
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridge WA	0.001	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Teton WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	1.0	20	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.1 ¹	2	9.0	9.00	60	80	80
		Bridge WA	0.024	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
SO ₂	24-hr	Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.005	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Teton WA	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Wind River RA	0.004	5.0	91	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	5	43.0	43.0	260	365	365
		Bridge WA	0.081	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.1	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.007	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.029	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
SO ₂	3-hr	Teton WA	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.003	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.012	25.0	512	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	1.0 ¹	25	132.0	132.0	1,300	1,300	1,300

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.2.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.041	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.038	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.010	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.012	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.008	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.014	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.013	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.164	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.020	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.201	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.037	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.022	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.109	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.075	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.16	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.02	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.08	5	43.0	43.08	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie VA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.24	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.08	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.12	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.05	5	43.0	43.05	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.06	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.03	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.10	5	43.0	43.10	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.02	91	43.0	43.02	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.02	91	43.0	43.02	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.29	25	132.0	132.30	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.10	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.12	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact	Applicable PSD Increment	Background Concentration	Total Concentration	WAAQS	NAAQS
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)					
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.06	5	43.0	43.06	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.02	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.19	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.07	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Applicable PSD Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.03	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS Concentration (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Increment (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)		
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.08	5	43.0	43.08	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie VA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.24	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.08	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.12	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Brider WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Brider WA	0.05	5	43.0	43.05	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Brider WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.06	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAQSI (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Brider WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Brider WA	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Brider WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.03	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Brider WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Brider WA	0.09	5	43.0	43.09	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.02	91	43.0	43.02	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Brider WA	0.27	25	132.0	132.30	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.09	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.12	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable PSD	Background	Total	WAAQS	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Increment ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
SO ₂	Annual	Bridger WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.06	5	43.0	43.06	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie VA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Bridger WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.06	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

Table F.2.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Applicable PSD Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	Annual	Brider WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Grand Teton NP	0.007	2	9.0	9.01	60	80
		Popo Agie WA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Teton WA	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Washakie WA	0.000	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Wind River RA	0.000	20	9.0	9.00	60	80
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	2	9.0	9.00	60	80
SO ₂	24-hr	Brider WA	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Grand Teton NP	0.04	5	43.0	43.04	260	365
		Popo Agie WA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Teton WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Washakie WA	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Wind River RA	0.01	91	43.0	43.01	260	365
		Yellowstone NP	0.01	5	43.0	43.01	260	365
SO ₂	3-hr	Brider WA	0.17	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Grand Teton NP	0.20	25	132.0	132.20	1,300	1,300
		Popo Agie WA	0.03	512	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Teton WA	0.04	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Washakie WA	0.02	25	132.0	132.00	1,300	1,300
		Wind River RA	0.11	512	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300
		Yellowstone NP	0.07	25	132.0	132.10	1,300	1,300

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.3.1 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Increment	Total Concentration	WAAQS	NAQS
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.030	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.03	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.008	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.006	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2-hr	Bridger WA	0.750	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.70	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.070	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.150	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Teton WA	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.120	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.010	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.2 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.063	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.06	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	1.0	17	16.0	16.02	50 50
		Teton WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.013	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.660	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.70	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.180	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.090	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.260	5.0	30	33.0	33.30	150 150
		Teton WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.080	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.190	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.3 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Applicable PSD			Total Concentration WAAQS NAAQS		
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Increment (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)	
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.050	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.05	50	50	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	1.0	17	16.0	16.0	16.01	50	50	
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Wind River RA	0.010	1.0	17	16.0	16.0	16.01	50	50	
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.280	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	34.30	150	150	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Grand Teton NP	0.070	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Popo Agie WA	0.210	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.20	150	150	
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Washakie WA	0.060	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Wind River RA	0.160	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.20	150	150	
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.4 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.039	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.04	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.008	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.000	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.00	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.100	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.180	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.140	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.5 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.068	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.07	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.007	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	1.0	17	16.0	16.02	50 50
		Teton WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.014	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.700	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.70	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.200	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.100	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.240	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Teton WA	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.080	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.190	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.6 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.053	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.05	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.015	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.011	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.310	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.30	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.150	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.080	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.200	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.060	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.160	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.7 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.041	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.04	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.008	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.990	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.00	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.110	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.170	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150	150
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.140	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.8 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact	Applicable PSD Significance Level	Applicable PSD Increment	Background Concentration	Total Concentration	WAAQS	NAAQS
			($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.046	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.05	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.009	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Bridger WA	1.240	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.20	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
PM ₁₀	24 hr	Grand Teton NP	0.080	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.170	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150	150
		Teton WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.060	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.130	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150	150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.9 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.033	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.03	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.010	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.007	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.870	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.90	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.100	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.130	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Teton WA	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.090	5.0	30	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.10 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Applicable PSD			Total Concentration WAAQS NAAQS		
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³) (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)	
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.022	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.02	50	50	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Popo Agie WA	0.007	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	16.01	50	50	
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Wind River RA	0.005	1.0	17	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.590	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.60	150	150	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.060	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Popo Agie WA	0.090	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Teton WA	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Washakie WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Wind River RA	0.070	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.11 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.064	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.06	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	1.0	17	16.0	16.02	50 50
		Teton WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.013	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.650	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.70	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.190	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.100	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.250	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Teton WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.080	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.190	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.12 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS NAQS (µg/m ³)
			Direct Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)			
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.051	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.05	50 50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Washakie WA	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
		Wind River RA	0.010	1.0	17	16.0	16.01	50 50
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.00	50 50
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.310	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	34.30	150 150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Grand Teton NP	0.070	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Popo Agie WA	0.210	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150
		Washakie WA	0.060	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.10	150 150
		Wind River RA	0.160	5.0	30	33.0	33.20	150 150
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.00	150 150

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.13 Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Applicable PSD			Applicable PSD			Total Concentration WAAQS NAAQS		
			Direct Modeled Impact	Significance Level	Increment (µg/m ³)	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)	
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.041	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.04	50	50	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	1.0	17	16.0	16.0	16.01	50	50	
		Teton WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Washakie WA	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
		Wind River RA	0.008	1.0	17	16.0	16.0	16.01	50	50	
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	0.2 ¹	4	16.0	16.0	16.00	50	50	
PM ₁₀	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	34.00	150	150	
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.110	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Popo Agie WA	0.180	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.20	150	150	
		Teton WA	0.030	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Washakie WA	0.040	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	
		Wind River RA	0.140	5.0	30	33.0	33.0	33.10	150	150	
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	0.3 ¹	8	33.0	33.0	33.00	150	150	

¹ Proposed Class I significance level, *Federal Register/Vol. 61, No. 142, pg. 38292, July 23, 1996.*

Table F.3.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.018	4	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.012	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.008	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.003	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.009	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	0.464	8	33.0	33.46	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.130	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.122	8	33.0	33.12	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.137	30	33.0	33.14	150	150
		Teton WA	0.040	8	33.0	33.04	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.043	8	33.0	33.04	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.206	30	33.0	33.21	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.045	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.047	4	16.0	16.05	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.008	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.013	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.015	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.014	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	0.912	8	33.0	33.91	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.151	8	33.0	33.15	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.126	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.203	30	33.0	33.20	150	150
		Teton WA	0.052	8	33.0	33.05	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.049	8	33.0	33.05	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.227	30	33.0	33.23	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.049	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.081	4	16.0	16.08	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	4	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.024	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.007	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.021	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.825	8	33.0	34.82	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.204	8	33.0	33.20	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.138	8	33.0	33.14	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.314	30	33.0	33.31	150	150
		Teton WA	0.079	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.092	8	33.0	33.09	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.292	30	33.0	33.29	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.063	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.067	4	16.0	16.07	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.018	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.451	8	33.0	34.45	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.174	8	33.0	33.17	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.133	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.269	30	33.0	33.27	150	150
		Teton WA	0.068	8	33.0	33.07	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.073	8	33.0	33.07	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.268	30	33.0	33.27	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.057	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.057	4	16.0	16.06	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.009	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.017	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.016	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.156	8	33.0	34.16	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.163	8	33.0	33.16	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.129	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.236	30	33.0	33.24	150	150
		Teton WA	0.061	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.060	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.247	30	33.0	33.25	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.052	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.086	4	16.0	16.09	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	4	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.026	17	16.0	16.03	50	50
		Teton WA	0.007	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.022	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.870	8	33.0	34.87	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.221	8	33.0	33.22	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.149	8	33.0	33.15	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.299	30	33.0	33.30	150	150
		Teton WA	0.084	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.100	8	33.0	33.10	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.301	30	33.0	33.30	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.065	8	33.0	33.07	150	150

Table F.3.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.071	4	16.0	16.07	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.021	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.019	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.480	8	33.0	34.48	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.178	8	33.0	33.18	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.133	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.261	30	33.0	33.26	150	150
		Teton WA	0.071	8	33.0	33.07	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.078	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.273	30	33.0	33.27	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.059	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.058	4	16.0	16.06	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.009	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.016	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.155	8	33.0	34.16	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.164	8	33.0	33.16	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.129	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.229	30	33.0	33.23	150	150
		Teton WA	0.062	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.062	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.250	30	33.0	33.25	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.053	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.063	4	16.0	16.06	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.017	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.402	8	33.0	34.40	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.181	8	33.0	33.18	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.136	8	33.0	33.14	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.230	30	33.0	33.23	150	150
		Teton WA	0.073	8	33.0	33.07	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.079	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.280	30	33.0	33.28	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.060	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.050	4	16.0	16.05	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.008	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.016	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.015	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.034	8	33.0	34.03	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.167	8	33.0	33.17	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.132	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.201	30	33.0	33.20	150	150
		Teton WA	0.062	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.064	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.256	30	33.0	33.26	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.054	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.040	4	16.0	16.04	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.007	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.013	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.013	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.013	17	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	0.747	8	33.0	33.75	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.155	8	33.0	33.16	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.129	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.178	30	33.0	33.18	150	150
		Teton WA	0.054	8	33.0	33.05	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.053	8	33.0	33.05	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.235	30	33.0	33.24	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.050	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

Table F.3.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.081	4	16.0	16.08	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	4	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.024	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.007	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.021	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.820	8	33.0	34.82	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.210	8	33.0	33.21	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.140	8	33.0	33.14	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.300	30	33.0	33.30	150	150
		Teton WA	0.080	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.090	8	33.0	33.09	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.290	30	33.0	33.29	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.060	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.069	4	16.0	16.07	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.018	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.474	8	33.0	34.47	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.176	8	33.0	33.18	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.133	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.271	30	33.0	33.27	150	150
		Teton WA	0.070	8	33.0	33.07	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.076	8	33.0	33.08	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.270	30	33.0	33.27	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.058	8	33.0	33.06	150	150

Table F.3.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Applicable	Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	PSD Increment (µg/m ³)				
PM ₁₀	Annual	Bridger WA	0.059	4	16.0	16.06	50	50
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.009	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Teton WA	0.006	4	16.0	16.01	50	50
		Washakie WA	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
		Wind River RA	0.016	17	16.0	16.02	50	50
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	4	16.0	16.00	50	50
PM ₁₀	2- hr	Bridger WA	1.197	8	33.0	34.20	150	150
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.164	8	33.0	33.16	150	150
		Grand Teton NP	0.129	8	33.0	33.13	150	150
		Popo Agie WA	0.237	30	33.0	33.24	150	150
		Teton WA	0.062	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Washakie WA	0.062	8	33.0	33.06	150	150
		Wind River RA	0.250	30	33.0	33.25	150	150
		Yellowstone NP	0.053	8	33.0	33.05	150	150

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.4.1 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.030	5.0	5.03	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.750	13.0	13.70	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.070	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.150	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Teton WA	0.020	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.120	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.010	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.2 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	NAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.063	5.0	5.06	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	5.0	5.00	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	5.0	5.02	15
		Teton WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15
		Wind River RA	0.013	5.0	5.01	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.660	13.0	14.70	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.180	13.0	13.20	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.090	13.0	13.10	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.260	13.0	13.30	65
		Teton WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65
		Washakie WA	0.080	13.0	13.10	65
		Wind River RA	0.190	13.0	13.20	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	13.0	13.00	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.3 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact		Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)				
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.050	5.0	5.05	5.05	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	5.00	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	5.0	5.01	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.010	5.0	5.01	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.280	13.0	14.30	65	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.070	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.210	13.0	13.20	65	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.060	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.160	13.0	13.20	65	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.4 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.039	5.0	5.04	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.000	13.0	14.00	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.100	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.180	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.5 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAQSI ¹ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.068	5.0	5.07	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.700	13.0	14.70	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.200	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.100	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.240	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.050	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.080	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.190	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.050	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.6 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.053	5.0	5.05	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.015	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.310	13.0	14.30	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.150	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.080	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.200	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.060	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.160	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.7 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.041	5.0	5.04	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.990	13.0	14.00	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.110	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.170	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

.....

Table F.4.8 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	NAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.046	5.0	5.05	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	5.0	5.01	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15
		Wind River RA	0.009	5.0	5.01	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.240	13.0	14.20	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.080	13.0	13.10	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.170	13.0	13.20	65
		Teton WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65
		Washakie WA	0.060	13.0	13.10	65
		Wind River RA	0.130	13.0	13.10	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	13.0	13.00	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.9 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct Modeled Impact		Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)			
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.033	5.0	5.03	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.003	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.010	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.870	13.0	13.90	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.100	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.130	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Teton WA	0.020	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.090	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.10 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	NAAQS ¹ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.022	5.0	5.02	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15
		Wind River RA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.000	5.0	5.00	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.590	13.0	13.60	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.060	13.0	13.10	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.090	13.0	13.10	65
		Teton WA	0.020	13.0	13.00	65
		Washakie WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65
		Wind River RA	0.070	13.0	13.10	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	13.0	13.00	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.11 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹	NAAQS
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.064	5.0	5.06	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.003	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.018	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.013	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.650	13.0	14.70	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.190	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.100	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.250	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.080	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.190	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.12 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.051	5.0	5.05	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.010	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.310	13.0	14.30	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.070	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.210	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.060	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.160	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.13 Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Modeled	Background	Total	NAAQS ¹	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Impact (µg/m ³)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.041	5.0	5.04	15	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.002	5.0	5.00	15	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15	15
		Teton WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.001	5.0	5.00	15	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.040	13.0	14.00	65	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.110	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.050	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.180	13.0	13.20	65	65	65
		Teton WA	0.030	13.0	13.00	65	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.040	13.0	13.00	65	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.140	13.0	13.10	65	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.020	13.0	13.00	65	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
			Modeled Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.019	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.013	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.009	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Teton WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.010	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.433	13.0	13.43	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.124	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.114	13.0	13.11	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.128	13.0	13.13	65	65
		Teton WA	0.041	13.0	13.04	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.042	13.0	13.04	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.186	13.0	13.19	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.045	13.0	13.04	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	NAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.048	5.0	5.05	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.016	5.0	5.02	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15
		Washakie WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15
		Wind River RA	0.015	5.0	5.02	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	5.0	5.00	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	0.909	13.0	13.91	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.144	13.0	13.14	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.119	13.0	13.12	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.201	13.0	13.20	65
		Teton WA	0.048	13.0	13.05	65
		Washakie WA	0.049	13.0	13.05	65
		Wind River RA	0.218	13.0	13.22	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.049	13.0	13.05	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.081	5.0	5.08	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.026	5.0	5.03	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.022	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.822	13.0	14.82	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.204	13.0	13.20	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.140	13.0	13.14	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.312	13.0	13.31	65	65
		Teton WA	0.075	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.092	13.0	13.09	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.283	13.0	13.28	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.063	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.068	5.0	5.07	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.022	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.019	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.449	13.0	14.45	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.168	13.0	13.17	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.125	13.0	13.13	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.267	13.0	13.27	65	65
		Teton WA	0.065	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.073	13.0	13.07	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.258	13.0	13.26	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.056	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.058	5.0	5.06	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.009	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.019	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.017	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.154	13.0	14.15	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.156	13.0	13.16	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.122	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.234	13.0	13.23	65	65
		Teton WA	0.057	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.060	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.238	13.0	13.24	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.052	13.0	13.05	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.087	5.0	5.09	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.013	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.016	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.028	5.0	5.03	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.023	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.867	13.0	14.87	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.221	13.0	13.22	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.150	13.0	13.15	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.297	13.0	13.30	65	65
		Teton WA	0.080	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.100	13.0	13.10	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.292	13.0	13.29	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.067	13.0	13.07	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.071	5.0	5.07	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.023	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.020	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.478	13.0	14.48	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.176	13.0	13.18	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.126	13.0	13.13	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.258	13.0	13.26	65	65
		Teton WA	0.068	13.0	13.07	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.078	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.264	13.0	13.26	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.057	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.059	5.0	5.06	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.017	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.153	13.0	14.15	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.158	13.0	13.16	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.122	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.227	13.0	13.23	65	65
		Teton WA	0.058	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.062	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.240	13.0	13.24	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.052	13.0	13.05	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.064	5.0	5.06	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.023	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.018	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.400	13.0	14.40	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.180	13.0	13.18	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.128	13.0	13.13	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.226	13.0	13.23	65	65
		Teton WA	0.069	13.0	13.07	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.079	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.271	13.0	13.27	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.059	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.051	5.0	5.05	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.009	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.019	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.016	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24-hr	Bridger WA	1.032	13.0	14.03	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.160	13.0	13.16	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.125	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.191	13.0	13.19	65	65
		Teton WA	0.058	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.064	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.247	13.0	13.25	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.053	13.0	13.05	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.041	5.0	5.04	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.008	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.015	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.014	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.004	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24 hr	Bridger WA	0.745	13.0	13.75	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.149	13.0	13.15	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.121	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.169	13.0	13.17	65	65
		Teton WA	0.051	13.0	13.05	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.053	13.0	13.05	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.226	13.0	13.23	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.050	13.0	13.05	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.082	5.0	5.08	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.012	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.016	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.027	5.0	5.03	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.022	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.01	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24 hr	Bridger WA	1.814	13.0	14.81	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.209	13.0	13.21	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.142	13.0	13.14	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.302	13.0	13.30	65	65
		Teton WA	0.077	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.094	13.0	13.09	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.285	13.0	13.29	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.064	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.070	5.0	5.07	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.011	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.015	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.023	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.007	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.020	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24 hr	Bridger WA	1.472	13.0	14.47	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.171	13.0	13.17	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.125	13.0	13.13	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.269	13.0	13.27	65	65
		Teton WA	0.066	13.0	13.07	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.076	13.0	13.08	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.261	13.0	13.26	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.057	13.0	13.06	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Receptor Area	Direct	Background	Total	WAAQS ¹ (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
			Modeled Impact (µg/m ³)	Concentration (µg/m ³)	n		
PM _{2.5}	Annual	Bridger WA	0.060	5.0	5.06	15	15
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.010	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Grand Teton NP	0.014	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Popo Agie WA	0.020	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Teton WA	0.006	5.0	5.01	15	15
		Washakie WA	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
		Wind River RA	0.018	5.0	5.02	15	15
		Yellowstone NP	0.005	5.0	5.00	15	15
PM _{2.5}	24 hr	Bridger WA	1.195	13.0	14.19	65	65
		Fitzpatrick WA	0.158	13.0	13.16	65	65
		Grand Teton NP	0.122	13.0	13.12	65	65
		Popo Agie WA	0.235	13.0	13.24	65	65
		Teton WA	0.058	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Washakie WA	0.062	13.0	13.06	65	65
		Wind River RA	0.241	13.0	13.24	65	65
		Yellowstone NP	0.052	13.0	13.05	65	65

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.5.1 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Maximum Production

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact		Background Concentration (µg/m ³)	Total Concentration (µg/m ³)	WAAQS (µg/m ³)	NAAQS (µg/m ³)
		(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)				
NO ₂	Annual	2.5	3.4	5.9	100	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	0.2	132	132.2	1,300	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	0.1	43	43.1	260	365	365
	Annual	0.0	9	9.0	60	80	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	90.4	33	123.4	150	150	150
	Annual	12.6	16	28.6	50	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	16.3	13	29.3	65 ¹	65	65
	Annual	2.0	5	7.0	15 ¹	15	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.2 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)-
Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	13.7	3.4	17.1	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	18.3	132	150.3	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.7	43	46.7	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	113.2	33	146.2	150	150
	Annual	16.0	16	32.0	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	21.6	13	34.6	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.1	5	8.1	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.3 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	12.0	3.4	15.4	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.4	43	46.4	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	103.9	33	136.9	150	150
	Annual	14.7	16	30.7	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.3	13	32.3	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.8	5	7.8	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.4 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	10.3	3.4	13.7	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.4	43	46.4	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.0	33	130.0	150	150
	Annual	13.7	16	29.7	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.7	13	30.7	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.6	5	7.6	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.5 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternatives B Sources (WDR250)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	16.2	3.4	19.6	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	22.5	132	154.5	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.6	43	47.6	260	365
	Annual	0.5	9	9.5	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	113.6	33	146.6	150	150
	Annual	16.1	16	32.1	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	22.0	13	35.0	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.2	5	8.2	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.6 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternatives B Sources (WDR150)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	14.3	3.4	17.7	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	17.1	132	149.1	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.2	43	47.2	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	104.1	33	137.1	150	150
	Annual	14.8	16	30.8	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.4	13	32.4	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.9	5	7.9	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.7 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	11.8	3.4	15.2	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	17.1	132	149.1	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.2	43	47.2	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.1	33	130.1	150	150
	Annual	13.8	16	29.8	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.7	13	30.7	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.7	5	7.7	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.8 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	12.6	3.4	16.0	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	18.3	132	150.3	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.7	43	46.7	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	59.3	33	92.3	150	150
	Annual	8.6	16	24.6	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	11.9	13	24.9	65 ¹	65
	Annual	1.9	5	6.9	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.9 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)-Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	11.0	3.4	14.4	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.4	43	46.4	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	49.9	33	82.9	150	150
	Annual	7.3	16	23.3	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	9.5	13	22.5	65 ¹	65
	Annual	1.7	5	6.7	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.10 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)-
Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	9.1	3.4	12.5	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.4	43	46.4	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	43.1	33	76.1	150	150
	Annual	6.3	16	22.3	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	8.3	13	21.3	65 ¹	65
	Annual	1.4	5	6.4	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.11 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)-
Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	16.5	3.4	19.9	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	20.3	132	152.3	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.1	43	47.1	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	105.6	33	138.6	150	150
	Annual	15.1	16	31.1	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	20.4	13	33.4	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.2	5	8.2	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.12 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)-
Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	15.7	3.4	19.1	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	15.4	132	147.4	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.8	43	46.8	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	104.0	33	137.0	150	150
	Annual	14.9	16	30.9	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.4	13	32.4	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.1	5	8.1	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.13 Maximum Predicted Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)-
Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	14.2	3.4	17.6	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	15.4	132	147.4	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.8	43	46.8	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.1	33	130.1	150	150
	Annual	14.0	16	30.0	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.7	13	30.7	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.9	5	7.9	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.14 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from No Action
and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact		Background Concentration	Total Concentration	WAAQS	NAAQS
		($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
NO ₂	Annual	1.2	3.4	4.6	100	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	0.7	132	132.7	1,300	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	0.1	43	43.1	260	365	365
	Annual	0.0	9	9.0	60	80	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	0.3	33	33.3	150	150	150
	Annual	0.0	16	16.0	50	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	0.3	13	13.3	65 ¹	65	65
	Annual	0.0	5	5.0	15 ¹	15	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.15 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Maximum Proposed Action Production and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	3.2	3.4	6.6	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	0.7	132	132.7	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	0.1	43	43.1	260	365
	Annual	0.0	9	9.0	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	90.5	33	123.5	150	150
	Annual	12.6	16	28.6	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	16.5	13	29.5	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.0	5	7.0	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.16 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	14.0	3.4	17.4	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	18.2	132	150.2	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.6	43	46.6	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	113.4	33	146.4	150	150
	Annual	16.0	16	32.0	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	21.8	13	34.8	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.1	5	8.1	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.17 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	12.4	3.4	15.8	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.2	43	46.2	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	104.0	33	137.0	150	150
	Annual	14.7	16	30.7	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.4	13	32.4	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.9	5	7.9	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.18 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	10.7	3.4	14.1	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.2	43	46.2	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.2	33	130.2	150	150
	Annual	13.8	16	29.8	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.9	13	30.9	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.6	5	7.6	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.19 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	16.5	3.4	19.9	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	22.4	132	154.4	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.5	43	47.5	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	113.8	33	146.8	150	150
	Annual	16.1	16	32.1	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	22.2	13	35.2	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.3	5	8.3	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.20 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative B (WDR150)
and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	14.6	3.4	18.0	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	17.1	132	149.1	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.0	43	47.0	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	104.2	33	137.2	150	150
	Annual	14.8	16	30.8	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.6	13	32.6	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.0	5	8.0	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.21 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative B (WDR75)
and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	12.2	3.4	15.6	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	17.1	132	149.1	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.0	43	47.0	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.2	33	130.2	150	150
	Annual	13.8	16	29.8	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.9	13	30.9	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.7	5	7.7	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.22 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	13.0	3.4	16.4	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	18.2	132	150.2	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.6	43	46.6	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	59.5	33	92.5	150	150
	Annual	8.6	16	24.6	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	12.0	13	25.0	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.0	5	7.0	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.23 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	11.3	3.4	14.7	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.2	43	46.2	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	50.1	33	83.1	150	150
	Annual	7.3	16	23.3	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	9.7	13	22.7	65 ¹	65
	Annual	1.7	5	6.7	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.24 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	9.5	3.4	12.9	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	13.9	132	145.9	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.2	43	46.2	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	43.2	33	76.2	150	150
	Annual	6.4	16	22.4	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	8.4	13	21.4	65 ¹	65
	Annual	1.5	5	6.5	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.25 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F (WDR250)
and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	16.8	3.4	20.2	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	20.2	132	152.2	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	4.0	43	47.0	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	105.7	33	138.7	150	150
	Annual	15.1	16	31.1	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	20.6	13	33.6	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.2	5	8.2	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.26 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JIDPA from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	15.9	3.4	19.3	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	15.4	132	147.4	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.6	43	46.6	260	365
	Annual	0.4	9	9.4	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	104.1	33	137.1	150	150
	Annual	14.9	16	30.9	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	19.5	13	32.5	65 ¹	65
	Annual	3.1	5	8.1	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

Table F.5.27 Maximum Predicted Cumulative Impacts Within the JDPA from Alternative F (WDR75)
and Regional Sources - Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Direct Predicted Impact ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Background Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Total Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	WAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
NO ₂	Annual	14.6	3.4	18.0	100	100
SO ₂	3 Hour	15.4	132	147.4	1,300	1,300
	24-Hour	3.6	43	46.6	260	365
	Annual	0.3	9	9.3	60	80
PM ₁₀	24-Hour	97.2	33	130.2	150	150
	Annual	14.0	16	30.0	50	50
PM _{2.5}	24-Hour	17.9	13	30.9	65 ¹	65
	Annual	2.9	5	7.9	15 ¹	15

¹ Standard not yet enforced in Wyoming.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.6.1 Maximum Modeled Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative Sources

Receptor Area	Max. Prod.	Modeling Scenario										Deposition Analysis			
		WDR250S	WDR150S	WDR75S	WDR250D	WDR150D	WDR75D	WDR250S	WDR150S	WDR75S	WDR250D	WDR150D	WDR75S	WDR250D	WDR150D
Bridger WA	0.0067	0.035	0.024	0.017	0.040	0.028	0.018	0.032	0.022	0.014	0.036	0.026	0.018	0.005	0.005
Fitzpatrick WA	0.00057	0.0027	0.0019	0.0012	0.0030	0.0021	0.0013	0.0025	0.0017	0.0010	0.0028	0.0020	0.0013	0.005	0.005
Grand Teton NP	0.00023	0.0012	0.00081	0.00052	0.0013	0.00090	0.00056	0.0011	0.00074	0.00046	0.0012	0.00085	0.00057	0.005	0.005
Popo Agie WA	0.0034	0.017	0.012	0.0077	0.019	0.013	0.0084	0.015	0.010	0.0065	0.017	0.012	0.0085	0.005	0.005
Teton WA	0.00011	0.00056	0.00039	0.00025	0.00063	0.00043	0.00027	0.00053	0.00036	0.00022	0.00059	0.00041	0.00027	0.005	0.005
Washakie WA	0.00014	0.00072	0.00050	0.00032	0.00080	0.00055	0.00035	0.00068	0.00047	0.00029	0.00076	0.00053	0.00035	0.005	0.005
Wind River RA	0.00212	0.0099	0.0070	0.0045	0.011	0.0078	0.0049	0.0091	0.0061	0.0037	0.010	0.0073	0.00495	0.005	0.005
Yellowstone NP	0.000082	0.00041	0.00028	0.00018	0.00046	0.00032	0.00020	0.00038	0.00026	0.00016	0.00043	0.00030	0.00020	0.005	0.005

1 Fox et al. (1989)

Table F.6.2 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	Action	Modeling Scenario									
		No	3,100 Wells	Deposition analysis Threshold for Cumulative Impacts ¹							
Bridger WA	0.030	0.035	0.057	0.048	0.041	0.061	0.051	0.042	0.055	0.039	0.049
Fitzpatrick WA	0.0052	0.0058	0.0079	0.0071	0.0064	0.0082	0.0073	0.0065	0.0077	0.0069	0.0081
Grand Teton NP	0.0093	0.0095	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
Popo Agie WA	0.012	0.016	0.029	0.024	0.020	0.031	0.025	0.021	0.028	0.023	0.019
Teton WA	0.0031	0.0032	0.0036	0.0035	0.0033	0.0037	0.0035	0.0033	0.0036	0.0034	0.0033
Washakie VA	0.0035	0.0036	0.0040	0.0039	0.0037	0.0041	0.0039	0.0038	0.0040	0.0038	0.0037
Wind River RA	0.011	0.013	0.021	0.018	0.015	0.022	0.019	0.016	0.020	0.017	0.014
Yellowstone NP	0.0023	0.0024	0.0026	0.0025	0.0024	0.0027	0.0026	0.0025	0.0026	0.0024	0.0025

¹ Fox et al. (1989)

Table F.6.3 Maximum Far-field Modeled Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative Sources

Receptor Area	Max. Prod.	Modeling Scenario						Deposition Analysis Threshold for Project Alone ¹						
		3,100 Wells WDR250S	3,100 Wells WDR150S	3,100 Wells WDR75S	3,100 Wells WDR250D	3,100 Wells WDR150D	3,100 Wells WDR75D							
Bridger WA	0.000032	0.0014	0.00090	0.00051	0.0018	0.0011	0.00062	0.0014	0.00088	0.00049	0.0016	0.0010	0.00057	0.0005
Fitzpatrick WA	0.0000036	0.00015	0.00092	0.00045	0.00018	0.00011	0.000055	0.00015	0.000090	0.000043	0.00016	0.00010	0.000050	0.005
Grand Teton NP	0.0000015	0.000066	0.000040	0.000020	0.000080	0.000049	0.000025	0.000065	0.000039	0.000019	0.000073	0.000044	0.000022	0.005
Popo Agie WA	0.000018	0.00073	0.00046	0.00024	0.00090	0.00055	0.00030	0.00072	0.00044	0.00023	0.00081	0.00050	0.00027	0.005
Teton WA	0.00000084	0.000037	0.000022	0.000011	0.000045	0.000027	0.000014	0.000036	0.000022	0.000011	0.000041	0.000025	0.000012	0.005
Washakie WA	0.0000010	0.000042	0.000026	0.000013	0.000052	0.000031	0.000016	0.000042	0.000025	0.000012	0.000047	0.000029	0.000014	0.005
Wind River RA	0.000011	0.00043	0.00026	0.00013	0.00052	0.00032	0.00016	0.00042	0.00026	0.00012	0.00047	0.00029	0.000142	0.005
Yellowstone NP	0.00000056	0.000024	0.000015	0.000074	0.000029	0.000018	0.000090	0.000024	0.000014	0.000071	0.000027	0.000016	0.0000082	0.005

¹ Fox et al. (1989)

Table F.6.4 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Project Alternative and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	Action	Max. Prod.	Modeling Scenario										Deposition Analysis Threshold for Cumulative Impacts ¹
			3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	3,100 Wells	
Bridger WA	-0.00091	-0.00091	-0.00086	-0.00088	-0.00090	-0.00085	-0.00087	-0.00089	-0.00086	-0.00088	-0.00090	-0.00085	-0.00089
Fitzpatrick WA	-0.00081	-0.00081	-0.00076	-0.00078	-0.00080	-0.00074	-0.00077	-0.00079	-0.00076	-0.00078	-0.00078	-0.00075	-0.00079
Grand Teton NP	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0035	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034
Popo Agie WA	-0.0026	-0.0026	-0.0021	-0.0023	-0.0025	-0.0020	-0.0023	-0.0024	-0.0021	-0.0023	-0.0025	-0.0021	-0.0025
Teton WA	0.00081	0.00081	0.00085	0.00083	0.00082	0.00086	0.00084	0.00085	0.00083	0.00082	0.00085	0.00084	0.00082
Washakie WA	-0.00014	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00014	-0.00013	-0.00013	-0.00014
Wind River RA	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0011
Yellowstone NP	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010

¹ Fox et al. (1989)

Table F.7.1 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.02	0.033%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.02	0.041%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.00	0.006%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.00	0.008%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.03	0.567%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.03	0.046%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.00	0.003%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.2 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.10	0.155%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.11	0.190%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.02	0.030%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.01	0.038%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.14	2.808%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.13	0.231%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.01	0.013%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.3 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.073	0.109%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.080	0.133%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.015	0.021%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.005	0.027%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.098	1.969%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.090	0.161%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.005	0.009%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.4 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.049	0.072%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.052	0.087%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.009	0.013%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.003	0.017%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.063	1.269%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.059	0.107%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.003	0.006%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.5 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.119	0.177%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.130	0.217%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.024	0.035%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.008	0.043%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.161	3.221%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.146	0.263%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.008	0.015%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.6 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.082	0.122%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.090	0.150%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.016	0.023%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.006	0.030%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.111	2.219%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.100	0.181%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.006	0.010%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.7 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.053	0.079%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.057	0.095%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.010	0.014%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.004	0.019%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.069	1.386%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.065	0.117%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.003	0.007%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.8 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.095	0.142%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.104	0.173%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.020	0.029%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.007	0.037%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.129	2.581%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.120	0.216%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.007	0.013%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.9 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (µeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (µeq/L)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.064	0.096%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.070	0.117%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.013	0.019%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.005	0.025%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.087	1.741%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.081	0.146%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.005	0.009%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.10 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.040	0.059%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.042	0.071%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.008	0.012%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.003	0.016%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.052	1.041%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.051	0.091%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.003	0.005%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.11 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.109	0.163%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.120	0.200%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.023	0.033%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.008	0.041%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.148	2.959%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.135	0.243%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.008	0.014%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.12 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.076	0.113%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.082	0.138%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.015	0.022%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.005	0.028%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.102	2.047%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.093	0.168%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.005	0.010%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.13 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (µeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (µeq/L)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.7	0.053	0.079%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	6.0	0.057	0.095%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	7.0	0.010	0.015%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.0	0.004	0.019%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.0	0.070	1.407%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.6	0.065	0.117%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.4	0.004	0.007%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.14 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from No Action and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (µeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (µeq/L)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.085	0.13%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.087	0.14%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.042	0.06%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.025	0.13%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.091	1.83%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.096	0.17%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.026	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.15 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (µeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (µeq/L)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.107	0.16%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.111	0.18%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.046	0.07%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.026	0.14%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.120	2.39%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.122	0.22%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.027	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.16 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.185	0.28%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.196	0.33%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.062	0.09%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.032	0.17%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.227	4.53%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.220	0.40%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.032	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.17 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.156	0.23%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.164	0.27%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.056	0.08%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.030	0.16%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.187	3.73%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.183	0.33%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.030	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.18 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.132	0.20%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.137	0.23%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.051	0.07%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.028	0.15%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.153	3.06%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.154	0.28%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.029	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.19 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.199	0.30%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.211	0.35%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.065	0.09%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.032	0.17%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.246	4.92%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.237	0.43%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.033	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.20 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.164	0.24%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.173	0.29%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.057	0.08%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.030	0.16%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.198	3.97%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.193	0.35%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.031	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.21 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.137	0.20%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.142	0.24%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.051	0.07%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.028	0.15%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.159	3.17%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.160	0.29%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.029	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.22 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.177	0.26%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.186	0.31%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.061	0.09%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.031	0.17%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.215	4.31%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.212	0.38%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.032	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.23 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.147	0.22%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.154	0.26%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.054	0.08%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.029	0.16%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.175	3.50%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.175	0.31%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.030	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.24 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.124	0.18%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.128	0.21%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.049	0.07%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.028	0.15%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.142	2.84%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.146	0.26%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.028	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.25 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (µeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (µeq/L)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.190	0.28%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.202	0.34%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.063	0.09%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.032	0.17%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.234	4.67%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.226	0.41%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.033	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.26 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.159	0.24%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.168	0.28%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.057	0.08%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.030	0.16%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.192	3.84%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.188	0.34%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.031	0.06%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

Table F.7.27 Maximum Modeled Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Lake	Wilderness Area	Background ANC (μeq/L)	Level of Acceptable Change ¹ (μeq/L)	ANC Change (μeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Black Joe	Bridger	67.0	6.70	0.137	0.20%
Deep	Bridger	59.9	5.99	0.142	0.24%
Hobbs	Bridger	69.9	6.99	0.052	0.07%
Lazy Boy	Bridger	18.8	1.00	0.028	0.15%
Upper Frozen	Bridger	5.0	1.00	0.160	3.20%
Lower Saddlebag	Popo Agie	55.5	5.55	0.160	0.29%
Ross	Fitzpatrick	53.5	5.35	0.029	0.05%

¹ USFS Level of Acceptable Change (USFS 2000).

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.8.1 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.02	3	1	1.14	3	1
Fitzpatrick WA	0.13	0	0	0.15	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.08	0	0	0.08	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.21	0	0	0.24	0	0
Teton WA	0.03	0	0	0.03	0	0
Washakie WA	0.06	0	0	0.06	0	0
Wind River RA	0.18	0	0	0.20	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.04	0	0	0.04	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.2 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.16	22	9	3.48	28	10
Fitzpatrick WA	0.56	2	0	0.64	3	0
Grand Teton NP	0.32	0	0	0.33	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.54	2	0	0.62	2	0
Teton WA	0.14	0	0	0.14	0	0
Washakie WA	0.24	0	0	0.24	0	0
Wind River RA	0.45	0	0	0.52	1	0
Yellowstone NP	0.16	0	0	0.16	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.3 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A
Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.36	16	5	2.61	18	7
Fitzpatrick WA	0.39	0	0	0.45	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.23	0	0	0.23	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.39	0	0	0.44	0	0
Teton WA	0.10	0	0	0.10	0	0
Washakie WA	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0
Wind River RA	0.32	0	0	0.37	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.11	0	0	0.11	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.4 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.69	10	2	1.87	10	3
Fitzpatrick WA	0.26	0	0	0.30	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.15	0	0	0.16	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.30	0	0	0.34	0	0
Teton WA	0.06	0	0	0.07	0	0
Washakie WA	0.11	0	0	0.11	0	0
Wind River RA	0.23	0	0	0.26	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.07	0	0	0.08	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.5 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B
Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.32	30	11	3.74	33	11
Fitzpatrick WA	0.65	3	0	0.75	3	0
Grand Teton NP	0.36	0	0	0.37	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.62	2	0	0.71	3	0
Teton WA	0.16	0	0	0.16	0	0
Washakie WA	0.27	0	0	0.27	0	0
Wind River RA	0.52	1	0	0.60	1	0
Yellowstone NP	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.6 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B
Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.47	19	6	2.75	19	8
Fitzpatrick WA	0.44	0	0	0.51	1	0
Grand Teton NP	0.26	0	0	0.26	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.43	0	0	0.50	1	0
Teton WA	0.11	0	0	0.11	0	0
Washakie WA	0.19	0	0	0.19	0	0
Wind River RA	0.36	0	0	0.42	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.12	0	0	0.13	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.7 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.71	11	2	1.90	12	4
Fitzpatrick WA	0.28	0	0	0.32	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.29	0	0	0.34	0	0
Teton WA	0.07	0	0	0.07	0	0
Washakie WA	0.12	0	0	0.12	0	0
Wind River RA	0.24	0	0	0.28	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.08	0	0	0.08	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.8 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.75	19	8	3.04	22	8
Fitzpatrick WA	0.49	0	0	0.57	3	0
Grand Teton NP	0.29	0	0	0.29	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.47	0	0	0.54	2	0
Teton WA	0.13	0	0	0.13	0	0
Washakie WA	0.22	0	0	0.22	0	0
Wind River RA	0.39	0	0	0.45	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.14	0	0	0.15	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.9 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.92	10	4	2.13	11	4
Fitzpatrick WA	0.34	0	0	0.39	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.20	0	0	0.20	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.32	0	0	0.37	0	0
Teton WA	0.09	0	0	0.09	0	0
Washakie WA	0.15	0	0	0.15	0	0
Wind River RA	0.25	0	0	0.29	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.10	0	0	0.10	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.10 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.22	6	2	1.36	7	2
Fitzpatrick WA	0.21	0	0	0.24	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.12	0	0	0.12	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.20	0	0	0.23	0	0
Teton WA	0.05	0	0	0.05	0	0
Washakie WA	0.09	0	0	0.09	0	0
Wind River RA	0.15	0	0	0.18	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.06	0	0	0.06	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.11 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.25	24	10	3.57	31	10
Fitzpatrick WA	0.60	3	0	0.69	3	0
Grand Teton NP	0.34	0	0	0.34	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.58	2	0	0.66	2	0
Teton WA	0.15	0	0	0.15	0	0
Washakie WA	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0
Wind River RA	0.48	0	0	0.56	1	0
Yellowstone NP	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.12 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.44	17	5	2.70	18	8
Fitzpatrick WA	0.42	0	0	0.49	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.24	0	0	0.25	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.41	0	0	0.47	0	0
Teton WA	0.10	0	0	0.11	0	0
Washakie WA	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0
Wind River RA	0.35	0	0	0.40	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.12	0	0	0.12	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.13 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.80	11	2	2.00	12	4
Fitzpatrick WA	0.29	0	0	0.34	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.31	0	0	0.34	0	0
Teton WA	0.07	0	0	0.07	0	0
Washakie WA	0.12	0	0	0.12	0	0
Wind River RA	0.25	0	0	0.28	0	0
Yellowstone NP	0.08	0	0	0.08	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from No Action and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.69	8	3	1.94	11	3
Fitzpatrick WA	0.42	0	0	0.49	0	0
Grand Teton NP	0.33	0	0	0.33	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.50	0	0	0.58	1	0
Teton WA	0.14	0	0	0.14	0	0
Washakie WA	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0
Wind River RA	0.73	3	0	0.81	3	0
Yellowstone NP	0.15	0	0	0.16	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	1.98	12	4	2.26	15	4
Fitzpatrick WA	0.48	0	0	0.56	1	0
Grand Teton NP	0.34	0	0	0.35	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.57	1	0	0.66	3	0
Teton WA	0.16	0	0	0.16	0	0
Washakie WA	0.20	0	0	0.20	0	0
Wind River RA	0.82	3	0	0.92	4	0
Yellowstone NP	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.65	40	11	4.01	46	17
Fitzpatrick WA	0.76	5	0	0.87	7	0
Grand Teton NP	0.50	0	0	0.50	1	0
Popo Agie WA	0.85	8	0	0.99	16	0
Teton WA	0.23	0	0	0.24	0	0
Washakie WA	0.34	0	0	0.34	0	0
Wind River RA	1.08	6	1	1.21	12	2
Yellowstone NP	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.89	31	9	3.19	37	9
Fitzpatrick WA	0.62	3	0	0.71	5	0
Grand Teton NP	0.41	0	0	0.41	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.74	6	0	0.86	9	0
Teton WA	0.20	0	0	0.21	0	0
Washakie WA	0.28	0	0	0.29	0	0
Wind River RA	0.98	5	0	1.09	8	2
Yellowstone NP	0.21	0	0	0.21	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.33	20	4	2.65	24	7
Fitzpatrick WA	0.52	1	0	0.61	2	0
Grand Teton NP	0.36	0	0	0.36	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.66	3	0	0.77	6	0
Teton WA	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0
Washakie WA	0.24	0	0	0.24	0	0
Wind River RA	0.90	4	0	1.00	6	0
Yellowstone NP	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.81	45	15	4.18	54	19
Fitzpatrick WA	0.82	7	0	0.95	7	0
Grand Teton NP	0.54	1	0	0.54	1	0
Popo Agie WA	0.90	11	0	1.04	19	2
Teton WA	0.24	0	0	0.25	0	0
Washakie WA	0.37	0	0	0.37	0	0
Wind River RA	1.12	10	2	1.25	14	2
Yellowstone NP	0.27	0	0	0.27	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.99	34	11	3.30	40	9
Fitzpatrick WA	0.65	3	0	0.76	5	0
Grand Teton NP	0.43	0	0	0.44	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.77	7	0	0.90	10	0
Teton WA	0.21	0	0	0.21	0	0
Washakie WA	0.30	0	0	0.30	0	0
Wind River RA	1.00	5	1	1.11	8	2
Yellowstone NP	0.22	0	0	0.22	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.38	21	5	2.71	28	7
Fitzpatrick WA	0.53	2	0	0.61	2	0
Grand Teton NP	0.36	0	0	0.36	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.68	4	0	0.78	6	0
Teton WA	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0
Washakie WA	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0
Wind River RA	0.90	4	0	1.01	6	1
Yellowstone NP	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.27	33	11	3.60	41	13
Fitzpatrick WA	0.71	4	0	0.82	6	0
Grand Teton NP	0.47	0	0	0.47	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.83	7	0	0.96	13	0
Teton WA	0.22	0	0	0.23	0	0
Washakie WA	0.32	0	0	0.32	0	0
Wind River RA	1.06	6	1	1.18	11	2
Yellowstone NP	0.24	0	0	0.24	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.56	23	8	2.92	29	7
Fitzpatrick WA	0.57	2	0	0.66	3	0
Grand Teton NP	0.38	0	0	0.38	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.72	4	0	0.83	7	0
Teton WA	0.20	0	0	0.20	0	0
Washakie WA	0.27	0	0	0.27	0	0
Wind River RA	0.95	4	0	1.06	6	1
Yellowstone NP	0.19	0	0	0.20	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.22	15	4	2.53	20	4
Fitzpatrick WA	0.51	1	0	0.59	2	0
Grand Teton NP	0.36	0	0	0.36	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.64	1	0	0.74	4	0
Teton WA	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0
Washakie WA	0.23	0	0	0.23	0	0
Wind River RA	0.87	3	0	0.97	4	0
Yellowstone NP	0.17	0	0	0.17	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	3.73	41	14	4.10	50	17
Fitzpatrick WA	0.78	6	0	0.90	7	0
Grand Teton NP	0.51	1	0	0.52	1	0
Popo Agie WA	0.87	8	0	1.00	17	1
Teton WA	0.24	0	0	0.24	0	0
Washakie WA	0.35	0	0	0.36	0	0
Wind River RA	1.11	8	1	1.23	13	2
Yellowstone NP	0.26	0	0	0.26	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.97	32	10	3.27	38	9
Fitzpatrick WA	0.63	3	0	0.73	5	0
Grand Teton NP	0.42	0	0	0.43	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.76	7	0	0.88	9	0
Teton WA	0.21	0	0	0.21	0	0
Washakie WA	0.29	0	0	0.29	0	0
Wind River RA	0.99	5	0	1.11	8	2
Yellowstone NP	0.21	0	0	0.21	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data ¹			IMPROVE Background Data ¹		
	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (Δdv)	Number of Days > 0.5 Δdv (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv (days)
Bridger WA	2.39	22	6	2.72	28	7
Fitzpatrick WA	0.53	2	0	0.62	2	0
Grand Teton NP	0.36	0	0	0.37	0	0
Popo Agie WA	0.68	4	0	0.78	6	0
Teton WA	0.18	0	0	0.19	0	0
Washakie WA	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0
Wind River RA	0.91	4	0	1.01	6	1
Yellowstone NP	0.18	0	0	0.18	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.8.28 Bridger Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data Predicted d_v Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

Table F.8.29 Bridger Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
3	1	3	-	0.53	-	0.59	-	0.55	-	0.545	-	0.55	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545	-	0.545		
5	1	5	0.91	3.33	2.47	1.73	3.74	2.75	1.89	2.97	2.08	1.31	3.45	2.60	1.92	1.94	2.26	3.66	3.04	2.65	4.06	3.16	2.71	3.36	2.92	2.53	3.78	3.09	2.72	
6	1	6	0.67	1.89	1.41	1.01	2.10	1.55	1.07	1.57	1.08	0.66	2.00	1.51	1.12	-	1.33	2.47	2.02	1.64	2.67	2.15	1.70	2.17	1.71	1.31	2.58	2.12	1.74	
19	1	19	-	0.62	-	0.71	0.51	-	0.53	-	0.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	0.81	0.58	-	0.93	0.67	-	0.70	-	0.83	0.61	-	-	-	1.03	-	1.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.05	-	-		
22	1	22	-	1.54	1.08	0.78	1.76	1.21	0.84	1.38	0.91	0.60	1.62	1.13	0.84	-	1.88	1.44	1.14	2.09	1.56	1.20	1.72	1.27	-	1.95	1.48	1.20		
23	1	23	-	0.76	0.53	-	0.86	0.58	-	0.66	-	0.83	0.56	-	1.17	1.37	1.85	1.64	1.46	1.94	1.69	1.47	1.76	1.55	1.36	1.91	1.67	1.48		
25	1	25	-	0.61	-	0.69	-	0.55	-	0.55	-	0.65	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	1	26	-	-	-	0.55	-	-	-	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
27	1	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
29	1	29	-	2.04	1.48	0.99	2.30	1.63	1.03	1.83	1.26	0.75	2.15	1.58	1.09	-	2.12	1.58	1.08	2.38	1.72	1.12	1.91	1.36	-	2.24	1.67	1.18		
38	2	7	-	0.52	-	0.59	-	0.59	-	0.62	-	0.83	0.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	0.76	0.55	-	0.83	0.59	-	0.62	-	0.82	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43	2	12	-	0.95	0.69	-	1.09	0.78	0.52	0.82	0.56	-	1.00	0.74	0.53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
60	3	1	-	-	-	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	-	-	-	0.56	-	-	-	-	-	0.56	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
62	3	3	-	0.57	-	0.62	-	0.62	-	0.62	-	0.60	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
84	3	25	-	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	0.50	-	-	0.57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
85	3	26	-	0.54	-	0.61	-	0.61	-	0.61	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
89	3	30	-	0.52	-	0.59	-	0.59	-	0.59	-	0.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
107	4	17	-	1.12	0.81	0.55	1.25	0.89	0.59	0.96	0.63	-	1.14	0.83	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
108	4	18	-	1.59	1.15	0.77	1.72	1.24	0.81	1.39	0.94	0.55	1.62	1.19	0.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
264	9	21	-	-	-	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
273	9	30	-	0.82	0.58	-	0.96	0.67	-	0.73	-	0.86	0.61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
281	10	8	-	0.76	0.61	-	0.86	0.68	0.51	-	0.76	0.63	0.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
308	11	4	-	0.54	-	0.63	-	0.63	-	0.59	-	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
350	12	16	-	0.52	-	0.59	-	0.59	-	0.58	-	0.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
351	12	17	-	1.29	0.95	0.72	1.48	1.07	0.79	1.10	0.75	0.52	1.36	1.02	0.81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
352	12	18	-	1.00	0.74	0.57	1.13	0.81	0.62	0.80	0.53	-	1.05	0.78	0.62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
353	12	19	-	0.82	0.56	-	0.92	0.61	0.70	-	0.88	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
355	12	21	1.14	3.48	2.61	1.87	3.66	2.73	1.90	3.04	2.13	1.36	3.57	2.70	2.00	1.11	1.83	4.01	3.19	2.50	4.18	3.30	2.52	3.60	2.73	2.01	4.10	3.27	2.61	
356	12	22	-	1.38	1.00	0.71	1.43	1.03	0.68	1.14	0.76	-	1.45	1.05	0.74	-	-	1.72	1.36	1.07	1.78	1.38	1.04	1.49	1.12	-	1.79	1.40	1.09	
361	12	27	-	0.62	-	0.69	-	0.52	-	0.65	-	0.89	0.60	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	1.05	-	-	1.01	-	-	1.05	-	-	
362	12	28	-	0.82	0.58	-	0.96	0.66	-	0.72	-	0.89	0.60	-	-	-	-	1.11	-	-	1.24	-	-	1.01	-	-	1.17	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 0.5				3	28	18	10	33	19	12	22	11	7	31	18	12	11	7	3	4	17	9	7	13	7	4	17	9	7	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0				1.14	3.48	2.61	1.87	3.74	2.75	1.90	3.04	2.13	1.36	3.57	2.70	2.00	1.94	2.26	4.01	3.19	2.65	4.18	3.30	2.71	3.60	2.92	2.53	4.10	3.27	2.72

Table F.8.30 Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
 Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	25	1	25	-	-	-	-	0.54	-	-	-	-	-	0.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	26	1	26	-	0.53	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	0.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	29	1	29	-	0.56	-	-	0.65	-	-	-	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0			2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00			0.56	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Maximum dv	0.00																													

Table F.8.31 Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	25	1	25	-	0.55	-	-	0.62	-	-	0.51	-	0.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	26	1	26	-	0.62	-	-	0.69	-	-	0.56	-	0.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	29	1	29	-	0.64	-	-	0.75	0.51	-	0.57	-	0.69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0			3	0	0	3	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00			0.64	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.51	0.00	0.57	0.00	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Maximum dv	0.00																													

Table F.8.32 Popo Agie Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
6	1	6	-	0.54	-	-	0.62	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
366	12	22	-	0.54	-	-	0.62	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Maximum dv																														

Table F.8.33 Popo Agie Wilderness Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	6	1	6	-	0.62	-	-	0.71	0.50	-	0.54	-	-	0.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	60	3	1	-	-	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	356	12	22	-	0.60	-	-	0.70	-	-	0.53	-	-	0.65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.50	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Maximum dv	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.50	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Table F.8.34 Wind River Roadless Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	29	1	29	-	-	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	44	2	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	361	12	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Maximum dv	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

Table F.8.35 Wind River Roadless Area - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

	JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	29	1	29	-	0.52	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	0.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	44	2	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	361	12	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number of Days dv >= 0.5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Maximum dv	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.9.1 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Maximum Production Proposed Action Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	0.57	0	0.66	0	0.66	0
Big Sandy	0.76	0	0.85	0	0.85	0
Boulder	0.49	0	0.56	0	0.56	0
Bronx	0.31	0	0.36	0	0.36	0
Cora	0.60	0	0.69	0	0.69	0
Daniel	0.49	0	0.57	0	0.57	0
Farson	0.47	0	0.55	0	0.55	0
Labarge	0.26	0	0.30	0	0.30	0
Merna	0.19	0	0.22	0	0.22	0
Pinedale	0.93	0	1.07	1	1.07	1

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.2 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.75	2			2.01	6
Big Sandy	2.77	19			3.05	23
Boulder	2.09	9			2.39	12
Bronx	1.48	1			1.70	1
Cora	2.81	1			3.20	1
Daniel	2.24	1			2.56	1
Farson	2.04	5			2.33	6
Labarge	1.15	2			1.32	2
Merna	0.68	0			0.79	0
Pinedale	3.78	2			4.27	3

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.3 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.28	1	1.48	2		
Big Sandy	2.04	12	2.26	13		
Boulder	1.51	3	1.73	6		
Bronx	1.07	1	1.23	1		
Cora	2.06	1	2.36	1		
Daniel	1.63	1	1.87	1		
Farson	1.44	3	1.65	5		
Labarge	0.81	0	0.93	0		
Merna	0.50	0	0.57	0		
Pinedale	2.84	1	3.23	2		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.4 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Visibility Impact (Δdv) ¹	Maximum Impact (Δdv) ¹	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Impact (Δdv) ¹
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(days)	(days)	(days)	(days)
Big Piney	0.89	0		1.03	1	
Big Sandy	1.47	2		1.63	3	
Boulder	1.00	1		1.15	3	
Bronx	0.71	0		0.82	0	
Cora	1.37	1		1.57	1	
Daniel	1.08	1		1.25	1	
Farson	0.98	0		1.13	3	
Labarge	0.52	0		0.60	0	
Merna	0.33	0		0.38	0	
Pinedale	1.92	1		2.20	1	

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.5 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.87	5	2.15	9		
Big Sandy	3.13	24	3.45	26		
Boulder	2.35	11	2.68	18		
Bronx	1.66	1	1.91	1		
Cora	3.19	1	3.62	2		
Daniel	2.55	1	2.90	2		
Farson	2.29	6	2.62	7		
Labarge	1.29	2	1.48	2		
Merna	0.78	0	0.90	0		
Pinedale	4.32	3	4.87	5		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.6 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.35	2	1.56	2		
Big Sandy	2.29	15	2.53	15		
Boulder	1.67	5	1.92	6		
Bronx	1.17	1	1.35	1		
Cora	2.29	1	2.61	1		
Daniel	1.81	1	2.07	1		
Farson	1.59	4	1.82	5		
Labarge	0.90	0	1.03	1		
Merna	0.56	0	0.64	0		
Pinedale	3.18	2	3.61	2		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.7 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	0.90	0	1.04	1		
Big Sandy	1.61	3	1.79	6		
Boulder	1.08	2	1.24	3		
Bronx	0.73	0	0.85	0		
Cora	1.44	1	1.66	1		
Daniel	1.15	1	1.32	1		
Farson	1.05	1	1.21	3		
Labarge	0.57	0	0.66	0		
Merna	0.36	0	0.42	0		
Pinedale	2.09	1	2.39	1		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.8 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.48	2	1.71	4		
Big Sandy	2.50	14	2.77	17		
Boulder	1.92	6	2.20	9		
Bronx	1.32	1	1.52	1		
Cora	2.54	1	2.89	1		
Daniel	2.00	1	2.29	1		
Farson	1.88	5	2.15	5		
Labarge	1.10	2	1.26	2		
Merna	0.61	0	0.70	0		
Pinedale	3.39	2	3.85	2		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.9 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.00	1	1.16	1		
Big Sandy	1.76	6	1.95	8		
Boulder	1.33	3	1.53	3		
Bronx	0.90	0	1.04	1		
Cora	1.77	1	2.03	1		
Daniel	1.38	1	1.59	1		
Farson	1.27	3	1.46	3		
Labarge	0.75	0	0.87	0		
Merna	0.42	0	0.49	0		
Pinedale	2.41	1	2.75	1		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.10 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	0.60	0	0.70	0	0	0
Big Sandy	1.17	1	1.30	1	0	0
Boulder	0.81	0	0.93	0	0	0
Bronx	0.54	0	0.62	0	0	0
Cora	1.05	1	1.21	1	0	0
Daniel	0.82	0	0.94	0	0	0
Farson	0.80	0	0.92	0	0	0
Labarge	0.47	0	0.54	0	0	0
Merna	0.26	0	0.30	0	0	0
Pinedale	1.45	1	1.66	1	0	0

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.11 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR250)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Visibility Impact	Maximum Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.84	4		2.12	7	
Big Sandy	2.90	19		3.19	24	
Boulder	2.20	10		2.52	16	
Bronx	1.55	1		1.78	1	
Cora	2.96	1		3.36	2	
Daniel	2.36	1		2.69	1	
Farson	2.12	5		2.42	6	
Labarge	1.21	2		1.40	2	
Merna	0.72	0		0.83	0	
Pinedale	3.98	3		4.49	3	

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.12 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR150)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Visibility Impact	Maximum Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Visibility Impact
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	1.36	2		1.57	2	
Big Sandy	2.16	12		2.39	14	
Boulder	1.59	5		1.82	6	
Bronx	1.13	1		1.30	1	
Cora	2.19	1		2.50	1	
Daniel	1.73	1		1.99	1	
Farson	1.51	3		1.74	5	
Labarge	0.85	0		0.98	0	
Merna	0.53	0		0.61	0	
Pinedale	3.03	1		3.44	2	

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.13 Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F Sources (WDR75)

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)
Big Piney	0.96	0	1.11	1		
Big Sandy	1.61	4	1.79	7		
Boulder	1.10	2	1.26	3		
Bronx	0.78	0	0.90	0		
Cora	1.51	1	1.74	1		
Daniel	1.20	1	1.37	1		
Farson	1.07	1	1.23	3		
Labarge	0.58	0	0.67	0		
Merna	0.37	0	0.42	0		
Pinedale	2.15	1	2.45	1		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.14 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from No Action and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	1.91	5	2.18	7		
Big Sandy	1.27	1	1.45	2		
Boulder	2.56	4	2.92	4		
Bronx	0.66	0	0.74	0		
Cora	0.74	0	0.85	0		
Daniel	0.68	0	0.79	0		
Farson	1.33	3	1.48	3		
Labarge	1.62	6	1.86	6		
Merna	0.88	0	0.98	0		
Pinedale	1.55	2	1.78	2		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.15 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Maximum Production Proposed Action and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data		IMPROVE Background Data		
	Visibility Impact $(\Delta dv)^1$	$> 1.0 \Delta dv$ (days)	Visibility Impact $(\Delta dv)^1$	Days $> 1.0 \Delta dv$ (days)	Days $> 1.0 \Delta dv$ (days)
Big Piney	1.98	7	2.26	11	
Big Sandy	1.64	4	1.88	9	
Boulder	2.67	5	3.04	5	
Bronx	0.69	0	0.77	0	
Cora	0.81	0	0.93	0	
Daniel	0.79	0	0.89	0	
Farson	1.47	6	1.69	8	
Labarge	1.79	6	2.05	6	
Merna	0.91	0	1.01	1	
Pinedale	1.69	4	1.94	5	

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.16 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.29	16	2.62	20		
Big Sandy	3.29	31	3.62	34		
Boulder	3.26	19	3.70	21		
Bronx	1.56	1	1.79	1		
Cora	2.92	6	3.32	8		
Daniel	2.34	6	2.67	11		
Farson	2.49	11	2.75	12		
Labarge	2.54	9	2.90	12		
Merna	1.00	0	1.13	5		
Pinedale	3.91	8	4.41	10		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.17 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.09	13	2.39	15		
Big Sandy	2.60	20	2.88	24		
Boulder	2.89	11	3.28	13		
Bronx	1.15	1	1.32	1		
Cora	2.18	3	2.49	5		
Daniel	1.74	2	1.99	6		
Farson	1.99	10	2.26	10		
Labarge	2.27	6	2.59	9		
Merna	0.96	0	1.07	1		
Pinedale	2.98	8	3.38	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.18 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative A (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.04	10	2.33	13		
Big Sandy	2.06	10	2.28	14		
Boulder	2.78	8	3.17	9		
Bronx	0.80	0	0.92	0		
Cora	1.49	1	1.71	3		
Daniel	1.20	1	1.38	1		
Farson	1.73	10	1.98	10		
Labarge	2.04	6	2.33	6		
Merna	0.94	0	1.05	1		
Pinedale	2.07	5	2.37	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.19 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.41	20	2.75	22		
Big Sandy	3.64	34	4.00	36		
Boulder	3.48	23	3.94	21		
Bronx	1.74	1	1.99	4		
Cora	3.29	7	3.74	10		
Daniel	2.64	10	3.01	14		
Farson	2.68	12	2.96	13		
Labarge	2.66	12	3.03	12		
Merna	1.06	3	1.23	6		
Pinedale	4.44	9	5.00	15		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.20 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.10	13	2.40	17		
Big Sandy	2.84	23	3.13	28		
Boulder	2.91	13	3.31	16		
Bronx	1.25	1	1.44	1		
Cora	2.40	3	2.74	6		
Daniel	1.92	3	2.19	7		
Farson	2.10	10	2.36	10		
Labarge	2.34	6	2.67	9		
Merna	0.97	0	1.08	2		
Pinedale	3.32	8	3.76	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.21 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative B (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.05	10	2.34	14		
Big Sandy	2.20	13	2.43	16		
Boulder	2.79	9	3.17	9		
Bronx	0.82	0	0.94	0		
Cora	1.57	1	1.80	3		
Daniel	1.26	1	1.44	2		
Farson	1.78	10	2.04	10		
Labarge	2.07	6	2.37	6		
Merna	0.94	0	1.05	1		
Pinedale	2.23	5	2.55	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.22 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.24	15	2.55	18		
Big Sandy	3.04	23	3.35	30		
Boulder	3.11	16	3.54	18		
Bronx	1.40	1	1.60	1		
Cora	2.65	5	3.01	7		
Daniel	2.10	4	2.41	9		
Farson	2.36	10	2.61	11		
Labarge	2.50	9	2.85	11		
Merna	0.99	0	1.10	4		
Pinedale	3.52	8	3.99	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.23 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.08	12	2.38	14		
Big Sandy	2.34	15	2.58	18		
Boulder	2.84	10	3.23	10		
Bronx	0.98	0	1.13	1		
Cora	1.89	1	2.16	5		
Daniel	1.49	1	1.71	4		
Farson	1.86	10	2.13	10		
Labarge	2.22	6	2.54	7		
Merna	0.96	0	1.07	1		
Pinedale	2.55	6	2.91	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.24 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative C (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.03	8	2.32	13		
Big Sandy	1.78	8	1.97	9		
Boulder	2.74	6	3.12	7		
Bronx	0.72	0	0.80	0		
Cora	1.18	1	1.36	1		
Daniel	0.93	0	1.08	1		
Farson	1.62	9	1.85	10		
Labarge	1.99	6	2.28	6		
Merna	0.93	0	1.04	1		
Pinedale	1.79	4	2.05	5		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.25 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR250) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.37	18	2.70	20		
Big Sandy	3.41	32	3.75	34		
Boulder	3.36	21	3.80	21		
Bronx	1.63	1	1.87	2		
Cora	3.06	7	3.48	8		
Daniel	2.45	8	2.80	13		
Farson	2.59	11	2.86	13		
Labarge	2.60	10	2.96	12		
Merna	1.00	2	1.16	5		
Pinedale	4.10	9	4.63	11		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.26 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR150) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.10	13	2.40	16		
Big Sandy	2.72	23	3.00	25		
Boulder	2.90	12	3.30	14		
Bronx	1.21	1	1.39	1		
Cora	2.30	3	2.63	6		
Daniel	1.84	3	2.11	6		
Farson	2.05	10	2.31	10		
Labarge	2.30	6	2.63	10		
Merna	0.97	0	1.08	1		
Pinedale	3.16	8	3.59	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.27 Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Alternative F (WDR75) and Regional Sources

Receptor Area	FLAG Background Data			IMPROVE Background Data		
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Δdv		
	(Δdv) ¹	(days)	(Δdv) ¹	(days)		
Big Piney	2.05	10	2.34	14		
Big Sandy	2.19	13	2.43	16		
Boulder	2.79	9	3.18	9		
Bronx	0.86	0	0.99	0		
Cora	1.63	1	1.87	3		
Daniel	1.31	1	1.50	2		
Farson	1.78	10	2.04	10		
Labarge	2.08	6	2.38	6		
Merna	0.94	0	1.05	1		
Pinedale	2.29	5	2.62	8		

¹ Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.9.28 Big Piney - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19	1	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27	1	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
60	3	1	1.75	1.28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	1.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
123	5	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
216	8	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
350	12	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
352	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
360	12	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	2	1	0	5	2	0	2	1	0	4	2	0	5	7	16	13	10	20	13	10	8	18	13	10		
Maximum dv			0.00	1.75	1.28	0.00	1.87	1.35	0.00	1.48	1.00	0.00	1.84	1.36	0.00	1.91	1.98	2.29	2.09	2.04	2.41	2.10	2.05	2.24	2.08	2.03	2.37	2.10	

Table F.9.29 Big Piney - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19	1	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27	1	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
60	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
87	3	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
123	5	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
350	12	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
351	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
352	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
360	12	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	6	2	1	9	2	1	4	1	0	7	1	7	11	20	15	13	22	17	14	18	14	13	20	16	14	
Maximum dv			0.00	2.01	1.48	1.03	2.15	1.56	1.04	1.71	1.16	0.00	2.12	1.57	1.11	2.18	2.26	2.62	2.39	2.33	2.75	2.40	2.34	2.38	2.32	2.70	2.40	2.34	

Table F.9.30 Big Sandy - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv												Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)													
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	1	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	1	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	1	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	1	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	2	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	3	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
89	3	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115	4	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
262	9	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
272	9	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
273	9	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
280	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
308	11	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
319	11	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
351	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
358	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
359	12	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
360	12	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
361	12	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
362	12	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	19	12	2	24	15	3	14	6	1	19	12	4	1	4	31	20	10	34	23	13	8	32	23	13	
Maximum dv			0.00	2.77	2.04	1.47	3.13	2.29	1.61	2.50	1.76	1.17	2.16	1.61	1.27	1.64	3.29	2.60	2.06	3.64	2.84	2.20	3.04	2.34	1.78	3.41	2.72	1.19

Table F.9.31 Big Sandy - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data
Predicted ✓ Shown for Each ModelRun Scenario (1-27)

JDAY	MO	DAY	Year	Days since Jan 1												Days since Jan 1													
				0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	1	1	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	2	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	3	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	2	4	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	3	5	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	4	6	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	5	7	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	6	8	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	7	9	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	8	10	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	9	11	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	10	12	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	11	13	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	12	14	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	13	15	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	14	16	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	15	17	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	16	18	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	17	19	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	18	20	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	19	21	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	20	22	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	21	23	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	22	24	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	23	25	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	24	26	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	25	27	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	26	28	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	27	29	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	28	30	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	29	31	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	30	32	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	31	33	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	1	34	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	2	35	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	3	36	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	4	37	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	5	38	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	6	39	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	7	40	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	8	41	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	9	42	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	10	43	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	11	44	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	12	45	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	13	46	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	14	47	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	15	48	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	16	49	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	17	50	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	18	51	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	19	52	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	20	53	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	21	54	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	22	55	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	23	56	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	24	57	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	25	58	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	26	59	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	27	60	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	28	61	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	29	62	167	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	30	63	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	31	64	169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	32	65	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	33	66	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
67	34	67	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	35	68	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	36	69	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
70	37	70	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	38	71	176	-	-																								

Table F.9.32 Boulder - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																														
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
3	1	5	-	2.09	1.51	1.00	2.35	1.67	1.08	1.92	1.33	-	2.20	1.59	1.10	1.65	1.99	3.26	2.78	2.38	3.48	2.91	2.44	3.11	2.64	2.24	3.36	2.84	2.46				
5	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.35	1.17	1.01	1.44	1.22	1.03	1.29	1.11	-	1.39	1.20	1.04				
6	1	20	-	1.72	1.24	-	1.98	1.41	-	1.53	1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.25	2.39	1.96	1.63	2.63	2.12	1.71	2.22	1.78	1.44	2.48	2.04	1.72			
20	1	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
21	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.78	1.95	2.39	2.20	2.06	2.47	2.25	2.08	2.30	2.12	1.98	2.43	2.23	2.08		
22	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.56	2.67	3.01	2.89	2.78	3.05	2.91	2.79	2.97	2.84	2.74	3.04	2.90	2.79		
23	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
25	1	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
28	1	29	-	1.06	1.85	1.36	-	2.20	1.58	-	1.06	1.11	-	2.01	1.48	1.07	-	-	2.06	1.58	1.16	2.40	1.79	1.28	1.84	1.34	-	-	-				
29	1	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
43	2	12	-	1.36	-	-	1.54	1.11	-	1.18	-	-	-	1.43	1.06	-	-	-	1.60	1.25	-	1.78	1.36	1.04	1.43	1.07	-	1.68	1.31	1.05			
60	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.35	1.13	-	1.46	1.22	-	1.25	1.03	-	1.40	1.17	-			
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.10	-	-	1.24	-	-	1.01	-	-	1.16	-	-			
131	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	1.03	1.01	1.08	1.04	1.01	1.06	1.03	1.00	1.07	1.04	1.01			
268	9	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
321	11	17	-	1.00	-	-	1.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.11	-	-	-	-	-	1.20	-	-	1.40	1.00	-	1.14	-	-	1.30	-	-		
324	11	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.10	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	1.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.16	-	-	
351	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	
352	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.21	-	-	-	-	-	1.36	-	-	1.44	1.08	-	1.11	-	-	1.32	1.01	-		
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	-	-	-	1.18	-	-	1.32	-	-	1.07	-	-	1.28	-	-		
354	12	20	-	1.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.11	-	-	-	-	-	1.49	1.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.62	-	-	-	-	-	1.65	1.87	2.37	2.17	2.03	2.46	2.23	2.06	2.29	2.09	1.94	2.42	2.20	2.06
357	12	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	1.15	-	-	1.03	-	-	1.11	-	-	
Number of Days dv ≥ 1.0			0	9	3	1	11	5	2	6	3	0	10	5	2	4	5	19	11	8	23	13	9	16	10	6	21	12	9				
Maximum dv			0.00	2.09	1.51	1.00	2.35	1.67	1.08	1.92	1.33	0.00	2.20	1.59	1.10	2.56	2.67	3.26	2.89	2.78	3.48	2.91	2.79	3.11	2.84	2.74	3.36	2.90	2.79				

Table F.9.33 Boulder - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																												
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25				
3	1	3	-	2.39	1.73	1.15	2.68	1.92	1.24	2.20	1.53	-	2.52	1.82	1.26	1.89	2.28	3.70	3.16	2.72	3.94	3.31	2.79	3.54	3.01	2.55	-	1.161			
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.56	1.34	1.16	1.66	1.41	1.19	1.49	1.27	1.09	1.60	3.23			
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.80			
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.20			
20	1	20	-	1.97	1.42	1.02	2.27	1.62	1.13	1.76	1.20	-	2.08	1.52	1.13	-	1.43	2.73	2.24	1.87	3.00	2.42	1.97	2.53	2.04	1.66	2.83	2.33	1.97		
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.03	2.23	2.72	2.51	2.35	2.82	2.57	2.37	2.62	2.42	2.26	2.77	2.55	2.38
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.92	3.04	3.42	3.28	3.17	3.47	3.31	3.17	3.23	3.12	3.45	3.30	3.18	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
25	1	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
28	1	28	-	1.22	-	-	1.34	-	-	1.05	-	1.21	-	2.29	1.70	1.23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
29	1	29	-	2.12	1.56	1.07	2.51	1.81	1.22	1.86	1.28	-	2.29	1.70	1.23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
43	2	12	-	1.57	1.15	-	1.78	1.28	-	1.37	-	1.65	1.23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
60	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
322	11	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
324	11	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
351	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
352	12	18	-	1.34	1.00	-	1.52	1.11	-	1.15	-	1.39	1.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
353	12	19	-	1.15	-	-	1.31	-	-	1.04	-	1.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
354	12	20	-	1.47	1.03	-	1.79	1.23	-	1.31	-	1.65	1.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
357	12	23	-	1.01	-	-	1.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	12	6	3	18	6	3	9	3	0	16	6	3	4	5	21	13	9	21	16	9	18	10	7	21	14			
Maximum dv			0.00	2.39	1.73	1.15	2.68	1.92	1.24	2.20	1.53	0.00	2.52	1.82	1.26	2.92	3.04	3.70	3.28	3.17	3.94	3.31	3.17	3.54	3.23	3.12	3.80	3.30	3.18		

Table F.9.34 Bronx - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
 Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
29	1	1.48	-	1.48	1.07	-	1.66	1.17	-	1.32	-	-	1.55	1.13	-	-	-	1.56	1.15	-	1.74	1.25	-	1.40	-	-	1.63	1.21	-
Number of Days dv >= 1.0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Maximum dv	0.00	1.48	1.07	0.00	1.66	1.17	0.00	1.32	0.00	1.55	1.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.56	1.15	0.00	1.74	1.25	0.00	1.40	0.00	0.00	1.63	1.21	0.00

Table F.9.35 Bronx - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

			Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																										
JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
29	1	29	-	1.70	1.23	-	1.91	1.35	-	1.52	1.04	-	1.78	1.30	-	-	-	1.79	1.32	-	1.99	1.44	-	1.60	1.13	-	1.87	1.39	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-	
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	0
Maximum dv			0.00	1.70	1.23	0.00	1.91	1.35	0.00	1.52	1.04	0.00	1.78	1.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.79	1.32	0.00	1.99	1.44	0.00	1.60	1.13	0.00	1.87	1.39	0.00

Table F.9.36 Cora - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	1	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	1	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	1	29	-	2.81	2.06	1.37	3.19	2.29	1.44	2.54	1.77	1.05	2.96	2.19	1.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	6	3	1	7	1	7	3	1	1	1	1
Maximum dv			0.00	2.81	2.06	1.37	3.19	2.29	1.44	2.54	1.77	1.05	2.96	2.19	1.51	0.00	0.00	2.92	2.18	1.49	3.29	2.40	1.57	2.65	1.89	1.18	3.06	2.30

Table F.9.37 Cora - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	1	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	1	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
44	2	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum dv			0.00	3.20	2.36	1.57	3.62	2.61	1.66	2.89	2.03	1.21	3.36	2.50	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table F.9.38 Daniel - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	1	29	-	2.24	1.63	1.08	2.55	1.81	1.15	2.00	1.38	-	2.36	1.73	1.20	-	2.34	1.74	1.20	2.64	1.92	1.26	2.10	1.49	-	2.45	1.84	1.31
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum dv			0.00	2.24	1.63	1.08	2.55	1.81	1.15	2.00	1.38	0.00	2.36	1.73	1.20	0.00	2.34	1.74	1.20	2.64	1.92	1.26	2.10	1.49	0.00	2.45	1.84	1.31

Table F.9.39 Daniel - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																										
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
44	2	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
324	11	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
361	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
362	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
364	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
365	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	11	6	14	7	2	9	4	1	13	6	2			
Maximum dv			0.00	2.56	1.87	1.25	2.90	2.07	1.32	2.29	1.59	0.00	2.69	1.99	1.37	0.00	0.00	2.67	1.99	1.38	3.01	2.19	1.44	2.41	1.71	1.08	2.80	2.11	1.50

Table F.9.40 Farson - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																											
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	1	21	-	2.04	1.44	-	2.29	1.59	1.05	1.88	1.27	-	2.12	1.51	1.07	-	-	2.25	1.66	1.21	2.49	1.80	1.27	2.09	1.49	1.03	2.32	1.73	1.30	
22	1	22	-	1.28	-	-	1.43	1.00	-	1.22	-	-	1.35	-	-	-	-	1.89	1.54	1.23	2.02	1.62	1.27	1.83	1.48	1.17	1.95	1.58	1.28	
27	1	27	-	1.22	-	-	1.38	-	-	1.10	-	-	1.28	-	-	-	1.17	1.47	2.26	1.97	1.73	2.40	2.06	1.78	2.15	1.86	1.62	2.32	2.02	1.78
28	1	28	-	1.69	1.25	-	1.89	1.38	-	1.52	1.08	-	1.77	1.32	-	-	1.10	2.24	1.83	1.47	2.43	1.95	1.54	2.09	1.67	1.31	2.32	1.90	1.55	
33	11	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
354	12	20	-	1.92	1.38	-	2.12	1.51	-	1.78	1.24	-	2.02	1.46	-	-	-	1.13	2.49	1.99	1.56	2.68	2.10	1.62	2.36	1.85	1.42	2.59	2.05	1.62
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
358	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
359	12	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
362	12	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	5	3	0	6	4	1	5	3	0	5	3	1	3	6	11	10	10	12	10	10	10	9	11	10	10	10	
Maximum dv			0.00	2.04	1.44	0.00	2.29	1.59	1.05	1.88	1.27	0.00	2.12	1.51	1.07	1.33	1.47	1.33	1.47	1.49	1.47	1.55	1.50	1.47	1.53	1.48	1.46	1.55	1.49	1.47

Table F.9.41 Farson - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

			Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																												
DAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
21	1	21	-	2.33	1.65	1.13	2.62	1.82	1.21	2.15	1.46	-	2.42	1.74	1.23	-	-	1.21	1.33	1.49	1.44	1.39	1.51	1.45	1.39	1.47	1.41	1.37	1.49	1.44	1.39
22	1	22	-	1.48	1.05	-	1.64	1.15	-	1.40	-	-	1.55	1.11	-	-	1.09	2.16	1.77	1.42	2.31	1.86	1.47	2.09	1.70	1.34	2.23	1.19	2.65	1.98	1.49
27	1	27	-	1.40	1.04	-	1.58	1.15	-	1.27	-	-	1.47	1.10	-	-	1.34	1.69	2.58	2.26	1.98	2.74	2.36	2.04	2.46	2.13	1.85	2.64	2.31	2.04	
28	1	28	-	1.93	1.44	1.00	2.16	1.58	1.08	1.75	1.24	-	2.03	1.52	1.10	-	1.26	2.56	2.10	1.69	2.77	2.23	1.77	2.39	1.92	1.50	2.65	2.17	1.78		
42	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
331	11	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
363	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
364	12	20	-	2.12	1.54	1.03	2.35	1.67	1.10	1.98	1.38	-	2.24	1.62	1.11	-	-	1.26	2.75	2.20	1.73	2.96	2.33	1.79	2.61	2.06	1.58	2.86	2.28	1.80	
366	12	22	-	1.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
368	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
359	12	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
362	12	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	6	5	3	7	5	3	5	3	0	6	5	3	3	8	12	10	10	13	10	10	11	10	10	13	10	10		
Maximum dv			0.00	2.33	1.65	1.13	2.62	1.82	1.21	2.15	1.46	0.00	2.42	1.74	1.23	1.48	1.69	2.75	2.26	1.98	2.96	2.36	2.04	2.61	2.13	1.85	2.86	2.31	2.04		

Table F.9.42 La Barge - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

DAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
5	1	5	-	1.12	-	-	1.24	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.25	-	-	1.37	-	-	1.16	-	1.31	-	-			
21	1	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.22	1.27	1.44	1.37	1.31	1.47	1.32	1.42	1.35	1.29	1.47	1.39	-	-	
22	1	22	-	1.15	-	-	1.29	-	-	-	1.10	-	-	1.21	-	-	-	1.62	1.79	2.54	2.27	2.04	2.66	2.34	2.07	2.50	2.22	1.99	2.60	2.30	2.08
42	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	1.07	-	-	1.01	-	-	1.11	-	-	
60	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
161	6	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
358	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
359	12	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
362	12	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0		0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Maximum dv		0.00	1.15	0.00	0.00	1.29	0.00	0.00	1.10	0.00	0.00	1.21	0.00	0.00	1.62	1.79	2.54	2.27	2.04	2.66	2.34	2.07	2.50	2.22	1.99	2.60	2.30	2.08			

Table F.9.43 La Barge -Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																														
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
5	1	5	-	1.29	-	-	-	1.42	-	-	-	1.19	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.44	1.06	-	1.57	1.14	-	1.34	-	-	1.51	1.12	-			
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	1.06	-	-	1.02	-	-	1.04	-	-			
21	1	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.40	1.46	1.66	1.58	1.51	1.69	1.60	1.52	1.63	1.55	1.49	1.68	1.60	1.52	-
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	1.48	1.03	-	-	1.26	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.86	2.05	2.90	2.59	2.33	3.03	2.67	2.37	2.85	2.54	2.28	2.96	2.63	2.38	-
42	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.24	-	-	1.24	-	-	1.17	-	-	1.28	1.00	-
60	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-	1.18	-	-	1.18	-	-	1.08	-	-	1.08	-	-
353	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.10	1.01	-	1.13	1.02	-	1.08	-	-	1.13	1.02	-	-	-	-
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.70	1.79	2.06	1.95	1.88	2.10	1.98	1.89	2.03	1.93	1.86	2.09	1.97	1.89	-
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.17	1.05	-	1.22	1.08	-	1.14	1.02	-	1.19	1.06	-	-	-
358	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.15	1.16	1.22	1.20	1.18	1.23	1.19	1.18	1.22	1.19	1.18	1.23	1.20	1.18	-
359	12	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.38	1.41	1.49	1.46	1.43	1.51	1.47	1.44	1.48	1.45	1.42	1.50	1.46	1.44	-
362	12	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	2	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	6	6	6	12	9	6	12	9	6	11	7	6	12	10	6			
Maximum dv			0.00	1.32	0.00	0.00	1.48	1.03	0.00	1.26	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.00	0.00	1.86	2.05	2.90	2.59	2.33	3.03	2.67	2.37	2.85	2.54	2.28	2.96	2.63	2.38				

Table F.9.44 Merna - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data
 Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)

JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.06	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Maximum dv			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Table F.9.45 Merna - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
4	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
61	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
356	12	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	6	2	1	4	1
Maximum dv			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.13	1.07	1.05	1.23	1.08	1.05	1.10	1.07

Table F.9.46 Pinedale - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using FLAG Background Data

JDAY	MO	DAY	Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																												
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.55	1.69	2.19	2.00	1.84	2.27	2.06	1.87	2.13	1.95	1.79	2.22	2.03	1.87		
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.34	1.17	1.03	1.41	1.22	1.05	1.29	1.13	-	1.37	1.20	1.05		
20	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.38	1.11	-	1.53	1.20	-	1.27	-	-	1.44	1.16	-	
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.05	1.11	1.26	1.20	1.16	1.28	1.21	1.16	1.14	1.14	1.14	1.27	1.21	1.16	
25	1	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.46	1.11	-	1.58	1.18	-	1.34	1.01	-	1.54	1.16	-	
26	1	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.23	1.04	-	1.31	1.09	-	1.16	-	-	1.27	1.07	-	
29	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.09	2.98	2.07	4.44	3.32	2.23	3.52	2.55	1.61	4.10	3.16	2.29	
352	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.06	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-		
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.48	1.29	1.15	1.60	1.36	1.19	1.44	1.24	1.10	1.54	1.33	1.19
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			0	2	1	3	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	4	8	5	9	8	5	9	8	6	4	9	8	5	9	8	5		
Maximum dv			0.00	3.78	2.84	1.92	4.32	3.18	2.09	3.39	2.09	3.18	2.09	3.39	2.41	1.45	3.98	3.03	2.15	-	2.98	2.07	4.44	3.32	2.23	3.52	2.55	1.79	4.10	3.16	2.29

Table F.9.47 Pinedale - Summary of Days Above Visibility Thresholds Using IMPROVE Background Data

			Predicted dv Shown for Each Modeling Scenario (1-27)																												
JDAY	MO	DAY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
5	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.78	1.94	2.50	2.29	2.11	2.59	2.35	2.14	2.44	2.23	2.05	2.54	2.32	2.14		
6	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.02	1.54	1.35	1.18	1.62	1.40	1.21	1.49	1.30	1.13	1.58	1.38	1.21			
20	1	20	-	1.09	-	-	1.27	-	-	-	-	1.16	-	-	-	-	1.58	1.28	1.04	1.76	1.39	1.09	1.46	1.15	-	1.65	1.33	1.10			
22	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
23	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.21	1.27	1.45	1.39	1.33	1.47	1.39	1.34	1.43	1.37	1.31	1.46	1.39	1.34		
25	1	25	-	1.49	1.07	-	1.65	1.17	-	1.36	-	1.59	1.13	-	-	-	1.67	1.28	1.02	1.82	1.36	1.04	1.54	1.17	-	1.76	1.34	1.06			
26	1	26	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.42	1.20	1.01	1.51	1.25	1.03	1.33	1.11	-	1.46	1.23	1.04			
29	1	29	1.07	4.27	3.23	2.20	4.87	3.61	2.39	3.85	2.75	1.66	4.49	3.44	2.45	-	1.26	4.41	3.38	2.37	5.00	3.76	2.55	3.99	2.91	1.84	4.63	3.59	2.62		
39	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
43	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-	1.11	-	-	-	-	-	1.06	-	-			
86	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
351	12	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	-			
352	12	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.13	-			
354	12	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
355	12	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.12	1.65	1.43	1.28	1.78	1.51	1.32	1.60	1.38	1.23	1.71	1.47	1.32		
Number of Days dv >= 1.0			1	3	2	1	5	2	1	3	2	1	3	2	1	5	10	8	8	15	8	8	5	11	8	8	5	205	4.63	3.59	2.62
Maximum dv			1.07	4.27	3.23	2.20	4.87	3.61	2.39	3.85	2.75	1.66	4.49	3.44	2.45	1.78	1.94	4.41	3.38	2.37	5.00	3.76	2.55	3.99	2.91	1.84	4.63	3.59	2.62		

This page intentionally left blank.

Table F.10.1 Summary of Maximum Modeled NO₂ Concentration Impacts (μg/m³) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class II		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Teton National Park Class I		Yellowstone National Park Class I		Teton Wilderness Class I		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Popo Agie Wilderness Class I		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Teton National Park Class I		Yellowstone National Park Class I		Washakie Wilderness Area Class I	
		Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Alternative	WDR	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual	Modeled Impact	Annual
No Action ²	--	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	3.40	--	
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.026	3.43	0.001	3.40	0.009	3.41	0.006	3.41	0.006	3.41	0.006	3.41	0.006	3.41	0.006	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.132	3.53	0.006	3.41	0.044	3.44	0.026	3.43	0.026	3.43	0.026	3.43	0.026	3.43	0.026	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative A	150	0.091	3.49	0.004	3.40	0.031	3.43	0.019	3.42	0.019	3.42	0.019	3.42	0.019	3.42	0.019	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative A	75	0.057	3.46	0.003	3.40	0.021	3.42	0.012	3.41	0.012	3.41	0.012	3.41	0.012	3.41	0.012	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative B	250	0.153	3.55	0.006	3.41	0.050	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.022	3.43	0.022	3.43	0.022	3.43	0.022	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	
Alternative B	150	0.103	3.50	0.004	3.40	0.035	3.43	0.021	3.42	0.011	3.42	0.011	3.42	0.011	3.42	0.011	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	
Alternative B	75	0.062	3.46	0.003	3.40	0.023	3.42	0.013	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative C	250	0.121	3.52	0.005	3.41	0.041	3.44	0.024	3.42	0.002	3.42	0.002	3.42	0.002	3.42	0.002	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	
Alternative C	150	0.080	3.48	0.003	3.40	0.028	3.43	0.016	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative C	75	0.045	3.45	0.002	3.40	0.017	3.42	0.010	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.41	0.001	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative D	250	--	150	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	
Alternative E	250	--	150	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	
Alternative E	250	--	150	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	
Alternative E	250	--	150	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	
Alternative F	250	0.141	3.54	0.006	3.41	0.046	3.45	0.027	3.43	0.002	3.43	0.002	3.43	0.002	3.43	0.002	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	3.40	0.001	
Alternative F	150	0.096	3.50	0.004	3.40	0.033	3.43	0.019	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.42	0.001	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	3.40	0.000	
Alternative G	250	--	150	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	75	--	

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration. No Action Alternative was not modeled; total concentration represents background concentration only.

Table F.10.2 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative NO₂ Concentration Impacts (μg/m³) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class I		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Grand Teton National Park Class I		Teton Wilderness Class I		Yellowstone National Park Class I		Washakie Wilderness Area Class I	
		Direct	Direct	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact	Total	Modeled Impact
Alternative	WDR	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual
No Action	--	0.119	3.52	0.011	3.41	0.027	3.43	0.024	3.42	0.029	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.009	3.41
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.143	3.54	0.012	3.41	0.036	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.029	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative A (Proposed Action) Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.245	3.64	0.017	3.42	0.070	3.47	0.051	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative A	150	0.203	3.60	0.015	3.42	0.057	3.46	0.043	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative A	75	0.170	3.57	0.014	3.41	0.047	3.45	0.036	3.44	0.029	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative B	250	0.265	3.67	0.017	3.42	0.076	3.48	0.055	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative B	150	0.216	3.62	0.016	3.42	0.060	3.46	0.045	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative B	75	0.175	3.57	0.014	3.41	0.049	3.45	0.037	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative C	250	0.233	3.63	0.016	3.42	0.067	3.47	0.048	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative C	150	0.192	3.59	0.015	3.41	0.054	3.45	0.041	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative C	75	0.159	3.56	0.013	3.41	0.044	3.44	0.034	3.43	0.029	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative D	250	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative D	150	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative D	75	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative E	250	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative E	150	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative E	75	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative F	250	0.254	3.65	0.017	3.42	0.072	3.47	0.052	3.45	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative F	150	0.209	3.61	0.015	3.42	0.058	3.46	0.044	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative F	75	0.176	3.58	0.014	3.41	0.049	3.45	0.038	3.44	0.030	3.43	0.007	3.41	0.003	3.40	0.010	3.41
Alternative G	250	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative G	150	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alternative G	75	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration.

² Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

F.10.4 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative SO₂ Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

onal concentration includes direct modeled impact and background contamination.

Table F.10.5 Summary of Maximum Modeled PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts (µg/m³) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources.

	Wind River Roodless Area												Yellowstone National Park												Washakie Wilderness Area																	
	Bridger Wilderness Class I						Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I						Bridger Wilderness Class II						Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class II						Grand Teton National Park						Teton Wilderness Class I						Yellowstone Class I					
	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total	Direct	Modeled	Total									
Alternative A	150	1.28	0.050	34.3	16.05	0.14	0.005	33.1	16.00	0.21	0.014	33.2	16.01	0.16	0.010	33.2	16.01	0.07	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.04	0.002	33.0	16.00	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative A	75	1.00	0.039	34.0	16.04	0.10	0.004	33.1	16.00	0.18	0.011	33.2	16.01	0.14	0.008	33.1	16.01	0.05	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00					
Alternative B	250	1.70	0.068	34.7	16.07	0.20	0.007	33.2	16.01	0.24	0.02	33.2	16.02	0.19	0.014	33.2	16.01	0.10	0.003	33.1	16.00	0.05	0.002	33.0	16.00	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative B	150	1.31	0.053	34.3	16.05	0.15	0.005	33.1	16.01	0.20	0.015	33.2	16.01	0.16	0.011	33.2	16.01	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative B	75	0.99	0.041	34.0	16.04	0.11	0.004	33.1	16.00	0.17	0.011	33.2	16.01	0.14	0.008	33.1	16.01	0.05	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.02	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00					
Alternative C	250	1.24	0.046	34.2	16.05	0.14	0.005	33.1	16.00	0.17	0.014	33.2	16.01	0.13	0.009	33.1	16.01	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative C	150	0.87	0.033	33.9	16.03	0.10	0.003	33.1	16.00	0.13	0.010	33.1	16.01	0.09	0.007	33.1	16.01	0.05	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.02	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00					
Alternative C	75	0.59	0.022	33.6	16.02	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.09	0.007	33.1	16.01	0.07	0.005	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.02	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.02	0.000	33.0	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00					
Alternative D	250	150	75	Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.												Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.												Alternative F was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.														
Alternative E	250	150	75	Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.												Alternative F was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.												Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.														
Alternative F	250	1.65	0.064	34.7	16.06	0.19	0.006	33.2	16.01	0.25	0.018	33.2	16.02	0.19	0.013	33.2	16.01	0.10	0.003	33.1	16.00	0.04	0.002	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.08	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative F	150	1.31	0.051	34.3	16.05	0.14	0.005	33.1	16.01	0.21	0.014	33.2	16.01	0.16	0.010	33.2	16.01	0.07	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.06	0.002	33.1	16.00					
Alternative F	75	1.04	0.041	34.0	16.04	0.11	0.004	33.1	16.00	0.18	0.011	33.2	16.01	0.14	0.008	33.1	16.01	0.05	0.002	33.1	16.00	0.03	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00	0.04	0.001	33.0	16.00					

¹ Direct and background concentration.
² Total Concentration includes direct modeled in
 No Action Alternative was not modeled, total concentration re-presents background concentration only.

Table F.10.6 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM₁₀ Concentration Impacts (µg/m³) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I			Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I			Popo Agie Wilderness Class II			Wind River Roadless Area			Grand Teton National Park			Teton Wilderness Class I			Yellowstone National Park			Washakie Wilderness Area					
		Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹	Direct Modeled Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Total Concentration ¹						
Alternative	WDR 24-hr Annual	0.46	0.018	33.46	16.02	0.13	0.005	33.13	16.00	0.14	0.008	33.14	16.01	0.21	0.009	33.21	16.01	0.12	0.012	33.12	16.01	0.04	0.005	33.04	16.00	0.04	0.003	
No Action	--	0	0.91	0.047	33.91	16.05	0.15	0.008	33.15	16.01	0.20	0.015	33.20	16.01	0.23	0.014	33.23	16.01	0.13	0.013	33.13	16.01	0.05	0.006	33.05	16.00	0.05	0.004
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.91	0.047	33.91	16.05	0.15	0.008	33.15	16.01	0.20	0.015	33.20	16.01	0.23	0.014	33.23	16.01	0.13	0.013	33.13	16.01	0.05	0.006	33.05	16.00	0.05	0.004	
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions		250	1.82	0.081	34.82	16.08	0.20	0.011	33.20	16.01	0.31	0.024	33.31	16.02	0.29	0.021	33.29	16.02	0.14	0.015	33.14	16.02	0.08	0.007	33.08	16.01	0.06	0.005
Alternative A (Action) - Maximum Field Emissions		75	1.16	0.057	34.16	16.06	0.16	0.009	33.16	16.01	0.24	0.017	33.24	16.02	0.25	0.016	33.25	16.02	0.13	0.014	33.13	16.01	0.06	0.006	33.06	16.01	0.05	0.004
Alternative B		250	1.87	0.086	34.87	16.09	0.22	0.012	33.22	16.01	0.30	0.026	33.30	16.03	0.30	0.022	33.30	16.02	0.15	0.015	33.15	16.02	0.08	0.007	33.08	16.01	0.07	0.005
Alternative B		150	1.48	0.071	34.48	16.07	0.18	0.010	33.18	16.01	0.26	0.021	33.26	16.02	0.27	0.019	33.27	16.02	0.13	0.015	33.13	16.01	0.07	0.006	33.07	16.01	0.06	0.005
Alternative C		250	1.40	0.063	34.40	16.06	0.18	0.010	33.18	16.01	0.23	0.018	33.23	16.02	0.25	0.016	33.25	16.02	0.13	0.014	33.13	16.01	0.06	0.006	33.06	16.01	0.06	0.005
Alternative C		150	1.03	0.050	34.03	16.05	0.17	0.008	33.17	16.01	0.20	0.016	33.20	16.02	0.26	0.015	33.26	16.01	0.13	0.014	33.13	16.01	0.06	0.006	33.06	16.01	0.05	0.004
Alternative D		75	0.75	0.040	33.75	16.04	0.16	0.007	33.16	16.01	0.18	0.013	33.18	16.01	0.24	0.013	33.24	16.01	0.13	0.013	33.13	16.01	0.05	0.006	33.05	16.01	0.05	0.004
Alternative D		250	250	150	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
Alternative E		250	250	150	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
Alternative F		250	1.82	0.081	34.82	16.08	0.21	0.011	33.21	16.01	0.30	0.024	33.30	16.02	0.29	0.021	33.29	16.02	0.14	0.015	33.14	16.02	0.08	0.007	33.08	16.01	0.06	0.005
Alternative F		150	1.47	0.069	34.47	16.07	0.18	0.010	33.18	16.01	0.27	0.020	33.27	16.02	0.27	0.018	33.27	16.02	0.13	0.014	33.13	16.01	0.07	0.006	33.07	16.01	0.06	0.005
Alternative G		75	1.20	0.059	34.20	16.06	0.16	0.009	33.16	16.01	0.24	0.018	33.24	16.02	0.25	0.016	33.25	16.02	0.13	0.014	33.13	16.01	0.06	0.006	33.06	16.01	0.05	0.004

¹ Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration.

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.
 Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.
 Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

Summary of Maximum Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources.

: Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration presents backs.

Table F.10.8 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative PM_{2.5} Concentration Impacts (µg/m³) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I			Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I			Popo Agie Wilderness Class II			Wind River Roadless Area Class II			Grand Teton National Park Class I			Teton Wilderness Class I			Yellowstone National Park Class I			Washakie Wilderness Area Class I		
		Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹	Direct Impact	Total Modeled Impact	Concentration ¹
Alternative		WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹	WDR 24-hr Annual	24-hr Annual	Concentration ¹
No Action	-	0.43	0.019	13.43	5.02	0.12	0.006	13.12	5.01	0.13	0.009	13.13	5.01	0.19	0.010	13.19	5.01	0.11	0.013	13.11	5.01	0.04	0.005	13.04	5.00
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.91	0.048	13.91	5.05	0.14	0.008	13.14	5.01	0.20	0.016	13.20	5.02	0.22	0.015	13.22	5.02	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.05	0.006	13.05	5.00
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	1.82	0.081	14.82	5.08	0.20	0.012	13.20	5.01	0.31	0.026	13.31	5.03	0.28	0.022	13.28	5.02	0.14	0.015	13.14	5.02	0.08	0.007	13.08	5.01
Alternative A	150	1.45	0.068	14.45	5.07	0.17	0.011	13.17	5.01	0.27	0.022	13.27	5.02	0.26	0.019	13.26	5.02	0.13	0.015	13.13	5.01	0.06	0.006	13.06	5.00
Alternative A	75	1.15	0.058	14.15	5.06	0.16	0.009	13.16	5.01	0.23	0.019	13.23	5.02	0.24	0.017	13.24	5.02	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.06	0.006	13.06	5.00
Alternative B	250	1.87	0.087	14.87	5.09	0.22	0.013	13.22	5.01	0.30	0.028	13.30	5.03	0.29	0.023	13.29	5.02	0.15	0.016	13.15	5.02	0.08	0.007	13.08	5.01
Alternative B	150	1.48	0.071	14.48	5.07	0.18	0.011	13.18	5.01	0.26	0.023	13.26	5.02	0.26	0.020	13.26	5.02	0.13	0.015	13.13	5.02	0.07	0.007	13.07	5.01
Alternative B	75	1.15	0.059	14.15	5.06	0.16	0.010	13.16	5.01	0.23	0.020	13.23	5.02	0.24	0.017	13.24	5.02	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.06	0.006	13.06	5.00
Alternative C	250	1.40	0.064	14.40	5.06	0.18	0.011	13.18	5.01	0.23	0.023	13.23	5.02	0.27	0.018	13.27	5.02	0.13	0.015	13.13	5.02	0.07	0.007	13.07	5.01
Alternative C	150	1.03	0.051	14.03	5.05	0.16	0.009	13.16	5.01	0.19	0.019	13.19	5.02	0.25	0.016	13.25	5.02	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.06	0.006	13.06	5.00
Alternative C	75	0.75	0.041	13.75	5.04	0.15	0.008	13.15	5.01	0.17	0.015	13.17	5.02	0.23	0.014	13.23	5.01	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.05	0.006	13.05	5.00
Alternative D	250																								
Alternative D	150																								
Alternative D	75																								
Alternative E	250																								
Alternative E	150																								
Alternative E	75																								
Alternative F	250	1.81	0.082	14.81	5.08	0.21	0.012	13.21	5.01	0.30	0.027	13.30	5.03	0.29	0.022	13.29	5.02	0.14	0.016	13.14	5.02	0.08	0.007	13.08	5.01
Alternative F	150	1.47	0.070	14.47	5.07	0.17	0.011	13.17	5.01	0.27	0.023	13.27	5.02	0.26	0.020	13.26	5.02	0.13	0.015	13.13	5.01	0.07	0.007	13.07	5.01
Alternative F	75	1.19	0.060	14.19	5.06	0.16	0.010	13.16	5.01	0.24	0.020	13.24	5.02	0.24	0.018	13.24	5.02	0.12	0.014	13.12	5.01	0.06	0.006	13.06	5.00
Alternative G	250																								
Alternative G	150																								
Alternative G	75																								

¹ Total Concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration.

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

Table F.10.9 Summary of Maximum Modeled In-field Pollutant Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) from Direct Project Sources Within the JIDPA Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards.

Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration. Represents historical data.

No Action Alternative was not modeled, total concentration represents background concentration only.

THE JOURNAL OF CLIMATE

E-285

Table F.10.10 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative In-field Pollutant Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) from Direct Project and Regional Sources Within the JIDPA Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards.

NO _x		SO ₂		PM ₁₀		PM _{2.5}	
Direct Modeled Impact		Total Concentration ¹		NAAQS/WAAQS		Direct Modeled Impact	
WDR	Annual	Annual	Annual	3-hr	24-hr	Annual	24-hr
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Emissions (3,100 wells)	1.2	4.6	100	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	14.0	17.4	100	18.2	3.6	0.4	150.2
Alternative A	12.4	15.8	100	13.9	3.2	0.3	145.9
Alternative B	10.7	14.1	100	13.9	3.2	0.3	145.9
Alternative C	16.5	19.9	100	22.4	4.5	0.4	154.4
Alternative D	14.6	18.0	100	17.1	4.0	0.4	149.1
Alternative E	12.2	15.6	100	17.1	4.0	0.3	149.1
Alternative F	11.3	14.7	100	18.2	3.6	0.4	150.2
Alternative G	9.5	12.9	100	13.9	3.2	0.3	145.9
Alternative D	150	150	75	250	250	75	250
Alternative E	150	150	75	250	250	75	250
Alternative F	150	150	75	250	250	75	250
Alternative G	150	150	75	250	250	75	250

1

¹ Total concentration includes direct modeled impact and background concentration.

Table F.10.11 Summary of Maximum Modeled Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources^{1,2}

Alternative	WDR	Bridger Wilderness Class I	Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I	Popo Agie Wilderness Class II	Wind River Roadless Area Class II	Grand Teton National Park Class I	Teton Wilderness Class I	Yellowstone National Park Class I	Washakie Wilderness Area Class I
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.00669	0.00057	0.00344	0.00212	0.00023	0.00011	0.00008	0.00014
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.03487	0.00266	0.01654	0.00988	0.00116	0.00056	0.00041	0.00072
Alternative A	150	0.02440	0.00187	0.01164	0.00696	0.00081	0.00039	0.00028	0.00050
	75	0.01653	0.00120	0.00771	0.00448	0.00052	0.00025	0.00018	0.00032
Alternative B	250	0.04017	0.00300	0.01886	0.01128	0.00131	0.00063	0.00046	0.00080
	150	0.02771	0.00208	0.01306	0.00781	0.00090	0.00043	0.00032	0.00055
	75	0.01837	0.00130	0.00844	0.00486	0.00056	0.00027	0.00020	0.00035
Alternative C	250	0.03233	0.00248	0.01527	0.00906	0.00110	0.00053	0.00038	0.00068
	150	0.02186	0.00170	0.01039	0.00614	0.00074	0.00036	0.00026	0.00047
	75	0.01399	0.00103	0.00650	0.00366	0.00046	0.00022	0.00016	0.00029
Alternative D	250	250	150	75	Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.				
Alternative E	250	250	150	75	Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.				
Alternative F	250	0.03644	0.00282	0.01734	0.01037	0.00123	0.00059	0.00043	0.00076
	150	0.02595	0.00198	0.01227	0.00731	0.00085	0.00041	0.00030	0.00053
	75	0.01839	0.00132	0.00851	0.00495	0.00057	0.00027	0.00020	0.00035
Alternative G	250	250	150	75	Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.				

¹ No Action Analysis was not modeled for direct Project impacts = 0.005 kg/ha.yr.
² Nitro

Table F.10.12 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Far-field Nitrogen (N) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.¹

Alternative	WDR	Bridger Wilderness Class I	Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I	Popo Agie Wilderness Class II	Wind River Roadless Area Class II	Grand Teton National Park Class I	Teton Wilderness Class I	Yellowstone National Park Class I	Washakie Wilderness Area Class I
No Action	--	0.030	0.005	0.012	0.011	0.009	0.003	0.002	0.003
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.035	0.006	0.016	0.013	0.009	0.003	0.002	0.004
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.057	0.008	0.029	0.021	0.010	0.004	0.003	0.004
Alternative A	150	0.048	0.007	0.024	0.018	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.004
	75	0.041	0.006	0.020	0.015	0.010	0.003	0.002	0.004
Alternative B	250	0.061	0.008	0.031	0.022	0.011	0.004	0.003	0.004
	150	0.051	0.007	0.025	0.019	0.010	0.004	0.003	0.004
	75	0.042	0.007	0.021	0.016	0.010	0.003	0.002	0.004
Alternative C	250	0.055	0.008	0.028	0.020	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.004
	150	0.046	0.007	0.023	0.017	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.004
	75	0.039	0.006	0.019	0.014	0.010	0.003	0.002	0.004
Alternative D	250								
	150								
	75							Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.	
Alternative E	250								
	150							Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.	
	75								
Alternative F	250	0.059	0.008	0.030	0.021	0.010	0.004	0.003	0.004
	150	0.049	0.007	0.025	0.018	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.004
	75	0.042	0.007	0.021	0.016	0.010	0.003	0.002	0.004
Alternative G	250								
	150							Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.	
	75								

¹ gen deposition analysis threshold for cumulative impacts - 3.00 kg/ha-yr.
Nitro

Table F.10.13 Summary of Maximum Modeled Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources.^{1,2}

Alternative	WDR	Brider Wilderness Class I	Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I	Popo Agie Wilderness Class II	Wind River Roadless Area Class II	Grand Teton National Park Class I	Teton Wilderness Class I	Yellowstone National Park Class I	Washakie Wilderness Area Class I
No Action	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.0000316	0.0000036	0.0000184	0.0000114	0.0000015	0.0000008	0.0000006	0.0000010
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.0014419	0.0001484	0.0007323	0.0004267	0.0000656	0.0000367	0.0000241	0.0000425
Alternative A	150 75	0.0009009 0.0005122	0.0000920 0.0000452	0.0004551 0.0002438	0.0002642 0.0001285	0.0000398 0.0000203	0.0000223 0.0000112	0.0000147 0.0000074	0.0000258 0.0000128
Alternative B	250 150 75	0.0017643 0.0011000 0.0006225	0.0001814 0.0001122 0.0000547	0.0008954 0.0005549 0.0002954	0.0005214 0.0003218 0.0001552	0.0000802 0.0000486 0.0000246	0.0000449 0.0000272 0.0000135	0.0000295 0.0000180 0.0000090	0.0000520 0.0000315 0.0000155
Alternative C	250 150 75	0.0014232 0.0008828 0.0004942	0.0001462 0.0000899 0.0000431	0.0007216 0.0004444 0.0002331	0.0004199 0.0002574 0.0001217	0.0000647 0.0000389 0.0000194	0.0000362 0.0000218 0.0000107	0.0000238 0.0000144 0.0000071	0.0000419 0.0000252 0.0000122
Alternative D	250 150 75					Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.			
Alternative E	250 150 75					Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.			
Alternative F	250 150 75	0.0015994 0.0010003 0.0005668	0.0001645 0.0001020 0.0000500	0.0008114 0.0005045 0.0002693	0.0004722 0.0002925 0.0001416	0.0000728 0.0000442 0.0000225	0.0000407 0.0000247 0.0000124	0.0000267 0.0000163 0.0000082	0.0000471 0.0000286 0.0000142
Alternative G	250 150 75					Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.			

¹ No Action Alternative analysis performed for direct Project impacts = 0.005 kg/ha-yr.
² Sulfur de

Table F.10.14

Summary of Modeled Cumulative Sulfur (S) Deposition Impacts (kg/ha-yr) at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources.¹

Alternative	WDR	Brider Wilderness Class I	Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I	Popo Agie Wilderness Class II	Wind River Roadless Area Class II	Grand Teton National Park Class I	Teton Wilderness Class I	Yellowstone National Park Class I	Washakie Wilderness Area Class I
No Action	-	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	-0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	-0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000
Alternative A	150 75	-0.001 -0.001	-0.001 -0.001	-0.002 -0.002	-0.001 -0.001	0.003 0.003	0.001 0.001	0.001 0.001	0.000 0.000
Alternative B	250 150 75	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.002 -0.002 -0.002	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	0.003 0.003 0.003	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.000 0.000 0.000
Alternative C	250 150 75	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.002 -0.002 -0.002	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	0.003 0.003 0.003	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.000 0.000 0.000
Alternative D	250 150 75								
Alternative E	250 150 75								
Alternative F	250 150 75	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	-0.002 -0.002 -0.002	-0.001 -0.001 -0.001	0.003 0.003 0.003	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.001 0.001 0.001	0.000 0.000 0.000
Alternative G	250 150 75								

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ Sulfur deposition analysis threshold for cumulative impacts = 5.0 kg/ha-yr.

Table F.10.15 Summary of Maximum Modeled Change in ANC ($\mu\text{eq/L}$) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Direct Project Sources

Black Joe Lake		Deep Lake		Hobbs Lake		Lazy Boy Lake		Upper Frozen Lake		Lower Saddlebag		Ross Lake	
Bridger Wilderness Class I		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class II		Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I	
ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC	ANC	Percent ANC
Change	(%)	Change	(%)	Change	(%)	Change	(%)	Change	(%)	Change	(%)	Change	(%)
WDR ($\mu\text{eq/L}$)	—	6.70	—	5.99	—	6.99	—	1.00	—	1.00	—	5.55	—
Alternative A (Proposed)	—	67.0	—	59.9	—	69.9	—	18.8	—	5.0	—	55.5	—
Background ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.02	0.033%	0.02	0.041%	0.00	0.006%	0.00	0.008%	0.03	0.567%	0.03	0.046%
Level of Acceptable Change ($\mu\text{eq/L}$)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.10	0.155%	0.11	0.190%	0.02	0.030%	0.01	0.038%	0.14	2.808%	0.13	0.231%
Alternative A	150	0.07	0.109%	0.08	0.133%	0.01	0.021%	0.01	0.027%	0.10	1.969%	0.09	0.161%
Alternative B	250	0.12	0.177%	0.13	0.217%	0.02	0.035%	0.01	0.043%	0.16	3.221%	0.15	0.263%
Alternative C	250	0.10	0.142%	0.10	0.173%	0.02	0.029%	0.01	0.037%	0.13	2.581%	0.12	0.216%
Alternative D	250	0.04	0.059%	0.04	0.071%	0.01	0.012%	0.00	0.016%	0.05	1.041%	0.05	0.091%
Alternative E	250	150	75	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alternative F	250	0.109	0.163%	0.120	0.200%	0.023	0.033%	0.008	0.041%	0.148	2.959%	0.135	0.243%
Alternative G	250	0.076	0.113%	0.082	0.138%	0.015	0.022%	0.005	0.028%	0.102	2.047%	0.093	0.168%

1 No Action Alternative was not modeled; ANC represents background only.

Table F.10.16 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Change in ANC (µeq/L) at Acid Sensitive Lakes from Direct Project and Regional Sources.

Alternative	WDR	Black Joe Lake		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Deep Lake		Hobbs Lake		Lazy Boy Lake		Upper Frozen Lake		Lower Saddlebag		Popo Agie Wilderness Class II		Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I		Ross Lake	
		ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)	ANC Change (µeq/L)	Percent ANC Change (%)
Background ANC	--	67.0	--	59.9	--	69.9	--	18.8	--	5.0	--	55.5	--	53.5	--	5.35	--	5.35	--	5.35	--
Level of Acceptable Change (µeq/L)	--	6.70	--	5.99	--	6.99	--	1.00	--	1.00	--	5.55	--	5.35	--	5.35	--	5.35	--	5.35	--
No Action	--	0.085	0.13%	0.087	0.14%	0.042	0.06%	0.025	0.13%	0.091	0.13%	0.096	0.17%	0.026	0.05%	0.026	0.05%	0.026	0.05%	0.026	0.05%
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.107	0.16%	0.111	0.18%	0.046	0.07%	0.026	0.14%	0.120	0.39%	0.122	0.22%	0.027	0.05%	0.027	0.05%	0.027	0.05%	0.027	0.05%
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	0.185	0.28%	0.196	0.33%	0.062	0.09%	0.032	0.17%	0.227	4.53%	0.220	0.40%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%
Alternative A	150	0.156	0.23%	0.164	0.27%	0.056	0.08%	0.030	0.16%	0.187	3.73%	0.183	0.33%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%
	75	0.132	0.20%	0.137	0.23%	0.051	0.07%	0.028	0.15%	0.153	3.06%	0.154	0.28%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%
Alternative B	250	0.199	0.30%	0.211	0.35%	0.065	0.09%	0.032	0.17%	0.246	4.92%	0.237	0.43%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%
	150	0.164	0.24%	0.173	0.29%	0.057	0.08%	0.030	0.16%	0.198	3.97%	0.193	0.35%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%
	75	0.137	0.20%	0.142	0.24%	0.051	0.07%	0.028	0.15%	0.159	3.17%	0.160	0.29%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%
Alternative C	250	0.177	0.26%	0.186	0.31%	0.061	0.09%	0.031	0.17%	0.215	4.31%	0.212	0.38%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%	0.032	0.06%
	150	0.147	0.22%	0.154	0.26%	0.054	0.08%	0.029	0.16%	0.175	3.50%	0.175	0.31%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%	0.030	0.06%
	75	0.124	0.18%	0.128	0.21%	0.049	0.07%	0.028	0.15%	0.142	2.84%	0.146	0.26%	0.028	0.05%	0.028	0.05%	0.028	0.05%	0.028	0.05%
Alternative D	250																				
	150																				
	75																				
Alternative E	250																				
	150																				
	75																				
Alternative F	250	0.190	0.28%	0.202	0.34%	0.063	0.09%	0.032	0.17%	0.234	4.67%	0.226	0.41%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%	0.033	0.06%
	150	0.159	0.24%	0.168	0.28%	0.057	0.08%	0.030	0.16%	0.192	3.84%	0.188	0.34%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%	0.031	0.06%
	75	0.137	0.20%	0.142	0.24%	0.052	0.07%	0.028	0.15%	0.160	3.20%	0.160	0.29%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%	0.029	0.05%
Alternative G	250																				
	150																				
	75																				

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Table F.10.17 Summary of Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources Using FLAG Background Data.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Fitzpatrick Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class II		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Grand Teton National Park Class I		Teton Wilderness Class I		Yellowstone National Park Class I		Washakie Wilderness Area Class I	
Alternative	WDR	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔAdv^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact ΔAdv^2 (days)	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv^2 (days)		
No Action ¹	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	1.02	3	1	0.13	0	0	0.21	0	0	0.08	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.06
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	3.16	22	9	0.56	2	0	0.54	2	0	0.45	0	0	0.32	0	0	0.16
Alternative B	250	3.32	30	11	0.65	3	0	0.62	2	0	0.52	1	0	0.36	0	0	0.16
Alternative C	250	2.75	19	8	0.49	0	0	0.47	0	0	0.39	0	0	0.29	0	0	0.13
Alternative D	250	1.50	150	75	0.34	4	0	0.32	0	0	0.25	0	0	0.20	0	0	0.10
Alternative E	250	150	75	0	0.21	2	0	0.20	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.06
Alternative F	250	3.25	24	10	0.60	3	0	0.58	2	0	0.48	0	0	0.34	0	0	0.15
Alternative G	250	1.50	150	75	0.42	5	0	0.41	0	0	0.35	0	0	0.24	0	0	0.10

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ No Action Alternative was not modeled.
² ΔAdv = change in deview.

Table F.10.18 Summary of Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project Sources Using IMPROVE Background Data.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I				Flintrock Wilderness Class I				Popo Agie Wilderness Class II				Wind River/Routt Area Class II				Yellowstone National Park Class I				Teton Wilderness Class I				Grand Teton National Park Class I				Washakie Wilderness Area Class I			
		Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 10 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)	Number of Days > 0.5 ΔV^2 (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact (ΔV^2)					
Δ No Action ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	1.14	3	1	0.15	0	0	0.24	0	0	0.20	0	0	0.08	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.04	0	0	0.06	0	0	0	0	0					
Alternative A (Proposed Action) Maximum Field Emissions	250	3.48	28	10	0.64	3	0	0.62	2	0	0.52	1	0	0.33	0	0	0.14	0	0	0.16	0	0	0.24	0	0	0	0	0					
Alternative B	250	3.74	33	11	0.75	3	0	0.71	3	0	0.60	1	0	0.37	0	0	0.23	0	0	0.10	0	0	0.11	0	0	0.17	0	0					
Alternative C	250	3.04	22	8	0.57	3	0	0.54	2	0	0.45	0	0	0.29	0	0	0.13	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.22	0	0					
Alternative D	250	2.13	11	4	0.39	0	0	0.37	0	0	0.29	0	0	0.20	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.10	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.22	0	0					
Alternative E	250	1.36	7	2	0.24	0	0	0.23	0	0	0.18	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.05	0	0	0.06	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.24	0	0					
Alternative F	250	3.57	31	10	0.69	3	0	0.66	2	0	0.56	1	0	0.34	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.17	0	0	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0					
Alternative G	250	2.70	18	8	0.49	0	0	0.47	0	0	0.40	0	0	0.25	0	0	0.11	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.18	0	0	0.25	0	0					
Alternative H	250	2.00	12	4	0.34	0	0	0.34	0	0	0.28	0	0	0.17	0	0	0.07	0	0	0.08	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.25	0	0					

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ No Action Alternative was not modeled.

² ΔV^2 = change in derivev.

Table F.10.19 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas, from Direct Project and Regional Sources Using FLAG Background Data.

Δdv = change in deciview.

Table F.10.20 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at PSD Class I and Sensitive PSD Class II Areas from Direct Project and Regional Sources Using IMPROVE Background Data.

		Bridger Wilderness Class I		Flintneck Wilderness Class I		Popo Agie Wilderness Class I		Wind River Roadless Area Class II		Grand Teton National Park Class I		Teton Wilderness Class I		Yellowstone National Park Class I		Washakie Wilderness Area Class I			
		Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 0.5 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 0.5 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 0.5 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 0.5 Dv ¹ (days)	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 Dv ¹ (days)		
Alternative	WDR	--	1.94	11	3	0.49	0	0	0.58	1	0	0.81	3	0	0.33	0	0.14	0	
No Action																			
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	2.26	15	4	0.56	1	0	0.66	3	0	0.92	4	0	0.35	0	0.16	0	0.17	
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	4.01	46	17	0.87	7	0	0.99	16	0	1.21	12	2	0.50	1	0	0.24	0	
Alternative D	250	150	150	75	75	37	9	0.71	5	0	0.86	9	0	1.09	8	2	0.41	0	0.21
Alternative E	250	150	150	75	75	24	7	0.61	2	0	0.77	6	0	1.00	6	0	0.36	0	0.18
Alternative B	250	150	150	75	75	54	19	0.95	7	0	1.04	19	2	1.25	14	2	0.54	1	0.25
Alternative C	250	150	150	75	75	41	13	0.82	6	0	0.96	13	0	1.18	11	2	0.47	0	0.23
Alternative F	250	150	150	75	75	50	17	0.90	7	0	1.00	17	1	1.23	13	2	0.52	1	0.24
Alternative G	250	150	150	75	75	38	9	0.73	5	0	0.88	9	0	1.11	8	2	0.43	0	0.21
Alternative H	250	150	150	75	75	3.27	2.72	2.72	2.72	7	0.62	2	0	0.78	6	0	0.37	0	0.19
Alternative I	250	150	150	75	75	4.10	50	50	50	50	17	0	1.00	17	1	1.23	13	2	0.52
Alternative J	250	150	150	75	75	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27	5	0	0.88	9	0	1.11	8	2	0.43
Alternative K	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative L	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative M	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative N	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative O	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative P	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative Q	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative R	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative S	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative T	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative U	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative V	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative W	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative X	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative Y	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative Z	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative AA	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative BB	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative CC	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative DD	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative EE	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative FF	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative GG	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative HH	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative II	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative JJ	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative KK	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative LL	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative MM	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative NN	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative OO	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative PP	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative QQ	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative RR	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative SS	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative TT	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative UU	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative VV	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative WW	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative XX	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative YY	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative ZZ	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative AA	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative BB	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative CC	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative DD	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative EE	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative FF	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative GG	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative HH	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative II	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative JJ	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2.72	2	0	0.78	6	0	1.01	6	1	0.37
Alternative KK	250	150	150	75	75	2.72	2.												

Table F.10.21 Summary of Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Direct Project Sources Using FLAG Background Data.

		Big Piney	Big Sandy	Boulder	Bronx	Cora	Daniel	Fanson	Garrison	Laramie	Mesa	Pinedale
	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv ¹	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv	Maximum Visibility Impact	Number of Days > 1.0 ΔAdv
Alternative	WDR [Adv]	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No Action ²	[Adv]	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.57	0	0.76	0	0.49	0	0.31	0	0.60	0	0.47
Alternative A (Proposed Action) - Maximum Field Emissions	250	1.75	2	2.77	19	2.09	9	1.48	1	2.24	1	2.04
Alternative A	150	1.28	1	2.04	12	1.51	3	1.07	1	2.06	1	1.63
	75	0.89	0	1.47	2	1.00	1	0.71	0	1.37	1	1.08
Alternative B	250	1.87	5	3.13	24	2.35	11	1.66	1	3.19	1	2.55
	150	1.35	2	2.29	15	1.67	5	1.17	1	2.29	1	1.81
	75	0.90	0	1.61	3	1.08	2	0.73	0	1.44	1	1.15
Alternative C	250	1.48	2	2.50	14	1.92	6	1.32	1	2.54	1	2.00
	150	1.00	1	1.76	6	1.33	3	0.90	0	1.77	1	1.38
	75	0.60	0	1.17	1	0.81	0	0.54	0	1.05	1	0.82
Alternative D	250	150	150	150	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Alternative E	250	150	150	150	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Alternative F	250	1.84	4	2.90	19	2.20	10	1.55	1	2.96	1	2.36
	150	1.36	2	2.16	12	1.59	5	1.13	1	2.19	1	1.73
	75	0.96	0	1.61	4	1.10	2	0.78	0	1.51	1	1.20
Alternative G	250	150	150	150	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative C.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ ΔAdv = change in deciview.

² No Action Alternative was not modeled.

Table F.10.22 Summary of Maximum Modeled Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Direct Project Sources Using IMPROVE Background Data.

			Big Piney	Big Sandy	Boulder	Bronx	Cora	Daniel	Farnon	Laramie	Merna	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv) ¹	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)
Alternative		WDR	Maximum Visibility Impact (Adv) ¹	Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	Maximum Number of Days > 1.0 Visibility Impact (Adv)	
No Action ²		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Maximum Production Emissions (3,100 wells)	0	0.66	0	0.85	0	0.56	0	0.36	0	0.69	0	0.57	0	0.55	0	0.30	0	0.22	0	0.07	1	4.27	3
Alternative A (Proposed Action - Maximum Field Emissions)	250	2.01	6	3.05	23	2.39	12	1.70	1	3.20	1	2.56	1	2.33	6	1.32	2	0.79	0	—	—	—	—
Alternative A	150	1.48	2	2.26	13	1.73	6	1.23	1	2.36	1	1.87	1	1.65	5	0.93	0	0.57	0	3.23	2	—	—
	75	1.03	1	1.63	3	1.15	3	0.82	0	1.57	1	1.25	1	1.13	3	0.60	0	0.38	0	2.20	1	—	—
Alternative B	250	2.15	9	3.45	26	2.68	18	1.91	1	3.62	2	2.90	2	2.62	7	1.48	2	0.90	0	4.87	5	—	—
	150	1.56	2	2.53	15	1.92	6	1.35	1	2.61	1	2.07	1	1.82	5	1.03	1	0.64	0	3.61	2	—	—
	75	1.04	1	1.79	6	1.24	3	0.85	0	1.66	1	1.32	1	1.21	3	0.66	0	0.42	0	2.39	1	—	—
Alternative C	250	1.71	4	2.77	17	2.20	9	1.52	1	2.89	1	2.29	1	2.15	5	1.26	2	0.70	0	3.85	2	—	—
	150	1.16	1	1.95	8	1.53	3	1.04	1	2.03	1	1.59	1	1.46	3	0.87	0	0.49	0	2.75	1	—	—
	75	0.70	0	1.30	1	0.93	0	0.62	0	1.21	1	0.94	0	0.92	0	0.54	0	0.30	0	1.66	1	—	—
Alternative D	250	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	150	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alternative E	250	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	150	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alternative F	250	2.12	7	3.19	24	2.52	16	1.78	1	3.36	2	2.69	1	2.42	6	1.40	2	0.83	0	4.49	3	—	—
	150	1.57	2	2.39	14	1.82	6	1.30	1	2.50	1	1.99	1	1.74	5	0.98	0	0.61	0	3.44	2	—	—
	75	1.11	1	1.79	7	1.26	3	0.90	0	1.74	1	1.37	1	1.23	3	0.67	0	0.42	0	2.45	1	—	—
Alternative G	250	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	150	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Alternative D was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative C.

Alternative E was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative B and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

¹ Adv = change in deciview.

² No Action Alternative was not modeled.

Table F.10.23 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Direct Project and Regional Sources Using FLAG Background Data.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

75

Table F.10.24 Summary of Maximum Modeled Cumulative Visibility Impacts at Wyoming Regional Community Locations from Direct Project and Regional Sources Using IMPROVE Background Data.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.

Alternative G was not modeled. Results would be between Alternative A and Alternative F.